**Court of Appeals** 

2018 Annual Report

**Annual Caseload Report** 

Court of Appeals Glossary	3
Court Activity, All Divisions (4)	7
Court Activity, Division I (4)	9
Court Activity, Division II (4)	11
Court Activity, Division III	. 13
History of Filings (1)	15
History of Resolutions (1)(2)	. 17
History of Pending Cases	19
History of Activity, All Reviews, All Divisions (10)	. 21
History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10)	23
History of Activity, All Reviews, Division II (10)	25
History of Activity, All Reviews, Division III	27
Court Activity, All Divisions, Criminal Appeals	29
Court Activity, All Divisions, Civil Appeals	31
Court Activity, All Divisions, Total Appeals	33
Court Activity, All Divisions, Personal Restraint Petitions	35
Court Activity, All Divisions, Notices of Discretionary Review	. 37
Days Between Events for Criminal Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion75th Percentile (1).	. 39
Days Between Events for Civil Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion75th Percentile(1)	41
Days Between Events for Total Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion75th Percentile (1)	43

# **Court of Appeals Glossary**

This glossary is included to assist in understanding the statistical tables.

### Filings

### **Notice Of Appeal**

- The filing of an appeal of a trial court final judgment that is reviewable as a matter of right. Notices of appeal must be filed within 30 days of the trial court judgment. Unless otherwise prohibited by statute or court rule, a party may appeal from only trial court decisions as specified in Rules of Appellate Procedure (RAP) 2.2. Notices of appeal are categorized into criminal and civil appeals.

### **Personal Restraint Petition**

- The filing of a review to seek relief from limitations on a person's freedom due to current or imminent confinement, or other restrictions imposed by a criminal or civil trial court decision (RAP 16.4). **Discretionary Review** 

- The filing of a review of interlocutory orders of a trial court, made prior to a final determination of the case, concerning whether errors or substantial departure from accepted and usual courses of proceedings occurred in the case. Unless otherwise prohibited by statute or court rule, a party may seek discretionary review of any act of superior court not appealable as a matter of right (RAP 2.3). Dispositions

The appellate court may reverse, affirm, or modify the decision being reviewed and take any other action as the merits of the case and the interest of justice may require (RAP 12.2). Each review is counted as being disposed as soon as a decision terminating review is filed with the appellate clerk.

### **Opinions**

- The statement by the court of the decision reached in regard to a cause argued before it, expounding the law as applied to the case, and detailing the reasons upon which the judgment is based. **Opinions Published** -

The published written opinion terminating the review. **Opinions Unpublished** 

- The unpublished written opinion terminating the review. Commissioners' Rulings

- Rulings by commissioners which terminate review. Motion On The Merits

- Termination of a review due to the granting of a motion on the merits (RAP 18.14). **Other Rulings** 

- Other rulings by commissioners, such as rulings on accelerated review of adult sentences or juvenile offender proceedings or granting a motion to dismiss--Anders (RAP 15.2(h)). **Dismissed** 

- An order issued by the court dismissing the review prior to the point where case merit is determined. The commissioner or clerk may dismiss a review for failure to comply with procedures. The court, on motion of a party, may dismiss a review for want of prosecution, if the review is frivolous, moot or solely for the purpose of delay, or for failure to comply with procedures (RAP 18.9). **Transferred/Certified** 

- The Supreme Court, to promote orderly administration of justice may, on its own initiative or on motion of a party, transfer a case from the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court ("certified"). A case may be transferred from one division to another within the Court of Appeals (RAP 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, RCW 2.06.030). **Review Not Accepted** 

- Reviews may not be accepted if they do not meet the conditions governing the acceptance of review (RAP 2.2, 2.3, and 16.4). **Other Terminations** 

- An order issued by the court terminating the review before an opinion is rendered. An order to terminate a review would result if, for example, a petitioner decided to withdraw the review.

#### Pending Cases Case Stayed

- Those cases temporarily arrested or removed from judicial processing by order of the court. **Brief Not Filed** 

- Those cases within the stages of perfection in which no briefs have yet been filed. **Appellant Brief Filed** 

- Those cases within the stages of perfection in which appellant's brief has been filed, but respondent's brief is still awaited. **Respondent Brief Filed** 

- Those cases within the stages of perfection in which both appellant's brief and respondent's brief have been filed, but case has yet to be set on the court calendar for review.

### **Remanded To Trial Court**

- Those cases whose jurisdiction have been temporarily returned to the trial court of origination. Set For Motion On The Merits

- Those cases which have progressed to the point at which all briefs were filed and served, and now qualify for setting. Because a

motion on the merits has been made, these cases have been set on the Motion On The Merits calendar (RAP 18.14). **Ruling Referred To Panel** 

- Cases which were initially assigned to a commissioner, who has ruled that they should be referred to a panel of judges for a decision. Set For Oral Argument

- Those cases which have progressed to the point at which all briefs were filed and served and have been set for a review. **Opinion/Order In Process** 

- Those cases which have been reviewed by the court but have yet to receive a decision.

### Mandated

A review is mandated by the clerk of the Court of Appeals when a written notification, when required, is sent to the trial court and all parties. The notification informs all parties of an appellate court decision terminating the review.

### **Time In Process Statistics --Notice Of Appeals Filing**

- The date the appeal is filed in the trial court.

### **Statement Of Arrangements**

- The date of the first occurrence when the Statement Of Arrangements has either been "Received" or "Filed" in the appellate court. **Report Of Proceedings** 

- The date of the first occurrence when the Report Of Proceedings has either been "Filed" or "Received." The latter is recorded when the report is returned from the printer. Note: For statistical purposes "Received" is only employed when "Filed" is omitted. **Appellant's Brief** 

- The date of the last occurrence for the Appellant's Brief to either be "Filed" or "Received." The latter is recorded when the report is returned from the printer. Note: For statistical purposes "Received" is only employed when "Filed" is omitted. **Respondent's Brief** 

- The date of the last occurrence for the Respondent's Brief to either be "Filed" or "Received." The latter is recorded when the report is returned from the printer. Note: For statistical purposes "Received" is only employed when "Filed" is omitted. **Oral Argument** 

- The date of the first occurrence that the oral argument received a status of "Heard." **Opinion** 

- The date of the first occurrence of the opinion being filed. Mandate

- A written notification by the clerk of the appellate court to the trial court and to the parties of an appellate court decision terminating review.

Note that the time-in-process tables have been changed in 1997 to reflect the 75th percentile rather than the median number of days between events. The change was made for consistency with national standards.

### Court Activity, All Divisions (4) - 2018 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

		Appeals		Other Reviews				
FILINGS	Criminal	Civil	Total	Personal Restraint	Discretionary Review	Total	All Reviews	
Total Filings	936	1,165	2,101	1,075	396	1,471	3,572	
RESOLUTIONS (5)								
Opinions Published	103	123	226	11	23	34	260	
Opinions Unpublished	673	439	1,112	46	27	73	1,185	
Opinion Terminating Review	776	562	1,338	57	50	107	1,445	
Dismissed	2	4	6	693	3	696	702	
Transferred/Certified	15	18	33	53	0	53	86	
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	7	1	8	8	
Other Terminations	0	1	1	85	1	86	87	
Order Terminating Review	17	23	40	838	5	843	883	
Decided on Merits	22	33	55	1	5	6	61	
Dismissed	128	359	487	112	108	220	707	
Transferred/Certified	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	
Review Not Accepted	8	18	26	0	206	206	232	
Other Terminations	7	20	27	1	5	6	33	
Ruling Terminating Review	165	430	595	115	325	440	1,035	
Total Resolved	958	1,015	1,973	1,010	380	1,390	3,363	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years. (2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case. (4) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### Court Activity, All Divisions (4) - 2018 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

		Appeals		Other Reviews				
PENDING AT YEAR END (1)	Criminal	Civil	Total	Personal Restraint	Discretionary Review	Total	All Reviews	
Cases Stayed	28	44	72	188	16	204	276	
Brief Not Filed	688	575	1,263	282	83	365	1,628	
Appellant Brief Filed	5	2	7	15	0	15	22	
Respondent Brief Filed	329	317	646	144	29	173	819	
Remanded to Trial Court	2	4	6	1	0	1	7	
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	29	75	104	4	70	74	178	
Ruling Referred to Panel	1	0	1	8	0	8	9	
Set for Oral Argument (2)	167	202	369	15	9	24	393	
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,249	1,219	2,468	657	207	864	3,332	
Opinion/Order in Process	106	99	205	13	7	20	225	
Total Pending Decision	1,355	1,318	2,673	670	214	884	3,557	
MANDATED								
Opinion Terminating Review	818	662	1,480	75	60	135	1,615	
Order Terminating Review	21	21	42	921	5	926	968	
Ruling Terminating Review	190	476	666	120	345	465	1,131	
Other Decision Terminating Review	12	22	34	16	12	28	62	
Total Mandated	1,041	1,181	2,222	1,132	422	1,554	3,776	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years. (2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case. (4) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### Court Activity, Division I (4) - 2018 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

		Appeals		Other Reviews				
FILINGS	Criminal	Civil	Total	Personal Restraint	Discretionary Review	Total	All Reviews	
Total Filings	325	544	869	375	195	570	1,439	
RESOLUTIONS (5)								
Opinions Published	36	56	92	5	13	18	110	
Opinions Unpublished	260	241	501	14	19	33	534	
Opinion Terminating Review	296	297	593	19	32	51	644	
Dismissed	1	3	4	233	2	235	239	
Transferred/Certified	0	1	1	44	0	44	45	
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
Other Terminations	0	1	1	41	1	42	43	
Order Terminating Review	1	5	6	318	4	322	328	
Decided on Merits	2	9	11	0	2	2	13	
Dismissed	44	191	235	56	60	116	351	
Transferred/Certified	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	
Review Not Accepted	5	7	12	0	95	95	107	
Other Terminations	3	6	9	1	4	5	14	
Ruling Terminating Review	54	213	267	58	162	220	487	
Total Resolved	351	515	866	395	198	593	1,459	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years. (2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case. (4) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### Court Activity, Division I (4) - 2018 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

	Appeals			Other Reviews				
PENDING AT YEAR END (1)	Criminal	Civil	Total	Personal Restraint	Discretionary Review	Total	All Reviews	
Cases Stayed	2	20	22	43	3	46	68	
Brief Not Filed	249	300	549	110	47	157	706	
Appellant Brief Filed	1	1	2	3	0	3	5	
Respondent Brief Filed	117	138	255	33	13	46	301	
Remanded to Trial Court	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	1	3	4	0	22	22	26	
Ruling Referred to Panel	1	0	1	6	0	6	7	
Set for Oral Argument (2)	66	136	202	8	3	11	213	
Total Awaiting Hearing	437	598	1,035	204	88	292	1,327	
Opinion/Order in Process	10	26	36		1	1	37	
Total Pending Decision	447	624	1,071	204	89	293	1,364	
MANDATED								
Opinion Terminating Review	307	353	660	25	30	55	715	
Order Terminating Review	1	4	5	325	5	330	335	
Ruling Terminating Review	63	233	296	55	183	238	534	
Other Decision Terminating Review	1	3	4	0	2	2	6	
Total Mandated	372	593	965	405	220	625	1,590	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years. (2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case. (4) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### Court Activity, Division II (4) - 2018 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

	Appeals			Other Reviews				
FILINGS	Criminal	Civil	Total	Personal Restraint	Discretionary Review	Total	All Reviews	
Total Filings	386	381	767	515	138	653	1,420	
RESOLUTIONS (5) Opinions Published	31	41	72	4	2	6	78	
Opinions Unpublished	218	120	338	27	7	34	372	
Opinion Terminating Review	249	161	410	31	9	40	450	
Dismissed	0	1	1	323	1	324	325	
Transferred/Certified	14	16	30	9	0	9	39	
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	7	0	7	7	
Other Terminations	0	0	0	39	0	39	39	
Order Terminating Review	14	17	31	378	1	379	410	
Decided on Merits	2	24	26	1	3	4	30	
Dismissed	60	109	169	47	39	86	255	
Review Not Accepted	1	8	9	0	74	74	83	
Other Terminations	2	7	9	0	1	1	10	
Ruling Terminating Review	65	148	213	48	117	165	378	
Total Resolved	328	326	654	457	127	584	1,238	
PENDING AT YEAR END (1)								

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years. (2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case. (4) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### Court Activity, Division II (4) - 2018 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

		Appeals		Other Reviews				
Cases Stayed	Criminal 22	Civil 8	Total 30	Personal Restraint 112	Discretionary Review 7	<b>Total</b> 119	All Reviews 149	
Brief Not Filed	282	171	453	128	32	160	613	
Appellant Brief Filed	2	1	3	5	0	5	8	
Respondent Brief Filed	141	123	264	68	14	82	346	
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	3	43	46	0	31	31	77	
Set for Oral Argument (2)	72	38	110	3	6	9	119	
Total Awaiting Hearing	522	384	906	316	90	406	1,312	
Opinion/Order in Process	58	38	96	12	2	14	110	
Total Pending Decision	580	422	1,002	328	92	420	1,422	
MANDATED								
Opinion Terminating Review	257	177	434	31	15	46	480	
Order Terminating Review	18	16	34	431	0	431	465	
Ruling Terminating Review	70	175	245	54	115	169	414	
Other Decision Terminating Review	7	10	17	6	7	13	30	
Total Mandated	352	378	730	522	137	659	1,389	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years. (2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case. (4) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### Court Activity, Division III - 2018 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

		Appeals		Other Reviews				
FILINGS	Criminal	Civil	Total	Personal Restraint	Discretionary Review	Total	All Reviews	
Total Filings	225	240	465	185	63	248	713	
RESOLUTIONS (5)								
Opinions Published	36	26	62	2	8	10	72	
Opinions Unpublished	195	78	273	5	1	6	279	
Opinion Terminating Review	231	104	335	7	9	16	351	
Dismissed	1	0	1	137	0	137	138	
Transferred/Certified	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	
Other Terminations	0	0	0	5	0	5	5	
Order Terminating Review	2	1	3	142	0	142	145	
Decided on Merits	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	
Dismissed	24	59	83	9	9	18	101	
Review Not Accepted	2	3	5	0	37	37	42	
Other Terminations	2	7	9	0	0	0	9	
Ruling Terminating Review	46	69	115	9	46	55	170	
Total Resolved	279	174	453	158	55	213	666	
PENDING AT YEAR END (1)								
Cases Stayed	4	16	20	33	6	39	59	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.
(2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.
(4) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

### Court Activity, Division III - 2018 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

		Appeals		Other Reviews				
Brief Not Filed	Criminal 157	<b>Civil</b> 104	<b>Total</b> 261	Personal Restraint 44	Discretionary Review 4	Total 48	All Reviews 309	
Appellant Brief Filed	2	0	2	7	0	7	9	
Respondent Brief Filed	71	56	127	43	2	45	172	
Remanded to Trial Court	2	4	6	0	0	0	6	
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	25	29	54	4	17	21	75	
Ruling Referred to Panel	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	
Set for Oral Argument (2)	29	28	57	4	0	4	61	
Total Awaiting Hearing	290	237	527	137	29	166	693	
Opinion/Order in Process	38	35	73	1	4	5	78	
Total Pending Decision	328	272	600	138	33	171	771	
MANDATED								
Opinion Terminating Review	254	132	386	19	15	34	420	
Order Terminating Review	2	1	3	165	0	165	168	
Ruling Terminating Review	57	68	125	11	47	58	183	
Other Decision Terminating Review	4	9	13	10	3	13	26	
Total Mandated	317	210	527	205	65	270	797	

(1) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of resolution types and the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.
(2) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(3) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.
(4) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington History of Filings (1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
DIVISION I									
Criminal Appeals	358	413	15.4 %	390	-5.6 %	351	-10 %	325	-7.4 %
Civil Appeals	617	660	7 %	633	-4.1 %	624	-1.4 %	544	-12.8 %
Total Appeals	975	1,073	10.1 %	1,023	-4.7 %	975	-4.7 %	869	-10.9 %
Personal Restraint Petition	372	344	-7.5 %	446	29.7 %	395	-11.4 %	375	-5.1 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	215	159	-26 %	211	32.7 %	191	-9.5 %	195	2.1 %
Total Filings, DIVISION I	1,562	1,576	0.9 %	1,680	6.6 %	1,561	-7.1 %	1,439	-7.8 %
DIVISION II									
Criminal Appeals	426	383	-10.1 %	407	6.3 %	405	-0.5 %	386	-4.7 %
Civil Appeals	404	420	4 %	402	-4.3 %	448	11.4 %	381	-15 %
Total Appeals	830	803	-3.3 %	809	0.7 %	853	5.4 %	767	-10.1 %
Personal Restraint Petition	367	388	5.7 %	433	11.6 %	478	10.4 %	515	7.7 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	158	165	4.4 %	164	-0.6 %	168	2.4 %	138	-17.9 %
Total Filings, DIVISION II	1,355	1,356	0.1 %	1,406	3.7 %	1,499	6.6 %	1,420	-5.3 %
DIVISION III									
Criminal Appeals	273	282	3.3 %	343	21.6 %	264	-23 %	225	-14.8 %
Civil Appeals	272	370	36 %	233	-37 %	223	-4.3 %	240	7.6 %
Total Appeals	545	652	19.6 %	576	-11.7 %	487	-15.5 %	465	-4.5 %
Personal Restraint Petition	203	235	15.8 %	230	-2.1 %	224	-2.6 %	185	-17.4 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	89	75	-15.7 %	106	41.3 %	72	-32.1 %	63	-12.5 %

(1) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington History of Filings (1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
Total Filings, DIVISION III	837	962	14.9 %	912	-5.2 %	783	-14.1 %	713	-8.9 %
COURT OF APPEALS, TOTAL									
Criminal Appeals	1,057	1,078	2 %	1,140	5.8 %	1,020	-10.5 %	936	-8.2 %
Civil Appeals	1,293	1,450	12.1 %	1,268	-12.6 %	1,295	2.1 %	1,165	-10 %
Total Appeals	2,350	2,528	7.6 %	2,408	-4.7 %	2,315	-3.9 %	2,101	-9.2 %
Personal Restraint Petition	942	967	2.7 %	1,109	14.7 %	1,097	-1.1 %	1,075	-2 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	462	399	-13.6 %	481	20.6 %	431	-10.4 %	396	-8.1 %
Total Filings, Court of Appeals	3,754	3,894	3.7 %	3,998	2.7 %	3,843	-3.9 %	3,572	-7.1 %

(1) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington History of Resolutions (1)(2) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

- 5									
	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
DIVISION I									
Criminal Appeals	447	388	-13.2 %	356	-8.2 %	360	1.1 %	351	-2.5 %
Civil Appeals	598	605	1.2 %	504	-16.7 %	538	6.7 %	515	-4.3 %
Total Appeals	1,045	993	-5 %	860	-13.4 %	898	4.4 %	866	-3.6 %
Personal Restraint Petition	327	320	-2.1 %	412	28.8 %	389	-5.6 %	395	1.5 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	201	173	-13.9 %	155	-10.4 %	163	5.2 %	198	21.5 %
Total Resolutions, DIVISION I	1,573	1,486	-5.5 %	1,427	-4 %	1,450	1.6 %	1,459	0.6 %
DIVISION II									
Criminal Appeals	450	420	-6.7 %	391	-6.9 %	338	-13.6 %	328	-3 %
Civil Appeals	410	424	3.4 %	385	-9.2 %	333	-13.5 %	326	-2.1 %
Total Appeals	860	844	-1.9 %	776	-8.1 %	671	-13.5 %	654	-2.5 %
Personal Restraint Petition	279	364	30.5 %	346	-4.9 %	425	22.8 %	457	7.5 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	137	154	12.4 %	149	-3.2 %	145	-2.7 %	127	-12.4 %
Total Resolutions, DIVISION II	1,276	1,362	6.7 %	1,271	-6.7 %	1,241	-2.4 %	1,238	-0.2 %
DIVISION III									
Criminal Appeals	272	297	9.2 %	282	-5.1 %	242	-14.2 %	279	15.3 %
Civil Appeals	240	242	0.8 %	208	-14 %	214	2.9 %	174	-18.7 %
Total Appeals	512	539	5.3 %	490	-9.1 %	456	-6.9 %	453	-0.7 %
Personal Restraint Petition	133	169	27.1 %	139	-17.8 %	206	48.2 %	158	-23.3 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	79	55	-30.4 %	63	14.5 %	58	-7.9 %	55	-5.2 %

(1) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington History of Resolutions (1)(2) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
Total Resolutions, DIVISION III	724	763	5.4 %	692	-9.3 %	720	4 %	666	-7.5 %
COURT OF APPEALS, TOTAL									
Criminal Appeals	1,169	1,105	-5.5 %	1,029	-6.9 %	940	-8.6 %	958	1.9 %
Civil Appeals	1,248	1,271	1.8 %	1,097	-13.7 %	1,085	-1.1 %	1,015	-6.5 %
Total Appeals	2,417	2,376	-1.7 %	2,126	-10.5 %	2,025	-4.8 %	1,973	-2.6 %
Personal Restraint Petition	739	853	15.4 %	897	5.2 %	1,020	13.7 %	1,010	-1 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	417	382	-8.4 %	367	-3.9 %	366	-0.3 %	380	3.8 %
Total Resolutions, Court of Appeals	3,573	3,611	1.1 %	3,390	-6.1 %	3,411	0.6 %	3,363	-1.4 %

(1) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

### History of Pending Cases - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

1 age 1 012									
	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
DIVISION I									
Criminal Appeals	428	414	-3.3 %	482	16.4 %	484	0.4 %	447	-7.6 %
Civil Appeals	546	489	-10.4 %	587	20 %	626	6.6 %	624	-0.3 %
Total Appeals	974	903	-7.3 %	1,069	18.4 %	1,110	3.8 %	1,071	-3.5 %
Personal Restraint Petition	202	192	-5 %	246	28.1 %	232	-5.7 %	204	-12.1 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	106	64	-39.6 %	99	54.7 %	110	11.1 %	89	-19.1 %
Total Pending, DIVISION I	1,282	1,159	-9.6 %	1,414	22 %	1,452	2.7 %	1,364	-6.1 %
DIVISION II									
Criminal Appeals	569	483	-15.1 %	488	1 %	530	8.6 %	580	9.4 %
Civil Appeals	394	326	-17.3 %	325	-0.3 %	390	20 %	422	8.2 %
Total Appeals	963	809	-16 %	813	0.5 %	920	13.2 %	1,002	8.9 %
Personal Restraint Petition	226	208	-8 %	262	26 %	302	15.3 %	328	8.6 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	63	69	9.5 %	73	5.8 %	85	16.4 %	92	8.2 %
Total Pending, DIVISION II	1,252	1,086	-13.3 %	1,148	5.7 %	1,307	13.9 %	1,422	8.8 %
DIVISION III									
Criminal Appeals	389	355	-8.7 %	395	11.3 %	384	-2.8 %	328	-14.6 %
Civil Appeals	261	380	45.6 %	335	-11.8 %	330	-1.5 %	272	-17.6 %
Total Appeals	650	735	13.1 %	730	-0.7 %	714	-2.2 %	600	-16 %
Personal Restraint Petition	125	163	30.4 %	213	30.7 %	124	-41.8 %	138	11.3 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	47	37	-21.3 %	51	37.8 %	35	-31.4 %	33	-5.7 %

### History of Pending Cases - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
Total Pending, DIVISION III	822	935	13.7 %	994	6.3 %	873	-12.2 %	771	-11.7 %
COURT OF APPEALS, TOTAL									
Criminal Appeals	1,386	1,252	-9.7 %	1,365	9 %	1,398	2.4 %	1,355	-3.1 %
Civil Appeals	1,201	1,195	-0.5 %	1,247	4.4 %	1,346	7.9 %	1,318	-2.1 %
Total Appeals	2,587	2,447	-5.4 %	2,612	6.7 %	2,744	5.1 %	2,673	-2.6 %
Personal Restraint Petition	553	563	1.8 %	721	28.1 %	658	-8.7 %	670	1.8 %
Notice of Discretionary Review	216	170	-21.3 %	223	31.2 %	230	3.1 %	214	-7 %
Total Pending, Court of Appeals	3,356	3,180	-5.2 %	3,556	11.8 %	3,632	2.1 %	3,557	-2.1 %

History of Activity, All Reviews, All Divisions (10) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	3,754	3,894	3.7 %	3,998	2.7 %	3,843	-3.9 %	3,572	-7.1 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	341	341	0 %	289	-15.2 %	243	-15.9 %	260	7 %
Opinions Unpublished	1,208	1,273	5.4 %	1,236	-2.9 %	1,217	-1.5 %	1,185	-2.6 %
Opinions	1,549	1,614	4.2 %	1,525	-5.5 %	1,460	-4.3 %	1,445	-1 %
Dismissed	622	720	15.8 %	629	-12.6 %	771	22.6 %	702	-8.9 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	112	79	-29.5 %	95	20.3 %	106	11.6 %	86	-18.9 %
Review Not Accepted	32	1	. %	10	. %	7	. %	8	. %
Other Terminations	41	40	-2.4 %	100	150 %	74	-26 %	87	17.6 %
Orders	807	840	4.1 %	834	-0.7 %	958	14.9 %	883	-7.8 %
Decided on Merits	214	136	-36.4 %	83	-39 %	54	-34.9 %	61	13 %
Dismissed	742	749	0.9 %	669	-10.7 %	668	-0.1 %	707	5.8 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	3	1	. %	3	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Review Not Accepted	224	242	8 %	236	-2.5 %	240	1.7 %	232	-3.3 %
Other Terminations	34	29	. %	40	. %	31	-22.5 %	33	6.5 %
Rulings	1,217	1,157	-4.9 %	1,031	-10.9 %	993	-3.7 %	1,035	4.2 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	2,024	1,997	-1.3 %	1,865	-6.6 %	1,951	4.6 %	1,918	-1.7 %
Total Resolved	3,573	3,611	1.1 %	3,390	-6.1 %	3,411	0.6 %	3,363	-1.4 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

History of Activity, All Reviews, All Divisions (10) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	211	212	0.5 %	334	57.5 %	214	-35.9 %	276	29 %
Brief Not Filed	1,680	1,635	-2.7 %	1,899	16.1 %	1,884	-0.8 %	1,628	-13.6 %
Appellant Brief Filed	24	19	. %	17	. %	13	. %	22	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	710	660	-7 %	535	-18.9 %	806	50.7 %	819	1.6 %
Remanded to Trial Court	13	61	. %	8	. %	6	. %	7	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	186	135	-27.4 %	178	31.9 %	147	-17.4 %	178	21.1 %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	7	3	. %	6	. %	6	. %	9	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	281	230	-18.1 %	340	47.8 %	318	-6.5 %	393	23.6 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	3,112	2,955	-5 %	3,317	12.3 %	3,394	2.3 %	3,332	-1.8 %
Opinion/Order in Process	244	225	-7.8 %	239	6.2 %	238	-0.4 %	225	-5.5 %
Total Pending Decision	3,356	3,180	-5.2 %	3,556	11.8 %	3,632	2.1 %	3,557	-2.1 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	1,756	1,648	-6.2 %	1,840	11.7 %	1,643	-10.7 %	1,615	-1.7 %
Order Terminating Review	891	933	4.7 %	918	-1.6 %	1,092	19 %	968	-11.4 %
Ruling Terminating Review	1,322	1,287	-2.6 %	1,160	-9.9 %	1,049	-9.6 %	1,131	7.8 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	38	45	18.4 %	120	166.7 %	70	-41.7 %	62	-11.4 %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	2,251	2,265	0.6 %	2,198	-3 %	2,211	0.6 %	2,161	-2.3 %
Total Mandated	4,007	3,913	-2.3 %	4,038	3.2 %	3,854	-4.6 %	3,776	-2 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS			5 (1)		5,0,0		5(1)		5(1)
Total Filings	1,562	1,576	0.9 %	1,680	6.6 %	1,561	-7.1 %	1,439	-7.8 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	125	133	6.4 %	117	-12 %	105	-10.3 %	110	4.8 %
Opinions Unpublished	654	592	-9.5 %	549	-7.3 %	564	2.7 %	534	-5.3 %
Opinions	779	725	-6.9 %	666	-8.1 %	669	0.5 %	644	-3.7 %
Dismissed	284	289	1.8 %	279	-3.5 %	250	-10.4 %	239	-4.4 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	6	3	. %	14	. %	35	. %	45	28.6 %
Review Not Accepted	30	0	. %	5	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Other Terminations	5	12	. %	52	. %	51	-1.9 %	43	-15.7 %
Orders	325	304	-6.5 %	350	15.1 %	337	-3.7 %	328	-2.7 %
Decided on Merits	29	13	. %	12	. %	13	. %	13	. %
Dismissed	330	316	-4.2 %	278	-12 %	311	11.9 %	351	12.9 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	1	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Review Not Accepted	96	121	26 %	112	-7.4 %	115	2.7 %	107	-7 %
Other Terminations	13	7	. %	9	. %	5	. %	14	. %
Rulings	469	457	-2.6 %	411	-10.1 %	444	8 %	487	9.7 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	794	761	-4.2 %	761	0 %	781	2.6 %	815	4.4 %
Total Resolved	1,573	1,486	-5.5 %	1,427	-4 %	1,450	1.6 %	1,459	0.6 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	85	53	-37.6 %	90	69.8 %	56	-37.8 %	68	21.4 %
Brief Not Filed	712	677	-4.9 %	812	19.9 %	821	1.1 %	706	-14 %
Appellant Brief Filed	5	7	. %	1	. %	7	. %	5	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	315	241	-23.5 %	205	-14.9 %	292	42.4 %	301	3.1 %
Remanded to Trial Court	0	1	. %	1	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	20	25	. %	31	. %	19	. %	26	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	1	3	. %	5	. %	5	. %	7	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	115	116	0.9 %	215	85.3 %	202	-6 %	213	5.4 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,253	1,123	-10.4 %	1,360	21.1 %	1,402	3.1 %	1,327	-5.3 %
Opinion/Order in Process	29	36	. %	54	50 %	50	-7.4 %	37	-26 %
Total Pending Decision	1,282	1,159	-9.6 %	1,414	22 %	1,452	2.7 %	1,364	-6.1 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	877	683	-22.1 %	832	21.8 %	721	-13.3 %	715	-0.8 %
Order Terminating Review	343	322	-6.1 %	402	24.8 %	371	-7.7 %	335	-9.7 %
Ruling Terminating Review	486	502	3.3 %	451	-10.2 %	436	-3.3 %	534	22.5 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	13	8	. %	71	. %	25	. %	6	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	842	832	-1.2 %	924	11.1 %	832	-10 %	875	5.2 %
Total Mandated	1,719	1,515	-11.9 %	1,756	15.9 %	1,553	-11.6 %	1,590	2.4 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division II (10) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,355	1,356	0.1 %	1,406	3.7 %	1,499	6.6 %	1,420	-5.3 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	122	102	-16.4 %	97	-4.9 %	81	-16.5 %	78	-3.7 %
Opinions Unpublished	334	407	21.9 %	394	-3.2 %	367	-6.9 %	372	1.4 %
Opinions	456	509	11.6 %	491	-3.5 %	448	-8.8 %	450	0.4 %
Dismissed	219	292	33.3 %	234	-19.9 %	335	43.2 %	325	-3 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	98	70	-28.6 %	76	8.6 %	68	-10.5 %	39	-42.6 %
Review Not Accepted	2	0	. %	5	. %	6	. %	7	. %
Other Terminations	36	26	. %	44	. %	19	. %	39	. %
Orders	355	388	9.3 %	359	-7.5 %	428	19.2 %	410	-4.2 %
Decided on Merits	125	107	-14.4 %	56	-47.7 %	24	. %	30	. %
Dismissed	250	265	6 %	266	0.4 %	237	-10.9 %	255	7.6 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	1	1	. %	3	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Review Not Accepted	81	90	11.1 %	81	-10 %	89	9.9 %	83	-6.7 %
Other Terminations	8	2	. %	15	. %	15	. %	10	. %
Rulings	465	465	0 %	421	-9.5 %	365	-13.3 %	378	3.6 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	820	853	4 %	780	-8.6 %	793	1.7 %	788	-0.6 %
Total Resolved	1,276	1,362	6.7 %	1,271	-6.7 %	1,241	-2.4 %	1,238	-0.2 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division II (10) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)			•••						
Cases Stayed	48	74	54.2 %	131	77 %	118	-9.9 %	149	26.3 %
Brief Not Filed	614	536	-12.7 %	583	8.8 %	671	15.1 %	613	-8.6 %
Appellant Brief Filed	6	3	. %	4	. %	0	. %	8	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	297	260	-12.5 %	232	-10.8 %	276	19 %	346	25.4 %
Remanded to Trial Court	5	1	. %	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	61	46	-24.6 %	36	-21.7 %	68	88.9 %	77	13.2 %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	1	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	111	60	-45.9 %	60	0 %	61	1.7 %	119	95.1 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,143	980	-14.3 %	1,047	6.8 %	1,195	14.1 %	1,312	9.8 %
Opinion/Order in Process	109	106	-2.8 %	101	-4.7 %	112	10.9 %	110	-1.8 %
Total Pending Decision	1,252	1,086	-13.3 %	1,148	5.7 %	1,307	13.9 %	1,422	8.8 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	566	559	-1.2 %	549	-1.8 %	539	-1.8 %	480	-10.9 %
Order Terminating Review	420	423	0.7 %	378	-10.6 %	452	19.6 %	465	2.9 %
Ruling Terminating Review	524	487	-7.1 %	483	-0.8 %	400	-17.2 %	414	3.5 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	7	2	. %	4	. %	18	. %	30	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	951	912	-4.1 %	865	-5.2 %	870	0.6 %	909	4.5 %
Total Mandated	1,517	1,471	-3 %	1,414	-3.9 %	1,409	-0.4 %	1,389	-1.4 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

#### History of Activity, All Reviews, Division III - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

9	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS			•••				•••		•••
Total Filings	837	962	14.9 %	912	-5.2 %	783	-14.1 %	713	-8.9 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	94	106	12.8 %	75	-29.2 %	57	-24 %	72	26.3 %
Opinions Unpublished	220	274	24.5 %	293	6.9 %	286	-2.4 %	279	-2.4 %
Opinions	314	380	21 %	368	-3.2 %	343	-6.8 %	351	2.3 %
Dismissed	119	139	16.8 %	116	-16.5 %	186	60.3 %	138	-25.8 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	8	6	. %	5	. %	3	. %	2	. %
Review Not Accepted	0	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	0	2	. %	4	. %	4	. %	5	. %
Orders	127	148	16.5 %	125	-15.5 %	193	54.4 %	145	-24.9 %
Decided on Merits	60	16	. %	15	. %	17	. %	18	. %
Dismissed	162	168	3.7 %	125	-25.6 %	120	-4 %	101	-15.8 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	1	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Review Not Accepted	47	31	-34 %	43	38.7 %	36	-16.3 %	42	16.7 %
Other Terminations	13	20	. %	16	. %	11	. %	9	. %
Rulings	283	235	-17 %	199	-15.3 %	184	-7.5 %	170	-7.6 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	410	383	-6.6 %	324	-15.4 %	377	16.4 %	315	-16.4 %
Total Resolved	724	763	5.4 %	692	-9.3 %	720	4 %	666	-7.5 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

#### History of Activity, All Reviews, Division III - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

-	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	78	85	9 %	113	32.9 %	40	-64.6 %	59	47.5 %
Brief Not Filed	354	422	19.2 %	504	19.4 %	392	-22.2 %	309	-21.2 %
Appellant Brief Filed	13	9	. %	12	. %	6	. %	9	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	98	159	62.2 %	98	-38.4 %	238	142.9 %	172	-27.7 %
Remanded to Trial Court	8	59	. %	6	. %	6	. %	6	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	105	64	-39 %	111	73.4 %	60	-45.9 %	75	25 %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	5	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	55	54	-1.8 %	65	20.4 %	55	-15.4 %	61	10.9 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	716	852	19 %	910	6.8 %	797	-12.4 %	693	-13 %
Opinion/Order in Process	106	83	-21.7 %	84	1.2 %	76	-9.5 %	78	2.6 %
Total Pending Decision	822	935	13.7 %	994	6.3 %	873	-12.2 %	771	-11.7 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	313	406	29.7 %	459	13.1 %	383	-16.6 %	420	9.7 %
Order Terminating Review	128	188	46.9 %	138	-26.6 %	269	94.9 %	168	-37.5 %
Ruling Terminating Review	312	298	-4.5 %	226	-24.2 %	213	-5.8 %	183	-14.1 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	18	35	. %	45	28.6 %	27	. %	26	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	458	521	13.8 %	409	-21.5 %	509	24.4 %	377	-25.9 %
Total Mandated	771	927	20.2 %	868	-6.4 %	892	2.8 %	797	-10.7 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

Court Activity, All Divisions, Criminal Appeals - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,057	1,078	2 %	1,140	5.8 %	1,020	-10.5 %	936	-8.2 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (10)									
Opinions Published	115	105	-8.7 %	104	-1 %	76	-26.9 %	103	35.5 %
Opinions Unpublished	685	701	2.3 %	694	-1 %	660	-4.9 %	673	2 %
Opinions	800	806	0.8 %	798	-1 %	736	-7.8 %	776	5.4 %
Dismissed	2	3	. %	0	. %	1	. %	2	. %
Transferred/Certified (2)	59	31	-47.5 %	32	3.2 %	34	6.3 %	15	. %
Review Not Accepted	0	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	5	2	. %	5	. %	3	. %	0	. %
Orders	66	36	-45.5 %	38	5.6 %	38	0 %	17	. %
Decided on Merits	117	71	-39.3 %	37	-47.9 %	19	. %	22	. %
Dismissed	167	168	0.6 %	139	-17.3 %	125	-10.1 %	128	2.4 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	0	0	. %	2	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Review Not Accepted	8	11	. %	6	. %	10	. %	8	. %
Other Terminations	11	13	. %	9	. %	12	. %	7	. %
Rulings	303	263	-13.2 %	193	-26.6 %	166	-14 %	165	-0.6 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	369	299	-19 %	231	-22.7 %	204	-11.7 %	182	-10.8 %
Total Resolved	1,169	1,105	-5.5 %	1,029	-6.9 %	940	-8.6 %	958	1.9 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

Court Activity, All Divisions, Criminal Appeals - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	39	14	. %	19	. %	14	. %	28	. %
Brief Not Filed	725	709	-2.2 %	840	18.5 %	745	-11.3 %	688	-7.7 %
Appellant Brief Filed	4	6	. %	2	. %	2	. %	5	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	275	268	-2.5 %	222	-17.2 %	343	54.5 %	329	-4.1 %
Remanded to Trial Court	9	4	. %	4	. %	1	. %	2	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	70	32	-54.3 %	23	. %	19	. %	29	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	126	110	-12.7 %	141	28.2 %	154	9.2 %	167	8.4 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,248	1,143	-8.4 %	1,251	9.4 %	1,278	2.2 %	1,249	-2.3 %
Opinion/Order in Process	138	109	-21 %	114	4.6 %	120	5.3 %	106	-11.7 %
Total Pending Decision	1,386	1,252	-9.7 %	1,365	9 %	1,398	2.4 %	1,355	-3.1 %
MANDATED (1) (8)									
Opinion Terminating Review	846	831	-1.8 %	922	11 %	804	-12.8 %	818	1.7 %
Order Terminating Review	50	44	-12 %	47	6.8 %	49	4.3 %	21	. %
Ruling Terminating Review	329	274	-16.7 %	229	-16.4 %	163	-28.8 %	190	16.6 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	2	6	. %	15	. %	8	. %	12	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	381	324	-15 %	291	-10.2 %	220	-24.4 %	223	1.4 %
Total Mandated	1,227	1,155	-5.9 %	1,213	5 %	1,024	-15.6 %	1,041	1.7 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Civil Appeals - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

9									
	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,293	1,450	12.1 %	1,268	-12.6 %	1,295	2.1 %	1,165	-10 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (10)									
Opinions Published	204	204	0 %	166	-18.6 %	131	-21.1 %	123	-6.1 %
Opinions Unpublished	493	528	7.1 %	466	-11.7 %	496	6.4 %	439	-11.5 %
Opinions	697	732	5 %	632	-13.7 %	627	-0.8 %	562	-10.4 %
Dismissed	3	5	. %	3	. %	2	. %	4	. %
Transferred/Certified (2)	37	31	-16.2 %	34	9.7 %	25	. %	18	. %
Review Not Accepted	0	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	12	3	. %	5	. %	6	. %	1	. %
Orders	52	39	-25 %	43	10.3 %	34	-20.9 %	23	. %
Decided on Merits	93	55	-40.9 %	43	-21.8 %	32	-25.6 %	33	3.1 %
Dismissed	367	409	11.4 %	343	-16.1 %	362	5.5 %	359	-0.8 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	2	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Review Not Accepted	20	24	. %	16	. %	20	. %	18	. %
Other Terminations	17	12	. %	19	. %	10	. %	20	. %
Rulings	499	500	0.2 %	422	-15.6 %	424	0.5 %	430	1.4 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	551	539	-2.2 %	465	-13.7 %	458	-1.5 %	453	-1.1 %
Total Resolved	1,248	1,271	1.8 %	1,097	-13.7 %	1,085	-1.1 %	1,015	-6.5 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Civil Appeals - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	76	50	-34.2 %	30	. %	30	. %	44	. %
Brief Not Filed	534	605	13.3 %	654	8.1 %	706	8 %	575	-18.6 %
Appellant Brief Filed	5	1	. %	5	. %	2	. %	2	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	268	230	-14.2 %	185	-19.6 %	308	66.5 %	317	2.9 %
Remanded to Trial Court	2	56	. %	1	. %	5	. %	4	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	76	55	-27.6 %	97	76.4 %	64	-34 %	75	17.2 %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	5	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	140	103	-26.4 %	172	67 %	146	-15.1 %	202	38.4 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,106	1,100	-0.5 %	1,144	4 %	1,261	10.2 %	1,219	-3.3 %
Opinion/Order in Process	95	95	0 %	103	8.4 %	85	-17.5 %	99	16.5 %
Total Pending Decision	1,201	1,195	-0.5 %	1,247	4.4 %	1,346	7.9 %	1,318	-2.1 %
MANDATED (1) (8)									
Opinion Terminating Review	823	734	-10.8 %	798	8.7 %	716	-10.3 %	662	-7.5 %
Order Terminating Review	55	32	-41.8 %	46	43.8 %	53	15.2 %	21	. %
Ruling Terminating Review	582	570	-2.1 %	504	-11.6 %	432	-14.3 %	476	10.2 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	10	14	. %	55	. %	26	. %	22	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	647	616	-4.8 %	605	-1.8 %	511	-15.5 %	519	1.6 %
Total Mandated	1,470	1,350	-8.2 %	1,403	3.9 %	1,227	-12.5 %	1,181	-3.7 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Total Appeals - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

3									
	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	2,350	2,528	7.6 %	2,408	-4.7 %	2,315	-3.9 %	2,101	-9.2 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (10)									
Opinions Published	319	309	-3.1 %	270	-12.6 %	207	-23.3 %	226	9.2 %
Opinions Unpublished	1,178	1,229	4.3 %	1,160	-5.6 %	1,156	-0.3 %	1,112	-3.8 %
Opinions	1,497	1,538	2.7 %	1,430	-7 %	1,363	-4.7 %	1,338	-1.8 %
Dismissed	5	8	. %	3	. %	3	. %	6	. %
Transferred/Certified (2)	96	62	-35.4 %	66	6.5 %	59	-10.6 %	33	-44.1 %
Review Not Accepted	0	0	. %	2	. %	1	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	17	5	. %	10	. %	9	. %	1	. %
Orders	118	75	-36.4 %	81	8 %	72	-11.1 %	40	-44.4 %
Decided on Merits	210	126	-40 %	80	-36.5 %	51	-36.3 %	55	7.8 %
Dismissed	534	577	8.1 %	482	-16.5 %	487	1 %	487	0 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	2	0	. %	3	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Review Not Accepted	28	35	. %	22	. %	30	. %	26	. %
Other Terminations	28	25	. %	28	. %	22	. %	27	. %
Rulings	802	763	-4.9 %	615	-19.4 %	590	-4.1 %	595	0.8 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	920	838	-8.9 %	696	-16.9 %	662	-4.9 %	635	-4.1 %
Total Resolved	2,417	2,376	-1.7 %	2,126	-10.5 %	2,025	-4.8 %	1,973	-2.6 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Total Appeals - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	115	64	-44.3 %	49	-23.4 %	44	-10.2 %	72	63.6 %
Brief Not Filed	1,259	1,314	4.4 %	1,494	13.7 %	1,451	-2.9 %	1,263	-13 %
Appellant Brief Filed	9	7	. %	7	. %	4	. %	7	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	543	498	-8.3 %	407	-18.3 %	651	60 %	646	-0.8 %
Remanded to Trial Court	11	60	. %	5	. %	6	. %	6	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	146	87	-40.4 %	120	37.9 %	83	-30.8 %	104	25.3 %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	5	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	266	213	-19.9 %	313	46.9 %	300	-4.2 %	369	23 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	2,354	2,243	-4.7 %	2,395	6.8 %	2,539	6 %	2,468	-2.8 %
Opinion/Order in Process	233	204	-12.4 %	217	6.4 %	205	-5.5 %	205	0 %
Total Pending Decision	2,587	2,447	-5.4 %	2,612	6.7 %	2,744	5.1 %	2,673	-2.6 %
MANDATED (1) (8)									
Opinion Terminating Review	1,669	1,565	-6.2 %	1,720	9.9 %	1,520	-11.6 %	1,480	-2.6 %
Order Terminating Review	105	76	-27.6 %	93	22.4 %	102	9.7 %	42	-58.8 %
Ruling Terminating Review	911	844	-7.4 %	733	-13.2 %	595	-18.8 %	666	11.9 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	12	20	. %	70	. %	34	-51.4 %	34	0 %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	1,028	940	-8.6 %	896	-4.7 %	731	-18.4 %	742	1.5 %
Total Mandated	2,697	2,505	-7.1 %	2,616	4.4 %	2,251	-14 %	2,222	-1.3 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Personal Restraint Petitions - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS			3(1)		5(1)		5 (1)		5(4)
Total Filings	942	967	2.7 %	1,109	14.7 %	1,097	-1.1 %	1,075	-2 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (10)									
Opinions Published	2	7	. %	4	. %	11	. %	11	. %
Opinions Unpublished	15	30	. %	46	. %	38	-17.4 %	46	21.1 %
Opinions	17	37	. %	50	35.1 %	49	-2 %	57	16.3 %
Dismissed	612	709	15.8 %	626	-11.7 %	768	22.7 %	693	-9.8 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	13	15	. %	29	. %	45	. %	53	17.8 %
Review Not Accepted	2	1	. %	8	. %	5	. %	7	. %
Other Terminations	22	33	. %	90	172.7 %	65	-27.8 %	85	30.8 %
Orders	649	758	16.8 %	753	-0.7 %	883	17.3 %	838	-5.1 %
Decided on Merits	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Dismissed	69	57	-17.4 %	92	61.4 %	87	-5.4 %	112	28.7 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	1	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Review Not Accepted	0	0	. %	2	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	3	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Rulings	73	58	-20.5 %	94	62.1 %	88	-6.4 %	115	30.7 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	722	816	13 %	847	3.8 %	971	14.6 %	953	-1.9 %
Total Resolved	739	853	15.4 %	897	5.2 %	1,020	13.7 %	1,010	-1 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Personal Restraint Petitions - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	73	135	84.9 %	263	94.8 %	154	-41.4 %	188	22.1 %
Brief Not Filed	298	242	-18.8 %	287	18.6 %	326	13.6 %	282	-13.5 %
Appellant Brief Filed	15	11	. %	10	. %	9	. %	15	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	148	147	-0.7 %	122	-17 %	135	10.7 %	144	6.7 %
Remanded to Trial Court	2	1	. %	3	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	4	5	. %	2	. %	2	. %	4	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	2	3	. %	6	. %	6	. %	8	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	6	8	. %	15	. %	7	. %	15	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	548	552	0.7 %	708	28.3 %	639	-9.7 %	657	2.8 %
Opinion/Order in Process	5	11	. %	13	. %	19	. %	13	. %
Total Pending Decision	553	563	1.8 %	721	28.1 %	658	-8.7 %	670	1.8 %
MANDATED (1) (8)									
Opinion Terminating Review	43	43	0 %	64	48.8 %	75	17.2 %	75	0 %
Order Terminating Review	747	838	12.2 %	824	-1.7 %	985	19.5 %	921	-6.5 %
Ruling Terminating Review	71	72	1.4 %	91	26.4 %	95	4.4 %	120	26.3 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	19	8	. %	21	. %	16	. %	16	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	837	918	9.7 %	936	2 %	1,096	17.1 %	1,057	-3.6 %
Total Mandated	880	961	9.2 %	1,000	4.1 %	1,171	17.1 %	1,132	-3.3 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Notices of Discretionary Review - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	462	399	-13.6 %	481	20.6 %	431	-10.4 %	396	-8.1 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (10)									
Opinions Published	20	25	. %	15	. %	25	. %	23	. %
Opinions Unpublished	15	14	. %	30	. %	23	. %	27	. %
Opinions	35	39	11.4 %	45	15.4 %	48	6.7 %	50	4.2 %
Dismissed	5	3	. %	0	. %	0	. %	3	. %
Transferred/Certified (2)	3	2	. %	0	. %	2	. %	0	. %
Review Not Accepted	30	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Other Terminations	2	2	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Orders	40	7	. %		. %	3	. %	5	. %
Decided on Merits	4	10	. %	3	. %	3	. %	5	. %
Dismissed	139	115	-17.3 %	95	-17.4 %	94	-1.1 %	108	14.9 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Review Not Accepted	196	207	5.6 %	212	2.4 %	210	-0.9 %	206	-1.9 %
Other Terminations	3	4	. %	12	. %	8	. %	5	. %
Rulings	342	336	-1.8 %	322	-4.2 %	315	-2.2 %	325	3.2 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	382	343	-10.2 %	322	-6.1 %	318	-1.2 %	330	3.8 %
Total Resolved	417	382	-8.4 %	367	-3.9 %	366	-0.3 %	380	3.8 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity, All Divisions, Notices of Discretionary Review - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg (9)	2016	% Chg (9)	2017	% Chg (9)	2018	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	23	13	. %	22	. %	16	. %	16	. %
Brief Not Filed	123	79	-35.8 %	118	49.4 %	107	-9.3 %	83	-22.4 %
Appellant Brief Filed	0	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	19	15	. %	6	. %	20	. %	29	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	36	43	19.4 %	56	30.2 %	62	10.7 %	70	12.9 %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	9	9	. %	12	. %	11	. %	9	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	210	160	-23.8 %	214	33.8 %	216	0.9 %	207	-4.2 %
Opinion/Order in Process	6	10	. %	9	. %	14	. %	7	. %
Total Pending Decision	216	170	-21.3 %	223	31.2 %	230	3.1 %	214	-7 %
MANDATED (1) (8)									
Opinion Terminating Review	44	40	-9.1 %	56	40 %	48	-14.3 %	60	25 %
Order Terminating Review	39	19	. %	1	. %	5	. %	5	. %
Ruling Terminating Review	340	371	9.1 %	336	-9.4 %	359	6.8 %	345	-3.9 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	7	17	. %	29	. %	20	. %	12	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	386	407	5.4 %	366	-10.1 %	384	4.9 %	362	-5.7 %
Total Mandated	430	447	4 %	422	-5.6 %	432	2.4 %	422	-2.3 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Days Between Events for Criminal Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion --75th Percentile (1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
Filing - Comp. of Record	173	174	0.6 %	171	-1.7 %	161	-5.8 %	148	-8.1 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	72	76	5.6 %	71	-6.6 %	66	-7 %	62	-6.1 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	96	98	2.1 %	95	-3.1 %	90	-5.3 %	87	-3.3 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	99	102	3 %	112	9.8 %	119	6.3 %	121	1.7 %
App. Brief - Ready	111	105	-5.4 %	111	5.7 %	116	4.5 %	116	0 %
Ready - Opinion	222	216	-2.7 %	210	-2.8 %	230	9.5 %	278	20.9 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION I (2)	578	591	2.2 %	546	-7.6 %	594	8.8 %	608	2.4 %
DIVISION II									
Filing - Comp. of Record	146	145	-0.7 %	141	-2.8 %	147	4.3 %	148	0.7 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	61	60	-1.6 %	63	5 %	66	4.8 %	66	0 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	85	83	-2.4 %	77	-7.2 %	78	1.3 %	81	3.8 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	139	144	3.6 %	128	-11.1 %	124	-3.1 %	128	3.2 %
App. Brief - Ready	105	104	-1 %	97	-6.7 %	107	10.3 %	108	0.9 %
Ready - Opinion	369	329	-10.8 %	305	-7.3 %	267	-12.5 %	314	17.6 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION II (2)	714	652	-8.7 %	613	-6 %	593	-3.3 %	631	6.4 %
DIVISION III									
Filing - Comp. of Record	157	168	7 %	189	12.5 %	184	-2.6 %	164	-10.9 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	60	63	5 %	64	1.6 %	62	-3.1 %	60	-3.2 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	100	110	10 %	118	7.3 %	112	-5.1 %	106	-5.4 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	125	132	5.6 %	120	-9.1 %	122	1.7 %	112	-8.2 %

(1) Days to reach the 75th percentile for the full period (filing to opinion) do not necessarily equal the sum of the days for the event categories within that period.

(2) Time-in-process figures for 2002 have been updated to reflect a full twelve months' data for all divisions with the completion of statewide conversion to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II). Division II's measurements in the 2002 publication were based on the period 1/01/2002 through 11/17/2002 from the old ACORDS system, prior to their 11/18/2002 implementation of ACORDS-II. This update achieved comparability with the other divisions, which implemented in early 2003.

### Days Between Events for Criminal Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion --75th Percentile (1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
App. Brief - Ready	126	115	-8.7 %	106	-7.8 %	112	5.7 %	107	-4.5 %
Ready - Opinion	357	309	-13.4 %	252	-18.4 %	243	-3.6 %	259	6.6 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION III (2)	722	675	-6.5 %	623	-7.7 %	664	6.6 %	645	-2.9 %
COURT OF APPEALS, TOTAL									
Filing - Comp. of Record	158	163	3.2 %	166	1.8 %	161	-3 %	152	-5.6 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	67	68	1.5 %	67	-1.5 %	65	-3 %	63	-3.1 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	93	97	4.3 %	97	0 %	94	-3.1 %	89	-5.3 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	116	119	2.6 %	118	-0.8 %	120	1.7 %	121	0.8 %
App. Brief - Ready	111	108	-2.7 %	105	-2.8 %	113	7.6 %	112	-0.9 %
Ready - Opinion	330	297	-10 %	257	-13.5 %	249	-3.1 %	281	12.9 %
Filing - Opinion, COURT OF APPEALS,	668	637	-4.6 %	599	-6 %	620	3.5 %	624	0.6 %

(1) Days to reach the 75th percentile for the full period (filing to opinion) do not necessarily equal the sum of the days for the event categories within that period.

(2) Time-in-process figures for 2002 have been updated to reflect a full twelve months' data for all divisions with the completion of statewide conversion to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II). Division II's measurements in the 2002 publication were based on the period 1/01/2002 through 11/17/2002 from the old ACORDS system, prior to their 11/18/2002 implementation of ACORDS-II. This update achieved comparability with the other divisions, which implemented in early 2003.

### Days Between Events for Civil Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion --75th Percentile(1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
Filing - Comp. of Record	112	117	4.5 %	115	-1.7 %	115	0 %	109	-5.2 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	49	54	10.2 %	52	-3.7 %	49	-5.8 %	46	-6.1 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	68	73	7.4 %	72	-1.4 %	69	-4.2 %	64	-7.2 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	90	88	-2.2 %	91	3.4 %	96	5.5 %	101	5.2 %
App. Brief - Ready	82	78	-4.9 %	81	3.8 %	78	-3.7 %	78	0 %
Ready - Opinion	285	278	-2.5 %	234	-15.8 %	277	18.4 %	320	15.5 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION I (2)	531	522	-1.7 %	502	-3.8 %	528	5.2 %	571	8.1 %
DIVISION II									
Filing - Comp. of Record	109	106	-2.8 %	104	-1.9 %	110	5.8 %	115	4.5 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	54	52	-3.7 %	50	-3.8 %	55	10 %	58	5.5 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	62	63	1.6 %	63	0 %	64	1.6 %	63	-1.6 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	130	121	-6.9 %	127	5 %	125	-1.6 %	120	-4 %
App. Brief - Ready	72	70	-2.8 %	73	4.3 %	70	-4.1 %	73	4.3 %
Ready - Opinion	411	352	-14.4 %	336	-4.5 %	296	-11.9 %	337	13.9 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION II (2)	658	595	-9.6 %	562	-5.5 %	549	-2.3 %	601	9.5 %
DIVISION III									
Filing - Comp. of Record	126	133	5.6 %	155	16.5 %	167	7.7 %	166	-0.6 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	54	57	5.6 %	57	0 %	54	-5.3 %	54	0 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	84	92	9.5 %	94	2.2 %	91	-3.2 %	87	-4.4 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	93	96	3.2 %	109	13.5 %	113	3.7 %	97	-14.2 %

(1) Days to reach the 75th percentile for the full period (filing to opinion) do not necessarily equal the sum of the days for the event categories within that period.

(2) Time-in-process figures for 2002 have been updated to reflect a full twelve months' data for all divisions with the completion of statewide conversion to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II). Division II's measurements in the 2002 publication were based on the period 1/01/2002 through 11/17/2002 from the old ACORDS system, prior to their 11/18/2002 implementation of ACORDS-II. This update achieved comparability with the otherdivisions, which implemented in early 2003.

(3) Among Division III's civil appeals resolved in the fourth quarter were over 120 Spokane County guardianship proceedings filed in June 2015, which account for 95% of the cases in this category. The consolidated proceedings were atypically very protracted given the volume. In each appeal, three types of orders were challenged. See In the Matter of the Guardianship of Judith D. Holcomb and Other Similar Cases Consolidated on Appeal, No 33356-6-III, slip. op., <a

href="http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ"

### Days Between Events for Civil Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion --75th Percentile(1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
App. Brief - Ready	86	81	-5.8 %	83	2.5 %	96	15.7 %	81	-15.6 %
Ready - Opinion (3)	353	326	-7.6 %	279	-14.4 %	243	-12.9 %	388	59.7 %
Filing - Opinion Div III (2) (3)	596	588	-1.3 %	531	-9.7 %	519	-2.3 %	1,116	115 %
COURT OF APPEALS, TOTAL									
Filing - Comp. of Record	113	119	5.3 %	122	2.5 %	123	0.8 %	119	-3.3 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	52	55	5.8 %	52	-5.5 %	52	0 %	50	-3.8 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	68	73	7.4 %	72	-1.4 %	70	-2.8 %	67	-4.3 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	99	97	-2 %	104	7.2 %	108	3.8 %	105	-2.8 %
App. Brief - Ready	78	77	-1.3 %	78	1.3 %	79	1.3 %	77	-2.5 %
Ready - Opinion (3)	353	311	-11.9 %	275	-11.6 %	277	0.7 %	334	20.6 %
Filing - Opinion Div III (2) (3)	587	555	-5.5 %	531	-4.3 %	530	-0.2 %	650	22.6 %

(1) Days to reach the 75th percentile for the full period (filing to opinion) do not necessarily equal the sum of the days for the event categories within that period.

(2) Time-in-process figures for 2002 have been updated to reflect a full twelve months' data for all divisions with the completion of statewide conversion to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II). Division II's measurements in the 2002 publication were based on the period 1/01/2002 through 11/17/2002 from the old ACORDS system, prior to their 11/18/2002 implementation of ACORDS-II. This update achieved comparability with the otherdivisions, which implemented in early 2003.

(3) Among Division III's civil appeals resolved in the fourth quarter were over 120 Spokane County guardianship proceedings filed in June 2015, which account for 95% of the cases in this category. The consolidated proceedings were atypically very protracted given the volume. In each appeal, three types of orders were challenged. See In the Matter of the Guardianship of Judith D. Holcomb and Other Similar Cases Consolidated on Appeal, No 33356-6-III, slip. op., <a

href="http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ"

### Days Between Events for Total Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion --75th Percentile (1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 1 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
Filing - Comp. of Record	147	150	2 %	146	-2.7 %	140	-4.1 %	131	-6.4 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	67	69	3 %	64	-7.2 %	62	-3.1 %	57	-8.1 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	85	88	3.5 %	84	-4.5 %	81	-3.6 %	78	-3.7 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	95	95	0 %	101	6.3 %	109	7.9 %	109	0 %
App. Brief - Ready	100	97	-3 %	100	3.1 %	102	2 %	101	-1 %
Ready - Opinion	257	263	2.3 %	224	-14.8 %	252	12.5 %	297	17.9 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION I (2)	559	549	-1.8 %	530	-3.5 %	557	5.1 %	589	5.7 %
DIVISION II									
Filing - Comp. of Record	134	133	-0.7 %	132	-0.8 %	138	4.5 %	139	0.7 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	59	58	-1.7 %	60	3.4 %	63	5 %	64	1.6 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	78	76	-2.6 %	73	-3.9 %	73	0 %	75	2.7 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	134	132	-1.5 %	127	-3.8 %	125	-1.6 %	126	0.8 %
App. Brief - Ready	92	93	1.1 %	91	-2.2 %	95	4.4 %	95	0 %
Ready - Opinion	396	340	-14.1 %	315	-7.4 %	285	-9.5 %	322	13 %
Filing - Opinion, DIVISION II (2)	686	631	-8 %	600	-4.9 %	566	-5.7 %	616	8.8 %
DIVISION III									
Filing - Comp. of Record	146	155	6.2 %	181	16.8 %	181	0 %	165	-8.8 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	58	63	8.6 %	63	0 %	60	-4.8 %	59	-1.7 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	97	104	7.2 %	110	5.8 %	105	-4.5 %	98	-6.7 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	110	113	2.7 %	116	2.7 %	119	2.6 %	107	-10.1 %

(1) Days to reach the 75th percentile for the full period (filing to opinion) do not necessarily equal the sum of the days for the event categories within that period.

(2) Time-in-process figures for 2002 have been updated to reflect a full twelve months' data for all divisions with the completion of statewide conversion to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II). Division II's measurements in the 2002 publication were based on the period 1/01/2002 through 11/17/2002 from the old ACORDS system, prior to their 11/18/2002 implementation of ACORDS-II. This update achieved comparability with the other divisions, which implemented in early 2003.

(3) Among Division III's civil appeals resolved in the fourth quarter were over 120 Spokane County guardianship proceedings filed in June 2015, which account for 95% of the cases in this category. The consolidated proceedings were atypically very protracted given the volume. In each appeal, three types of orders were challenged. See In the Matter of the Guardianship of Judith D. Holcomb and Other Similar Cases Consolidated on Appeal, No 33356-6-III, slip. op., <a

href="http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ"

### Days Between Events for Total Appeals Pending or Disposed of by Opinion --75th Percentile (1) - 2014 to 2018

Page 2 of 2

	2014	2015	% Chg	2016	% Chg	2017	% Chg	2018	% Chg
App. Brief - Ready	108	104	-3.7 %	98	-5.8 %	107	9.2 %	95	-11.2 %
Ready - Opinion (3)	356	312	-12.4 %	260	-16.7 %	243	-6.5 %	301	23.9 %
Filing - Opinion Div III (2) (3)	671	657	-2.1 %	589	-10.4 %	615	4.4 %	1,115	81.3 %
COURT OF APPEALS, TOTAL									
Filing - Comp. of Record	144	146	1.4 %	148	1.4 %	147	-0.7 %	142	-3.4 %
Filing - Stmt. Of Arrgmts.	63	64	1.6 %	63	-1.6 %	62	-1.6 %	60	-3.2 %
Stmt. Of Arrgmts Comp. of Record	86	89	3.5 %	88	-1.1 %	85	-3.4 %	83	-2.4 %
Comp. of Record - App. Brief	108	109	0.9 %	112	2.8 %	116	3.6 %	115	-0.9 %
App. Brief - Ready	100	96	-4 %	96	0 %	102	6.3 %	98	-3.9 %
Ready - Opinion (3)	342	306	-10.5 %	265	-13.4 %	262	-1.1 %	307	17.2 %
Filing - Opinion Div III (2) (3)	630	598	-5.1 %	566	-5.4 %	578	2.1 %	633	9.5 %

(1) Days to reach the 75th percentile for the full period (filing to opinion) do not necessarily equal the sum of the days for the event categories within that period.
(2) Time-in-process figures for 2002 have been updated to reflect a full twelve months' data for all divisions with the completion of statewide conversion to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II). Division II's measurements in the 2002 publication were based on the period 1/01/2002 through 11/17/2002 from the old ACORDS system, prior to their 11/18/2002

implementation of ACORDS-II. This update achieved comparability with the other divisions, which implemented in early 2003.

(3) Among Division III's civil appeals resolved in the fourth quarter were over 120 Spokane County guardianship proceedings filed in June 2015, which account for 95% of the cases in this category. The consolidated proceedings were atypically very protracted given the volume. In each appeal, three types of orders were challenged. See In the Matter of the Guardianship of Judith D. Holcomb and Other Similar Cases Consolidated on Appeal, No 33356-6-III, slip. op., <a

href="http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinions.showOpinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/index.cfm?fa=opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ">http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinion&filename=333566MAJ"