Supreme Court

2011 Annual Report

Annual Caseload Report

## Table of Contents

Supreme Court Glossary	
Court Activity by Source of Review	
Court Activity, Cases from Trial Courts	
Court Activity, Cases from Court of Appeals	
Court Activity, Original Actions and Federal Court	
Court Activity, Cases from WSBA and Commission on Judicial Conduct (1)	
History of Filings (1)	
History of Resolutions (1)(5)	
History of Pending Cases (1)	
Court Activity Trends, All Reviews (1)	
Court Activity Trends, Cases from Trial Courts	
Court Activity Trends, Cases from Court of Appeals	2:
Court Activity Trends, Original Actions	
Court Activity Trends Cases from WSRA and Commission on Judicial Conduct (1)	2'

# **Supreme Court Glossary**

This glossary is included to assist in understanding the statistical tables.

## **Filings**

**Notice Of Appeal -** A review of a trial court decision in these select circumstances: review is authorized statutorily; an issue of constitutionality is involved; conflicting appellate decisions are at issue; questions of public importance are raised; or, the death penalty was decreed. Notices of appeal directly following a judgment in a superior court as a matter of right must be filed within 30 days. Notices of appeal are categorized into criminal and civil appeals.

Cases opened on notice of appeal can reach the Supreme Court by these means: direct appeal from trial court; certification by the Court of Appeals; granting of a motion to transfer an appeal from the Court of Appeals; or an administrative transfer initiated by the Supreme Court to relieve pressure on the Court of Appeals or because a similar case is already being heard by the Supreme Court.

#### **Petitions For Review**

- A review of any Court of Appeals' decision terminating review. The Supreme Court only accepts reviews of decisions that conflict with other Supreme Court or Court of Appeals' decisions, that involve a significant question of law under the federal or state constitution, or that concern "an issue of substantial public interest..." (Rules of Appellate Procedure (RAP) 13.4).

  Other Reviews
- The five following categories are grouped under the title "Other Reviews": personal restraint petitions, discretionary reviews, original actions against state officers, petitions for expenditures of public funds, and reviews certified from federal court.

### **Personal Restraint Petition**

- A petition to seek relief from limitations on a person's freedom due to current or imminent confinement. The Supreme Court normally exercises its jurisdiction over such petitions by transferring them to the Court of Appeals (RAP 16.5).

## **Discretionary Review**

- A review of interlocutory orders of the superior court. Reviews made prior to a final determination of the case, in which a party argues that the trial court has committed an error pursuant to RAP 2.3(b). Discretionary reviews are in the purview of the Supreme Court under most of the same conditions that apply for notices of appeal. The Supreme Court may be requested by motion for discretionary review, to review interlocutory Court of Appeals' decisions, and decisions on personal restraint petitions.

Actions Against State Officers -

Both the Supreme Court and the superior courts have concurrent original jurisdiction over a petition against a state officer in the nature of quo warranto, prohibition, and mandamus (RAP 16.2), generally preventing a continued exercise of authority unlawfully asserted. **Petitions For Expenditures Of Public Funds** 

- Petitions for expenditure from the indigent defense fund (RAP 15.2).

#### **Certified From Federal Court**

- Reviews certified to determine a question of state law which does not involve a question determined by reference to the United States Constitution (RAP 16.16).

## **Dispositions**

Cases are considered officially disposed in the Supreme Court as soon as a decision terminating review is filed with the Supreme Court Clerk

### **Opinions**

- Those cases which were reviewed by the Court and had an opinion written.

#### **Motion On The Merits**

- Termination of a review due to the granting of a motion on the merits (RAP 18.14).

#### **Dismissed**

- An order, issued by the Court, dismissing the review prior to a determination of the merits. The commissioner or clerk may dismiss a review for failure to comply with procedures. The Court, on motion of a party, may dismiss a review if the review is frivolous, moot, or solely for the purpose of delay. Also, the Court may dismiss reviews for want of prosecution or for failure to comply with procedures (RAP 18.9).

### **Transferred To Court Of Appeals**

- To promote the orderly administration of justice, the Supreme Court may, on its own initiative or on motion of a party, transfer a case to the Court of Appeals.

## **Review Not Accepted**

- Reviews disposed through this manner are not accepted because they fail to meet the requirements of review stated in Rule 2 and Rule 13 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure. These requirements are summarized in the above glossary definitions for each review type.

#### Terminated

- An order issued by the Court terminating the review before an opinion is rendered. An order to terminate a review would result if, for example, a petitioner decided to withdraw the review.

## **Pending**

Case Stayed - Those cases temporarily arrested or removed from judicial processing by order of the Court.

## **Ready For Setting**

- Those cases within the stages of perfection which have at minimum had the briefs filed but have yet to be set on the Court calendar for review.

## **Not Ready For Setting**

- Those cases within the stages of perfection which are ready for consideration but have yet to file further pleadings.

#### **Set For Motion On The Merits**

- Those cases which have been set on the motion on the merits calendar (RAP 18.14).

### **Set For Oral Argument**

- Those cases which have progressed to the point at which all briefs were filed and served and have been set for review.

## **Opinion/Order In Process**

- Those cases which have been reviewed by the Court but have yet to receive a decision.

## **Mandated**

A decision is mandated by the Clerk of the Supreme Court with a written notification of the Court's decision to the originating court and the parties involved.

## Court Activity by Source of Review - 2011 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

	Trial Courts	Court of Appeals	Original Actions	WSBA (4)/ CJC	Certified Issues	Total
FILINGS	oourts	Аррсаіз	Actions	000	133463	lotai
Total Filings	167	1,012	197	117	7	1,500
RESOLUTIONS (1) (5)						
Total Opinions	13	89	5	4	2	113
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed	0	4	0	0	0	4
Transferred/Certified	16	0	1	0	0	17
Review Not Accepted	0	6	1	0	0	7
Other Terminations	79	548	24	0	0	651
Total Orders	95	558	26	4	0	679
Dismissed	17	25	6	0	0	48
Transferred/Certified	7	0	8	0	0	15
Review Not Accepted	0	258	128	0	0	386
Other Terminations	11	123	13	0	1	148
Total Rulings	35	406	155	0	1	597
Other Decisions Term. Rvw (2)	0	0	0	113	0	113
Total Resolved	143	1,053	186	117	3	1,502
PENDING AT YEAR END (3)						
Cases Stayed	7	85	30	N/A	0	122

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

- (3) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.
- (4) Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending Page 6 of 28

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 4). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

## Court Activity by Source of Review - 2011 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

	Trial Courts	Court of Appeals	Original Actions	WSBA (4)/ CJC	Certified Issues	Total
Cases Ready	6	22	1	N/A	1	30
Cases Not Ready	42	289	64	N/A	0	395
Set for Motion Calendar	0	11	2	N/A	0	13
Set for Oral Argument	3	26	1	N/A	2	32
Total Awaiting Hearing	58	433	98	N/A	3	592
Opinion/Order in Process	7	59	4	N/A	4	74
Total Pending Decision	65	492	102	N/A	7	666
MANDATED						
Opinion Terminating Review	15	99	6	5	1	126
Order Terminating Review	95	557	26	1	0	679
Ruling Terminating Review	35	423	128	0	1	587
Other Decision Terminating Review	5	23	4	113	0	145
Total Mandated	150	1,102	164	119	2	1,537

(4) Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending Page 7 of 28

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 4). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

#### Court Activity, Cases from Trial Courts - 2011 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

----- Appeals ----- --- Discretionary Review -----

	Criminal	Civil	Total	Criminal	Civil	Total	Death Penalty Review	Exp Public	Total
FILINGS	Criminai	CIVII	lotai	Criminai	CIVII	Total	Review	Funds (1)	Total
Total Filings	6	48	54	14	19	33	0	80	167
RESOLUTIONS (2) (4)									
Total Opinions	2	9	11	1	1	2	0	0	13
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred/Certified	1	15	16	0	0	0	0	0	16
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	77	79
Total Orders	1	16	17	1	0	1	0	77	95
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed	0	9	9	3	5	8	0	0	17
Transferred/Certified	2	4	6	0	1	1	0	0	7
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	4	7	11	0	0	11
Total Rulings	2	13	15	7	13	20	0	0	35
Other Decisions Term. Rvw	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Resolved	5	38	43	9	14	23	0	77	143
PENDING AT YEAR END (3)									
Cases Stayed	0	1	1	0	6	6	0	0	7

<sup>(1)</sup> Expenditure of Public Funds.

(4) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

#### Court Activity, Cases from Trial Courts - 2011 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

----- Appeals ----- --- Discretionary Review ----

							Death Penalty	Exp Public	
	Criminal	Civil	Total	Criminal	Civil	Total	Review	Funds (1)	Total
Cases Ready	1	2	3	1	2	3	0	0	6
Cases Not Ready	5	22	27	3	0	3	1	11	42
Set for Motion on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Set for Motion Calendar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Set for Oral Argument	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	3
Total Awaiting Hearing	6	27	33	4	9	13	1	11	58
Opinion/Order in Process	0	5	5	1	0	1	1	0	7
Total Pending Decision	6	32	38	5	9	14	2	11	65
MANDATED									
Opinion Terminating Review	3	9	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
Order Terminating Review	1	16	17	1	0	1	0	77	95
Ruling Terminating Review	2	11	13	5	17	22	0	0	35
Other Decision Terminating Review	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
Total Mandated	6	38	44	6	17	23	0	80	147

(4) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the

<sup>(1)</sup> Expenditure of Public Funds.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

## Court Activity, Cases from Court of Appeals - 2011 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

	Petition for Review			Motions fo	r Discretio	nary Review					
	Criminal	Civil	Total	Criminal	Civil	Total	Discrectionary Review - PRP	Certifications	Transfers (Party Motions)	Total	
FILINGS											
Total Filings	377	255	632	36	83	119	261	0	0	1,012	
RESOLUTIONS (1)(3)											
Total Opinions	37	37	74	1	1	2	7	4	2	89	
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dismissed	1	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	
Transferred/Certified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	6	
Other	325	209	534	5	7	12	1	0	1	548	
Total Orders	326	211	537	5	9	14	6	0	1	558	
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dismissed	11	6	17	1	4	5	3	0	0	25	
Transferred/Certified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	1	0	1	257	0	0	258	
Other	2	1	3	31	76	107	11	0	2	123	
Total Rulings	13	7	20	33	80	113	271	0	2	406	
Total Resolved	376	255	631	39	90	129	284	4	5	1,053	
PENDING AT YEAR END (2)											
Cases Stayed	45	5	50	0	1	1	34	0	0	85	

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(3)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from 0 of 28

## Court Activity, Cases from Court of Appeals - 2011 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

	Petition	Petition for Review		Motions fo	r Discretion	nary Review				
	Criminal	Civil	Total	Criminal	Civil	Total	Discrectionary Review - PRP	Certifications	Transfers (Party Motions)	Total
Cases Ready	9	9	18	1	2	3	0	1	0	22
Cases Not Ready	123	85	208	4	15	19	61	1	0	289
Set for Motion on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Set for Motion Calendar	8	2	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
Set for Oral Argument	12	10	22	0	1	1	2	0	1	26
Total Awaiting Hearing	197	111	308	5	19	24	98	2	1	433
Opinion/Order in Process	23	26	49	0	0	0	5	1	4	59
Total Pending Decision	220	137	357	5	19	24	103	3	5	492
MANDATED										
Opinion Terminating Review	40	40	80	1	1	2	5	4	3	94
Order Terminating Review	326	210	536	5	9	14	6	0	1	557
Ruling Terminating Review	10	6	16	32	78	110	295	0	2	423
Other Decision Terminating Review	3	0	3	0	0	0	20	0	0	23
Total Mandated	379	256	635	38	88	126	326	4	6	1,097

(3) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from 1 of 28

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

## Court Activity, Original Actions and Federal Court - 2011 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

	Actions Against	Personal Restraints	Death Penalty PRP	Miscellaneous Reviews	Total	Certified from Federal Court
FILINGS	Agumot	Restraints	1 10	Neviews	Total	r cacrar ooart
Total Filings	5	162	1	29	197	7
RESOLUTIONS (1) (3)						
Total Opinions	4	1	0	0	5	2
Transferred/Certified	0	1	0	0	1	0
Dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0
Review Not Accepted	0	1	0	0	1	0
Other Terminations	2	0	0	22	24	0
Total Orders	2	2	0	22	26	0
Dismissed	0	5	0	1	6	0
Transferred/Certified	1	7	0	0	8	0
Review Not Accepted	0	128	0	0	128	0
Other Terminations	1	12	0	0	13	1
Total Rulings	2	152	0	1	155	1
Total Resolved	8	155	0	23	186	3
PENDING AT YEAR END (2)						
Cases Stayed	0	29	0	1	30	0
Cases Ready	0	1	0	0	1	1
Cases Not Ready	2	53	2	7	64	0

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(3)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

## Court Activity, Original Actions and Federal Court - 2011 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

	Actions Against	Personal Restraints	Death Penalty PRP	Miscellaneous Reviews	Total	Certified from Federal Court
Set for Motion Calendar	0	0	0	2	2	0
Set for Oral Argument	0	1	0	0	1	2
Total Awaiting Hearing	2	84	2	10	98	3
Opinion/Order in Process	0	2	2	0	4	4
Total Pending Decision	2	86	4	10	102	7
MANDATED						
Opinion Terminating Review	4	1	1	0	6	1
Order Terminating Review	2	2	0	22	26	0
Ruling Terminating Review	2	125	0	1	128	1
Other Decision Terminating Review	0	4	0	0	4	0
Total Mandated	8	132	1	23	164	2

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(3)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

## Court Activity, Cases from WSBA and Commission on Judicial Conduct (1) - 2011 Annual Report

Page 1 of 2

----- Washington State Bar Association ----- Commission on Judical Conduct

	Admission Appeals	Admission Petition	Disciplinary Appeals	Disciplinary Discretional Review	Disciplinary Show Cause	Other (3)	Total WSBA	Disciplinary Disability Appeals	Disciplinary Disability Discretionary Review	Total CJC	Total
FILINGS	Appealo	, outlon	Аррошо	Novion	Onow Gauss	Othio: (0)	WODA	пррошо	Noviou	000	Total
Total Filings	1	8	6	0	20	82	117	0	0	0	117
RESOLUTIONS (4)											
Total Opinions	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred/Certified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	8	7	1	20	76	113	0	0	0	113
Total Orders	1	8	7	1	20	76	113	0	0	0	113
Decided on Merits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred/Certified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Review Not Accepted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Rulings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Decisions Term. Rvw (2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Resolutions	1	8	11	1	20	76	117	0	0	0	117
PENDING AT YEAR END											
Cases Stayed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II) and changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, the following revisions and observations were made: a) resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005. b) Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 1(b)). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes Notice of Discipline, Stipulation and Reciprocal Discipline, Disability Inactive Status Appeal, Transfer to Disability Inactive Status, Financial and Miscellaneous Non-Discipline.

<sup>(4)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

## Court Activity, Cases from WSBA and Commission on Judicial Conduct (1) - 2011 Annual Report

Page 2 of 2

Washington State Bar Association	<b>Commission on Judical Conduct</b>
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Cases Ready	Admission Appeals N/A	Admission Petition N/A	Disciplinary Appeals N/A	Disciplinary Discretional Review N/A	Disciplinary Show Cause N/A	<b>Other (3)</b> N/A	Total WSBA N/A	Disciplinary Disability Appeals N/A	Disciplinary Disability Discretionary Review N/A	Total CJC N/A	<b>Total</b> N/A
Cases Not Ready	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Set for Motion on Merits	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Set for Motion Calendar	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Set for Oral Argument	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Awaiting Hearing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Opinion/Order in Process	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Pending Decision	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MANDATED											
Opinion Terminating Review	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
Order Terminating Review	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Rulings Terminating Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Decision Terminating Revie	w 1	8	7	1	20	76	113	0	0	0	113
Total Mandated	1	8	13	1	20	76	119	0	0	0	119

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II) and changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, the following revisions and observations were made: a) resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005. b) Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 1(b)). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes Notice of Discipline, Stipulation and Reciprocal Discipline, Disability Inactive Status Appeal, Transfer to Disability Inactive Status, Financial and Miscellaneous Non-Discipline.

<sup>(4)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington History of Filings (1) - 2007 to 2011

Page	1	of	1
------	---	----	---

	2007	2008	% Chg (3)	2009	% Chg (3)	2010	% Chg (3)	2011	% Chg (3)
TRIAL COURTS	2,007	2,008		2,009		2,010		2,011	
Criminal Appeals	4	6	. %	4	. %	4	. %	6	. %
Civil Appeals	39	42	7.7 %	40	-4.8 %	43	7.5 %	48	11.6 %
Total Appeals	43	48	11.6 %	44	-8.3 %	47	6.8 %	54	14.9 %
Direct Discretionary Review	11	23	. %	25	. %	25	. %	33	. %
Death Penalty Review	1	0	. %	1	. %	2	. %	0	. %
Expenditure of Public Funds	43	49	14 %	55	12.2 %	53	-3.6 %	80	50.9 %
TOTALTRIAL COURTS	98	120	22.4 %	125	4.2 %	127	1.6 %	167	31.5 %
COURT OF APPEALS									
Criminal	454	449	-1.1 %	458	2 %	401	-12.4 %	377	-6 %
Civil	292	290	-0.7 %	280	-3.4 %	246	-12.1 %	255	3.7 %
Total Petition for Review	746	739	-0.9 %	738	-0.1 %	647	-12.3 %	632	-2.3 %
Motion for Discr. Review	111	113	1.8 %	117	3.5 %	131	12 %	119	-9.2 %
Motion for Discr. Rvw of PRP (2)	248	318	28.2 %	330	3.8 %	320	-3 %	261	-18.4 %
Certifications/Transfers	25	10	. %	15	. %	9	. %	0	. %
TOTALCOURT OF APPEALS	1,130	1,180	4.4 %	1,200	1.7 %	1,107	-7.8 %	1,012	-8.6 %
ORIGINAL ACTIONS AND CERTIFIED									
TOTALORIG. ACTIONS & FED COURT	131	194	48.1 %	154	-20.6 %	181	17.5 %	204	12.7 %
WSBA/CJC									
TOTALWSBA/CJC	109	112	2.8 %	91	-18.8 %	138	51.6 %	117	-15.2 %
TOTAL FILED	1,468	1,606	9.4 %	1,570	-2.2 %	1,553	-1.1 %	1,500	-3.4 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Implementation of the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II) in the Supreme Court on 6/09/2003 has enabled improved reporting categories and inclusion of review types that were not published in previous annual reports (death penalty reviews, attorney admission and discipline matters, and miscellaneous motions for review). Figures for all years have been recomputed accordingly for presentation in the 2003 and future publications.

<sup>(2)</sup> Improved coding in late 2000 now allows distinct reporting of 1) Motions for Discretionary Review and 2) Motions for Discretionary Review of PRP. Prior to 2001, both are included in Motions for Discretionary Review.

<sup>(3)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington History of Resolutions (1)(5) - 2007 to 2011

Page	1	of	1

	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
TRIAL COURTS	2,007	2,008		2,009	3 ( )	2,010	<b>3</b> ( )	2,011	
Criminal Appeals	9	5	. %	3	. %	2	. %	5	. %
Civil Appeals	49	34	-30.6 %	44	29.4 %	35	-20.5 %	38	8.6 %
Total Appeals	58	39	-32.8 %	47	20.5 %	37	-21.3 %	43	16.2 %
Direct Discretionary Review	12	17	. %	22	. %	27	. %	23	. %
Death Penalty Review	1	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %	0	. %
Expenditure of Public Funds	40	41	2.5 %	58	41.5 %	42	-27.6 %	77	83.3 %
TOTALTRIAL COURTS	111	97	-12.6 %	128	32 %	107	-16.4 %	143	33.6 %
COURT OF APPEALS									
Criminal	446	569	27.6 %	459	-19.3 %	461	0.4 %	376	-18.4 %
Civil	275	329	19.6 %	296	-10 %	293	-1 %	255	-13 %
Total Petition for Review	721	898	24.5 %	755	-15.9 %	754	-0.1 %	631	-16.3 %
Motion for Discr. Review	102	107	4.9 %	108	0.9 %	128	18.5 %	129	0.8 %
Motion for Discr. Rvw of PRP (2)	208	267	28.4 %	300	12.4 %	280	-6.7 %	285	1.8 %
Certifications/Transfers	23	22	. %	14	. %	16	. %	9	. %
TOTALCOURT OF APPEALS	1,054	1,294	22.8 %	1,177	-9 %	1,178	0.1 %	1,054	-10.5 %
ORIGINAL ACTIONS AND or> CERTIFIED									
TOTALORIG. ACTIONS & FED COURT	94	147	56.4 %	149	1.4 %	144	-3.4 %	189	31.3 %
WSBA/CJC									
TOTALWSBA/CJC (3)	123	113	-8.1 %	378	234.5 %	150	-60.3 %	117	-22 %
TOTAL RESOLVED	1,382	1,651	19.5 %	1,832	11 %	1,579	-13.8 %	1,503	-4.8 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Implementation of the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II) in the Supreme Court on 6/09/2003 has enabled improved reporting categories and inclusion of review types that were not published in previous annual reports (death penalty reviews, attorney admission and discipline matters, and miscellaneous motions for review). Figures for all years have been recomputed accordingly for presentation in the 2003 and future publications.

<sup>(2)</sup> Improved coding in late 2000 now allows distinct reporting of 1) Motions for Discretionary Review and 2) Motions for Discretionary Review of PRP. Prior to 2001, both are included in Motions for Discretionary Review.

<sup>(3)</sup> Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond. In addition, resolution information for years 2004 forward includes some old cases for which the disposed status has been retroactively determined and recorded.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

#### History of Pending Cases (1) - 2007 to 2011

Page 1	of '	1
--------	------	---

	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
TRIAL COURTS	2,007	2,008		2,009		2,010		2,011	
Criminal Appeals	7	7	. %	5	. %	6	. %	6	. %
Civil Appeals	28	36	. %	28	. %	35	. %	32	-8.6 %
Total Appeals	35	43	22.9 %	33	-23.3 %	41	24.2 %	38	-7.3 %
Direct Discretionary Review	6	10	. %	9	. %	6	. %	14	. %
Death Penalty Review	1	1	. %	1	. %	2	. %	2	. %
Expenditure of Public Funds	8	13	. %	3	. %	11	. %	11	. %
TOTALTRIAL COURTS	50	67	34 %	46	-31.3 %	60	30.4 %	65	8.3 %
COURT OF APPEALS									
Criminal	417	293	-29.7 %	288	-1.7 %	222	-22.9 %	220	-0.9 %
Civil	258	207	-19.8 %	187	-9.7 %	132	-29.4 %	137	3.8 %
Total Petition for Review	675	500	-25.9 %	475	-5 %	354	-25.5 %	357	0.8 %
Motion for Discr. Review	23	27	. %	30	. %	33	. %	24	. %
Motion for Discr. Rvw of PRP (2)	134	119	-11.2 %	133	11.8 %	154	15.8 %	103	-33.1 %
Certifications/Transfers	18	20	. %	15	. %	9	. %	8	. %
TOTALCOURT OF APPEALS	850	666	-21.6 %	653	-2 %	550	-15.8 %	492	-10.5 %
ORIGINAL ACTIONS AND or> CERTIFIED									
TOTALORIG. ACTIONS & FED COURT	84	95	13.1 %	78	-17.9 %	98	25.6 %	109	11.2 %
WSBA/CJC									
TOTALWSBA/CJC (3)	N/A	N/A	-0.1 %						
TOTAL PENDING	984	828	-15.9 %	777	-6.2 %	708	-8.9 %	666	-5.9 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Implementation of the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II) in the Supreme Court on 6/09/2003 has enabled improved reporting categories and inclusion of review types that were not published in previous annual reports (death penalty reviews, attorney admission and discipline matters, and miscellaneous motions for review). Figures for all years have been recomputed accordingly for presentation in the 2003 and future publications.

<sup>(2)</sup> Improved coding in late 2000 now allows distinct reporting of 1) Motions for Discretionary Review and 2) Motions for Discretionary Review of PRP. Prior to 2001, both are included in Motions for Discretionary Review.

<sup>(3)</sup> Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond. In addition, resolution information for years 2004 forward includes some old cases for which the disposed status has been retroactively determined and recorded.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity Trends, All Reviews (1) - 2007 to 2011

Page 1 of 2									
	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,468	1,606	9.4 %	1,570	-2.2 %	1,553	-1.1 %	1,500	-3.4 %
RESOLUTIONS (2), (6)									
Total Opinions	142	110	-22.5 %	121	10 %	139	14.9 %	114	-18 %
Dismissed	5	9	. %	4	. %	9	. %	4	. %
Transferred/Certified	29	20	. %	25	. %	14	. %	17	. %
Review Not Accepted	8	10	. %	11	. %	7	. %	7	. %
Other Terminations	662	859	29.8 %	733	-14.7 %	704	-4 %	651	-7.5 %
Orders	704	898	27.6 %	773	-13.9 %	734	-5 %	679	-7.5 %
Dismissed	36	51	41.7 %	54	5.9 %	42	-22.2 %	48	14.3 %
Transferred/Certified	13	7	. %	6	. %	4	. %	15	. %
Review Not Accepted	329	421	28 %	449	6.7 %	354	-21.2 %	386	9 %
Other Terminations	43	57	32.6 %	57	0 %	164	187.7 %	148	-9.8 %
Rulings	421	536	27.3 %	566	5.6 %	564	-0.4 %	597	5.9 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	115	107	-7 %	372	247.7 %	142	-61.8 %	113	-20.4 %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (5)	1,240	1,541	24.3 %	1,711	11 %	1,440	-15.8 %	1,389	-3.5 %
Total Resolved	1,382	1,651	19.5 %	1,832	11 %	1,579	-13.8 %	1,503	-4.8 %
PENDING AT YEAR END									
Cases Stayed	30	53	. %	83	56.6 %	68	-18.1 %	122	79.4 %
Cases Ready	7	66	. %	50	-24.2 %	47	-6 %	30	. %
Cases Not Ready	870	598	-31.3 %	526	-12 %	487	-7.4 %	395	-18.9 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 1). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

#### Court Activity Trends, All Reviews (1) - 2007 to 2011

Pag	-	2	01	. つ
ray	E	_	U	_

	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
Set for Motion on the Merits	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Motion Calendar	9	7	. %	9	. %	11	. %	13	. %
Set for Oral Argument	17	42	. %	40	-4.8 %	41	2.5 %	32	-22 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	933	766	-17.9 %	708	-7.6 %	654	-7.6 %	592	-9.5 %
Opinion/Order in Process	51	62	21.6 %	69	11.3 %	54	-21.7 %	74	37 %
Total Pending Decision	984	828	-15.9 %	777	-6.2 %	708	-8.9 %	666	-5.9 %
MANDATED									
Opinion Terminating Review	159	120	-24.5 %	120	0 %	162	35 %	126	-22.2 %
Order Terminating Review	712	898	26.1 %	766	-14.7 %	744	-2.9 %	679	-8.7 %
Ruling Terminating Review	452	516	14.2 %	586	13.6 %	506	-13.7 %	587	16 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	142	158	11.3 %	430	172.2 %	175	-59.3 %	145	-17.1 %
Sum:	1,465	1,692	15.5 %	1,902	12.4 %	1,587	-16.6 %	1,537	-3.2 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 1). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

### Court Activity Trends, Cases from Trial Courts - 2007 to 2011

Page 1 of 2									
	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	98	120	22.4 %	125	4.2 %	127	1.6 %	167	31.5 %
RESOLUTIONS (1), (5)									
Total Opinions	17	10	. %	10	. %	4	. %	13	. %
Dismissed	2	2	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Transferred/Certified	29	20	. %	25	. %	14	. %	16	. %
Review Not Accepted	0	0	. %	3	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	41	41	0 %	62	51.2 %	45	-27.4 %	79	75.6 %
Orders	72	63	-12.5 %	90	42.9 %	59	-34.4 %	95	61 %
Dismissed	16	13	. %	17	. %	20	. %	17	. %
Transferred/Certified	0	0	. %	0	. %	2	. %	7	. %
Review Not Accepted	2	5	. %	6	. %	4	. %	0	. %
Other Terminations	4	6	. %	5	. %	18	. %	11	. %
Rulings	22	24	. %	28	. %	44	. %	35	-20.5 %
Other Decisions Term. Review			. %		. %		. %		. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (2)	94	87	-7.4 %	118	35.6 %	103	-12.7 %	130	26.2 %
Total Resolved	111	97	-12.6 %	128	32 %	107	-16.4 %	143	33.6 %
PENDING AT YEAR END (3)									
Cases Stayed	4	5	. %	4	. %	1	. %	7	. %
Cases Ready	3	3	. %	5	. %	6	. %	6	. %
Cases Not Ready	36	52	44.4 %	32	-38.5 %	44	37.5 %	42	-4.5 %

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small(less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

#### Court Activity Trends, Cases from Trial Courts - 2007 to 2011

Page 2 of 2	Pa	ae	2	0	f 2
-------------	----	----	---	---	-----

	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
Set for Motion on the Merits	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Motion Calendar	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Oral Argument	0	2	. %	5	. %	1	. %	3	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	43	62	44.2 %	46	-25.8 %	52	13 %	58	11.5 %
Opinion/Order in Process	7	5	. %	0	. %	8	. %	7	. %
Total Pending Decision	50	67	34 %	46	-31.3 %	60	30.4 %	65	8.3 %
MANDATED									
Opinion Terminating Review	24	14	. %	9	. %	6	. %	15	. %
Order Terminating Review	73	63	-13.7 %	88	39.7 %	64	-27.3 %	95	48.4 %
Ruling Terminating Review	22	21	. %	30	. %	41	. %	35	-14.6 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	3	6	. %	13	. %	5	. %	5	. %
Sum:	122	104	-14.8 %	140	34.6 %	116	-17.1 %	150	29.3 %

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small(less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington Court Activity Trends, Cases from Court of Appeals - 2007 to 2011

Page 1 of 2									
	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,130	1,180	4.4 %	1,200	1.7 %	1,107	-7.8 %	1,012	-8.6 %
RESOLUTIONS (1), (5)									
Total Opinions	115	87	-24.3 %	102	17.2 %	123	20.6 %	90	-26.8 %
Dismissed	3	7	. %	3	. %	8	. %	4	. %
Review Not Accepted	8	8	. %	5	. %	6	. %	6	. %
Other Terminations	610	801	31.3 %	650	-18.9 %	635	-2.3 %	548	-13.7 %
Orders	621	816	31.4 %	658	-19.4 %	649	-1.4 %	558	-14 %
Dismissed	18	30	. %	30	. %	19	. %	25	. %
Review Not Accepted	263	316	20.2 %	347	9.8 %	255	-26.5 %	258	1.2 %
Other Terminations	37	45	21.6 %	40	-11.1 %	132	230 %	123	-6.8 %
Rulings	318	391	23 %	417	6.6 %	406	-2.6 %	406	0 %
Other Decisions Term. Review			. %		. %		. %		. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (2)	939	1,207	28.5 %	1,075	-10.9 %	1,055	-1.9 %	964	-8.6 %
Total Resolved	1,054	1,294	22.8 %	1,177	-9 %	1,178	0.1 %	1,054	-10.5 %
PENDING AT YEAR END (3)									
Cases Stayed	26	39	. %	67	71.8 %	57	-14.9 %	85	49.1 %
Cases Ready	4	60	. %	43	-28.3 %	40	-7 %	22	. %
Cases Not Ready	756	469	-38 %	439	-6.4 %	364	-17.1 %	289	-20.6 %
Set for Motion on the Merits	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Motion Calendar	7	5	. %	7	. %	11	. %	11	. %

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

Court Activity Trends, Cases from Court of Appeals - 2007 to 2011

Page 2 of 2

	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
Set for Oral Argument	17	39	. %	32	-17.9 %	38	18.8 %	26	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	810	612	-24.4 %	588	-3.9 %	510	-13.3 %	433	-15.1 %
Opinion/Order in Process	40	54	35 %	65	20.4 %	40	-38.5 %	59	47.5 %
Total Danding Danisian	050	000	04.6.0/	050	0.07	550	45.0.0/	400	40 5 0/

Set for Oral Argument	17	39	. %	32	-17.9 %	38	18.8 %	26	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	810	612	-24.4 %	588	-3.9 %	510	-13.3 %	433	-15.1 %
Opinion/Order in Process	40	54	35 %	65	20.4 %	40	-38.5 %	59	47.5 %
Total Pending Decision	850	666	-21.6 %	653	-2 %	550	-15.8 %	492	-10.5 %
MANDATED									
Opinion Terminating Review	126	95	-24.6 %	92	-3.2 %	145	57.6 %	99	-31.7 %
Order Terminating Review	625	818	30.9 %	652	-20.3 %	655	0.5 %	557	-15 %
Ruling Terminating Review	354	368	4 %	439	19.3 %	352	-19.8 %	423	20.2 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	16	27	. %	21	. %	21	. %	23	. %
Sum:	1,121	1,308	16.7 %	1,204	-8 %	1,173	-2.6 %	1,102	-6.1 %

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

### Court Activity Trends, Original Actions - 2007 to 2011

Page 1 of 2									
	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	129	188	45.7 %	152	-19.1 %	178	17.1 %	197	10.7 %
RESOLUTIONS (1), (5)									
Total Opinions	2	4	. %	2	. %	4	. %	5	. %
Dismissed	0	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %	0	. %
Transferred/Certified	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Review Not Accepted	0	2	. %	3	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Other Terminations	10	17	. %	21	. %	21	. %	24	. %
Orders	10	19	. %	25	. %	23	. %	26	. %
Dismissed	2	8	. %	5	. %	3	. %	6	. %
Transferred/Certified	13	7	. %	6	. %	2	. %	8	. %
Review Not Accepted	64	100	56.3 %	96	-4 %	95	-1 %	128	34.7 %
Other Terminations	2	6	. %	12	. %	14	. %	13	. %
Rulings	81	121	49.4 %	119	-1.7 %	114	-4.2 %	155	36 %
Other Decisions Term. Review			. %		. %		. %		. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (2)	91	140	53.8 %	144	2.9 %	137	-4.9 %	181	32.1 %
Total Resolved	93	144	54.8 %	146	1.4 %	141	-3.4 %	186	31.9 %
PENDING AT YEAR END (3)									
Cases Stayed	0	9	. %	12	. %	10	. %	30	. %
Cases Ready	0	0	. %	2	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Cases Not Ready	77	76	-1.3 %	55	-27.6 %	79	43.6 %	64	-19 %

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

#### Court Activity Trends, Original Actions - 2007 to 2011

Page	2	of	2
raye	5 4	ΟI	_

	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
Set for Motion on the Merits	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Motion Calendar	2	2	. %	2	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Set for Oral Argument	0	0	. %	2	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	79	87	10.1 %	73	-16.1 %	90	23.3 %	98	8.9 %
Opinion/Order in Process	3	3	. %	2	. %	5	. %	4	. %
Total Pending Decision	82	90	9.8 %	75	-16.7 %	95	26.7 %	102	7.4 %
MANDATED									
Opinion Terminating Review	1	4	. %	3	. %	2	. %	6	. %
Order Terminating Review	13	17	. %	26	. %	23	. %	26	. %
Ruling Terminating Review	76	127	67.1 %	115	-9.4 %	113	-1.7 %	128	13.3 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	7	18	. %	22	. %	7	. %	4	. %
Sum:	97	166	71.1 %	166	0 %	145	-12.7 %	164	13.1 %

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

<sup>(3)</sup> As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

<sup>(5)</sup> As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

## Court Activity Trends, Cases from WSBA and Commission on Judicial Conduct (1) - 2007 to 2011

Page 1 of 2									
	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	109	112	2.8 %	91	-18.8 %	138	51.6 %	117	-15.2 %
RESOLUTIONS (2), (6)									
Total Opinions	7	6	. %	6	. %	6	. %	4	. %
Other Terminations	1	0	. %	0	. %	2	. %	0	. %
Orders	1		. %		. %	2	. %		. %
	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Rulings			. %		. %		. %		. %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	115	107	-7 %	372	247.7 %	142	-61.8 %	113	-20.4 %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (5)	116	107	-7.8 %	372	247.7 %	144	-61.3 %	113	-21.5 %
Total Resolved	123	113	-8.1 %	378	234.5 %	150	-60.3 %	117	-22 %
PENDING AT YEAR END									
Cases Stayed	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Cases Ready	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Cases Not Ready	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Set for Motion on Merits	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Set for Motion Calendar	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Set for Oral Argument	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Total Awaiting Hearing	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Opinion/Order in Process	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Total Pending Decision	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 1). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

Court Activity Trends, Cases from WSBA and Commission on Judicial Conduct (1) - 2007 to 2011

Page 2 of 2	2007	2008	% Chg (4)	2009	% Chg (4)	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)
MANDATED	200.	2000	/	2000	,, e.i.g (.)	20.0	,, e.i.g ( .,	2011	,, cg (.,
Opinion Terminating Review	7	5	. %	14	. %	7	. %	5	. %
Order Terminating Review	1	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Other Decision Terminating Review	116	107	-7.8 %	373	248.6 %	142	-61.9 %	113	-20.4 %
Sum:	124	112	-9.7 %	387	245.5 %	150	-61.2 %	119	-20.7 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior to 2003, resolution, pending and mandate information was not reported for cases from the Washington State Bar Association and the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Recording of this information began for resolutions occurring in 2003 and beyond, but is not available retroactively. As a consequence: 1) pending information is not available for WSBA and CJC cases, and 2) resolution and mandate information is available only for 2003 and beyond.

<sup>(2)</sup> As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes WSBA and CJC cases which were considered by the Court in years prior to establishment of procedures for closing those cases (see footnote 1). As time permits, Court staff are now reviewing those old cases and recording the disposed status as appropriate. Those dispositions are included in "Other Decisions Terminating Review."

<sup>(4)</sup> Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).