## Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10) - 2019 to 2023

Page 1 of 2

	2019	2020	% Chg (9)	2021	% Chg (9)	2022	% Chg (9)	2023	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,553	1,273	-18 %	1,270	-0.2 %	1,287	1.3 %	1,222	-5.1 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	114	104	-8.8 %	108	3.8 %	103	-4.6 %	92	-10.7 %
Opinions Unpublished	588	634	7.8 %	542	-14.5 %	382	-29.5 %	446	16.8 %
Opinions	702	738	5.1 %	650	-11.9 %	485	-25.4 %	538	10.9 %
Dismissed	226	191	-15.5 %	191	0 %	189	-1 %	209	10.6 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	8	13	. %	20	. %	18	. %	10	. %
Review Not Accepted	1	0	. %	0	. %	2	. %	1	. %
Other Terminations	47	40	-14.9 %	43	7.5 %	24	. %	30	. %
Orders	282	244	-13.5 %	254	4.1 %	233	-8.3 %	250	7.3 %
Decided on Merits	15	11	. %	8	. %	5	. %	8	. %
Dismissed	344	297	-13.7 %	288	-3 %	263	-8.7 %	289	9.9 %
Review Not Accepted	99	121	22.2 %	125	3.3 %	102	-18.4 %	92	-9.8 %
Other Terminations	9	8	. %	10	. %	21	. %	40	. %
Rulings	467	437	-6.4 %	431	-1.4 %	391	-9.3 %	429	9.7 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	749	681	-9.1 %	685	0.6 %	624	-8.9 %	679	8.8 %
Total Resolved	1,451	1,419	-2.2 %	1,335	-5.9 %	1,109	-16.9 %	1,217	9.7 %
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

(11) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

## Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10) - 2019 to 2023

Page 2 of 2

	2019	2020	% Chg (9)	2021	% Chg (9)	2022	% Chg (9)	2023	% Chg (9)
Cases Stayed	35	32	-8.6 %	13	. %	19	. %	30	. %
Brief Not Filed	751	560	-25.4 %	541	-3.4 %	600	10.9 %	613	2.2 %
Appellant Brief Filed	5	2	. %	6	. %	5	. %	6	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	368	304	-17.4 %	181	-40.5 %	131	-27.6 %	103	-21.4 %
Remanded to Trial Court	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	44	53	20.5 %	49	-7.5 %	48	-2 %	22	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	8	8	. %	11	. %	5	. %	10	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	105	118	12.4 %	106	-10.2 %	102	-3.8 %	123	20.6 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,316	1,077	-18.2 %	907	-15.8 %	910	0.3 %	909	-0.1 %
Opinion/Order in Process	34	8	. %	29	. %	57	. %	48	-15.8 %
Total Pending Decision	1,350	1,085	-19.6 %	936	-13.7 %	967	3.3 %	957	-1 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	725	759	4.7 %	838	10.4 %	588	-29.8 %	539	-8.3 %
Order Terminating Review	253	243	-4 %	326	34.2 %	288	-11.7 %	270	-6.3 %
Ruling Terminating Review	487	468	-3.9 %	504	7.7 %	425	-15.7 %	449	5.6 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	1	8	. %	2	. %	8	. %	4	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	741	719	-3 %	832	15.7 %	721	-13.3 %	723	0.3 %
Total Mandated	1,466	1,478	0.8 %	1,670	13 %	1,309	-21.6 %	1,262	-3.6 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

(3) Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

(4) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(5) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(6) Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

(7) Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

(8) Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

(9) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(10) To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

(11) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.