

NO. 33400-3-II

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DIVISION II

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

v.

RICHARD SCHMIDT,

Appellant.

FILED  
COURT CLERK  
06 MAY 23 PM 12:03  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
CLERK OF COURT  
KAP

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF  
KITSAP COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON  
Superior Court No. 97-1-00408-8

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

RUSSELL D. HAUGE  
Prosecuting Attorney

RANDALL AVERY SUTTON  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

614 Division Street  
Port Orchard, WA 98366  
(360) 337-7174

ORIGINAL

pm 5-24-06

SERVICE

Roger Hunko  
Ste. E, 569 Division St.  
Port Orchard, WA 98366

This brief was served, as stated below, via U.S. Mail or the recognized system of interoffice communications. I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.  
DATED May 24, 2006, Port Orchard, WA *[Signature]*  
**Original AND ONE COPY filed at the Court of Appeals, Ste. 300, 950 Broadway, Tacoma WA 98402; Copy to counsel listed at left.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... i

I. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF THE ISSUE .....1

II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....1

III. ARGUMENT .....1

THE TRIAL COURT PROPERLY CONCLUDED THAT IT WOULD NOT, UNDER RCW 9.94A.120 (1997), HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REVOKE SCHMIDT’S SUSPENDED SSOSA SENTENCE FOR A VIOLATION OF ANY SENTENCE CONDITION THAT WAS EXTENDED BEYOND THE TERM OF COMMUNITY CUSTODY. ....1

IV. CONCLUSION.....5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**CASES**

*State v. Garcia-Martinez*,  
88 Wn. App. 322, 944 P.2d 1104 (1997).....2

*State v. Toney*,  
103 Wn. App. 862, 14 P.3d 826 (2000).....2

**STATUTES**

RCW 9.94A.120 (1997)..... 1, 3-4

RCW 10.01.040 .....2

## I. COUNTERSTATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

Whether, under the 1997 version of the Sentencing Reform Act, a trial court could extend the term of community custody of a defendant sentenced under the special sex offender sentencing alternative, or could merely extend the conditions of the sentence, and whether the exclusive remedy for the violation of such extended conditions would have been the imposition of contempt sanctions?

## II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The State accepts Schmidt's statement of the case, to the extent it recites the facts and procedure, but not any editorializing contained therein.

## III. ARGUMENT

**THE TRIAL COURT PROPERLY CONCLUDED THAT IT WOULD NOT, UNDER RCW 9.94A.120 (1997), HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REVOKE SCHMIDT'S SUSPENDED SSOSA SENTENCE FOR A VIOLATION OF ANY SENTENCE CONDITION THAT WAS EXTENDED BEYOND THE TERM OF COMMUNITY CUSTODY.**

Schmidt argues that the trial court erred in applying the current version of the special sexual offender sentencing alternative (SSOSA) statute, rather than that in effect at the time of his 1997 offenses, when it determined the appropriate sanction for his violation of the conditions of his SSOSA suspended sentence. A review of the relevant statutory provisions, however,

shows that the trial court correctly interpreted the law in effect at the time of Schmidt's offenses.

As Schmidt correctly notes, amendments to criminal statutes generally do not have retroactive effect. Under RCW 10.01.040, when a criminal or penal statute is amended, its pre-amendment version applies to offenses before the amendment's effective date, unless a contrary intention is expressly declared in the amendatory act. *State v. Toney*, 103 Wn. App. 862, 864, 14 P.3d 826 (2000). He is also correct that a trial court's misapprehension of its options under the law at the time it imposes a sentence may entitle a defendant to a new hearing. *E.g.*, *State v. Garcia-Martinez*, 88 Wn. App. 322, 329, 944 P.2d 1104 (1997), *review denied*, 136 Wn.2d 1002. He fails, however to demonstrate that the trial court misapprehended its options under the SSOSA revocation provisions in effect at the time of Schmidt's 1997 offenses.

Schmidt's premise is that the trial court erroneously believed that if it extended the conditions of community custody its only enforcement option would be contempt sanctions, rather than revocation of Schmidt's 90-month suspended sentence. He contends that the trial court could have extended his community custody period, and then, if he again violated its conditions, revoked the SSOSA suspension and imposed the suspended term. A reading of the 1997 statute fails, however, to support that contention.

RCW 9.94A.120(8)(a)(vi) (1997)<sup>1</sup> provides:

The court may revoke the [SSOSA] suspended sentence at any time *during the period of community custody* and order execution of the sentence if: (A) The defendant violates the conditions of the suspended sentence, or (B) the court finds that the defendant is failing to make satisfactory progress in treatment. All confinement time served during the period of community custody shall be credited to the offender if the suspended sentence is revoked.

(Emphasis supplied). RCW 9.94A.120(10)(c) (1997) provides:

At any time prior to the completion of a sex offender's term of community custody, if the court finds that public safety would be enhanced, the court may impose and enforce an order *extending any or all of the conditions* imposed pursuant to this section for a period up to the maximum allowable sentence for the crime as it is classified in chapter 9A.20 RCW, *regardless of the expiration* of the offender's term of community custody. *If a violation of a condition extended under this subsection occurs after the expiration of the offender's term of community custody, it shall be deemed a violation of the sentence for the purposes of RCW 9.94A.195 and may be punishable as contempt of court as provided for in RCW 7.21.040.*

(Emphasis supplied).

Nothing in these provisions authorizes an extension of the *period* of community custody. To the contrary, RCW 9.94A.120(10)(c) authorizes only the extension of the *conditions*. The provision specifically applies "regardless of the expiration of" the term of community custody and speaks of a violation of a condition that "occurs after the expiration of the offender's

---

<sup>1</sup> A copy of RCW 9.94A.120 (1997) is attached as an appendix.

term of community custody,” and provides for a contempt sanction. RCW 9.94A.120(8)(a)(vi), however, authorizes revocation of the suspended sentence only “*during* the period of community custody.”

The trial court thus correctly apprehended that although it could extend the *conditions* of Schmidt’s community custody, nothing in the statutes authorized the extension of the *term* of community custody. Since revocation of the suspended sentence and imposition of the term of incarceration could only be done *during* the term of community custody, it correctly concluded that violations of any extended *conditions* occurring *after* the expiration of the *term* of community custody could only be punished by a contempt sanction. Because the trial court was correct in its determination of the applicable law, Schmidt’s claim is without merit.

Schmidt does not appear to challenge the propriety of the trial court’s finding that he violated the conditions of his SSOSA sentence. Nor does he challenge the trial court’s authority to have revoked his suspended his sentence. Its decision should therefore be upheld.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the actions of the trial court should be affirmed.

DATED May 24, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

RUSSELL D. HAUGE  
Prosecuting Attorney

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'RAS', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

RANDALL AVERY SUTTON  
WSBA No. 27858  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

# APPENDIX

RCW 9.94A.120 Sentences. When a person is convicted of a felony, the court shall impose punishment as provided in this section.

(1) Except as authorized in subsections (2), (4), (5), (6), and (8) of this section, the court shall impose a sentence within the sentence range for the offense.

(2) The court may impose a sentence outside the standard sentence range for that offense if it finds, considering the purpose of this chapter, that there are substantial and compelling reasons justifying an exceptional sentence.

(3) Whenever a sentence outside the standard range is imposed, the court shall set forth the reasons for its decision in written findings of fact and conclusions of law. A sentence outside the standard range shall be a determinate sentence.

(4) A persistent offender shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement for life without the possibility of parole or, when authorized by RCW 10.95.030 for the crime of aggravated murder in the first degree, sentenced to death, notwithstanding the maximum sentence under any other law. An offender convicted of the crime of murder in the first degree shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement not less than twenty years. An offender convicted of the crime of assault in the first degree or assault of a child in the first degree where the offender used force or means likely to result in death or intended to kill the victim shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement not less than five years. An offender convicted of the crime of rape in the first degree shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement not less than five years. The foregoing minimum terms of total confinement are mandatory and shall not be varied or modified as provided in subsection (2) of this section. In addition, all offenders subject to the provisions of this subsection shall not be eligible for community custody, earned early release time, furlough, home detention, partial confinement, work crew, work release, or any other form of early release as defined under RCW 9.94A.150 (1), (2), (3), (5), (7), or (8), or any other form of authorized leave of absence from the correctional facility while not in the direct custody of a corrections officer or officers during such minimum terms of total confinement except in the case of an offender in need of emergency medical treatment or for the purpose of commitment to an inpatient treatment facility in the case of an offender convicted of the crime of rape in the first degree.

(5) In sentencing a first-time offender the court may waive the imposition of a sentence within the sentence range and impose a sentence which may include up to ninety days of confinement in a facility operated or utilized under contract by the county and a requirement that the offender refrain from committing new offenses. The sentence may also include up to two years of community supervision, which, in addition to crime-related prohibitions, may include requirements that the offender perform any one or more of the following:

- (a) Devote time to a specific employment or occupation;
- (b) Undergo available outpatient treatment for up to two years, or inpatient treatment not to exceed the standard range of confinement for that offense;
- (c) Pursue a prescribed, secular course of study or vocational training;
- (d) Remain within prescribed geographical boundaries and notify the court or the community corrections officer prior to any change in the offender's address or employment;
- (e) Report as directed to the court and a community corrections officer; or
- (f) Pay all court-ordered legal financial obligations as provided in RCW 9.94A.030 and/or perform community service work.

(6)(a) An offender is eligible for the special drug offender sentencing alternative if:

(i) The offender is convicted of the manufacture, delivery, or possession with intent to manufacture or deliver a controlled substance classified in Schedule I or II that is a narcotic drug or a felony that is, under chapter 9A.28 RCW or RCW 69.50.407, a criminal attempt, criminal solicitation,

or criminal conspiracy to commit such crimes, and the violation does not involve a sentence enhancement under RCW 9.94A.310 (3) or (4);

(ii) The offender has no prior convictions for a felony in this state, another state, or the United States; and

(iii) The offense involved only a small quantity of the particular controlled substance as determined by the judge upon consideration of such factors as the weight, purity, packaging, sale price, and street value of the controlled substance.

(b) If the midpoint of the standard range is greater than one year and the sentencing judge determines that the offender is eligible for this option and that the offender and the community will benefit from the use of the special drug offender sentencing alternative, the judge may waive imposition of a sentence within the standard range and impose a sentence that must include a period of total confinement in a state facility for one-half of the midpoint of the standard range. During incarceration in the state facility, offenders sentenced under this subsection shall undergo a comprehensive substance abuse assessment and receive, within available resources, treatment services appropriate for the offender. The treatment services shall be designed by the division of alcohol and substance abuse of the department of social and health services, in cooperation with the department of corrections. If the midpoint of the standard range is twenty-four months or less, no more than three months of the sentence may be served in a work release status. The court shall also impose one year of concurrent community custody and community supervision that must include appropriate outpatient substance abuse treatment, crime-related prohibitions including a condition not to use illegal controlled substances, and a requirement to submit to urinalysis or other testing to monitor that status. The court may require that the monitoring for controlled substances be conducted by the department or by a treatment alternatives to street crime program or a comparable court or agency-referred program. The offender may be required to pay thirty dollars per month while on community custody to offset the cost of monitoring. In addition, the court shall impose three or more of the following conditions:

(i) Devote time to a specific employment or training;

(ii) Remain within prescribed geographical boundaries and notify the court or the community corrections officer before any change in the offender's address or employment;

(iii) Report as directed to a community corrections officer;

(iv) Pay all court-ordered legal financial obligations;

(v) Perform community service work;

(vi) Stay out of areas designated by the sentencing judge.

(c) If the offender violates any of the sentence conditions in (b) of this subsection, the department shall impose sanctions administratively, with notice to the prosecuting attorney and the sentencing court. Upon motion of the court or the prosecuting attorney, a violation hearing shall be held by the court. If the court finds that conditions have been willfully violated, the court may impose confinement consisting of up to the remaining one-half of the midpoint of the standard range. All total confinement served during the period of community custody shall be credited to the offender, regardless of whether the total confinement is served as a result of the original sentence, as a result of a sanction imposed by the department, or as a result of a violation found by the court. The term of community supervision shall be tolled by any period of time served in total confinement as a result of a violation found by the court.

(d) The department shall determine the rules for calculating the value of a day fine based on the offender's income and reasonable obligations which the offender has for the support of the offender and any dependents. These rules shall be developed in consultation with the administrator for the courts, the office of financial management, and the commission.

(7) If a sentence range has not been established for the defendant's crime, the court shall impose a determinate sentence which may include not more than one year of confinement, community service

work, a term of community supervision not to exceed one year, and/or other legal financial obligations. The court may impose a sentence which provides more than one year of confinement if the court finds, considering the purpose of this chapter, that there are substantial and compelling reasons justifying an exceptional sentence.

(8)(a)(i) When an offender is convicted of a sex offense other than a violation of RCW 9A.44.050 or a sex offense that is also a serious violent offense and has no prior convictions for a sex offense or any other felony sex offenses in this or any other state, the sentencing court, on its own motion or the motion of the state or the defendant, may order an examination to determine whether the defendant is amenable to treatment.

The report of the examination shall include at a minimum the following: The defendant's version of the facts and the official version of the facts, the defendant's offense history, an assessment of problems in addition to alleged deviant behaviors, the offender's social and employment situation, and other evaluation measures used. The report shall set forth the sources of the evaluator's information.

The examiner shall assess and report regarding the defendant's amenability to treatment and relative risk to the community. A proposed treatment plan shall be provided and shall include, at a minimum:

- (A) Frequency and type of contact between offender and therapist;
- (B) Specific issues to be addressed in the treatment and description of planned treatment modalities;
- (C) Monitoring plans, including any requirements regarding living conditions, lifestyle requirements, and monitoring by family members and others;
- (D) Anticipated length of treatment; and
- (E) Recommended crime-related prohibitions.

The court on its own motion may order, or on a motion by the state shall order, a second examination regarding the offender's amenability to treatment. The evaluator shall be selected by the party making the motion. The defendant shall pay the cost of any second examination ordered unless the court finds the defendant to be indigent in which case the state shall pay the cost.

(ii) After receipt of the reports, the court shall consider whether the offender and the community will benefit from use of this special sex offender sentencing alternative and consider the victim's opinion whether the offender should receive a treatment disposition under this subsection. If the court determines that this special sex offender sentencing alternative is appropriate, the court shall then impose a sentence within the sentence range. If this sentence is less than eleven years of confinement, the court may suspend the execution of the sentence and impose the following conditions of suspension:

(A) The court shall place the defendant on community custody for the length of the suspended sentence or three years, whichever is greater, and require the offender to comply with any conditions imposed by the department of corrections under subsection (14) of this section;

(B) The court shall order treatment for any period up to three years in duration. The court in its discretion shall order outpatient sex offender treatment or inpatient sex offender treatment, if available. A community mental health center may not be used for such treatment unless it has an appropriate program designed for sex offender treatment. The offender shall not change sex offender treatment providers or treatment conditions without first notifying the prosecutor, the community corrections officer, and the court, and shall not change providers without court approval after a hearing if the prosecutor or community corrections officer object to the change. In addition, as conditions of the suspended sentence, the court may impose other sentence conditions including up to six months of confinement, not to exceed the sentence range of confinement for that offense, crime-related prohibitions, and requirements that the offender perform any one or more of the following:

- (I) Devote time to a specific employment or occupation;

(II) Remain within prescribed geographical boundaries and notify the court or the community corrections officer prior to any change in the offender's address or employment;

(III) Report as directed to the court and a community corrections officer;

(IV) Pay all court-ordered legal financial obligations as provided in RCW 9.94A.030, perform community service work, or any combination thereof; or

(V) Make recoupment to the victim for the cost of any counseling required as a result of the offender's crime; and

(C) Sex offenders sentenced under this special sex offender sentencing alternative are not eligible to accrue any earned early release time while serving a suspended sentence.

(iii) The sex offender therapist shall submit quarterly reports on the defendant's progress in treatment to the court and the parties. The report shall reference the treatment plan and include at a minimum the following: Dates of attendance, defendant's compliance with requirements, treatment activities, the defendant's relative progress in treatment, and any other material as specified by the court at sentencing.

(iv) At the time of sentencing, the court shall set a treatment termination hearing for three months prior to the anticipated date for completion of treatment. Prior to the treatment termination hearing, the treatment professional and community corrections officer shall submit written reports to the court and parties regarding the defendant's compliance with treatment and monitoring requirements, and recommendations regarding termination from treatment, including proposed community supervision conditions. Either party may request and the court may order another evaluation regarding the advisability of termination from treatment. The defendant shall pay the cost of any additional evaluation ordered unless the court finds the defendant to be indigent in which case the state shall pay the cost. At the treatment termination hearing the court may: (A) Modify conditions of community custody, and either (B) terminate treatment, or (C) extend treatment for up to the remaining period of community custody.

(v) If a violation of conditions occurs during community custody, the department shall either impose sanctions as provided for in RCW 9.94A.205(2)(a) or refer the violation to the court and recommend revocation of the suspended sentence as provided for in (a)(vi) of this subsection.

(vi) The court may revoke the suspended sentence at any time during the period of community custody and order execution of the sentence if: (A) The defendant violates the conditions of the suspended sentence, or (B) the court finds that the defendant is failing to make satisfactory progress in treatment. All confinement time served during the period of community custody shall be credited to the offender if the suspended sentence is revoked.

(vii) Except as provided in (a) (viii) of this subsection, after July 1, 1991, examinations and treatment ordered pursuant to this subsection shall only be conducted by sex offender treatment providers certified by the department of health pursuant to chapter 18.155 RCW.

(viii) A sex offender therapist who examines or treats a sex offender pursuant to this subsection (8) does not have to be certified by the department of health pursuant to chapter 18.155 RCW if the court finds that: (A) The offender has already moved to another state or plans to move to another state for reasons other than circumventing the certification requirements; (B) no certified providers are available for treatment within a reasonable geographical distance of the offender's home; and (C) the evaluation and treatment plan comply with this subsection (8) and the rules adopted by the department of health.

(ix) For purposes of this subsection (8), "victim" means any person who has sustained emotional, psychological, physical, or financial injury to person or property as a result of the crime charged. "Victim" also means a parent or guardian of a victim who is a minor child unless the parent or guardian is the perpetrator of the offense.

(x) If the defendant was less than eighteen years of age when the charge was filed, the state shall pay for the cost of initial evaluation and treatment.

(b) When an offender commits any felony sex offense on or after July 1, 1987, and is sentenced to a term of confinement of more than one year but less than six years, the sentencing court may, on its own motion or on the motion of the offender or the state, request the department of corrections to evaluate whether the offender is amenable to treatment and the department may place the offender in a treatment program within a correctional facility operated by the department.

Except for an offender who has been convicted of a violation of RCW 9A.44.040 or 9A.44.050, if the offender completes the treatment program before the expiration of his or her term of confinement, the department of corrections may request the court to convert the balance of confinement to community supervision and to place conditions on the offender including crime-related prohibitions and requirements that the offender perform any one or more of the following:

- (i) Devote time to a specific employment or occupation;
- (ii) Remain within prescribed geographical boundaries and notify the court or the community corrections officer prior to any change in the offender's address or employment;
- (iii) Report as directed to the court and a community corrections officer;
- (iv) Undergo available outpatient treatment.

If the offender violates any of the terms of his or her community supervision, the court may order the offender to serve out the balance of his or her community supervision term in confinement in the custody of the department of corrections.

Nothing in this subsection (8)(b) shall confer eligibility for such programs for offenders convicted and sentenced for a sex offense committed prior to July 1, 1987. This subsection (8)(b) does not apply to any crime committed after July 1, 1990.

(c) Offenders convicted and sentenced for a sex offense committed prior to July 1, 1987, may, subject to available funds, request an evaluation by the department of corrections to determine whether they are amenable to treatment. If the offender is determined to be amenable to treatment, the offender may request placement in a treatment program within a correctional facility operated by the department. Placement in such treatment program is subject to available funds.

(9)(a) When a court sentences a person to a term of total confinement to the custody of the department of corrections for an offense categorized as a sex offense or a serious violent offense committed after July 1, 1988, but before July 1, 1990, assault in the second degree, assault of a child in the second degree, any crime against a person where it is determined in accordance with RCW 9.94A.125 that the defendant or an accomplice was armed with a deadly weapon at the time of commission, or any felony offense under chapter 69.50 or 69.52 RCW not sentenced under subsection (6) of this section, committed on or after July 1, 1988, the court shall in addition to the other terms of the sentence, sentence the offender to a one-year term of community placement beginning either upon completion of the term of confinement or at such time as the offender is transferred to community custody in lieu of earned early release in accordance with RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2). When the court sentences an offender under this subsection to the statutory maximum period of confinement then the community placement portion of the sentence shall consist entirely of such community custody to which the offender may become eligible, in accordance with RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2). Any period of community custody actually served shall be credited against the community placement portion of the sentence.

(b) When a court sentences a person to a term of total confinement to the custody of the department of corrections for an offense categorized as a sex offense committed on or after July 1, 1990, but before June 6, 1996, a serious violent offense, vehicular homicide, or vehicular assault, committed on or after July 1, 1990, the court shall in addition to other terms of the sentence, sentence the offender to community placement for two years or up to the period of earned early release awarded pursuant to RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2), whichever is longer. The community placement shall begin either upon completion of the term of confinement or at such time as the offender is transferred to community custody in lieu of earned early release in accordance with RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2).

When the court sentences an offender under this subsection to the statutory maximum period of confinement then the community placement portion of the sentence shall consist entirely of the community custody to which the offender may become eligible, in accordance with RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2). Any period of community custody actually served shall be credited against the community placement portion of the sentence. Unless a condition is waived by the court, the terms of community placement for offenders sentenced pursuant to this section shall include the following conditions:

(i) The offender shall report to and be available for contact with the assigned community corrections officer as directed;

(ii) The offender shall work at department of corrections-approved education, employment, and/or community service;

(iii) The offender shall not consume controlled substances except pursuant to lawfully issued prescriptions;

(iv) The offender shall pay supervision fees as determined by the department of corrections;

(v) The residence location and living arrangements are subject to the prior approval of the department of corrections during the period of community placement; and

(vi) The offender shall submit to affirmative acts necessary to monitor compliance with the orders of the court as required by the department.

(c) As a part of any sentence imposed under (a) or (b) of this subsection, the court may also order any of the following special conditions:

(i) The offender shall remain within, or outside of, a specified geographical boundary;

(ii) The offender shall not have direct or indirect contact with the victim of the crime or a specified class of individuals;

(iii) The offender shall participate in crime-related treatment or counseling services;

(iv) The offender shall not consume alcohol;

(v) The offender shall comply with any crime-related prohibitions; or

(vi) For an offender convicted of a felony sex offense against a minor victim after June 6, 1996, the offender shall comply with any terms and conditions of community placement imposed by the department of corrections relating to contact between the sex offender and a minor victim or a child of similar age or circumstance as a previous victim.

(d) Prior to transfer to, or during, community placement, any conditions of community placement may be removed or modified so as not to be more restrictive by the sentencing court, upon recommendation of the department of corrections.

(10)(a) When a court sentences a person to the custody of the department of corrections for an offense categorized as a sex offense committed on or after June 6, 1996, the court shall, in addition to other terms of the sentence, sentence the offender to community custody for three years or up to the period of earned early release awarded pursuant to RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2), whichever is longer. The community custody shall begin either upon completion of the term of confinement or at such time as the offender is transferred to community custody in lieu of earned early release in accordance with RCW 9.94A.150 (1) and (2).

(b) Unless a condition is waived by the court, the terms of community custody shall be the same as those provided for in subsection (9)(b) of this section and may include those provided for in subsection (9)(c) of this section. As part of any sentence that includes a term of community custody imposed under this subsection, the court shall also require the offender to comply with any conditions imposed by the department of corrections under subsection (14) of this section.

(c) At any time prior to the completion of a sex offender's term of community custody, if the court finds that public safety would be enhanced, the court may impose and enforce an order extending any or all of the conditions imposed pursuant to this section for a period up to the maximum allowable sentence for the crime as it is classified in chapter 9A.20 RCW, regardless of the expiration of the

offender's term of community custody. If a violation of a condition extended under this subsection occurs after the expiration of the offender's term of community custody, it shall be deemed a violation of the sentence for the purposes of RCW 9.94A.195 and may be punishable as contempt of court as provided for in RCW 7.21.040.

(11) If the court imposes a sentence requiring confinement of thirty days or less, the court may, in its discretion, specify that the sentence be served on consecutive or intermittent days. A sentence requiring more than thirty days of confinement shall be served on consecutive days. Local jail administrators may schedule court-ordered intermittent sentences as space permits.

(12) If a sentence imposed includes payment of a legal financial obligation, the sentence shall specify the total amount of the legal financial obligation owed, and shall require the offender to pay a specified monthly sum toward that legal financial obligation. Restitution to victims shall be paid prior to any other payments of monetary obligations. Any legal financial obligation that is imposed by the court may be collected by the department, which shall deliver the amount paid to the county clerk for credit. The offender's compliance with payment of legal financial obligations shall be supervised by the department for ten years following the entry of the judgment and sentence or ten years following the offender's release from total confinement. All monetary payments ordered shall be paid no later than ten years after the last date of release from confinement pursuant to a felony conviction or the date the sentence was entered unless the superior court extends the criminal judgment an additional ten years. If the legal financial obligations including crime victims' assessments are not paid during the initial ten-year period, the superior court may extend jurisdiction under the criminal judgment an additional ten years as provided in RCW 9.94A.140, 9.94A.142, and 9.94A.145. If jurisdiction under the criminal judgment is extended, the department is not responsible for supervision of the offender during the subsequent period. Independent of the department, the party or entity to whom the legal financial obligation is owed shall have the authority to utilize any other remedies available to the party or entity to collect the legal financial obligation. Nothing in this section makes the department, the state, or any of its employees, agents, or other persons acting on their behalf liable under any circumstances for the payment of these legal financial obligations. If an order includes restitution as one of the monetary assessments, the county clerk shall make disbursements to victims named in the order.

(13) Except as provided under RCW 9.94A.140(1) and 9.94A.142(1), a court may not impose a sentence providing for a term of confinement or community supervision or community placement which exceeds the statutory maximum for the crime as provided in chapter 9A.20 RCW.

(14) All offenders sentenced to terms involving community supervision, community service, community placement, or legal financial obligation shall be under the supervision of the department of corrections and shall follow explicitly the instructions and conditions of the department of corrections. The department may require an offender to perform affirmative acts it deems appropriate to monitor compliance with the conditions of the sentence imposed.

(a) The instructions shall include, at a minimum, reporting as directed to a community corrections officer, remaining within prescribed geographical boundaries, notifying the community corrections officer of any change in the offender's address or employment, and paying the supervision fee assessment.

(b) For offenders sentenced to terms involving community custody for crimes committed on or after June 6, 1996, the department may include, in addition to the instructions in (a) of this subsection, any appropriate conditions of supervision, including but not limited to, prohibiting the offender from having contact with any other specified individuals or specific class of individuals. The conditions authorized under this subsection (14)(b) may be imposed by the department prior to or during an offender's community custody term. If a violation of conditions imposed by the court or the department pursuant to subsection (10) of this section occurs during community custody, it shall be deemed a violation of community placement for the purposes of RCW 9.94A.207 and shall authorize the department to transfer an offender to a more restrictive confinement status as provided in RCW

9.94A.205. At any time prior to the completion of a sex offender's term of community custody, the department may recommend to the court that any or all of the conditions imposed by the court or the department pursuant to subsection (10) of this section be continued beyond the expiration of the offender's term of community custody as authorized in subsection (10)(c) of this section.

The department may require offenders to pay for special services rendered on or after July 25, 1993, including electronic monitoring, day reporting, and telephone reporting, dependent upon the offender's ability to pay. The department may pay for these services for offenders who are not able to pay.

(15) All offenders sentenced to terms involving community supervision, community service, or community placement under the supervision of the department of corrections shall not own, use, or possess firearms or ammunition. Offenders who own, use, or are found to be in actual or constructive possession of firearms or ammunition shall be subject to the appropriate violation process and sanctions. "Constructive possession" as used in this subsection means the power and intent to control the firearm or ammunition. "Firearm" as used in this subsection means a weapon or device from which a projectile may be fired by an explosive such as gunpowder.

(16) The sentencing court shall give the offender credit for all confinement time served before the sentencing if that confinement was solely in regard to the offense for which the offender is being sentenced.

(17) A departure from the standards in RCW 9.94A.400 (1) and (2) governing whether sentences are to be served consecutively or concurrently is an exceptional sentence subject to the limitations in subsections (2) and (3) of this section, and may be appealed by the defendant or the state as set forth in RCW 9.94A.210 (2) through (6).

(18) The court shall order restitution whenever the offender is convicted of a felony that results in injury to any person or damage to or loss of property, whether the offender is sentenced to confinement or placed under community supervision, unless extraordinary circumstances exist that make restitution inappropriate in the court's judgment. The court shall set forth the extraordinary circumstances in the record if it does not order restitution.

(19) As a part of any sentence, the court may impose and enforce an order that relates directly to the circumstances of the crime for which the offender has been convicted, prohibiting the offender from having any contact with other specified individuals or a specific class of individuals for a period not to exceed the maximum allowable sentence for the crime, regardless of the expiration of the offender's term of community supervision or community placement.

(20) In any sentence of partial confinement, the court may require the defendant to serve the partial confinement in work release, in a program of home detention, on work crew, or in a combined program of work crew and home detention.

(21) All court-ordered legal financial obligations collected by the department and remitted to the county clerk shall be credited and paid where restitution is ordered. Restitution shall be paid prior to any other payments of monetary obligations. [1997 c 340 § 2; 1997 c 338 § 4; 1997 c 144 § 2; 1997 c 121 § 2; 1997 c 69 § 1. Prior: 1996 c 275 § 2; 1996 c 215 § 5; 1996 c 199 § 1; 1996 c 93 § 1; 1995 c 108 § 3; prior: 1994 c 1 § 2 (Initiative Measure No. 593, approved November 2, 1993); 1993 c 31 § 3; prior: 1992 c 145 § 7; 1992 c 75 § 2; 1992 c 45 § 5; prior: 1991 c 221 § 2; 1991 c 181 § 3; 1991 c 104 § 3; 1990 c 3 § 705; 1989 c 252 § 4; prior: 1988 c 154 § 3; 1988 c 153 § 2; 1988 c 143 § 21; prior: 1987 c 456 § 2; 1987 c 402 § 1; prior: 1986 c 301 § 4; 1986 c 301 § 3; 1986 c 257 § 20; 1984 c 209 § 6; 1983 c 163 § 2; 1982 c 192 § 4; 1981 c 137 § 12.]

#### Comment

RCW 9.94A.120(3) indicates that sentences outside the standard range are to be determinate sentences. The definition of determinate sentence is "a sentence that states with exactitude the

number of actual years, months, or days of total confinement, of partial confinement, of community supervision, the number of actual hours or days of community service work, or dollars or terms of a legal financial obligation" (RCW 9.94A.030). When the court sets a sentence outside the range, such sentence should be expressed in terms of the categories allowed under the law.

The First-time Offender Waiver allows the court to impose up to 90 days of confinement, even for offenders with a sentence range of 0 to 60 days.

The 1986 Legislature amended the provisions for inpatient treatment of sexual offenders. The sexual offender treatment program was transferred from the Department of Social and Health Services to the Department of Corrections. The 1987 Legislature clarified that the transfer of the treatment program applies to offenders whose crimes were committed after July 1, 1987. Offenders whose crimes were committed before that date were still to be sent to the program at Eastern and Western State Hospitals, but all sexual offenders were to be transferred to the Department of Corrections by 1993. A provision requiring that the treatment provider find the offender amenable to treatment went into effect in 1986.

RCW 9.94A.120(16) codifies the constitutional requirement that the offender receive credit for time served prior to the sentencing. See *State v. Phelan*, 100 Wn.2d 508, 671 P.2d 1212 (1983).

*State v. Bernhard*, 108 Wn.2<sup>nd</sup> 527, 741 P.2d 1 (1987) covers the court's authority to designate a treatment facility as an exceptional condition of a community supervision sentence.

The 1988 Legislature established a program of community placement for certain offenders following their release from prison. RCW 9.94A.120(9) establishes the conditions of supervision for both community custody and post-release supervision, the two forms of community placement.

The 1988 Legislature directed that restitution to victims shall be the first payment of monetary obligations. The Legislature also clarified that the Department of Corrections is responsible for supervising payment of monetary obligations, and if the court does not set a schedule for payments, the department can set one.

The 1989 Legislature changed the allowable financial obligations to include payment for the cost of evaluating the offender's amenability to treatment and payment for the cost of treatment.

The 1990 Legislature increased the mandatory minimum sentence for Rape 1 from three years to five years.

The 1990 Legislature revised several aspects of the Special Sex Offender Sentencing Alternative. These include increasing the accountability of the treatment provider to the court, changing the maximum sentence allowed from six years to eight years, increasing the length of community supervision and treatment, and directing that, after July 1991, examinations and treatment under SSOSA be conducted by certified sex offender treatment providers.

The state hospital sex offender treatment program was phased out beginning in 1987. Offenders whose crimes were committed before July 1987 were no longer admitted to the hospital program nor were they eligible for the prison sex offender treatment program. The 1990 Legislature added a provision allowing this category of sex offender to be admitted to the prison treatment program, subject to available funds.

The 1990 Legislature changed the allowable length of community placement for sex offenders and serious violent offenders from one year to either two years or the period of earned early release time, whichever is longer.

In 1993, the Legislature reenacted RCW 9.94A.120, authorizing the Department of Corrections, after July 25, 1993, to require offenders under its supervision to pay for special services including electronic monitoring, day reporting, and telephone reporting, depending on the offender's ability to pay.

In 1993, Initiative Measure No. 593 amended subsection (4) to require that a persistent offender, as defined in RCW 9.94A.030, be sentenced to life imprisonment without possibility of release, unless the death penalty is imposed for Aggravated Murder 1 under RCW 10.95.030. The initiative also provided that mandatory periods of total confinement under this subsection (for persistent offenders and those convicted of Murder 1, Assault 1, Assault of a Child 1, and Rape 1) may not be reduced during the mandatory minimum term of confinement for any reason other than emergency medical treatment or, in the case of those convicted of Rape 1, commitment to an inpatient treatment facility.

At the request of the Sentencing Guidelines Commission, the 1995 Legislature created an optional, treatment-oriented Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for offenders convicted of Manufacture, Delivery, or Possession with Intent to Manufacture or Deliver a small quantity of a narcotic drug, where the offender has no previous felony convictions, there is no deadly weapon enhancement, and the sentencing court determines that the offender would benefit from substance abuse treatment. The definition of a "small quantity" was intended to be determined by the court based on local standards. A defendant need not be dependent on a drug to be eligible for the alternative sentence.

Under the alternative, an offender may be sentenced to total confinement for a period equal to half the midpoint of the standard range (e.g., 12 months if the standard range is 21-27 months). If the standard range exceeds 12 months, this alternative period of confinement must be served in a state correctional facility, even if it is for less than 12 months. Substance abuse treatment must be provided within the facility during total confinement, as well as after release on an outpatient basis. Offenders sentenced under this alternative may not be placed on work release for more than three months, unless the midpoint of the standard range is more than 24 months (i.e., their period of total confinement is more than 12 months).

Upon release at half the midpoint of the standard range, offenders sentenced under the Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative remain on community custody status for an additional year, not including any period in which they are returned to confinement for violating the terms of release. During this period they are subject to urinalysis or other testing to monitor drug-free status.

The Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative, as introduced in the Legislature, was not intended to be available to offenders convicted of manufacture, delivery, or possession with intent to manufacture or deliver methamphetamine, because such offenders were eligible for the First-time Offender Waiver. However, the Legislature amended RCW 9.94A.030 to exclude such offenders from the definition of "First-time Offender." The apparent result was to make them ineligible for either of these sentencing options.

In other legislation, the 1995 Legislature also authorized a sentence to Work Ethic Camp for offenders convicted of drug delivery. That sentence to Work Ethic Camp was intended as an

alternative to the drug offender sentencing alternative created in this section, not for use in conjunction with it.

The 1996 Legislature required that persons sentenced to prison for Vehicular Assault or Vehicular Homicide also be sentenced to community placement for two years or up to the period of earned early release, whichever is longer.

The 1996 Legislature also authorized courts to require that sex offenders whose victims were minors comply with conditions of community placement imposed by the Department of Corrections regarding contact with minor victims or children of similar age or circumstances.

The 1996 Legislature also converted the status of offenders sentenced under the Special Sex Offender Sentencing Alternative from community supervision to community custody and authorized the Department of Corrections to impose sanctions administratively. The same legislation extended the period of community custody for sex offenders sentenced to prison to three years or the period of earned early release, whichever is longer, and authorizing the court to extend conditions of community custody for a period up to the statutory maximum sentence for the offense. The same legislation authorized the Department of Corrections to impose additional conditions on sex offenders serving in community custody status.

The 1997 Legislature required the Department of Corrections to supervise compliance with payment of legal financial obligations for up to ten years after entry of the judgment and sentence or release from total confinement. The court was also authorized to extend the time for payment of legal financial obligations a subsequent ten years, but the Department is not responsible for supervision after the initial ten-year period.

The 1997 Legislature increased from less than eight to less than eleven years the length of a standard-range sentence that may be suspended under the Special Sex Offender Sentencing Alternative. Therefore SSOSA remains available in cases eligible under prior law, despite increases in the seriousness levels of certain offenses under RCW 9.94A.320. The Legislature also required that the state pay for initial evaluation and treatment in SSOSA cases where the defendant was under 18 years old when the charge was filed.

The 1997 Legislature also clarified that offenders sentenced under SSOSA are not eligible to accrue earned early release time while serving a suspended sentence.

The 1997 Legislature also clarified that the Department of Corrections, in monitoring offenders' compliance with conditions of community placement, community supervision, community service, or payment of legal financial obligations, may require them to perform affirmative actions (such as submitting to drug testing or polygraph examination).

RCW 9.94A.123 Legislative finding and intent—Commitment of felony sexual offenders after July 1, 1987. The legislature finds that the sexual offender treatment programs at western and eastern state hospitals, while not proven to be totally effective, may be of some benefit in positively affecting the behavior of certain sexual offenders. Given the significance of the problems of sexual assault and sexual abuse of children, it is therefore appropriate to review and revise these treatment efforts.

At the same time, concerns regarding the lack of adequate security at the existing programs must be satisfactorily addressed. In an effort to promote public safety, it is the intent of the legislature