

A/5 of 6: Color photo of state's exhibit number 3

A/6 of 6: Color photo of state's exhibit number 4

1. These *exculpatory* color photographs were never shown to my Defense Council, Mike Frans, until the date of trial.
2. Prosecutor Joe Wheeler surprised us with their existence on the day of my trial.
3. There was absolutely no time for us to prepare for a proper defense against these photos.
4. My defense attorney, Mike Frans, did not object to the admission of these color photos because he was hoodwinked by Prosecutor Joe Wheeler into believing they were the same, exact photos that were used to produce the black and white Xerox copies in Discovery.
5. These were the *exculpatory* color photos that the jury saw.
6. These were the *exculpatory* color photos testified to by States Witnesses Janelle Varner, Kamela Wedding, Erick Snelson, Frank Alexander, Detectives James Dunn, and Roland Weiss.
7. These were the *exculpatory* color photos that had been previously shown to Frank Alexander by Detective Jim Dunn at his home and again during trial. Prosecutor Joe Wheeler asked Mr. Alexander if he could identify the three men in the reflection of the front bumper (States Exhibit 3).

These are the exculpatory color photos in Detective James Dunn's Declaration of 3-19-08 (PGS 348-353) that he stated he received by mail from Kamala Wedding on 2-18-06 and subsequently submitted into evidence using the Thurston County Sheriff's Office Evidence and Property Form (PG 353) in the criminal case 05-12398-12 on Kenneth R. Varner, not Doug Merino's case 07-1-00948-9. He also stated that he made a second set of color copies and attached them to his report that he sent to Prosecutor Joe Wheeler (PG 348).

These photos were never submitted into evidence in my case file; they were submitted into Ken Varner's criminal case file. Even if my defense attorney, Mr. Frans, would have physically driven from Seattle and looked into the State's evidence and exhibits as Prosecutor Joe Wheeler suggested in his Declaration, Mr. Frans would not have been able to find these photos because they were hidden in the State's evidence and exhibits in Ken Varner's case file.

In Mr. Wheeler's Declaration (pp. 354-366) on page 360, lines 22-26, and page 361, line 1 he states, "the defense cites no authority for the state to make a copy of evidence and provide it to the defendant, that the defendant's attorney failed to review the photographs in evidence, is not the State's fault, and the defendant needs to reexamine their decision not to look at the evidence rather

than blame the State for their own tactical mistake." On page 363, lines 3-4, Mr. Wheeler continues, "The defendant, had they chosen to review the evidence, could have made their determination by their identifying characteristics in the bumper reflection."

Again it would not have made any difference because Mr. Frans would not have been able to find the evidence. This is because Mr. Wheeler intentionally buried the State's evidence in Kenneth R. Varner's criminal case.

Mr. Wheeler also intentionally hoodwinked Thurston County Court Superior Judge Pomeroy when she had asked him several times about the authenticity and the testimony regarding these photos from witnesses Kamela Wedding, and Janelle Varner, and later on in the trial from Erick Snelson, Detectives Jim Dunn, and Roland Weiss.

Reviewing Detective Dunn's Declaration (p. 348) dated after trial on 3-19-08: Det. Dunn was very careful not to reveal that these were color photo copies in the beginning of his declaration. It was not until the end of his declaration that he mentioned this fact, a writing tactic well known by detectives and prosecutors when writing subjective police reports in an attempt to mask the evidence.

He initially used this "tactic" when he submitted the "photos" into evidence as indicated on the Thurston County Sheriff's Office Evidence/Property Form (p. 353). Referring to the Item Number and Description: "# 1 photo (4) ea of 1950 woody." Additionally, in the Description Box it requests in bold print the following information:

ITEM (NOUN NAME, THEN DESCRIBE: MANUFACTURER, CALIBER, MODEL, SERIAL NUMBER, CONDITON, QUANTITY, ETC.)

Detective Dunn failed to "describe" the evidence by omitting the word "color".

Looking in the upper right hand corner of this form you will also see the Case Number 05-12398-12 and just directly below you will see the SUSPECT box indicating Varner, Kenneth R. This again supports the fact that these "photos" were not entered into evidence in my case file, but instead, were buried in Ken Varner's case file.

Mr. Wheeler had to know this fact because he also had his own "color copies" and the attached Evidence / Property Form as stated by Detective Dunn in his declaration. This was not a mistake or error on the part of the Prosecutor Joe Wheeler; it was another one of his intentional "tactical" strategies that he referred to in his Declaration.

Mr. Wheeler uses this same tactic again when my Appellant Attorney, Christopher Bawn, ([pp. 264 -266] after attempting to get color copies of the State's Exhibits 1-4 from the Court Clerks Office), is told he would have to obtain them from Prosecutor Joe Wheeler.

Mr. Wheeler's Declaration (pp. 354-366) page 360, lines 7- 27, and p. 361, lines 1 -6, basically states

that he misunderstood what color photos Mr. Bawn was requesting and that Mr. Bawn wasn't clear about what color photos he wanted. Mr. Wheeler claims to have thought he meant other color photos of various cars in question, and not the color photos of State's exhibits 1-4.

Mr. Wheeler further contradicts himself by admitting that Mr. Bawn was looking for the color photos used in the trial. Based on his own statements, it is clear that Mr. Wheeler had a clear understanding of what color photos Mr. Bawn was requesting.

On page 360, lines 15-17, Mr. Wheeler admits that he developed all the color photographs and presented them to the defense at trial thereby supporting our argument that he withheld exculpatory evidence prior to and until the second day of the trial.

We had no time to prepare a proper defense and had no idea that Mr. Wheeler was going to elicit testimony from witnesses Janelle Varner and Frank Alexander regarding images reflected in the color photo of States Exhibit # 3.

Throughout the trial, Mr. Wheeler used this photo repeatedly to convince the jury and the court that one of the three images was Doug Merino along with other fictional statements and theories regarding Doug Merino. Mr. Wheeler's deceitful strategy successfully confused and misdirected the jury with fiction instead of facts.

We could not even get the color exhibits after trial; we had to get them after they were submitted by the Thurston County Clerks Office to the Appellate Court. As Mr. Wheeler stated in his Declaration (pp.354-356), lines 17-20, it was not his responsibility to provide color copies, only black and whites copies.

Mr. Wheeler intentionally withheld these color photos until the trial began because he knew they contained numerous, revealing and exculpatory evidence reflected in the color photo copies that could not be found in the black and white Xerox paper copies.

However, the black and white copies Mr. Wheeler stated he provided, were not copies of the State's evidence exhibits 1-4. A careful review reveals they were degraded, altered, edited, and lacked poor quality and clarity. They were fakes!

These were the color photos that Insurance Agent Erick Snelson testified were the same photos that the received from Jim and Ken Varner on December 6, 2005. However, they could not be the same for the following reasons:

- 1. The date indicated in the lower right corner on these photos was 12/16/20___, sixteen (16) days after December 1, 2005, the date Janelle Varner testified that she printed them for her father Jim Varner.*
- 2. Ten (10) days after December 6, 2005, the date Erick Snelson testified that he received them*

from the Varner's.

3. Eight (8) days after December 8, 2006, the date the vehicle was reported stolen to law enforcement by Jim and Ken Varner.

This is the same day of 12/16/05, the date Kamela Wedding's testified Ken Varner gave her the photos.

These were the same color photos that Janelle Varner testified were the same, similar color photos she printed for her father James Varner on 12-01-05 although larger. Again, they could not be because of the same four obvious reasons aforementioned.

I would like to call your close attention to the State's color copies of Exhibits 1-4 submitted as evidence in my trial by Mr. Wheeler on 1-22-08, and submitted to the Appellate Court by Thurston County Court Clerk, A. Williams on 7-22-08.

Compare these to the black and white Xerox photo copies sent by Mr. Wheeler to Defense Council Mike Frans (pp. 277-280) as well as to the same Xerox photo copies attached to Detective Dunn's Declaration (pp. 348-352) to States Colored Exhibits 1-4. It becomes strikingly obvious that not one of these exhibits are exact copies of the color copies used against me in my trial by Mr. Wheeler. They are poorly Xeroxed copies of Mr. Alexander's vehicle which have been edited, altered, tampered, and fraudulent by Mr. Wheeler and Detective James Dunn.

The following Defendant's Exhibits were obtained within the Court of Appeals file using a camera to obtain a color photo copy of the color copies of States Exhibits 1-4. (Color Copier Not Available at Court of Appeals)

Again, see if you can observe the same exculpatory evidence that I do in these color photo copies that cannot be seen in the black and white Xerox copies provided in Discovery by Prosecutor Joe Wheeler.

Defendant's Exhibits A 1-3: State's Exhibit # 3 within the actual colored photo of entire left side of vehicle depicting the following starting from the left to the right:

Left front bumper: (Left) one individual standing, (middle) one individual leaning forward (right) one individual squatting down (behind the middle) one individual possibly standing partially exposed and blocked by the individual squatting down (far right) front of red car with wide white wall tires next to blue trash can.

Left front fender: Full image of the red car with wide white wall tires believed to be a 1960 Chevrolet Hardtop.

Driver's side front and rear door panel: Reflection depicting a gray lawn chair next to white male,

black hair, wearing black short-sleeved shirt exposing left arm with wristwatch, wearing blue jeans and white tennis shoes and walking.

Right rear fender: Looking at the photo up side down is a reflection depicting a gray-haired individual wearing blue plaid long-sleeved shirt sitting in red fold out chair with red cup in hand.

Directly behind vehicle: A white male approximately 6' tall, black curly hair, mustache, wearing sunglasses, red shirt, multi-colored blue jacket, potbelly, wearing blue jeans and white shoes. He is standing or walking next to wooden picnic table with a red table umbrella. Standing in the distance behind this individual appears to be a light-haired female wearing a white coat, legs exposed from knees down, and carrying what appears to be duffle bag, and green trees in background.

White Paper Page Containing Color Photo Against Black Background Containing typed and hand written information :

Upper Right Corner – Page 1 of (?)

Lower Left Corner – JPG Photo File Information

Middle – Hand Written “PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNER”

Lower Right Corner – 12/16/20____ (Blank)

Orange States Exhibit # 3 – Submitted by Thurston County Clerks Office, Cause # 07-1-948-9, Date 1/22/08, by A. Williams.

You will not find this information in the Black and White Xerox Copies in Christopher Brawn’s Declaration, Mike Frans’s Declaration, and Detective Dunn’s Declaration supported by statements made by Mr. Wheeler. You will find that all this information was omitted and the only information remaining is the hand written “photo provided by Varner.”

How can Prosecutor Joe Wheeler and Detective Jim Dunn defend in their Sworn Declarations that the State’s Color Exhibits 1-4 are exact copies of the Black and White Xerox Copies provided to the Defense? It is undeniably clear that they are NOT the exact copies.

Defendant’s Exhibits B 1-3: States Exhibits 1-4 / Color Photos 1-2-4

Photo #1 – Color Photo / Top of Vehicle

Photo #2 – Color Photo / Front of Vehicle

Photo #4 – Color Photo / Inside Roof of Vehicle

All of these color photos clearly depict additional witness information regarding individuals and vehicle owners and the typed print and hand written information as found in States Exhibit # 3. The Defense would have hired a private investigator, as suggested in Mr. Wheelers Declaration, had they been provided these State's Color Exhibits 1-4 by Mr. Wheeler.

The following Defendant's Exhibit Reveals four Individuals, not three as inferred by Mr. Wheeler and testified to by witnesses Janelle Varner and Frank Alexander in the State's Color Exhibit # 3..

Defendant's Exhibit C 1-2: Enlarged 8x10 of State's Color Exhibit # 3.

Left front bumper: More clearly depicting four individuals (Left) one individual standing, (middle) one individual leaning forward (right) one individual squatting down (behind the middle) one individual standing partially exposed and blocked by the individual squatting down (far right) front of red car with wide white wall tires next to blue trash can.

The following Defendant's Exhibit Enlarged With Details More to the Right Side of the Vehicle of States Color Exhibit # 3.

Defendant's Exhibit D: *Enlarged 8x10 of States Exhibit # 3. More focused on the rights side of the vehicle rather than just the bumper.*

Left front fender: Full image of the red car with wide white wall tires believed to be a 1960 Chevrolet Hardtop.

Driver's side front and rear door panel: Reflection depicting a gray lawn chair next to white male, black hair, wearing black short-sleeved shirt exposing left arm with wristwatch, wearing blue jeans and white tennis shoes and walking.

Right rear fender: Looking at the photo up side down is a reflection depicting a gray-haired individual wearing blue plaid long-sleeved shirt sitting in red fold out chair with red cup in hand.

Directly behind vehicle: A white male approximately 6' tall, black curly hair, mustache, wearing sunglasses, red shirt, multi-colored blue jacket, potbelly, wearing blue jeans and white shoes. He is standing or walking next to wooden picnic table with a red table umbrella. Standing in the distance behind this individual appears to be a light-haired female wearing a white coat, legs exposed from knees down, and carrying what appears to be duffle bag, and green trees in background.

All of these color photos clearly depict additional witness information, regarding individuals and vehicle owners and the typed print and hand written information as found in States Exhibit # 3. The Defense would have hired a private investigator as suggested in Mr. Wheeler's Declaration had they been provided these States Color Exhibits 1-4 by Mr. Wheeler.

Defendant's Exhibit E: Detective James Dunn Declaration dated 3-19-08 (PGS 348-353)

Received four photos by mail Kamala Wedding

Wedding received photos from Mr. Varner

Entered photos into evidence

Color copies included in Detective Dunn's report

Color copies and report sent Prosecutor Joe Wheeler

Original photos admitted into evidence and to the custody of the court

Defendant's Exhibit F: Black and White Xerox Copies Of States Exhibits 1-4 in Mike Frans's Declaration (pp. 277-280)

Defendant's Exhibit G: (p. 274, Item 15) of Mike Fran's Declaration dated March 12, 2008

I spoke with Mr. Wheeler on March 3, 2008, and Mr. Wheeler indicated that he believed that his use of the photographs and the arguments he made is what made the difference at trial. Mr. Wheeler specifically indicated that it should have made more sense to the jury that Mr. Merino took those photographs. I am very concerned that Mr. Wheeler does not see the significance of his withholding the exculpatory statements of Janelle Varner and the color "images in the bumper" from defense counsel.

Records Custodian/Prosecutor Misconduct:

My Constitutional Confrontation Right to confront witnesses was violated when Mr. Wheeler returned Ken Varner 's ceased passport allowing him flee to Mexico. Court documents regarding Ken Varner

showed him as a high flight risk demanding a \$45,000 bail bond. They let him go before they charged me assuring that I would not have the opportunity to confront Mr. Varner as an accuser in my court trial. Furthermore, this allowed the prosecution to admit written hearsay documents and testimony through a third party, Kamala Wedding, a Farmers Insurance Investigator.

The judge let Mr. Varner's hearsay statements come through a third party, Kamala Wedding as a business record. This was an error. A business record pertains to records that a business uses in their day to day operations. Ken Varner was not an employee or the owner of Farmers Insurance.

The rules pertaining to business documents state that such records have to be introduced into evidence by a Records Custodian—**not by a third party**. Kamala Wedding testified during trial that she did not receive the Proof of Loss Document from Ken Varner nor could she elaborate to the authenticity of its author. Ms. Wedding testified that she pulled the document out the Farmers Insurance main computer. She could not verify that the document was presented to her by Ken Varner on 12-16-05. Moreover, she could not testify from where the document originated because she had the document in front of her **before** she met with Ken Varner. Based on this, Kamala Wedding could not testify who provided the original documents scanned into Farmers Insurance's main computer or where the document even came from. She could only review the documents on the computer screen because all written documents are destroyed after they are scanned under Farmers Insurance document policy. Therefore, she should not have been allowed to even testify regarding Ken Varner's alleged statements on the document.

The only qualified person to testify would be Farmers Insurance Custodian of Records. I was not afforded my Constitutional Right to Confront this witness because Mr. Wheeler hoodwinked the Judge into believing Ms. Wedding was a Custodian of Record when in fact she only maintained her investigative files, not the Custodian of Records files located in Oklahoma City. Therefore, this document should not have been allowed into evidence and used to convict me. There is absolutely no proof of any

authentic documentation that Ken Varner provided Farmers with a Proof of Loss Document. These documents could have been submitted online by anyone!

Respectfully,


Douglas L. Merino

May 27, 2009.

DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
E 1 / 6

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY WA

'08 MAR 19 P4:30

BETTY J. ...

BY _____
DEPT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY**

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

vs.

DOUGLAS MERINO

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

NO. 07-1-948-9

DECLARATION OF
JAMES DUNN

I declare that:

1. My name is James Dunn. I am a detective and deputy sheriff, employed by the Thurston County Sheriff's Office for over 28 years.
2. On 2-18-06 I received four photos by mail from Kamala Wedding. Kamala is the investigator for Farmer's Insurance. The photos are of the ones that were given to Farmer's Insurance by Mr. Varner and he claimed that the photos showed the car that he had purchased and insured. I made an evidence form showing the date and time I had received the photos and placed the photos into evidence. Color copies were included with my report that was sent to the Prosecuting Attorney. I have attached color copies of the four photos and a copy of the evidence form. During trial the original photos received from Farmer's Insurance were admitted into evidence and are now in the custody of the court.




JAMES DUNN

3-19-08
DATE

DECLARATION OF JAMES DUNN - 1

ORIGINAL

EDWARD G. HOLM
Thurston County Prosecu-
2000 Lakeridge Drive
Olympia, WA 98502
(360) 786-5540 Fax (360) 754-3358
0-000000348



DEFENDANT

E 2/6

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNER

0-0000003493




DEPENDANTS
EXHIBITS
E 3/6

IMAGE PROVIDED BY VARNER

0-000000350

174




DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
E-4/6

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNER

0-000000351

175



DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
E 5/6

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNER

0-000000352

176



THURSTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
EVIDENCE/PROPERTY FORM

CASE NUMBER

05-12398-12

TYPE OF CRIME

THEFT 10

EVID

PERS PROP

SAFEKEEPING

RECOV PROP

FOUND

CONTRA

OWNER(S)/SU/WI LAST, FIRST MI DOB

FARMER, MYSUNAKO

SUSPECT: LAST NAME, FIRST MI DOB

WARNER, KENNETH R 9-11-76

ADDRESS/P.O. BOX

6221 Tacoma Mall Blvd

ADDRESS/P.O. BOX

CITY/STATE/ZIP CODE PHONE

TACOMA WA 98409

CITY/STATE/ZIP CODE PHONE

MEXICO

Item Number

ITEM (NOUN NAME, THEN DESCRIBE: MANUFACTURER, CALIBER, MODEL, SERIAL NUMBER, CONDITION, QUANTITY, ECT.)

EVIDENCE USE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

1 photo (4) on of 1950 chev used
DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
E 6/4

EVIDENCE USE

AFTER ADJUDICATION

RETURN TO OWNER

DISPOSE/DESTROY

PHOTOGRAPH

LAB TEST

FINGERPRINT

NARCOTICS FIELD TEST POSITIVE

REMARKS/TYPE OF TESTING REQUESTED

GIVE TO 1531 DUMM

RECOVERED BY:

DUMM JR

SSN:

06871

DATE:

2-18-06

TIME:

0900

PLACED IN EVIDENCE LOCKER

DIRECT TO EVIDENCE OFFICER

RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE BY:

DATE:

TIME:

ITEM(S)

RELEASED BY


RECEIVED BY

DATE

TIME

0-000000353

05-12398




DEPENDANTS
EXHIBIT
F 1/A

POOR ORIGINAL
Unable to Scan Clear Image

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VANDER

0-000000277



DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
F 2/4

POOR ORIGINAL
Unable to Scan Clear Image

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNOR

0-000000278




DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
F 3/4

POOR ORIGINAL
Unable to Scan Clear Image

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNER

0-000000279



DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
F 4/4

POOR ORIGINAL
Unable to Scan Clear Image

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VARNER


0-000000280

1 13. The detectives assigned to the case, Weiss and Dunn, both indicated that they were in favor
2 of a diversion agreement. However, Mr. Wheeler indicated that it was not up to him, that he
3 was being forced into trial by his superiors. Mr. Wheeler further suggested that perhaps
4 there was some outside pressure pushing the prosecution. Mr. Wheeler would then back
5 away from this comment, and then ultimately indicate that it was possible.

6 14. I felt broadsided at trial when Joe Wheeler asked Mr. Alexander about the people who
7 photographed his car. The state was careful not to use or disclose the reflection in the
8 bumper picture or testimony to me, and instead relied upon Mr. Alexander's "circumstantial"
9 inculpatory statement. Mr. Wheeler concluded this case with his argument concerning the
0 testimony as follows: "...photographs were taken 18 months before these photographs were
1 developed by Janelle Varner... remember there were three people that took those
2 photographs. Interesting there's three people in this case...and most importantly, of Ken
3 Varner and Jim Varner, and Doug Merino, who would be most interested in taking pictures of
4 a '40 Woody? Who most likely started these photographs in this process? Who is the man
5 we've heard tons of evidence about this? Who is the man that's really into Woodys? Who is
6 the man that restores them from the beginning?" (Trial transcript, pg. 342-344).

7 15. I spoke with Mr. Wheeler on March 2, 2008, and Mr. Wheeler indicated that he believed that
8 his use of the photographs and the arguments he made is what made the difference at trial.
9 Mr. Wheeler specifically indicated that it should have made more sense to the jury that Mr.
0 Merino took those photographs. I am very concerned that Mr. Wheeler does not see the
1 significance of his withholding the exculpatory statements of Janelle Varner and the color
2 "Images in the bumper" from defense counsel.

3 I swear that the foregoing is true under penalty of perjury and signed this on March 12,
4 2008 in King County, Washington.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

MICHAEL FRANS
WSBA # 29905

DEFENDANTS
EXHIBIT
H 1 / 1

19 FEB
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II
05 APR 29 PM 12:10
STATE OF WASHINGTON
BY W DEPUTY

State of Washington,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

Douglas I. Merino,

Defendant(s).

COURT OF APPEALS NO. 37507-9-II

SUPERIOR COURT NO. 07-1-00948-9

EXHIBITS

OF THE

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR THURSTON COUNTY

HONORABLE CHRIS WICKHAM, JUDGE

ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

Carol L. La Verne
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
2000 Lakeridge Dr. SW
Olympia, WA 98502

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

Christopher W. Bawn
Attorney at Law
1013 Tenth Avenue SE
Olympia, WA 98501

**PLEASE RETURN EXHIBITS TO THURSTON
COUNTY CLERK, 2000 LAKERIDGE DR SW,
OLYMPIA, WA 98502 WHEN CASE MANDATED
DO NOT DESTROY**

DEFENDANT

EXHIBIT

A 2/3

Plaintiff(s).

vs.

Douglas I. Merino,

Defendant(s).

COURT OF APPEALS NO. 37507-9-II

SUPERIOR COURT NO. 07-1-00948-9

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

DESCRIPTION	EXHIBIT NO.
DESIGNATION OF CLERKS PAPERS Filed July 22, 2008	
EXHIBIT LIST Filed January 28, 2008	
Photograph - Top of Vehicle	Exhibit No. 1
Photograph - Front of Vehicle	Exhibit No. 2
Photograph - Left of Vehicle	Exhibit No. 3
Photograph - Inside Roof of Vehicle	Exhibit No. 4



EDD A. GILL
DUSTY GILK
1948

Address _____

City _____

Date _____

Name _____

Phone _____

Room _____

Street _____

photo provided by [unclear]

16-78-100-30-2 MYC-245 JPI



HOUSTON COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

BETTY J. GOULD
COUNTY CLERK
and Ex. Officio, Clerk
of Superior Court


State Exhibit = 1

Cause = 17-1-948-9

Date Admitted 1/22/18

By A. Williams
Deputy

PHOTO PROVIDED BY VORNER



STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
AND REHABILITATION

Case Exhibit # 4
 Case # 17-1-748-9
 Date Admitted 1/20/18
 by A Williams

Deputy

Photo provided by VANDERBILT

17-1621

B 3/3



c 2/7



c. 1/2

