380994

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II
09 MAR 20 AM 11:49
STATE OF WASHINGTON
BY DEPUTY

My Statement of additional grounds for review

Note: I first recieved my "trial transcripts" on "3·9·09", the prison mail records reflect, to my understanding, after recieving my "trial transcripts" I have "30 days" to file my "statement of additional grounds for review", this gives me until "4·9·09" I do believe.

Summarized below are the "additional grounds" for review that are not addressed in my "appeal's attorney opening brief", I understand the court will review this statement of additional grounds for review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

"additional grounds 1"

→ Ineffective assistance of counsel;

"I, Garnett Lynn Williams" would like to contend "that my attorney at trial for this cause No. 08-1-02208-2, Mr. Steven Burgess's

REPRESENTATION OF ME WAS DEFICIENT AND THAT THE DEFICIENCY RESULTED IN PREJUDICE. [STATE V. MCFARLAND, 127 WN 2d 322, 334-35, 899 P. 2d 1251 (1995)]

PREJUDICE IS ESTABLISHED IF THERE IS A REASONABLE PROBABILITY THAT, BUT FOR COUNSELS ERRORS, THE RESULT OF THE PROCEEDINGS WOULD HAVE BEEN DIFFERENT. [MCFARLAND, 127 WN 2d AT 335.

"ARGUMENT"

THE "TRIAL COURT RECORDS" FOR THIS CASE NO. 08-1-02208-2 REFLECTS, TRIAL BEGIN ON "7.2.08", ACCORDING TO MY TRIAL ATTORNEY "MR. STEVEN BERGESS", IN HIS OWN WORDS: "SO JUST LETTING THE COURT AS WELL AS MR. WILLIAMS KNOW THAT ALTHOUGH -- OR DESPITE MY EARLIER STATEMENTS THAT I AM STILL PREPARING FOR THIS MATTER AND GETTING UP TO TRIAL SPEED ON THIS."

IN ADDITION TO THAT, YOUR HONOR, I DONT HAVE ANY OTHER MOTIONS IN LIMINE, OTHER THAN NOTING FOR THE COURT IM STILL WAITING ON CRIMINAL HISTORY, ... ON THE STATE'S WITNESS.

THOSE STATEMENTS FROM MY "TRIAL ATTORNEY" WERE FILED ON THE "RECORD" THE FIRST DAY OF TRIAL, "7.2.08", MY TRIAL ATTORNEY FAILED TO PROTECT MY RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS, FAILED TO PROPERLY PREPARE AND INVESTIGATE ALL MATTERS CONCERNING STATE'S WITNESSES, MY ATTORNEY FAILED TO "INTERVIEW" STATE'S WITNESSES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR ME THE INFORMATION AS A RESULT OF THOSE INTERVIEWS WHICH WOULD HAVE HAD A SERIOUS IMPACT ON MY DECISION TO PROCEED WITH TRIAL IN THE MANNER THAT I DID, WHICH INCLINED ME TO GIVE UP MY RIGHT TO A "JURY TRIAL".

THE "RECORD" REFLECTS THAT THERE WERE NO INTERVIEWS OF ANY OF THE STATE'S WITNESSES UNTIL THE DAY AFTER TRIAL BEGIN ON "7.2.08", A DAY OR SO TO LATE FOR ME TO REALLY DECIDE IF I TRULY WANTED OR SHOULD OF GIVEN UP MY RIGHT TO A "JURY TRIAL".