

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

38599-6-II

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

Respondent,)

No. 08-1-02055-1

v.)

Richard Carl Howard II
(your name)

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

Appellant.)

FILED
JUN 16 11:30 AM '09
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

I, Richard C Howard II, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

From the date I was made eligible for public defence (DAC), the grounds were I made to work money yet the form states I was unemployed. Therefore that alone caused the courts to make multiple continuances and then make me eligible for public defence, only to be given counsel that I stated "on record" that wasn't working for me. One issue I have is "ineffective counsel," which I assume is grounds for atleast a new trial.

Additional Ground 2

There were two officers involved in my arrest. One was off duty and in trial you could catch the discrepancy in their stories. The off duty officer did the searching of not only my vehicle, but me as well along with officer smithey when my arresting officer. I dont have the transcripts, which i'd really like, but during my trial the officer said he took my bag off me after he "Allegedly" found a pill in it, yet he didnt take the cuffs off of me from the time I was placed in there. That would be been impossible to do unless I was uncuffed or the strap was cut.

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 6-12-09

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

I don't know case laws, or even the procedures of police officers when an arrest is made. I know I never had a pill on me, and it is my belief that the off duty officer planted it on me. Why else would they make such a big deal about trying to keep his identity concealed.

He was never mentioned in my police report, yet he played a major part of my arrest. Something that I'm sure was captured on video surveillance from one of the multiple businesses in the vicinity of my arrest, especially the Jiffy Lube. "Wouldn't that alone be grounds for a new trial? Or for my case to be thrown out?"

I'm not sure if I'm doing this form and writing this additional information right, but as I said I don't know how to do this stuff and I'm not sure how clear the instructions are, because honestly I don't understand. I would appreciate if I can get the complete transcripts of my trial, and the police report.

Also if I didn't do this right, please explain how some men to meet officers. I admitted "I never had license, and I never had an ecstasy pill on me. I know I violated traffic rules that day, but I never had drugs on me.

- Thank you for your time -

Sincerely

Richard C Howard II