

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

2017 OCT 24 AM 11:50

STATE OF WASHINGTON

No. 50089R2-11

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
Respondent,)
)
v.)
)
Menneth Chapman)
(your name))
)
Appellant.)

I, Menneth Chapman, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

I would like to call into question the actual add posted on craigslist by detective Rodriguez. The original add I responded to had a picture of a middle aged woman. During trial the original add couldn't be reproduced by detective Rodriguez. In fact detective Rodriguez testified to the fact he couldn't clearly remember the add as he post multiple adds at a time. If responding to an add speaks to my intent and the add cant be reproduced it is further more unclear what my true intent was.

Additional Ground 2

The arrest should be called into question also. Detective Rodriguez testified that he did not have a procedure to arrest me. Meaning per his training the crime wasn't committed, had it had it would have not been any confusion on the arrest. I would not go to the apartment and the detective also testified to that so they proceed with an arrest. Had detective followed his training the arrest would not have happened and premature. I think its fair to say that had he followed his training we would not be at this point today.

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: Oct 20, 2017

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]