

FILED  
Court of Appeals  
Division II  
State of Washington  
10/23/2018 3:52 PM  
NO. 51511-3

---

---

COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION I  
STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON, RESPONDENT

v.

DAKATA COLLINS, APPELLANT

---

Appeal from the Superior Court of Pierce County  
The Honorable Stephanie A. Arend

No. 16-1-02182-6

---

**Supplemental Brief of Respondent**

---

MARK LINDQUIST  
Prosecuting Attorney

By  
MAUREEN GOODMAN  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney  
WSB # 34012

930 Tacoma Avenue South  
Room 946  
Tacoma, WA 98402  
PH: (253) 798-7400

## Table of Contents

A.	ISSUES PERTAINING TO APPELLANT'S ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR.....	1
1.	Should this court remand for the criminal filing fee to be stricken? .....	1
2.	Should this court remand for the DNA collection fee to be stricken? .....	1
B.	STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	1
C.	ARGUMENT.....	1
1.	THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER THAT THE IMPOSITION OF THE CRIMINAL FILING FEE BE STRIKEN.....	1
2.	THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER THAT THE IMPOSITION OF THE DNA COLLECTION FEE BE STRIKEN.....	2
D.	CONCLUSION.....	3

## Table of Authorities

### State Cases

*State v. Ramirez*, \_\_ Wn.2d \_\_, 426 P.3d 714 (2018) ..... 1, 2

### Statutes

House Bill 1783 ..... 1, 2

RCW 43.43.7541 ..... 2

A. ISSUES PERTAINING TO APPELLANT'S ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR.

1. Should this court remand for the criminal filing fee to be stricken?
2. Should this court remand for the DNA collection fee to be stricken?

B. STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

The statement of facts is contained in the State's response brief and incorporated here by reference.

C. ARGUMENT.

1. THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER THAT THE IMPOSITION OF THE CRIMINAL FILING FEE BE STRIKEN.

In this case, the trial court found the defendant to be indigent. CP 437 – 438, 439. The defendant's direct appeal is still pending. House Bill 1783, effective March 27, 2018, prohibits the imposition of the \$200.00 filing fee on defendants who were indigent at the time of sentencing. As the court held in *State v. Ramirez*, \_\_ Wn.2d \_\_, 426 P.3d 714 (2018), House Bill 1783 is applicable to cases that are on appeal and therefore not yet final. The State agrees that the criminal filing fee of \$200.00 that was imposed in this case should be stricken. The State further agrees that

House Bill 1783 eliminates any interest accrual on nonrestitution legal financial obligations.

The State acknowledges that this defendant was found indigent by the sentencing court, and therefore the \$200.00 criminal filing fee should be stricken.

2. THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER THAT THE IMPOSITION OF THE DNA COLLECTION FEE BE STRIKEN.

The appellant in this case also appeals the imposition of a \$100 DNA-collection fee in the judgment and sentence, asserting that a DNA sample was previously submitted to the state as a result of a prior qualifying conviction. A legislative amendment to RCW 43.43.7541, which took effect June 7, 2018, requires imposition of the DNA-collection fee “unless the state has previously collected the offender’s DNA as a result of a prior conviction.” The amendment applies to defendants whose appeals were pending — i.e., their cases were not yet final — when the amendment was enacted. *State v. Ramirez*, \_\_\_ Wn.2d \_\_\_, 426 P.3d 714, (2018).

The State’s records show that this appellant’s DNA was previously collected and the fee imposed under juvenile cause number 15-8-00410-4 and is on file with the Washington State Patrol Crime Lab. The State


respectfully asks this Court to remand this case to the superior court to amend the judgment and sentence to strike the imposition of the \$100 DNA collection fee.

D. CONCLUSION.

This court should remand for the trial court to strike the imposition of the \$200.00 filing fee, the imposition of the \$100 DNA collection fee and the interest accrual provision and affirm all other issues as stated in the State's response brief.

DATED: October 23, 2018.

MARK LINDQUIST  
Pierce County Prosecuting Attorney



Maureen C. Goodman  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney  
WSB # 34012

Certificate of Service:

The undersigned certifies that on this day she delivered by U.S. mail or ABC-LMI delivery to the attorney of record for the appellant and appellant c/o his attorney true and correct copies of the document to which this certificate is attached. This statement is certified to be true and correct under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington. Signed at Tacoma, Washington, on the date below.

10.23.18 Maureen  
Date Signature

**PIERCE COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY**

**October 23, 2018 - 3:52 PM**

**Transmittal Information**

**Filed with Court:** Court of Appeals Division II  
**Appellate Court Case Number:** 51511-3  
**Appellate Court Case Title:** State of Washington, Respondent v Dakota Mikalle Collins, Appellant  
**Superior Court Case Number:** 16-1-02182-6

**The following documents have been uploaded:**

- 515113\_Briefs\_20181023155141D2918325\_3390.pdf  
This File Contains:  
Briefs - Respondents - Modifier: Supplemental  
*The Original File Name was Collins Supp Brief of Respondent.pdf*

**A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:**

- SCCAttorney@yahoo.com

**Comments:**

---

Sender Name: Therese Kahn - Email: tnichol@co.pierce.wa.us

**Filing on Behalf of:** Maureen C Goodman - Email: mgoodma@co.pierce.wa.us (Alternate Email: PCpatcecf@co.pierce.wa.us)

Address:  
930 Tacoma Ave S, Rm 946  
Tacoma, WA, 98402  
Phone: (253) 798-7400

**Note: The Filing Id is 20181023155141D2918325**