

IN THE
State of Washington Court of Appeals
DIVISION III

No. 269426-III
Consolidated with No. 28655-0
In re the Guardianship of DORIS JEAN HOOGSTAD

APPEAL FROM THE
LINCOLN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
Judge Philip Borst
Judge Michael P. Price

**BRIEF OF RESPONDENT
LORI PETERSEN, GUARDIAN**

JAMES V. WOODARD
Attorney at Law
16905 N. Saddlehill Rd.
Colbert, WA 99005
Phone: (509) 466-3317

Counsel for Lori
Petersen, Guardian

IN THE
State of Washington Court of Appeals
DIVISION III

No. 269426-III
Consolidated with No. 28655-0
In re the Guardianship of DORIS JEAN HOOGSTAD

APPEAL FROM THE
LINCOLN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
Judge Philip Borst
Judge Michael P. Price

**BRIEF OF RESPONDENT
LORI PETERSEN, GUARDIAN**

JAMES V. WOODARD
Attorney at Law
16905 N. Saddlehill Rd.
Colbert, WA 99005
Phone: (509) 466-3317

Counsel for Lori
Petersen, Guardian

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of the Case.....	1
Argument.....	9
(I) Only issues raised by Ms. Laurene in her Assignments of Error may be considered by this court.....	9
(II) Assignments of Error numbers 1-12 relate to the original Order Appointing Full Guardian of Person and Estate, which was not timely appealed.....	10
(III) Assignment of Error number 13 does not reference any order or decision made by the trial court.....	10
(IV) The issue raised in Assignment of Error number 14 regarding the sale of real property is moot.....	11
(V) Assignments of Error numbers 15-22 do not reference any specific Order of the trial court that is being appealed.....	13
(VI) The trial court did not abuse its discretion by ordering the return for sale of Ms. Hoogstad’s vehicle.....	13
(VII) Although Assignment of Error number 24 refers to “ex parte communication”, no such communication took place.....	14
(VIII) It is unclear what appealable issue is set forth in Assignment of Error number 25.....	14
(IX) The trial court had sufficient evidence to make the finding set forth in Assignment of Error number 26.....	15
(X) The trial court properly found a lack of standing and properly prohibited the filing of future pleadings.....	16

Request for Attorney’s Fees.....	19
Conclusion.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<u>In re Hallauer</u> , 44 Wn. App.795, 723 P.2d 1161 (1986).....	18
<u>Marriage of Giordano</u> , 57 Wn. App 74, 787 P.2d 51 (1990).....	18
<u>Weemer v. North Franklin Sch. Dist.</u> , 109 Wn. App. 767, 37 P.3d 354 (2002).....	13
<u>Weyerhaeuser Co. v. Commercial Union Ins. Co.</u> , 142 Wn.2d 654, 15 P.3rd 115 (2000).....	10
<u>Yurtis v. Phipps</u> , 143 Wn. App. 680, 181 P.3d 849 (2008).....	18

STATUTES

RCW 11.92.150.....	17
RCW 11.96A.020.....	18
RCW 11.96A.150.....	19,20

COURT RULES

CR 7(b)(5).....	13
RAP 2.3(a)(5).....	9
RAP 5.2(a) and (c).....	10
RAP 10.3(g).....	9
RAP 10.4(b).....	9
RAP 18.1.....	20

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This guardianship action was commenced on May 7, 2007 with the filing of a Petition for guardianship by Sherene L. Nelson, a daughter of Ms. Hoogstad, on a *pro se* basis. CP 570-610. On that same date, Lin D. O'Dell was appointed as the Guardian ad Litem. CP 611-617.

Ms. O'Dell filed her report on July 26, 2007. CP 790-804. In that report, she set forth, among other matters, her contacts with the Appellant Jenon Laurene, another daughter of Ms. Hoogstad. Ms. Laurene agreed that her mother needed a guardian but asserted that she should be appointed in that capacity. CP 796. Ms. O'Dell recommended the following:

“I also recommend that Jenon not be appointed as Guardian for the Person and the Estate. I have been communicating with Jenon for some time via telephone or email. She is extremely opinionated and very controlling. I believe that she will do anything to get her way. I also believe she does not distinguish her needs from her mother's needs. Jenon is very efficient and does get things done, but cannot or will not believe or understand that Doris Jean has civil rights and even with a guardianship, she remains an individual with certain rights.” CP 802.

In a Supplemental Report of Guardian ad Litem filed on August 13, 2007, Ms. O'Dell noted that Ms. Laurene and Ms. Hoogstad had

signed a rental agreement for a home in Renton, and that Ms. Hoogstad did not remember signing that agreement. She further noted:

“Before leaving Spokane, Jenon took her mother to the bank and switched the Safety Deposit Box into her name as well as Doris Jean’s name. In the spring of 2006, there was \$33,000.00 in this Safety Deposit Box. It was unknown how much money was left when the boxes were transferred.” CP 7-8.

The hearing for the appointment of a guardian was held on August 15, 2007, and the Hon. Philip Borst entered an Order appointing Lori Petersen, a professional guardian, as the Guardian of the Person and Estate. CP 633-640. Neither Ms. Laurene nor Ms. Hoogstad appeared at the hearing. However, Ms. Laurene filed a Motion for Reconsideration (sic) on August 30, 2007. CP 14-20. That motion was denied by Judge Borst on November 15, 2007. CP 641-645. No appeal was filed by Ms. Laurene within 30 days of the entry of either Order.

On February 25, 2008, the Guardian filed a Petition for Approval of Budget, Disbursements and Initial Care Plan, Inventory and obtained the Court’s signature on an Order approving both of those documents. CP 659-662. That same day, the Guardian filed a Petition to Authorize Sale of Real Property, requesting that the Court authorize her to sell Ms. Hoogstad’s doublewide home on three acres. CP 663-665.

In response, on March 7, 2008, Ms. Laurene filed the following: 1.) Motion for Order Conditioning Sale, (CP 678), 2.) Petition for Orders to Issue Citation, Removing Guardian and Appointing Successor Guardian (CP101-109), 3.) Response to Petition for Order Authorizing Sale of Real Property & Request to Deny (CP 676-677), 4.) Motion for Condition Sale of Real Property on a Just Appraisal (CP 666), and 5.) another Motion for Condition(ing) Sale on Just Appraisal. CP 678.

On March 10, 2008, Ms. Laurene re-filed all of the above pleadings along with the following: 1.) Second Motion Regarding Escrow Upon Sale, (CP110), and 2.) Response to and Request to Deny Petition for Order Approving Budget, Disbursements and Initial Care Plan. CP 684-691.

On March 12, 2008, Judge Borst entered an Order denying Ms. Laurene's motions and petitions based on her failure to appear at the hearing. He also approved the request to sell the real property. CP 694-695.

On March 17, 2008, Ms. Laurene filed the following: 1.) a Motion for Reconsideration of: Order to Sale Property of Doris Jean Hoogstad, Initial Care Plan & Recognition and Inclusion of All Motions Filed Concerning Sale of Property; & of Petition for Orders to Issue Citation

Removing Guardian & Appointing Successor Guardian (CP124-128), and 2.) a Motion for Order for Order staying the sale of real property. CP 697-698. She also filed a Notice of Appeal to Court of Appeals Division 3 on that date. CP 129-140.

Ms. Laurene again requested to appear telephonically to argue her Motions. Ms. Petersen filed an Objection to Telephonic Appearance, pointing out *inter alia* that Ms. Laurene in fact had two vehicles available to her for transportation. CP 156-158.

On April 10, 2008, Judge Borst denied Ms. Laurene's Motion for Reconsideration and Motion for Stay, again based on her failure to appear in person. CP 808-809. During his oral ruling, he set forth the bases for his denial as follows:

"I had a request for appearance by telephone by Ms. Laurene. I told her that she had to be here for this hearing. She set the hearing—for this date. I understand that under Rule 43 that I have discretion, but she has not showed up for previous hearings, and I wanted her here to testify, and to be cross examined. And I sent her a letter on top of that saying she had to be here. And as I understand it she acknowledged she got the letter; she requested another appearance by telephone, which I didn't respond to because I've already told her she had to be here." RP 27-28

On April 21, 2008, Ms. Laurene filed a Petition for Order Staying the Sale of Real Property of Doris Jean Hoogstad ICP with the Court of Appeals despite the fact that Judge Borst had denied this same motion. This Petition was denied by Commissioner McCown on June 10, 2008.

She then made a motion to modify this ruling which was denied by the Hon. Judge John A. Schultheis on October 7, 2008. She subsequently sought review of the Order by the Supreme Court and review was denied on December 29, 2008.

Ms. Laurene's Notice of Appeal stated, in part, she was appealing "all of the decisions of the Superior Court", then denominated certain Orders and Motions. CP129-140. The subsequent filings by her indicated that she appeared to be appealing the original Order appointing the Guardian entered in 2007. Further, some of the Motions she made in March of 2007 were not denominated in the Notice of Appeal. In order to limit the possible issues on review, Ms. Petersen, filed with this appellate court a Motion to Determine Reviewability of Superior Court Orders on June 9, 2009.

In the mean time, the Guardian had received an offer to purchase the real property and a Petition for Order Directing Sale of Real Property was filed on April 22, 2009. CP 703-714. Ms. Laurene filed a Response to Petition for Order Directing Sale of Real Property and Request to Deny on May 6, 2009. CP 757-771. She made no reference in her responsive pleading to any prior transfer of the property into her name.

At this point in time, Judge Borst had retired and Judge John Strohmeier, who replaced him, filed a Recusal of Judge. CP 701. The case was then assigned to Judge Michael P. Price. CP 702. On May 7, 2009, Judge Price signed an Order Directing Sale of Real Property. CP 715-716. As noted on the Order, Ms. Laurene appeared telephonically and objected to the entry of the Order.

An Order Confirming Sale of Real Property was entered thereafter on June 12, 2009. CP 717-719. Following the entry of this Order, counsel and Ms. Petersen were advised by Ms. Laurene, through her counsel, that a Quit Claim Deed had been executed by Ms. Hoogstad on July 26, 2007, which was 20 days before the trial court entered the Order appointing a guardian for her. CP 779. This deed was recorded on June 11, 2009. CP 779. The deed quitclaimed the property to Ms. Laurene and reserved a life estate in Ms. Hoogstad. CP 779. The existence of this deed had never been disclosed to Ms. Petersen or her attorney previously and was not referenced in any of Ms. Laurene's prior pleadings or arguments in response to Ms. Petersen's Petition to sell the property. Curiously, this Quit Claim Deed is never mentioned in the Affidavit of John F. Strohmaier who, in fact, indicated that Ms. Hoogstad had declined to sign such a deed in his meeting with her on August 8, 2007. CP 63-65.

On July 15, 2009, Commissioner McCown ruled that the sole orders that are appealable by right are the denial of Ms. Laurene's 1.) Petition for Orders to Issue Citation Removing Guardian & Appointing Successor Guardian, 2.) Response to Request to Deny Petition for Order Approving Budget, Disbursements and Initial Care Plan, and 3.) Second Motion Regarding Escrow upon Sale.

On August 31, 2009, Ms. Petersen filed a Motion to Direct Return of Motor Vehicle, specifically a 2000 Pontiac Bonneville. CP 433-436. This vehicle had originally been titled in Ms. Hoogstad's name, was transferred into Ms. Laurene's name and Judge Borst had ordered that it be transferred back to Ms. Hoogstad's name by Order dated December 4, 2008. CP 409-410.

On September 16, 2009, Ms. Laurene filed a Response to Motion to Direct Return of Motor Vehicle and Request to Deny. CP 720-735. No reference was made in that response that the vehicle had been totaled in an accident.

On September 23, 2009, the court held a hearing on the Motion and Ms. Laurene appeared telephonically. At no point during the hearing did Ms. Laurene indicate that the Pontiac been totaled. RP of Hearing of

9/23/09, 1-19. The court then entered an Order directing that the Pontiac be turned over to Ms. Petersen. CP 736-737.

Ms. Laurene then filed a Notice of Appeal of that Order. On October 8, 2009, she also filed, in the Superior Court, a Motion Requesting Stay of Order Directing the Return of Motor Vehicle for Purpose of Sale in the Superior Court. CP 472-473.

On October 20, 2009, Ms. Petersen filed a Response to this Motion. CP 474-477. This Response raised the issue of Ms. Laurene's standing.

The hearing on Ms. Laurene's Motion was held on October 23, 2009. During the course of the hearing, under questioning by Judge Price, it was disclosed by Ms. Laurene that the Pontiac had been totaled in an accident in approximately June, 2009. RP of hearing 10/23/09 at 28-29. The judge was clearly disturbed that she had never mentioned this at the previous hearing. RP of hearing 10/23/09 at 28-29.

The judge then prepared and entered his own Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order on Motion to Stay Enforcement. CP 485-488. He found, *inter alia*, that Ms. Laurene "intentionally withheld this information from the Court at all levels, as well as counsel, and was maintaining motor vehicle insurance on an automobile which she did not

own, and was not authorized to drive pursuant to the underlying Lincoln County guardianship action.” CP 486. The court further found that Ms. Laurene “is unable to set forth any authority demonstrating standing or privity in this proceeding, continues to file pleadings and various actions with the Court purporting to act in the best interests of Ms. Hoogstad.” CP 487. Ms. Laurene has also appealed this Order.

ARGUMENT

I. Only issues raised by Ms. Laurene in her Assignments of Error may be reviewed by this court.

Ms. Laurene’s brief exceeds the length allowed in RAP 10.4(b). It contains innumerable references to facts which are not contained in the record and to which no references to the record are made in violation of RAP 2.3(a)(5). Both her brief and attachments thereto contain numerous irrelevant claims and irrelevant information.

In order to streamline the issues, Ms. Petersen will rely on the provisions of RAP 10.3(g), which provides in part as follows:

“ The appellate court will only review a claimed error which is included in an assignment of error or clearly disclosed in the associated issue pertaining thereto.”

This foregoing requirement is confirmed by case law. See, e.g., Weyerhauser Co. v. Commercial Union Ins. Co., 142 Wn. 2d 654, 15 P. 3rd 115 (2000).

II. Assignments of Error numbers 1-12 relate to the original Order Appointing Full Guardian of Person and Estate, which was not timely appealed.

The Appellant's first 12 Assignments of Error all relate to the trial court's Order establishing the guardianship which was entered on August 15, 2007 (CP 633-640) and/or its dismissal of her untimely Motion for Reconsideration filed on August 30, 2007. The latter Order to Dismiss Motion for Reconsideration was entered on November 15, 2007. CP 641-645.

No appeal or Motion for Discretionary Review was filed by the Appellant of either of those Orders within 30 days of their entry as required by RAP 5.2(a) or 5.2(c).

As a consequence of the foregoing, Respondent requests that those Assignments of Error and all argument regarding those Assignments of Error be stricken and disregarded by this court.

III. Assignment of Error number 13 does not reference any Order or decision made by the trial court that is being appealed.

Ms. Laurene's Assignment of Error number 13 states that the trial court erred in retaining Ms. Petersen as the guardian. There is no specific Order or decision referenced. Without specificity, Ms. Petersen is unable to respond.

IV. The issue raised in Assignment of Error number 14 regarding the sale of real property is moot.

Throughout Ms. Petersen's efforts to sell Ms. Hoogstad's property, Ms. Laurene failed to disclose that Ms. Hoogstad had previously deeded the property to her and retained a life estate on July 26, 2007. This was not disclosed until June 12, 2009, when counsel and Ms. Petersen were advised of the existence of this deed. CP 779.

The result of this disclosure is that Ms. Petersen cannot pass clear title to the property and has abandoned her efforts to sell it. The property is currently leased pursuant to an Order entered on September 24, 2009. CP 772-773.

V. Assignments of Error numbers 15-22 do not reference any specific Order of the trial court that is being appealed.

Once again, Ms. Laurene's Assignments of Error do not specify the specific decisions or Orders that she is appealing.

However, as indicated in the Statement of Facts, Commissioner McCown ruled on July 15, 2009 that, to that point in time, the only orders appealable by right were the orders denying Ms. Laurene's 1.) Petition for Orders to Issue Citation Removing Guardian & Appointing Successor Guardian (CP 101-109), 2.) Response to and Request to Deny Petition for Order Approving Budget, Disbursements and Initial Care Plan (CP 111-118), and 3.) Second Motion Regarding Escrow upon Sale. CP 110. All of these "motions" were disposed of by Judge Borst in the Order entered on March 12, 2008 (CP 119-120).

The relief requested by Ms. Laurene in her multiple motions was denied by the trial court because it refused her request to appear telephonically. Judge Borst indicated in his discussion on the record with Ms. Laurene that he was basing his refusal on the fact that she had not obtained the agreement of Ms. Petersen. RP 18-21. Although not contained in this discussion, there was at the time Local Rule 11 in Lincoln County that required the agreement of both parties to appear telephonically. CP 125.

Thereafter, Ms. Laurene made filed a Motion for Reconsideration of all motions. CP 124-128. She again requested that she be permitted to appear by phone and her request was again denied. The bases for this

denial were set forth orally by the judge (RP 27-28) and in his order. CP 808-809.

Civil Rule 7(b)(5) provides in relevant part as follows:

“Telephonic argument on civil motions, including family law motions, may be heard by conference call in the discretion of the court.”

The trial court properly exercised its discretion in denying Appellant’s request to appear telephonically on both occasions. A trial court abuses its discretion when it bases its decision on untenable grounds or for untenable reasons. Weemer v. North Franklin School District, 109 Wn. App. 767, 777, 37 P.3d 354 (2002). Ms. Laurene has presented no legal authority or argument that the trial court abused its discretion on either occasion.

VI. The trial court did not abuse its discretion by ordering the sale of Ms. Hoogstad’s automobile.

Assignment of Error number 23 asserts that the trial court erred by ordering that Ms. Hoogstad’s automobile be sold. In the judge’s order filed on September 24, 2009, he found that Ms. Hoogstad was unable to drive, and that there were other methods of transportation available to her. CP 736.

Following the hearing on Ms. Laurene's motion to stay the order directing the sale of the automobile, the court found that the original automobile had been replaced without the court's or the guardian's knowledge, and that "Ms. Laurene is clearly using the replacement vehicle, a 2000 Honda Civic, primarily for her own personal use. The file would reflect that Ms. Hoogstad is in her late 80's, is significantly demented and is unable to drive a motor vehicle." CP 486.

Once again, Ms. Laurene has presented no legal authority or argument that the trial court abused its discretion.

VII. Although Assignment of Error number 24 refers to "ex parte communication", no such communication took place.

Presumptively, Ms. Laurene's Assignment of Error number 24 refers to the conversation that took place between counsel for Ms. Petersen and the trial court at the hearing on October 23, 2009. RP of 10/23/09 hearing at 2-6. As this conversation took place in open court, on the record, with Ms. Laurene appearing telephonically, it was not "ex parte" by definition.

VIII. It is unclear what appealable issue is set forth in Assignment of Error number 25.

Ms. Laurene assigns error to a question asked to her on the record by Judge Price. It is unclear what legally cognizable error is being alleged as a result of this question.

IX. The trial court clearly had sufficient evidence to make the finding set forth in Assignment of Error number 26.

In her Assignment of Error number 26, Ms. Laurene assigns error to Judge Price finding her “guilty of manipulating assets for personal gain.” This specific quote cannot be located in Judge Price’s Order of November 2, 2009. CP 485-488. The following is a verbatim quote of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law numbers 1 through 3:

1. “Ms. Laurene was involved in a motor vehicle accident involving Ms. Hoogstad’s Pontiac Bonneville in June of 2009, and the vehicle was totaled. Ms. Hoogstad was a passenger in the vehicle at that time. Ms. Laurene at no time advised the guardian Ms. Petersen that the vehicle had been totaled. Ms. Laurene at no time advised counsel for Ms. Petersen that the vehicle had been totaled. Moreover, at no time did Ms. Laurene in previous hearing that took place in Lincoln County Superior Court on September 23, 2009, ever advise the Superior Court that the Pontiac Bonneville had been totaled or that Ms. Laurene had submitted a claim for insurance she carried on Ms. Hoogstad’s vehicle for coverage.

2. The Court discerned for the first time at the hearing on Ms. Laurene’s motion to stay proceedings that Ms. Laurene had in fact used the insurance proceeds received from the totaled Pontiac Bonneville in Ms. Hoogstad’s name and then purchased in August of 2009, a 2000 Honda Civic with the proceeds derived therein. Again, at no time during previous hearing did Ms. Laurene advise the Court, counsel, or the guardian that Ms. Hoogstad’s car had been totaled in an accident in June, 2009. At no time did Ms. Laurene advise the Court that the vehicle which

was discussed in detail at the previous hearing on motion to stay no longer existed and had in fact been totaled and insurance proceeds used to acquire a 2000 Honda Civic. It would appear that Ms. Laurene intentionally withheld this information from the Court at all levels, as well as counsel, and was maintaining motor vehicle insurance on an automobile which she did not own, and was not authorized to drive pursuant to the underlying Lincoln County guardianship action.

3. Further, the Superior Court is satisfied that Ms. Laurene's substantial resistance to this Court's order directing transfer of the Pontiac Bonneville (no longer in existence) to the guardian Lori Petersen, is in fact because Ms. Laurene is clearly using the replacement vehicle, a 2000 Honda Civic, primarily for her personal use. The file would reflect that Ms. Hoogstad is in her 80's, is significantly demented and is unable to drive a motor vehicle. In essence, Ms. Laurene appears to be converting, or has actually succeeded in converting assets of Ms. Hoogstad's estate for Ms. Laurene's own personal and monetary use." CP 485-486. (Emphasis added)

In the event that Ms. Laurene is referring to the emphasized portion of paragraph 3 above, Judge Price's Findings and Conclusions more than support this finding.

X. The trial court properly found that Ms. Laurene lacked standing and properly prohibited her from filing additional pleadings in the guardianship case.

The issue of standing in a guardianship matter does not appear to have been addressed by any appellate court in Washington. It is clear that Washington law provides that "any person interested in the estate, or in the incapacitated person, or any relative of the incapacitated person, or any authorized representative of any agency, bureau, or department of the

United States government...” to file a request for special notice of guardianship activities. RCW 11.92.150. In this case, Ms. Laurene has filed such a notice.

Arguably, this satisfies the standing requirement for Ms. Laurene. The issues are: 1.) whose interests Ms. Laurene is representing, and 2.) can she be precluded from filing further pleadings in this case.

Regarding the first issue, Ms. Laurene has placed herself and her mother in a position where their interests are inextricably intertwined. Following the filing of the guardianship, but prior to the entry of the order appointing the guardian, Ms. Laurene moved her mother to the west side of the state where they have continued to reside together. Ms. Hoogstad’s safe deposit box was emptied and an unknown amount of cash, believed to be at least \$30,000.00, was removed. CP 7-8. Ms. Laurene had her mother transfer the title to the 2000 Pontiac Bonneville into Ms. Laurene’s name. CP 156-158. Ms. Hoogstad also deeded her real property into Ms. Laurene’s name while reserving a life estate. CP 779.

Since the guardianship was established, Ms. Laurene has objected to virtually every action taken by the guardian. All of the objections relate directly to her own interests. There have been over 200 filings in the guardianship case, over half of which were filed by Ms. Laurene. Attached

as Exhibit “A” is a printout of all filings obtained through the Judicial Information System with Ms. Laurene’s pleadings highlighted. All of Ms. Laurene’s objections and requests for affirmative relief have been denied at a substantial cost of both guardian and attorney fees chargeable to Ms. Hoogstad’s estate.

“Although governed by statute, guardianships are equitable creations of the courts and it is the court that retains ultimate responsibility for protecting the subject of the guardianship. In re Hallauer, 44 Wn. App. 795, 797, 723 P.2d 1161 (1986). The courts also have expansive statutory authority to administer “all matters concerning the estates and assets of incapacitated, missing and deceased persons...” RCW 11.96A.020.

An individual does not have an absolute right of access to the court system. Yurtis v. Phipps, 143 Wn. App. 680, 694, 181 P.3d 849 (2008); Marriage of Giordano, 57 Wn. App. 74, 77, 787 P.2d 51 (1990) Accordingly, “a court may, in its discretion, place reasonable restrictions on any litigant who abuses the judicial process.” Id. at 78.

In this case, the trial court was essentially protecting the estate of Ms. Hoogstad by precluding Ms. Laurene from filing additional pleadings. The guardianship is an ongoing matter which will remain active for so long as Ms. Hoogstad is alive. Precluding Ms. Laurene from filing further

pleadings in this matter is the only way to prevent continuing disruption of its orderly administration. Given the history of this matter, this ruling should be upheld.

REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY FEES

The Estate requests that this Court award reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of this appeal by the guardianship estate of Ms. Hoogstad. RCW 11.96A.150 provides as follows:

“(1) Either the superior court or the court on appeal may, in its discretion, order costs, including reasonable attorneys’ fees, to be awarded to any party: (a) From any party to the proceedings; (b) from the assets of the estate or trust involved in the proceedings; or (c) from any nonprobate asset that is the subject of the proceedings. The court may order the costs to be paid in such amount and in such manner as the court determines to be equitable.

(2) This section applies to all proceedings governed by this title, including but not limited to proceedings involving trusts, decedent’s estates and properties, and guardianship matters. This section shall not be construed as being limited by any other specific statutory provision providing for the payment of costs, including RCW 11.68.070 and 11.24.050, unless such statute specifically provides otherwise. This statute [section] shall apply to matters involving guardians and guardians ad litem and shall not be limited or controlled by the provisions of RCW 11.88.090(9).”

The foregoing statute permits the court, on appeal, to award fees and costs in its discretion from any party to the litigation. The ongoing


and protracted filings by Ms. Laurene, and her lack of candor to the court, the guardian and counsel, merit an award of such fees in this case.

CONCLUSION

All actions of Judge Borst and Judge Price should be affirmed.

This Court should award reasonable attorney fees and costs to the guardianship estate from Ms. Laurene based upon RCW 11.96A.150 and RAP 18.1.

DATED this 10th day of June, 2011.


JAMES V. WOODARD, WSBA #11274
Attorney for Lori Petersen, Respondent



Courts Home | Search Case Records

Search | Site Map | eService Center

Home | Summary Data & Reports | Resources & Links | Get Help

Notice:

As of March 18th 2011, the following JISC approved changes will take effect for the Superior Court Case Search and the Statewide Name Search.

[more...](#)

Superior Court Case Summary

About Dockets

Court: Lincoln Co Superior
Case Number: 07-4-00025-7

[Directions](#) | [About Dockets](#) | [Disclaimer](#)

Sub	Docket Date	Docket Code	Docket Description	Misc Info
1	05-07-2007	PET FOR APPT OF GUARDIAN	Pet For Appt Of Guardian	
2	05-07-2007	ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM GAL0001	Order Appointing Guardian Ad Litem Odell, Linda	
	05-07-2007	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order	
3	05-30-2007	NOTICE	Notice Of Guardianship Petition	
4	05-30-2007	STATEMENT	Statement Of Gal	
5	06-11-2007	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	Affidavit/dclr/cert Of Service	
6	07-23-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Of Proposed Guardian	
	07-25-2007	TRIAL DATE ACTION	Trial Date (set By Phone-gal) Guardianship Hearing	08-15-2007M
7	07-26-2007	SEALED CONFIDENTIAL RPTS CVR SHEET	Sealed Confidential Rpts Cvr Sheet	
8	07-26-2007	SEALED CONFIDENTIAL RPTS CVR SHEET	Sealed Confidential Rpts Cvr Sheet	
9	07-26-2007	REPORT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM	Report Of Guardian Ad Litem	
10	07-26-2007	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
11	07-30-2007	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
12	08-13-2007	REPORT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM	Report Of Guardian Ad Litem	
13	08-15-2007	MEDICAL REPORT	Medical Report	
14	08-15-2007	ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN GDN0001	Order Appointing Guardian Peterson, Lori	
	08-15-2007	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing	
15	08-30-2007	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	Motion For Reconsideration	
16	08-30-2007	NOTICE	Notice Of Unavailable Dates	
	09-26-2007	TRIAL DATE	Trial Date (set By	10-25-

Lincoln Co Superior
 Location: 450 Logan St
 Davenport, WA 99122
Map & Directions
 509-725-3081[Phone]
 509-725-1150[Fax]
Visit Website

		ACTION	Phone-laurene) Mtn For Reconsideration @ 10:30 Am	2007M
17	10-03-2007	DESIGNATION OF STANDBY GUARDIAN	Designation Of Standby Guardian	
18	10-12-2007	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
19	10-19-2007	MOTION AND AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION	Motion And Affidavit/declaration	
20	10-24-2007	MOTION AND AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION	Motion And Affidavit/declaration	
21	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Of Jenon Laurene	
22	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Of Promised Actions	
23	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Of Mark Chalem	
24	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Joan Lopez-stuit	
25	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Chris Abrahamson	
26	10-24-2007	AFFIDAVIT	Affidavit Of John Strohmaier	
27	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Kroydan K Chalem	
28	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Patricia M Burgen	
29	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Zady Evans	
30	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Stephen Croston	
31	10-24-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Joseph Shields	
32	10-24-2007	REPORT	Report Medical	
33	10-25-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Of Jenon Laurene	
34	10-25-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Of Patricia Burgen	
35	10-25-2007	DECLARATION	Declaration Jenon Laurene	
	10-25-2007	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing	
36	11-01-2007	REQUEST	Request For Special Notice	
37	11-01-2007	REQUEST	Request For Special Notice	
38	11-05-2007	NOTICE OF PRESENTATION ACTION	Notice Of Presentation Presentment Of Order To Dismiss	11-13- 2007
		ACTION	Motion For Reconsideration @ 2:00pm	
	11-13-2007	HEARING CANCELLED: STIPULATED	Hearing Cancelled: Stipulated	
39	11-15-2007	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	Or Of Dism Mt For Reconsideration	

	11-15-2007	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order
40	11-16-2007	LETTER	Letter From Jenon
41	11-26-2007	AFFIDAVIT	Affidavit Of Joseph Delay
42	02-25-2008	PETITION	Petition For Approval Of Budget
43	02-25-2008	PERSONAL CARE PLAN	Personal Care Plan
44	02-25-2008	INVENTORY	Inventory
45	02-25-2008	ORDER AUTH PAYMENT	Order Auth Payment
46	02-25-2008	PETITION	Petition For Authorizing Sale
47	02-25-2008	NOTE FOR CALENDAR ACTION	Note For Calendar Mt For Order To Sale Real Property 03-12-2008M
48	02-25-2008	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	Affidavit/dclr/cert Of Service
49	03-07-2008	PETITION	Petition For Orders Issue Citation
50	03-07-2008	MOTION	Motion For Conditioning Sale
51	03-07-2008	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing
52	03-07-2008	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing
53	03-07-2008	PETITION	Petition For Orders Issue Citation
54	03-07-2008	RESPONSE	Response
55	03-07-2008	MOTION	Motion For Conditioning Sale
56	03-07-2008	MOTION	Motion For Proceeds Held Escrow
57	03-07-2008	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
58	03-10-2008	PETITION	Petition For Orders Issue Citation
59	03-10-2008	RESPONSE	Response To Petition
60	03-10-2008	MOTION	Motion For Order Proceeds Escrow
61	03-10-2008	MOTION	Motion Second Regarding Escrow
62	03-10-2008	RESPONSE	Response And Request
63	03-10-2008	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
64	03-10-2008	ORDER	Order Authorizing Sale
	03-12-2008	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing
65	03-13-2008	LETTER	Letter Kristine Broome
66	03-13-2008	MOTION	Motion For Change In Venue
67	03-13-2008	MOTION	Motion For Conditioning Sale
68	03-13-2008	MOTION	Motion For Order Proceeds Escrow
69	03-13-2008	AFFIDAVIT	Affidavit Of Jeanon Laurene
70	03-13-2008	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing

71	03-17-2008	MOTION	Motion For Order Staying	
72	03-17-2008	MOTION	Motion For Order Staying	
73	03-17-2008	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	Motion For Reconsideration	
74	03-17-2008	NOTICE OF APPEAL TO COURT OF APPEAL	Notice Of Appeal To Court Of Appeal	
75	03-17-2008	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing	
76	03-21-2008	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
	03-24-2008	TRIAL DATE ACTION	Trial Date (set By Phone-laurene) Motion For Reconsideration	04-10-2008A
77	03-28-2008	NOTICE	Notice	
78	03-28-2008	MOTION	Motion	
79	03-28-2008	PETITION	Petition	
80	03-28-2008	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
81	04-01-2008	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE ATY0001	Notice Of Appearance Woodard, James V.	
82	04-01-2008	RESPONSE	Response By Guardian	
83	04-01-2008	MOTION	Motion For Citation	
84	04-01-2008	OBJECTION / OPPOSITION	Objection / Opposition	
85	04-01-2008	NOTICE OF HEARING ACTION	Notice Of Hearing Motion For Citation	04-10-2008A
86	04-01-2008	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
87	04-09-2008	RESPONSE	Response	
88	04-10-2008	ORDER	Order Denying	
89	04-10-2008	CITATION ACTION	Citation To Appear Show Cause Hearing @ 9:30 Am	05-23-2008M
	04-10-2008	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing	
90	04-11-2008	NOTICE	Notice Of Breach	
91	05-22-2008	RESPONSE	Response	
92	05-22-2008	RESPONSE	Response	
93	05-22-2008	STATEMENT	Statement Accounting	
94	05-22-2008	MOTION	Motion	
95	05-22-2008	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
96	05-23-2008	ORDER ACTION	Order Status Hearing (phone @ 9:00 Am)	05-30-2008M
	05-23-2008	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing	
	05-30-2008	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing	
97	06-26-2008	CONFIDENTIAL REPORT IN SEALED ENVELOPE	Confidntl Report In Sealed Envelope	
98	11-17-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Lori Petersen	
99	11-17-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Lori A Petersen	
100	11-17-2008	ANNUAL REPORT	Annual Report	

101	11-17-2008	SEALED FINANCIAL DOCUMENT(S)	Sealed Financial Document(s)	
102	11-17-2008	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	Affidavit/dclr/cert Of Service	
103	11-17-2008	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
	11-18-2008	TRIAL DATE ACTION	Trial Date (set By Gdn01) Annual Report @ 9:00 Am	12-04-2008M
104	12-01-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Kroydan K Chalem	
105	12-01-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Zady Evans	
106	12-01-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Alex King	
107	12-01-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Mark Chalem	
108	12-01-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Jane Tornatore	
109	12-02-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Joshua Watler	
110	12-02-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Dr Abrahamson	
111	12-02-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration Patricia Burgen	
112	12-04-2008	STATEMENT	Statement	
113	12-04-2008	PETITION	Petition	
114	12-04-2008	PETITION	Petition	
115	12-04-2008	DECLARATION	Declaration	
116	12-04-2008	ORDER	Order Re: Hearing On Gdn Report	
117	12-04-2008	ORDER APPROVING REPORT	Order Approving Report	
	12-04-2008	MOTION HEARING	Motion Hearing	
	12-11-2008	TRIAL DATE ACTION	Trial Date (set By Phone-gdn01) Annual Report-continued @ 2:30 Pm	01-05-2009A
118	12-15-2008	OBJECTION / OPPOSITION	Objection / Opposition	
119	12-15-2008	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
120	12-15-2008	RESPONSE	Response	
	01-05-2009	HEARING STRICKEN:IN COURT NONAPPEAR	Hearing Stricken:in Court Nonappear	
121	01-13-2009	RECUSAL OF JUDGE	Recusal Of Judge	
	01-13-2009	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order	
122	01-23-2009	ORDER OF PREASSIGNMENT	Order Of Preassignment	
123	03-24-2009	LETTERS OF GUARDIANSHIP	Letters Of Guardianship	
124	04-22-2009	PETITION	Petition	
125	04-22-2009	ADDENDUM	Rpt	
126	04-22-2009	NOTE FOR MOTION	Note For Motion	05-07-

		DOCKET ACTION	Docket Review And Approval Of Guardian's Petition (in Spokane Co)	2009
		ACTION	Petition (in Spokane Co)	
127	04-24-2009	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers	
128	04-24-2009	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	Affidavit/dclr/cert Of Service	
129	05-05-2009	INDEX	Index	
130	05-05-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
131	05-06-2009	RESPONSE	Response	
132	05-06-2009	DECLARATION	Declaration	
133	05-06-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
134	05-08-2009	TRIAL MINUTES	Trial Minutes	
135	05-08-2009	ORDER FOR SALE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY	Order For Sale Of Personal Property	
136	05-26-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION	Affidavit Of Publication	
	05-26-2009	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order	
137	05-29-2009	RETURN OF SERVICE	Return Of Service	
138	06-05-2009	INDEX	Index Amended	
139	06-05-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
140	06-05-2009	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET ACTION	Note For Motion Docket Presentment Of Or Confirming Sale	06-12- 2009
141	06-15-2009	ORDER OF CONFIRMATION OF SALE	Order Of Confirmation Of Sale	
	06-15-2009	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order	
142	06-24-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
	08-03-2009	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS	Verbatim Report Of Proceedings	
143	08-31-2009	PETITION	Petition	
144	08-31-2009	MOTION	Motion	
145	08-31-2009	NOTICE OF HEARING ACTION	Notice Of Hearing Motion Hearing (in Spokane Co)	09-23- 2009
146	09-14-2009	SEALED PRSNL HEALTH RCDS CVR SHEET	Sealed Prsnl Health Rcds Cvr Sheet	
147	09-14-2009	MOTION	Motion	
148	09-14-2009	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
149	09-16-2009	RESPONSE	Response	
150	09-16-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
151	09-24-2009	ORDER	Order Directing Lease Of Real Prop	
152	09-24-2009	ORDER	Order Directing Return Of Vehicle	
153	10-02-2009	NOTICE OF APPEAL TO COURT OF APPEAL	Notice Of Appeal To Court Of Appeal	
154	10-02-2009	REQUEST	Request	
155	10-02-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
156	10-02-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	

157	10-07-2009	COPY	Copy Motion For Stay	
158	10-07-2009	COPY	Copy Dclr Of Mailing	
159	10-07-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
160	10-08-2009	MOTION	Motion	
161	10-08-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
162	10-20-2009	RESPONSE	Response	
163	10-23-2009	RESPONSE	Response	
164	10-23-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
165	10-27-2009	TRIAL MINUTES	Trial Minutes	
166	11-02-2009	LETTER	Letter	
167	11-02-2009	FINDINGS OF FACT&CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	Findings Of Fact&conclusions Of Law	
	11-02-2009	ORDER	Order	
168	11-02-2009	NOTICE OF HEARING ACTION	Notice Of Hearing Mt To Correct Record Of Documents	11-13- 2009
169	11-10-2009	NOTICE OF HEARING	Notice Of Hearing	
170	11-25-2009	NOTICE OF APPEAL TO COURT OF APPEAL	Notice Of Appeal To Court Of Appeal	
171	11-25-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
172	12-04-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
173	12-28-2009	ANNUAL REPORT	Annual Report	
174	12-28-2009	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers	
175	12-28-2009	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
176	01-04-2010	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers	
177	01-04-2010	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing	
178	01-08-2010	INDEX	Index	
179	01-08-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
180	01-22-2010	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers	
181	01-22-2010	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing	
182	01-29-2010	INDEX	Index Supplemental	
183	01-29-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
184	02-02-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
185	02-25-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing	
186	02-26-2010	ANNUAL REPORT	Annual Report	
187	02-26-2010	ORDER APPROVING REPORT	Order Approving Report	
	03-17-2010	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS	Verbatim Report Of Proceedings	
188	03-17-2010	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	Affidavit/dclr/cert Of Service	
	03-30-2010	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS	Verbatim Report Of Proceedings	
189	03-30-2010	NOTICE	Notice Of Filing	
	04-15-2010	VERBATIM RPT TRANSMITTED	Verbatim Rpt Transmitted	

	04-15-2010	VERBATIM RPT TRANSMITTED	Verbatim Rpt Transmitted
190	05-03-2010	CERTIFICATE OF FINALITY	Certificate Of Finality
191	05-10-2010	PETITION	Petition
192	05-10-2010	ORDER FOR SALE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY	Order For Sale Of Personal Property
	05-10-2010	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order
193	05-28-2010	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers
194	05-28-2010	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing
195	06-08-2010	INDEX	Index Supplemental
196	06-08-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
197	06-30-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
198	11-08-2010	NOTICE	Notice Correction To The Record
199	11-10-2010	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing
200	11-15-2010	ANNUAL REPORT	Annual Report
201	11-23-2010	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers Sup
202	11-23-2010	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing
203	11-23-2010	INDEX	Index Supplemental
204	11-23-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
205	12-13-2010	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers
206	12-13-2010	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing
207	12-14-2010	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers
208	12-14-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
209	12-14-2010	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
210	01-05-2011	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers
211	01-05-2011	DECLARATION OF MAILING	Declaration Of Mailing
212	01-05-2011	INDEX	Index Supplemental
213	01-05-2011	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
214	01-05-2011	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
215	01-10-2011	ORDER APPROVING REPORT	Order Approving Report
216	01-10-2011	ANNUAL REPORT	Annual Report
	01-10-2011	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	Ex-parte Action With Order
217	03-02-2011	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers
218	03-08-2011	INDEX	Index Supplemental
219	03-08-2011	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
220	04-08-2011	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	Affidavit Of Mailing
221	04-14-2011	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	Designation Of Clerk's Papers

222 04-14-2011

DECLARATION OF
MAILING

Declaration Of
Mailing

[Courts](#) | [Organizations](#) | [News](#) | [Opinions](#) | [Rules](#) | [Forms](#) | [Directory](#) | [Library](#)
[Back to Top](#) | [Privacy and Disclaimer Notices](#)