

FILED

NOV 26 2012

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION III
STATE OF WASHINGTON
By _____

THE COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION III OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STEVEN M HEEB)
)
 V.)
)
 CARL N. WARRING et al)
 _____)

CASE # 290506
BRIEF OF STEVEN HEEB

STEVEN HEEB HAS HAD A LONG ENDURING HARVEST AND HAS NOT HAD ENOUGH TIME TO PROPERLY PREPARE FOR THIS BRIEF. IF THE COURT WILL TAKE THE TIME TO PROPERLY REVIEW THE DOCUMENTS STEVEN HEEB REQUESTS THE COURTS TO REVIEW JUSTICE SHOULD PREVAIL IN HIS FAVOR. THE COURTS HAVE HOWEVER DEFLAMATED PREJUDICED ABUSED AND HAVE CONTINUOUSLY BULLIED STEVEN HEEB.

A. ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR

1. TRANSCRIPTS BY MARK SANCHEZ TITLED (VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS) DATED MAY 7 2010 THAT WERE BEFORE HONORABLE KATHLEEN M. O' CONNER .

I OBJECT TO MARK SANCHEZ BEING THE ONLY ONE THAT THE COURT WILL ALLOW TO DO THIS TRANSCRIPT.

I OBJECT TO THE TIMELINESS THAT MARK SANCHEZ TOOK TO PRODUCE THIS TRANSCRIPT AND ACCURATE CONTENT CONTAINED IN IT.

PAGE 3 OF THE TRANSCRIPT LINES TEN AND ELEVEN STATE THAT CARL WARRING AND MYSELF ARE OPERATING UNDER A TEN MINUTE RULE.

THE JUDGE DOES NOT INSTRUCT ME WHAT THE TEN MINUTE RULE MEANS AND ALLOVES CARL WARRING TO START IMMEDIATELY.

PAGE 1

CARL WARRING IMMEDIATELY AFTER THIS MAKES HIS STATEMENTS OF THE CASE AND IS ALLOWED FROM BEGINNING TO THE END OF WHAT HE HAS TO SAY WITHOUT BEING INTERRUPTED BY THE JUDGE.

IN THE TRANSCRIPT OF WHEN IT IS MY TURN TO SPEAK THE JUDGE INTERRUPTS ME AT LEAST A DOZEN TIMES ASKING QUESTIONS AND BREAKING MY CONCENTRATION OF WHAT I AM TRYING TO COMMUNICATE TO THE COURT. I DO NOT FEEL THE JUDGE UNDERSTOOD WHAT I WAS TRYING TO COMMUNICATE TO HER AND SHE MADE VERY LITTLE EFFORT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT I WAS SAYING.

PAGE 18 LINES ONE AND TWO THE JUDGE ASKS IF MR WARRING HAS ANY BRIEF RESPONSE. MR. WARRING IS ALLOWED TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH ALL KINDS OF INFORMATION SOME THAT IS INACCURATE AND TOTALLY UNTRUE.

STEVEN HEEB TRIED TO INTERCEED ON CARL WARRINGS STATEMENTS AND RAISED HIS HAND SEVERAL TIMES FOR RECOGNITION FROM THE JUDGE. THE JUDGE ALLOWED CARL WARRING TO SAY ANYTHING THAT HE WANTED TO ABOUT ME AND NEVER ALLOWED ME TO BE ABLE TO DEFEND MYSELF AT ALL.

ON PAGE 22 LINES TWENTY FOUR AND TWENTY FIVE THE JUDGE STATES THAT I AM TRYING TO INTERRUPT HER. ON PAGE TWENTY THREE LINE ONE I STATE TO THE JUDGE I AM NOT TRYING TO. YOU WOULD THINK THAT THE JUDGE WOULD HAVE GIVEN ME SOME OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SOME STATEMENT BY NOW.

ON PAGE 27 LINE 1 I SAY TO THE JUDGE , I HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY. ON LINE TWO PAGE TWENTY SEVEN THE JUDGE FINALLY ALLOWS ME TO ADDRESS THE COURT. ON LINE THREE PAGE TWENTY SEVEN I TRY TO EXPLAIN TO THE JUDGE WHILE BEING INTERRUPTED AGAIN THAT IN MY COMPLAINT FILED ON CARL WARRING ON APRIL 30TH 2009 THAT EXHIBIT U WHICH IS THE LAST PAGE OF THE COMPLAINT IS A DISMISSAL FROM JUDGE FRAZIER ACTING JUDGE FOR ADAMS COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT THAT WAS DONE WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

THE WAY THE TRANSCRIPT IS WRITTEN IT DOES NOT SHOW THAT THE JUDGE CUTS ME OFF BUT ON LINES SEVEN AND EIGHT OF PAGE 27 I ASK THE JUDGE IF I DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT TO BRING NEW DISCOVERY INTO COURT?

PAGE 2

THE JUDGE STATES ON PAGE 27 LINE NINE MR. HEEB, I MADE MY RULING. THIS JUDGE GIVES CARL WARRING ALL KINDS OF TIME TO SAY WHAT HE WANTS ABOUT ME. AS STATED PREVIOUSLY PAGE 18 LINES ONE AND TWO THE JUDGE ASKS CARL WARRING IF HE WANTS A BRIEF RESPONSE AND ALLOWS HIM ALL THE TIME HE WANTS WITHOUT INTERRUPTION AGAIN.

THE JUDGE FAILED TO GIVE ME ANY RESPONSE TIME AT ALL, SHE ALLOWED CARL WARRING ALL THE TIME THAT HE WANTED. THE JUDGE CONTINUOUSLY INTERRUPTED ME AND SHOWED ME NO RESPECT LIKE SHE DID CARL WARRING. IT IS EASY TO SEE FROM THE TRANSCRIPT THAT THE JUDGE HAD A PRE PREDJUDICED OPINION OF ME JUST LIKE ALL THE OTHER JUDGES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.

THE JUDGE WILLFULLY FRAUDED ME , ABUSED ME AND BULLIED ME WITH THE INTENT TO BRING MONETARY DAMAGES UPON ME.

THE WAY I HAVE BEEN TREATED IN ADAMS COUNTY IS AS DESCRIBED ON PAGE 21 LINES THREE AND FOUR WHERE THE JUDGE WAS ILL AND PUT FINALIZING THE DIVORCE OFF FOR A YEAR. JUDGE RICHARD MILLER ALWAYS PREDJUDICED HIMSELF AGAINST ME AND GAVE THE EX FAVOR IN ALL MATTERS BEFORE THE COURT BECAUSE SHE DID NOT WANT A DIVORCE.

B STATEMENT OF THE CASE

IN ALL LEGAL MATTERS INVOLVING CARL WARRING THE COURTS HAVE ALL WILLFULLY TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF ME AND BULLIED ME AROUND. TRYING TO HOLD DOWN A JOB TAKING CARE OF ALL THE COMMITMENTS FROM THE COURT AND RESPONSIBILITIES PREVIOUS AND THE COURTS MAKING FURTHER HARDSHIPS FOR ME THAT I COULD NOT HIRE AN ATTORNEY FOR BECAUSE OF LACK OF MONEY AND LOSING PROPERTY IS JUST OVER THE TOP. I HAVE BEEN TREATED AS A LEESER BEING BY THE COURTS TIME AND TIME AGAIN AND MY TESTIMONY BEING TREATED AS A LIAR. CARL WARRING HAS WILLFULLY LIED ABOUT SEVERAL THINGS ABOUT ME. THE FIRST BEING HIS CHARGES FOR MY DIVORCE THAT WAS QUOTED BY HIM TO BE 5 TO 6 THOUSAND DOLLARS . ALL MY DOCUMENTS BEFORE THE COURT ARE TRUTHFULL AND THE COURTS HAVE WRONGED ME TIME AND TIME AGAIN.

C SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY , I AM NOT EDUCATED IN CASE LAW OR BEING AN ATTORNEY BUT THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT I DESERVE ANY LESS RESPECT THAN CARL WARRING AS IN THE PAST. IF THE COURT WILL REVIEW THE TRANSCRIPT FROM MARK SANCHEZ, HONORABLE KATHLEEN O'CONNOR . REVIEW MY COMPLAINT FILED WITH THE COURT ON APRIL 30 2009.

PAGE 3

REVIEW ALL MY DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE COURT IN THEIR LIST OF 1 THRU 58 THE COURT WILL SEE BTHE INJUSTICE THAT HAS BEEN PUT UPON ME WITH THE COURTS INTENTIONAL HATE TOWARDS ME. THEIR HAS BEEN ANYTHING THAT HAS BEEN CONSTITUTIONAL FAIR OR PROPER FROM THE COURTS AND CARL WARRING.(LIST OF 1 THRU 58) INCLUDED.

ARGUMENT


IT IS EASY TO SEE THE TRUE INTENT OF THE COURTS TO LESSEN MY POSITION AND TO BRING MONETARY HARM TO ME. I HAVE BEEN TOLD BY OTHERS THE FEELINGS OF THE COURT.

CONCLUSION

EVERYONE AFFECTED BY THE LAW SHOULD BE TREATED FAIRLY AND EQUITABLY, THE LAW SHOULD PROMOTE JUSTICE AND NOT THE TAKING OF SOMEONES HOME AND WATER MEMBERSHIP FOR THE PRICE OF A DIVORICE, ESPECIALLY WHEN THE CLIENT PAID FOR THE PRICE OF REDEMPTION. THE ATTORNEY SHALL BE TRUTHFULL AND HONEST WITH HIS CLIENT. I CAN PROVE IN COURT WITH PEOPLE THAT MR WARRING IS NOT. I DEMAND A JURY TRIAL WITH MR. WARRING AND I WILL PROVE HIS ILL INTENT TO ABUSE AND TO STEAL FROM HIS CLIENT. WITH THE TIME THAT I HAVE TO PRODUCE THIS BRIEF THIS IS ABOUT THE BEST I CAN DO.

PAGE 4

11/26/12

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Steve Herb", written over a horizontal line.

CASE#: 09-2-00103-4 JUDGMENT# 10-9-00149-1
 TITLE: STEVEN M HEEB VS CARL N WARRING
 FILED: 04/30/2009
 CAUSE: MAL OTHER MALPRACTICE DV:

RESOLUTION: DATE:
 COMPLETION: DATE:
 CASE STATUS: RAPP DATE: 08/31/2010 RETURN FROM APPEAL
 ARCHIVED:
 CONSOLIDT:
 NOTE1: JUDGE DAVID FRAZIER ASSIGNED (5-4-09), JUDGE KATHLEEN O'CONNOR ASSIGNED
 NOTE2: JUDGE MICHAEL P. PRICE ASSIGNED 02-11-2010 (3/2010)

----- PARTIES -----

CONN.	LAST NAME, FIRST MI TITLE	LITIGANTS	DATE
PLA01	HEEB, STEVEN M		
DEF01	WARRING, CARL N		
ATDD1	WARRING, CARL NATHAN		
BAR#	06312		

----- APPEARANCE DOCKET -----

SUB#	DATE	CODE/ CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
1	04/30/2009	CMP	COMPLAINT	
2	04/30/2009	SM	SUMMONS	
3	05/04/2009	NTAPR ATDD1	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - SPECIAL WARRING, CARL NATHAN	
4	05/04/2009	MIAF	MOTION AND AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION	
5	05/04/2009	ORCJ	ORDER FOR CHANGE OF JUDGE	
-	05/04/2009	EXWACT	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	
6	05/04/2009	ORP	ORDER OF PREASSIGNMENT - FRAZIER	
-	05/04/2009	EXWACT	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	
7	05/06/2009	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE - CT ADM. TO COUNSEL	
8	05/18/2009	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	
9	05/18/2009	DCLRM	DECLARATION OF MAILING	
10	12/23/2009	ANACC	ANSWER AND CROSS CLAIM	
11	12/23/2009	DCLR ATDD1	DECLARATION WARRING, CARL NATHAN	
12	12/23/2009	MMATH	MEMORANDUM OF AUTHORITIES	
13	12/23/2009	MTSMJG	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
14	12/23/2009	PROR	PROPOSED ORDER/FINDINGS	
15	12/23/2009	NTHG ACTION	NOTICE OF HEARING MT THROUGH WITMAN CO TO 3 P.M	01-26-2010
16	12/23/2009	DCLRM	DECLARATION OF MAILING	
17	01/20/2010	AFPRJ	AFFIDAVIT OF PREJUDICE	
18	01/25/2010	DCLR DEF01	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF FEES WARRING, CARL N	
19	01/25/2010	OR	ORDER ON AFFIDAVIT OF PREJUDICE	
-	01/25/2010	EXWACT	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	
20	01/25/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE - JUDGE TO PARTIES	
21	01/29/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE - CLERK TO PARTIES	

-----APPEARANCE DOCKET-----

SUB#	DATE	CODE/ CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
22	02/11/2010	ORP	ORDER OF PREASSIGNMENT JUDGE MICHAEL P. PRICE ASSIGNED	
23	02/11/2010	PROR	PROPOSED ORDER/FINDINGS	
24	02/11/2010	NTHG ACTION	NOTICE OF HEARING TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT TO BE HEARD IN SPOKANE COUNTY	03-19-2010
25	02/11/2010	DCLRM	DECLARATION OF MAILING	
26	02/11/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE - ADMIN TO PARTIES	
27	03/10/2010	NTCCA ACTION	NOTE FOR CALENDAR COURT ADMIN TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT1:30	05-07-2010EP
28	03/11/2010	MT	MOTION TO STRIKE	
-	03/11/2010	MT	MOTION FOR JURY TRIAL	
29	03/12/2010	NTCCA ACTION	NOTE FOR CALENDAR COURT ADMIN AMENDED LETTER	05-07-2010
30	03/16/2010	OR	ORDER OF RECUSAL - JUDGE PRICE	
-	03/16/2010	EXWACT	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	
31	03/17/2010	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	
-	03/19/2010	\$JDR12	JURY DEMAND RECEIVED - TWELVE CHECK RECD. - DEMAND BY MTN	
32	03/22/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE COURT ADM TO PARTIES	
33	03/23/2010	OR	ORDER OF PREASSIGNMENT-O'CONNOR	
-	03/23/2010	EXWACT	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	
34	03/31/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE COURT ADM TO PARTIES	
35	04/27/2010	NTSCH ACTION	NOTICE OF SCHEDULING 9:00 MTN HEARING TELEPHONIC	05-07-2010EP
36	04/27/2010	MT	MOTION FOR JURY TRIAL	
37	05/10/2010	NACA	NOTICE OF APPEAL TO COURT OF APPEAL	
38	05/13/2010	PROR	PROPOSED ORDER/FINDINGS	
39	05/13/2010	DCLR ATD01	DECLARATION RE ATTY FEES-AMENDED WARRING, CARL NATHAN	
40	05/13/2010	DCLRM	DECLARATION OF MAILING	
41	05/17/2010	TRLC	TRANSMITTAL LETTER - COPY FILED COA	
42	05/21/2010	RCP	RECEIPT(S) FROM COA	
43	06/09/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE - ADMIN TO PARTIES	
44	06/25/2010	ORGSJ	ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
-	06/25/2010	JD	JUDGMENT	
-	06/25/2010	JDSUM	JUDGMENT SUMMARY	
45	08/31/2010	MND	MANDATE	
-	10/06/2010	NOTE	*****FILE NO 2 *****	
46	09/22/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE FROM COA	
47	09/22/2010	OTHER	OTHER - COMMISSIONERS RULING RECALLING MANDATE	
48	09/29/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE RE DOCKET PRINTOUT	
49	10/05/2010	DSGCKP	DESIGNATION OF CLERK'S PAPERS	
50	10/05/2010	DCLRM	DECLARATION OF MAILING - COPY	
51	10/06/2010	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE - TO ATTORNEY	
52	10/15/2010	DCLR	DECLARATION IN SPT OF WRIT	
53	10/19/2010	WRG	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
54	10/19/2010	SEALFN	SEALED FINANCIAL DOCUMENT(S)	
55	10/20/2010	TRLC	TRANSMITTAL LETTER - COPY FILED	
-	10/20/2010	CLP	CLERK'S PAPERS SENT	
56	10/20/2010	RCP	RECEIPT(S) - COA	
57	10/28/2010	ANWRGR	ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	

-----APPEARANCE DOCKET-----

SUB#	DATE	CODE/ CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
58	11/02/2010	DCLRM	DECLARATION OF MAILING	

=====
END=====

28 2012

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that, on the date(s)

stated below, I did the following: HAND DELIVERED A COPY OF

On the 26th day of NOV, 2012. STEVEN HEEB BRIEF
TO CARL N. WARRING

at the following address:

1340 E HUNTER PL MOSES LAKE WA.

AND/OR

On the _____ day of _____, 20____, I mailed a true copy of the foregoing

to _____ (Name of Plaintiff or

Plaintiff's attorney), by regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____, in _____ (City), _____ (State).

Steven Heeb

(Signature)

STEVEN HEEB

Print Name