

NO. 79951-2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

JAMES DENSLEY,

Appellant,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF RETIREMENT
SYSTEMS,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S
STATEMENT OF
SUPPLEMENTAL
AUTHORITY

RECEIVED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASH.
07 MAY 15 AM 7:58
BY RONALD R. CARPENTER
CLERK

Pursuant to RAP 10.8, Respondent, Department of Retirement

Systems, submits the following as supplemental authorities:

<u>Case</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Page reference in Respondent's brief</u>
<i>Emsley v. Army National Guard</i> 106 Wn.2d 474 722 P.2d 1299 (1986)	Defining "active federal service"	26-29
<i>Shurtliff v. Department of Retirement Systems</i> 103 Wn.App. 815 15 P.3d 164 (2000)	ambiguity and statutory construction	29-33
<i>Hanson v. City of Seattle</i> 80 Wn.2d 242 493 P.2d 775 (1972)	construing pension statutes	29-33

///

////

 ORIGINAL

10 USC 101(a)(4)

defining "armed forces"

35-39

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 14 day of May, 2007.

ROBERT M. MCKENNA
Attorney General

By: 
JOHANNA S. CRAIG, WSBA#35559
Assistant Attorney General