

No. 85591-9

SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

BRAJESH KATARE,

Petitioner,

vs.

LYNETTE KATARE,

Respondent.

RECEIVED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2011 AUG 22 P 3:34
BY RONALD R. CARPENTER
CLERK

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

GORDON W. WILCOX, INC., P.S.

SMITH GOODFRIEND, P.S.

By: Gordon W. Wilcox
WSBA No. 75

By: Catherine W. Smith
WSBA No. 9542
Valerie A. Villacin
WSBA No. 34515

1191 Second Avenue, Suite 1800
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 233-9192

1109 First Avenue, Suite 500
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 624-0974

Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. RESTATEMENT OF FACTS 2

 A. After Trial In 2003, The Trial Court Imposed Restrictions On the Children’s Foreign Travel Based On The Father’s Threats to Abduct Them To A Non-Hague Country. 3

 B. Division One Did Not Vacate The Foreign Travel Restrictions, And Remanded For The Trial Court To Make An Express Finding As To The Legal Basis For Its Decision. This Court Denied Review. 5

 C. On Remand In 2005, The Trial Court Clarified Its Intent To Impose RCW 26.09.191(3)(g) Restrictions. Division One Once Again Refused To Vacate The Restrictions, And Remanded For New Evidence On The Children’s Current Circumstances. This Court Denied Review. 6

 D. On Remand In 2009, The Trial Court Found That The “Risk Of Abduction Has Not Abated” But Was “Now Increased,” And That It Was In The Children’s Best Interests To Continue The Restrictions. 7

 E. This Court Accepted Review Of Division One’s Unpublished Decision Affirming The Trial Court’s Decision. 11

III. ARGUMENT 12

 A. Standard Of Review. 12

B.	A Parenting Plan That Complies With Statutory Requirements To Promote The Best Interests Of The Children Does Not Violate A Parent's Rights.....	13
C.	The Trial Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion In Considering Unchallenged Evidence Of The "Risk Factors" Of Parental Abduction.....	18
D.	This Court Should Award Attorney Fees To The Mother.....	20
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

<i>Califano v. Aznavorian</i> , 439 U.S. 170, 99 S. Ct. 471, 58 L. Ed. 2d 435 (1978)	13
<i>Eunique v. Powell</i> , 302 F.3d 971 (9th Cir. 2002)	13

STATE CASES

<i>Chatwood v. Chatwood</i> , 44 Wn.2d 233, 266 P.2d 782 (1954)	12
<i>Custody of C.C.M.</i> , 149 Wn. App. 184, 202 P.3d 971 (2009)	15
<i>Detention of Thorell</i> , 149 Wn.2d 724, 72 P.3d 708 (2003), <i>cert. denied</i> , 541 U.S. 990 (2004)	19
<i>Leslie v. Verhey</i> , 90 Wn. App. 796, 954 P.2d 330 (1998), <i>rev. denied</i> , 137 Wn.2d 1003 (1999)	20
<i>Long v. Ardestani</i> , 241 Wis.2d 498, 624 N.W.2d 405 (2001)	15-16
<i>Marriage of Burrill</i> , 113 Wn. App. 863, 56 P.3d 993 (2002), <i>rev. denied</i> , 149 Wn.2d 1007 (2003)	14-15
<i>Marriage of Greenlee</i> , 65 Wn. App. 703, 829 P.2d 1120, <i>rev. denied</i> , 120 Wn.2d 1002 (1992)	20
<i>Marriage of Katare</i> , 125 Wn. App. 813, 105 P.3d 44, <i>rev. denied</i> , 155 Wn.2d 1005 (2005), <i>after remand</i> , 140 Wn. App. 1041, 2007 WL 2823311 (2007), <i>rev.</i> <i>denied</i> , 163 Wn.2d 1051 (2008), <i>after remand</i> , 159 Wn. App. 1017, 2011 WL 61847, <i>rev. granted</i> , 171 Wn.2d 1021 (2011)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Marriage of Littlefield</i> , 133 Wn.2d 39, 940 P.2d 1362 (1997)	12
<i>Marriage of Pape</i> , 139 Wn.2d 694, 989 P.2d 1120 (1999)	18

Marriage of Sanders , 63 Wn.2d 709, 388 P.2d 942 (1964)	13
Marriage of Rideout , 150 Wn.2d 337, 77 P.3d 1174 (2003)	2
Marriage of Watson , 132 Wn. App. 222, 130 P.3d 915 (2006)	16-17
Marriage of Wicklund , 84 Wn. App. 763, 932 P.2d 652 (1996)	16-17
Racsko v. Racsko , 91 Conn. App. 315, 881 A.2d 460 (2005)	13
State v. Ciskie , 110 Wn.2d 263, 751 P.2d 1165 (1988)	18-19

FOREIGN CASES

Bruni v. Bruni , 2010 ONSC 6568 (2010)	9
---	---

STATE STATUTES

Fla. Stat. Ann. §61.45.....	17
Or. Rev. Stat. §109.035.....	17
RCW ch. 26.09	15
RCW 26.09.140	20
RCW 26.09.187	17
RCW 26.09.191	<i>passim</i>
Tex. Fam. Code Ann. §153.502	17

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Commentary and Text to 1987 Parenting Act.....	15
Uniform Laws Child Abduction Prevention Act §7(8)(A)	17

I. INTRODUCTION

The mother asks this Court to reject the father's third appeal of restrictions on foreign travel with the parties' two young children. The restrictions were initially imposed because the father threatened to abduct the children, then ages 2 and 3, to his native country, a non-Hague Convention state, before the parties' divorce in 2003. Based on evidence that the appellate court directed it to consider on remand,¹ the trial court in 2009 found as a matter of fact that "the risk of abduction has not abated, and based on evidence presented at the hearing on remand is seen more clearly to have been strong at the time of the original trial, and perhaps to have now increased," (CP 161) and that "[t]he passport and travel restrictions set forth in the parenting plan are reasonably calculated to address this identified harm." (CP 163)

The father's continued challenge to travel restrictions is based on two pernicious fallacies. First, contrary to the father's claims, nothing is "permanent" about a parenting plan, including the travel restrictions at issue here. It is largely the father's own

¹ The father did not request this relief. He has consistently resisted any fact-finding in this matter, demanding that the restrictions on the children's foreign travel be "vacated" without remand and without consideration of any alternative safeguards.

stubborn insistence that he must “win” the right to foreign travel, with no safeguards to prevent the identified risks of removal to a non-Hague country, that prevents the consideration of alternatives to a prohibition on foreign travel while the children are still so young. Second, contrary to the claims of the father and his amici, the measured decisions of the courts below have never been an attack on the father, the color of his skin, or his nationality. That the father persists in making this baseless charge the centerpiece of his challenge to what has always been a fact-driven decision, undertaken by responsible judges in his children’s best interests, is only proof of the contempt in which he holds not only the mother of his children but the courts of this state.

II. RESTATEMENT OF FACTS

The trial court’s findings and the three appellate decisions (to date) explain in detail the substantial evidence warranting restrictions on the children’s foreign travel. (CP 160-63) Even had the father properly assigned error to these findings (see Resp. Br. 5-6), the appellate courts give great deference to the trial court’s findings, particularly in family law cases. *Marriage of Rideout*, 150 Wn.2d 337, 350-52, 77 P.3d 1174 (2003). Because the father’s argument remains a challenge to the facts as found by the trial

court after a trial and an evidentiary hearing, this supplemental brief sets out the facts in more detail than usual in this Court:

A. After Trial In 2003, The Trial Court Imposed Restrictions On the Children's Foreign Travel Based On The Father's Threats to Abduct Them To A Non-Hague Country.

Lynette and Brajesh Katare were married in November 1995 in Clearwater, Florida (CP 165), and have a daughter born in May 2000, and a son born in September 2001. (CP 54; Ex. 3) After a 5-day trial to King County Superior Court Judge Mary Roberts in June 2003, the trial court ordered that the father's residential time take place in Florida, where the children were allowed to relocate (over the father's objection), until the younger child (then age 2) turned 5, and thereafter within the United States. (CP 176) The trial court's order was based on its finding that "India is not a signator to the Hague Convention on International Child Abduction . . . under the circumstances of this case, given the ages of the children, the parties' backgrounds, ties to their families and communities, and history of parenting and the consequences of such an abduction are so irreversible as to warrant limitations on the husband's residential time with the children." (CP 168)

There was substantial evidence supporting this restriction. In May 2002, the father announced that the family would be moving

to India because (without discussing the job offer with his wife) he had accepted a 2-year position with Microsoft there. (I RP 74, 104, III RP 341) When the mother objected,² the father told her that he no longer wanted her to go to India, and that he would take the children to India alone, to be raised by his sister and mother. (I RP 75) The father made threats on at least 8 occasions to take the children to India.³ (III RP 199, 213) He sought to obtain passports and “PIO cards” that would allow the children to reside in India for up to 15 years. (I RP 75, 125-26) The parenting evaluator testified that the mother’s fears were justified, and that she had her own “residual discomfort” whether the father would abduct the children. (II RP 103, 135-36)

The trial court heard undisputed testimony that India is not a Member state of the Hague Convention on International Child

² The mother, a Florida native who moved back there to be close to her family after the parties divorced, did not want to move to India with two children who were then both under the age of 3. She explained to the judge during the 2003 trial (made necessary by the father’s objection to the children’s relocation to Florida, which was restrained pending trial), that when she had visited India in the past, the father’s father had held her passport (supposedly as a sign of “respect”), the father’s family excluded her by conversing mostly in Hindi, and the father’s family compound was subject to frequent power outages and health concerns. (I RP 31, 53, 110-11)

³ In this third appeal, and in his petition to this Court, the father makes much of the fact that the trial court and parenting evaluator relied on affidavits of collateral witnesses who testified to the father’s threats to abduct the children in their presence. However, it was the *father* who submitted this evidence to the court in 2003. (II RP 78, Exs. 142, 143 (2003))

Abduction, which ensures respect of custody rights under the law of another Member state. (See I RP 113) India has no agreement to work with the United States to recover abducted children. (I RP 113) Indeed, the father told the mother that she would not “stand a chance” in the Indian court system, and the father and his brother told her that it was “easy to lose a child” in India. (I RP 36-37, 113 II RP 13)

B. Division One Did Not Vacate The Foreign Travel Restrictions, And Remanded For The Trial Court To Make An Express Finding As To The Legal Basis For Its Decision. This Court Denied Review.

The trial court entered findings warranting restrictions, but initially declined to base its order on RCW 26.09.191.⁴ (CP 168, 171) The father appealed. Division One rejected the father’s requested relief that the restrictions be vacated in a published decision, *Marriage of Katare I*, 125 Wn. App. 813, 105 P.3d 44, *rev. denied*, 155 Wn.2d 1005 (2005). Division One held that although the trial court’s findings supported restrictions under RCW 26.09.191(3)(g), the trial court’s form finding that RCW 26.09.191 “does not apply” created an ambiguity:

⁴ The trial court may have been reluctant to impose .191 restrictions because of the stigma sometimes attached to use of the statute. But as the opinion in *Katare I* demonstrates, RCW 26.09.191(3)(g) is a flexible tool for protecting children from potential harm, and use of the statute should not have an adverse implication.

Whether the court found there was a risk of abduction that justified the imposition of limitations is at least ambiguous. Indeed, such a finding is implicit in the trial court's discussion of the risk of abduction, the findings it made and the limitations it imposed. *Except for the inconsistent entry that states the RCW 26.09.191 basis for restrictions does not apply, the court's findings support restrictions under RCW 26.09.191(3)(g).*

Katare I, 125 Wn. App. at 831 (emphasis added). Division One held that the trial court needed to clarify on remand whether it intended to impose restrictions under RCW 26.09.191. **Katare I**, 125 Wn. App. at 831. This Court denied review.

C. **On Remand In 2005, The Trial Court Clarified Its Intent To Impose RCW 26.09.191(3)(g) Restrictions. Division One Once Again Refused To Vacate The Restrictions, And Remanded For New Evidence On The Children's Current Circumstances. This Court Denied Review.**

On remand to enforce the mandate in **Katare I**, Judge Roberts in November 2005 reiterated her earlier findings and clarified the trial court's intent to impose restrictions under RCW 26.09.191(3)(g). The trial court made an express additional finding that the risk of abduction was sufficient to justify limitations under RCW 26.09.191(3)(g). (Ex. 7)

The father appealed a second time. In an unpublished decision, Division One noted that the trial court's finding that the father "appears to present no serious threat of abducting the

children” still created an ambiguity when considered in light of the trial court’s other findings and its conclusion that there was a “risk of abduction” warranting .191 limitations. The court once again denied the father’s request that the travel restrictions be immediately vacated, without remand. Instead, it directed that “[g]iven the passage of time, the trial court should also examine the current relevant information concerning any limitations under RCW 26.09.191(3).” *Katare II*, 140 Wn. App. 1041, 2007 WL 2823311 *3 (October 1, 2007). This Court denied review.

D. On Remand In 2009, The Trial Court Found That The “Risk Of Abduction Has Not Abated” But Was “Now Increased,” And That It Was In The Children’s Best Interests To Continue The Restrictions.

The parties appeared once again before Judge Roberts on January 14-15, 2009. The trial court largely reaffirmed its findings from the 2003 dissolution trial, but eliminated any ambiguity by vacating its earlier finding that “the husband appears to present no serious threat of abducting the children.” (*Compare* CP 160-61 with CP 168) The trial court specifically found that it was in the

children's best interests to have their residential time with the father in the United States.⁵ (CP 161)

Rejecting the father's renewed claim that he had never threatened to abduct the children, the trial court considered "credible" evidence of threats in the testimony of the mother, the parenting evaluator, and in the third-party affidavits that the father had offered as evidence in the 2003 trial. (CP 160, Exs. 142, 143 (2003), II RP 78) The trial court found a "sufficient risk of abduction to warrant a geographical limitation on the father's residential time with the children" because the consequences of abduction to a non-Hague country were "incredibly serious and irreversible." "the risk of abduction by the father and the best interests of the children justify limitations under RCW 26.09.191(3)(g)." (CP 160-61)

During the 2009 hearing the trial court also took testimony from the parties, the father's fiancée and a co-worker, and Michael Berry, a Florida attorney with experience in international child abductions. The trial court allowed Mr. Berry to testify over the father's objection, noting that "it will assist in the court's

⁵ Both during the 2003 trial, and in the 2005 and 2009 remand hearings, the father has never offered and has always resisted any other safeguards, such as a travel bond, that might help allay the trial court's and mother's concerns. Instead, he has always demanded immediate vacation of any orders restricting the children's foreign travel.

understanding of the status of the literature on these topics.”⁶ (IX RP 81) The trial court found that the risk of abduction had not “abated,” but had “now increased:”

The risk of abduction has not abated, and based on evidence presented at the hearing on remand, is seen more clearly to have been strong at the time of the original trial, and perhaps to have now increased.

(CP 161) The trial court noted that, despite the father’s testimony during the 2003 trial that he did not intend to relocate to India, he had in fact “spent significant time in India since that trial. He lived and worked there for at least two years.” (CP 162) The trial court found that the father’s “extreme anger” “heightens the risk to the children” (CP 161), that he could not control his “utter disdain” for the mother even though he knew that it was likely that his abusive emails to her would be presented in court,⁷ and that his

⁶ Ironically, the “risk factors” discussed in the exhibits admitted without challenge during Mr. Berry’s testimony (which the father now claims are impermissible “profiling”) are nearly identical to the factors identified in other states’ statutes addressing parental abduction that the father in his second appeal argued the trial court should have considered. (Cause no. 59061-8-1 (*Katara II*) App. Br. 29-42)

⁷ As a court in Canada (a Hague state) recently observed: “In recent years, the evidence in family trials typically includes reams of text messages between the parties helpfully laying bare their true characters. Assessing credibility is not nearly as difficult as it was before the use of e-mails and text messages became prolific. Parties are not shy about splattering their spleens throughout cyberspace.” *Bruni v. Bruni*, 2010 ONSC 6568, fn. 23 (2010).

demonstrated poor judgment “could manifest itself by an abduction of the children.”⁸ (CP 161)

Based on this new evidence, the trial court found that the father’s conduct “is adverse to the best interests of the children. His pattern of abusive, controlling, punishing behavior puts the children at risk of being used as tools to continue this conduct. The passport and travel restrictions set forth in the parenting plan are

⁸ In his petition for review, the father invites this Court to second-guess the trial court’s findings, which were based on both parents’ live testimony and a thorough review of hundreds of pages of e-mails between the parents, admitted without objection as Exhibit 15. (VIII RP 33) The father attaches as Appendix G to his petition a single e-mail exchange, in aid of a half-page, single-spaced rationalization (unsupported by anything in the record) why he erupted at the mother’s innocent Diwali holiday greeting in 2005. (Petition 14, fn. 8) The Court of Appeals decision more accurately characterizes the father’s e-mails:

The e-mails, including the specific examples cited by the court, are replete with examples that support the trial court’s finding. The e-mails reveal Brajesh’s unrelenting verbal abuse and anger. He calls Lynette “vindictive,” “sick,” a coward, an unfit parent, and refers to her family as a “bunch of losers.” Brajesh tells her that “[the children] need to know in [the] future who eliminated their daddy from their lives,” and “[h]opefully one day [Lynette and family] will answer to [the children] for depriving them of their heritage, culture and the fantastic life they could have enjoyed.” Brajesh further states, “[A]ll signs point that they will know who robbed them as they grow older.” Brajesh also constantly accuses Lynette of not acting “in the best interest of the children.” Brajesh continually accuses Lynette of lying, referring to her as a “born liar [sic],” a “pathological liar,” and a “compulsive” liar. The e-mail evidence also reveals Brajesh bringing the children into the parties’ hostilities, for example, by threatening to show written exchanges to them. The court’s characterization of the e-mail correspondence is amply supported by the evidence. The record also supports the trial court’s conclusion that Brajesh’s “pattern of abusive, controlling, punishing behavior puts the children at risk of being used as the tools to continue this conduct.”

Katara III, 2011 WL 61847 *9. A more representative sample of the parties’ e-mail correspondence contained in Exhibit 15 is an Appendix to this brief.

reasonably calculated to address this identified harm.” (CP 163) Based on unchallenged evidence, the trial court also found that “child abduction is not a crime in India,” that “India has its own laws giving it broad authority to rewrite parenting orders of other states,” and that “[t]here is no guarantee of enforcing a U.S. parenting order in India . . . that proceedings in India do not include summary proceedings . . . that such proceedings can take six months to a year.” (CP 162-63; IX RP 16-17, 20, Exs. 11, 25, 32) The trial court found that “the children, now ages 8 and 7, are too young to seek assistance if they are improperly retained by their father or otherwise unable to return to their mother. This is especially true if the children are taken to a foreign country such as India.” (CP 162, VIII RP 38-43)

E. This Court Accepted Review Of Division One’s Unpublished Decision Affirming The Trial Court’s Decision.

The father filed his third appeal.⁹ (CP 158) Division One held in an unpublished decision that the trial court may have abused its discretion in admitting Mr. Berry’s testimony, as akin to

⁹ Despite testifying that the children would be “devastated” if they lost any residential time with him (XI RP 11-12), the father refused to see his children for nearly 11 months after learning of the trial court’s decision. (CP 193, 228-29) The father announced (by e-mail) that he would not be spending residential time with the children as provided by the parenting plan so that he could “reflect on myself and think about my future and the life I want to build.” (CP 193, 228-29)

inadmissible “profiling evidence,” but that any error was harmless because the trial court did not adopt his analysis, and found that the father’s “testimony and conduct alone” supported the foreign-travel restrictions. *Katare III*, 159 Wn. App. 1017, 2011 WL 61847 *12 (Jan. 10, 2011). This Court accepted review.

III. ARGUMENT

A. Standard Of Review.

Trial courts have broad discretion in establishing the terms of a parenting plan; decisions on parenting will not be reversed unless manifestly unreasonable or based on untenable grounds or reasons. *Marriage of Littlefield*, 133 Wn.2d 39, 47, 52, 940 P.2d 1362 (1997). “Trial courts must necessarily be allowed broad discretion in custody matters, because so many of the factors to be considered can be more accurately evaluated by the trial judge, who has the distinct advantage of seeing and hearing witnesses, and is in a better position to determine their credibility, than the members of an appellate court.” *Chatwood v. Chatwood*, 44 Wn.2d 233, 240, 266 P.2d 782 (1954).

B. A Parenting Plan That Complies With Statutory Requirements To Promote The Best Interests Of The Children Does Not Violate A Parent's Rights.

Under RCW 26.09.191(3) a court may limit provisions in a parenting plan, including imposing conditions on foreign travel¹⁰, based on “factors or conduct as the court expressly finds adverse to the best interests of the child.” RCW 26.09.191(3)(g); see also **Marriage of Sanders**, 63 Wn.2d 709, 715-16, 388 P.2d 942 (1964) (trial court did not abuse discretion in requiring father to post bond before visitation after making finding that child might be taken beyond court's jurisdiction). Here, as the trial court found at the conclusion of the 2003 dissolution trial and more specifically after the 2009 remand hearing, the “identified harm” to the children was the “sufficient risk of abduction” (CP 160, 168), and the passport

¹⁰ The father falsely characterizes the parenting plan as a restriction on his foreign travel, and implies that the plan violates his constitutional right to travel. In fact, the restriction is on the children's foreign travel. The father remains free to travel internationally, and does frequently – indeed, after telling the trial court he had no plans to move to India he lived there for at least two years after the 2003 trial. (CP 162) Further, the “fundamental” right to travel is implicated only by limits on *interstate* travel; the government and the courts have broad authority to impose limits on foreign travel. **Califano v. Aznavorian**, 439 U.S. 170, 176, 99 S. Ct. 471, 475, 58 L. Ed. 2d 435 (1978) (“The constitutional right of interstate travel is virtually unqualified. By contrast the ‘right’ of international travel has been considered to be no more than an aspect of the ‘liberty’ protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment. As such this ‘right’ . . . can be regulated within the bounds of due process.”) (citations omitted); **Eunique v. Powell**, 302 F.3d 971, 975 (9th Cir. 2002) (finding rational basis for passport restrictions, in encouraging parents to support their children); **Racsko v. Racsko**, 91 Conn. App. 315, 881 A.2d 460, 464 (2005) (order giving father sole decision-making on whether children were allowed to travel abroad did not violate mother's constitutional right to travel internationally).

and travel restrictions set forth in the parenting plan are reasonably calculated to address this identified harm. (CP 163, 168)

In the Court of Appeals, the father claimed that the trial court could not impose restrictions on the children's foreign travel because he has not "engaged in abduction." (App. Br. 28) But it is not necessary, nor would it be sound policy, for the courts to only have discretion to impose limitations to prevent abduction *after* a parent had already "engaged in abduction." If the trial court had to wait until the father actually abducted the children before it could impose safeguards, it would be too late, as the consequences of removal to a non-Hague state would be "incredibly serious and irreversible." (CP 161)

The father's argument is similar to that rejected in ***Marriage of Burrill***, 113 Wn. App. 863, 56 P.3d 993 (2002), *rev. denied*, 149 Wn.2d 1007 (2003). In ***Burrill***, concerned that the mother would alienate the children from the father, the trial court imposed RCW 26.09.191(3) limitations barring the mother from joint decision-making after finding she had engaged in the abusive use of conflict. On appeal, Division One agreed with the mother that there was no evidence that the children had been alienated from the father to date, but held that evidence of "actual" damage was not necessary.

Instead, RCW 26.09.191(3) restrictions were justified because there was a “danger” of damage to the child’s psychological development. *Burrill*, 113 Wn. App. at 872.

Perhaps recognizing the absurdity of requiring that the trial court first find that he had “engaged in abduction” before taking steps to insure that he does not, the father now argues that the trial court could not impose restrictions unless it found a “likelihood” that he would abduct the children, citing *Long v. Ardestani*, 241 Wis.2d 498, 624 N.W.2d 405 (2001) (Petition 12, fn. 7). This Court should not impose a requirement that a trial court find on a “highly probable” basis that a parent will engage in harmful conduct before entering an order that insures (through restrictions on the children’s travel, not his own) that he will not. See Commentary and Text to 1987 Parenting Act at 29 (“[A]s to all of the limiting factors in [RCW 26.09.191], the standard of proof required should be less than the clear and convincing evidence required in a dependency action, since no termination of parental rights is intended.”); *Custody of C.C.M.*, 149 Wn. App. 184, 204, ¶ 38, 202 P.3d 971 (2009) (“the least stringent evidentiary standard is appropriate . . . because chapter 26.09 RCW is designed to facilitate a placement choice between equals-the natural parents”).

Further, contrary to the father's argument, **Long** did not impose any higher evidentiary burden before a trial court can restrict foreign travel. Instead, the Wisconsin court emphasized that appellate courts must give deference to a trial court's determination, and that its decision should not be second-guessed:

Ultimately, the court had to weigh the benefit of the children going to Iran [with] the likelihood that they would not be returned, along with the harm to them if they were not returned. That weighing, we conclude, is part of the exercise of discretion in deciding what is in the child's best interests."

Long, 624 N.W.2d at 417, ¶ 10.

Neither **Marriage of Wicklund**, 84 Wn. App. 763, 932 P.2d 652 (1996) nor **Marriage of Watson**, 132 Wn. App. 222, 130 P.3d 915 (2006), support the father's argument either. In **Wicklund**, Division One reversed an order prohibiting the father from showing affection with a same-sex partner in the presence of the children because the only harm the trial court perceived was the children's difficulty in "adjusting" after their parents' separation – a harm that could be remedied by counseling. 84 Wn. App at 771. In **Watson**, Division Two reversed a parenting plan modification that restricted the father's time with the child because the mother's irrational fears

that he had sexually abused the child had alienated the child from the father. 132 Wn. App. at 232-33, ¶¶ 28, 29.

In this case, however, the perceived harm is abduction of the children to a foreign country where they could not be recovered through the Hague Convention.¹¹ (CP 163) Unlike the “harm” in *Wicklund*, abduction cannot be remedied by counseling or “adjustment.” Unlike in *Watson*, where no harm at all was identified, the trial court here identified a *risk* from the father’s conduct. If the father followed through with his threats, his conduct clearly “would endanger the child(ren)’s physical, mental or emotional health,” thus warranting restrictions under RCW 26.09.191.

The Parenting Act requires “prediction” in fashioning parenting plans, obligating the trial court to determine the parents’ “potential” for “future performance of parenting functions” RCW 26.09.187(3)(a)(iii), and whether “a parent’s involvement or conduct *may* have an adverse effect on the children’s best interests” under

¹¹ The Court should emphatically reject the argument of the father, and of his amici, that it is irrelevant, and somehow racist, to consider the fact that the risk of abduction is to a non-Hague country. That more European than Asian nations have adopted the Hague Convention does not make a trial court’s consideration of the fact that abduction to a non-Hague state makes retrieval of a child more difficult proof of bias or discrimination. See e.g. *Or. Rev. Stat. §109.035(1)(b)(A)*; *Tex. Fam. Code Ann. §153.502(b)(1)*; *Fla. Stat. Ann. §61.45(1)(c)*; Uniform Laws Child Abduction Prevention Act §7(8)(A) (all including as a factor in determining whether to impose restraints whether the country where the child might be taken is a Hague member state).

RCW 26.09.191(3) – not, as the father now argues, whether there is a “likelihood” that his conduct will adversely affect the children. The parenting plan “complies with statutory requirements to promote the best interests of the children,” *Katare I*, 125 Wn. App. at 823, while protecting their children, and does not violate any rights of the parents.

C. The Trial Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion In Considering Unchallenged Evidence Of The “Risk Factors” Of Parental Abduction.

While expressly disavowing reliance on Mr. Berry’s testimony, the trial court relied in part on literature describing “red flags” and “risk factors” of international parental abduction, admitted in the course of Mr. Berry’s testimony, in making its independent determination to impose restrictions. (See CP 163-64, *citing* Exs. 11, 25, 28, 30, 31-32)¹² As the prefatory notes to the Uniform Child Abduction Prevention Act state: “family abductions may be preventable through the identification of risk factors.” Courts

¹² The court considered the books *Accounting for Non-Resident Indian Clients* (2004) (Ex. 11) and *International Parental Child Abduction* (1998) (Ex. 25); 2001 “white papers” and a 2007 Family Resource Guide on International Parental Kidnapping from the U.S. Department of Justice (Ex. 27, 28, 30, 33); an April 2008 Report on the Compliance with the Hague Convention from the U.S. Department of State (Ex. 29); a 2002 Family Abduction and Prevention and Response booklet published by the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (Ex. 31); and a travel alert issued by the State Department in December 2008. (Ex. 32)

routinely consider this type of information. See e.g. *Marriage of Pape*, 139 Wn.2d 694, 706-08, 989 P.2d 1120 (1999) (relying on articles addressing child relocation); *State v. Ciskie*, 110 Wn.2d 263, 272-73, 751 P.2d 1165 (1988) (relying on treatises describing battered woman syndrome).

The father argues error based on cases rejecting reliance on testimony of criminal “profilers.”¹³ (App. Br. 31-32) But unlike proving whether a crime has occurred, determining whether a parent poses a risk of abduction that should be addressed through modest RCW 26.09.191(3)(g) restrictions is necessarily predictive. Further, it results not in conviction or imprisonment, but in imposition of constitutional limitations on the children’s travel. (CP 162)

That certain factors may be relevant to a determination whether a parent poses a risk of abduction sufficient to impose restrictions is not akin to “criminal profiling,” nor based on a “suspect classification, [the father]’s national origin.” (App. Br. 32) The trial court’s consideration of risk factors similar to those in the Uniform Child Abduction Prevention Act, the statutes of other

¹³ The father fails to adequately distinguish *Detention of Thorell*, 149 Wn.2d 724, 758, 72 P.3d 708 (2003), *cert. denied*, 541 U.S. 990 (2004), in which this Court approved the use of risk assessments to determine the “future dangerousness” of sexual predators in *civil* commitment cases, noting that the probative value of this type of testimony is high, is directly relevant to whether an individual should be committed, and outweighs any prejudicial effect.

states, and as urged by the father in his second appeal, was not an abuse of discretion. Most emphatically, it was not an act of bias, but a measured decision by a fact finder who was required to weigh evidence and the credibility of witnesses.

D. This Court Should Award Attorney Fees To The Mother.

The court should award attorney fees to the mother under RAP 18.9; *Marriage of Greenlee*, 65 Wn. App. 703, 829 P.2d 1120, *rev. denied*, 120 Wn.2d 1002 (1992), and on the basis of her need and the father's ability to pay attorney fees. RCW 26.09.140; *Leslie v. Verhey*, 90 Wn. App. 796, 807, 954 P.2d 330 (1998), *rev. denied*, 137 Wn.2d 1003 (1999).


IV. CONCLUSION


The restrictions on the children's foreign travel comply with the statutory constraints of the Parenting Act, are in the children's best interests, and do not violate the father's rights. They should be affirmed with an award of appellate fees to the mother.

Dated this 19th day of August, 2011.

SMITH GOODFRIEND, P.S.

GORDON W. WILCOX, INC.,
P.S.

By: 

By: 

Catherine W. Smith, WSBA # 9542
Valerie A. Villacin, WSBA # 34515

Gordon W. Wilcox, WSBA # 75

Attorneys for Respondent

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Washington, that the following is true and correct:

That on August 19, 2011, I arranged for service of the foregoing Supplemental Brief of Respondent, to the Court and to the parties to this action as follows:

Washington State Supreme Court Temple of Justice Building P.O. Box 40929 Olympia, WA 98504-0929	<input type="checkbox"/> E-Filing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Messenger [Monday delivery] <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail
Gregory M. Miller Carney Badley Spellman, P.S. 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98104-7010	<input type="checkbox"/> E-Filing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Messenger [Monday delivery] <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail
Lorraine K. Bannai Attorney at Law 901 12 th Avenue Seattle, WA 98122	<input type="checkbox"/> E-Filing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Messenger [Monday delivery] <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail
Keith A. Talbot Patterson Buchanan Fobes Leitch & Kalzer PS 2112 3rd Ave Ste 500 Seattle WA 98121-2391	<input type="checkbox"/> E-Filing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Messenger [Monday delivery] <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail
Huyen-Lam Q. Nguyen-Bull Garvey, Schubert & Barer 1191 Second Ave., Suite 1800 Seattle, WA 98101	<input type="checkbox"/> E-Filing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Messenger [Monday delivery] <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail
Gordon Wilcox Gordon W. Wilcox, Inc., P.S. 999 Third Avenue, Suite 3650 Seattle, WA 98104	<input type="checkbox"/> Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Messenger <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Mail

DATED at Seattle, Washington this 19th day of August, 2011.



Tara D. Friesen

APPENDIX

Re: Visitation Update

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 9/15/03 9:45 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

Children's diapers and cloths are changed promptly and I keep an entire log and "Daddy's diary" since the day one. They need to know in future who eliminated their daddy from their lives and what extra miles I had to go to stay close to them despite of my herniated disc and severe back pain. I advise you to check the children before taking them back after the visitation rather than again falsifying information. Again, lying is in your nature from date #1 !!!

I do not need anything from you. Just bring the children in piece of dress and I can take care of the rest.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>Subject: Visitation Update

>Date: Mon, 15 Sep 2003 08:07:21 -0700

>MIME-Version: 1.0

>X-Originating-IP: [67.210.243.22]

>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>Received: from 67.210.243.22 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Mon, 15 Sep 2003 15:07:21 GMT'

>
>I wanted to mention a couple of things before your next visitation. Annika is still eager to potty train and needs to be reminded often to go to the bathroom. Rohan has been learning to potty in the toilet as well and has gone several times in the toilet. He wears pull-ups during the day and diapers at night. Also, please change Rohan often and quickly remove poop if he goes in his diaper. After the last visitation, Rohan was in extreme pain because the poop had remained and he had bad diaper rash. Anyway, the children are doing well and will see you in October.

>
>If you need for me to bring clothes, etc. for the children let me know before the visitation.

>
>Lyn

>

Re: Swimming Lessons

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 9/17/03 9:16 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: b.katare@verizon.net

Choice is yours to share receipts or not. I have seen other honest divorced couples sharing the data. But in this case that was founded on lies, I don't expect anything better. Children will understand as they do have my genes too.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: Re: Swimming Lessons
>Date: Wed, 17 Sep 2003 09:13:13 -0700
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [67.212.95.202]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>Received: from 67.212.95.202 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;Wed, 17 Sep 2003 16:13:13 GMT

>
>I do not want to rehash the past as you seem to want to do. To repeat again for the record, my father was not/is not a sex offender. There is no need to send receipts.

>
>Lyn

>

>

>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>CC: b.katare@verizon.net
>>Subject: Re: Swimming Lessons
>>Date: Wed, 17 Sep 2003 08:46:01 -0700
>>

>>I am ok if the lessons are one hour/week. Read your declaration that relocation to FL would not require children to be in day care fulltime and would save money. But on contrary, they are better of there than in the vicinity of your father who is a sex offender. Reconsideration is/was warranted because of the obvious reasons. I did not refuse to sign the paperwork and did it promptly as soon as I found some time and a notary public. Kids do have dark circles as pictures and videos do not lie.

>>
>>Are you going to share the receipts for children's expenses? Set an example for the record that the money is indeed spent on them.

>>

>>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>>Subject: Swimming Lessons
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Sep 2003 08:20:27 -0700
>>>MIME-Version: 1.0
>>>X-Originating-IP: [67.212.95.202]
>>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>>>Received: from 67.212.95.202 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;Wed, 17 Sep 2003 15:20:27 GMT

>>>

>>>I don't know how you are coming up with the incorrect assumption that the children are in daycare for 55 hours/week, because they are not. Like any other children whose parents work, they are attending daycare for 40 hours/week and less at times. I am not asking for any funds from you for the swimming lessons which are 1 hour per week. I do have the children's best interests in mind when I want them to learn a new skill and exercise. Also, they do not have dark circles or appear stressed, but are very happy and are very well-adjusted. Do you still intend to not agree to the swimming lessons for the children?

>>>

>>>For the record, I was not the one extending this lawsuit. As I recall, you did 2 motions for reconsideration after July 30th and would not do simple signatures required by the decree.

>>>

>>>Lyn

>>>

>>>

>>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>CC: b.katare@verizon.net

>>>>Subject: Re: Call today

>>>>Date: Tue, 16 Sep 2003 10:39:03 -0700

>>>>

>>>>With kids attending 55 hrs/week in Montessori school and then making them go to the swimming lesson is not a good idea. I think in your pursuit to drain money from me you either do not know or do not care what is in the best interest of the children. No wonder at this age they have dark circles around their eyes and look very very stressed. Just teach them self respect, stronger will power and a will to move forward and not look back. That will be more beneficial than making them "work" more than overtime by any standards. In a nutshell, I do not think it is a good idea with the kind of workload they are subjected to make them attend swimming lessons at this time.

>>>>

>>>>May I ask you how you are spending\$1550/mo you are getting for the children from me? With your contribution the total is \$2500 (approx). I request you to share the receipts with me for their actual expenses and the money you are spending on them. That would also set an example to them when they grow that indeed money was spent on them.

>>>>

>>>>Regarding motion: You saw in the letter from my attorney that I was OOF for a while and had to catch-up with workload. The letter is signed and sent to my attorney. With that and me taking less than 50% of Fidelity account, all of the business ties are closed and hopefully over. Hopefully this lawsuit will come to an end.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>>Subject: Re: Call today

>>>>>Date: Tue, 16 Sep 2003 07:49:05 -0700

>>>>>MIME-Version: 1.0

>>>>>X-Originating-IP: [67.212.238.249]

>>>>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>>>>>Received: from 67.212.238.249 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;Tue, 16 Sep 2003 14:49:05 GMT

>>>>

>>>>>Okay. The motion is necessary because of upcoming deadlines and other responsibilities. If there had been cooperation, then it wouldn't have had to be. There are no hidden agendas here either only a need to finish the final business matters. The kids are doing well and say "hi".

>>>>

>>>>>Also, I would like to enroll Annika and Rohan in swimming lessons and am discussing it now with you per the parenting plan. Please let me know what your thoughts are.

>>>>

>>>>>Lyn

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>>CC: b.katare@verizon.net

>>>>>Subject: Call today

>>>>>Date: Mon, 15 Sep 2003 19:45:50 -0700

>>>>>

>>>>>Got you VM. Could not call kids because I could not get out of a mandatory meeting that ended at 5:45pm PST and I will never violate a court order by calling before or after the allotted time. Otherwise your motion thru Wilcock will cost me money that I am trying to save for Annika and Rohan's future. No hidden agenda on my part. Hope they are doing well.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>

RE: Montessori School Payment

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Thu 12/04/03 9:49 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

More lies from you. I have never ever been abusive in our relationship and perhaps your future relationships will give you a taste of that. I don't talk to you over the phone except matters related to the children. Regarding phone call and you denying Annika, I had called from my office in Sammamish on a speaker phone and others heard it too. Only time will tell. The bottom line is your lies, fabrications, manipulations and injustice by the system will not stop this father giving his love and time to his children. I am determined to be in the lives of Annika and Rohan and will continue to travel to see them wherever they are. It is costing me tons of money, I continue to suffer from back pain due to the herniated disc however I will make sure children do have their father in their lives.

You have acted in bad faith from the beginning that continues to astonish me. I was hoping a little cooperation from you to accomodate my visit from Fri/Sat instead of Sat/Sun would have been a show of god faith and cooperation. I had to be in a meeting first thing Monday morning and thereore had to return children back to fly 3000 miles to Seattle. For the records, I landed in Seattle at 2:00am Monday monring and then had to rush to a meeting early morning. I will continue to juggle and enjoy time with the children. As my father taught me, hard work never kills anyone however no work does.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>Subject: RE: Montessori School Payment

>Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 09:01:01 -0800

>MIME-Version: 1.0

>X-Originating-IP: [205.188.209.69]

>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>Received: from 205.188.209.69 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;Thu, 04 Dec 2003 17:01:01 GMT

>

>I am also responding for the record. You need to stop being abusive in your phone calls and emails.

>

>Annika never said any such thing regarding the phone. I have never restricted her from talking to you.

>

>I have always and will continue to fully cooperate in regards with the children.

>

>1) You would not have paid the children's tuition had I not gone to court over it, yet you had money to start new litigation for both a reconsideration and an appeal then. Your priorities?

>

>2) I never violated any court orders.

>

>3) You saw the children last Saturday and Sunday (Nov. 29 & 30) per the

final parenting plan. You only asked for your Thanksgiving weekend time the Wednesday (Nov. 26th) before your time stating that you only just had read the July 30th final parenting plan giving you that time. I told you then that I had made personal plans with the children weeks before on that Friday (Nov. 28th - the day you now wanted) and didn't want to change them, but you insisted that I break my time so you could have Friday and Saturday with the children. Now you are accusing me of non-cooperation. That is an incorrect and inaccurate statement. Also, the Sunday of Thanksgiving (30th) that you returned the children, you could have had the children until 8pm, but you chose to return them at 10am instead when you had told me in a prior email that you had already taken Monday (Dec. 1st) off. Once again, your choices and priorities are wrong, not my depriving.

>

>

>

>

>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>Subject: RE: Montessori School Payment

>>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:08:20 -0800

>>

>>I am responding only for the records.

>>

>>More lies from you. You also heard Annika last night (Monday December 1st 2003) when I called that you were not letting her talk to me and were putting the phone away. Children do not lie and your actions will continue to get exposed with time. As I have mentioned on numerous occasions, the only way is to cooperate and work together on parenting issues however; cooperation is not in your dictionary.

>>

>>For other points:

>>

>>(1) For the record, I never ever denied any payments. Records shows that we were waiting for Judge Roberts decision for the payment calculations. You went to the court because you have nothing better to do. Again, do not lie as my entire records are well kept and shows every step in this whole litigation to anyone who cares about the TRUTH. Nov 2003 support payment got delayed due to issues between DSHS and Microsoft. Again, since you asked for garnishment of my wages you have to live with the speed at which the system operates. My alimony and support payment have never ever been delayed once. You have lots of money for litigation and not for children's tuition payment? So much for priorities in life. Also, do not forget your live testimony in front of judge Roberts that relocation to Florida would not require full time day care that too when you submitted a full time job working for your mother earning \$60K/year. As I mentioned before, children are better off in daycare than near your sexual abuser father.

>>

>>(2) You did violate court order. The relocation was not even granted until July 30th 2003. Therefore, not only you violated court order but are lying again. In fact, you were not even authorized to enroll children anywhere outside King county. So, you have violated multiple orders and are making a fun of the legal system.

>>

>>(3) I am deeply concerned about both of them and their emotional well being. I asked you to let me see the children on Fri/Sat after Thanksgiving so that I can spend full time with them. But you declined and I had to cut

short my visit on Sunday to fly back to Seattle where I have a Full Time job. Little cooperation from you would have been a show of good faith and good for the children but again that is not in your dictionary. Children will understand who deprived them of time with their father.

>>

>>

>>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>>Subject: RE: Montessori School Payment

>>>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:07:39 -0800

>>>MIME-Version: 1.0

>>>X-Originating-IP: [152.163.252.230]

>>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>Received: from 152.163.252.230 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;Tue, 02 Dec 2003 17:07:39 GMT

>>>

>>>Brajesh,

>>>

>>>For the record,

>>>

>>>1) As you will remember, you initially weren't even willing to pay your portion of the daycare expenses. I had to go to court when the "lump-sum" for their tuition would have been due on August 1st, which would have

>>>been all up to me to pay. It is cheaper to pay the children's tuition annually, but harder to come up with a lump-sum amount at one-time for both

>>>children, especially when your payments aren't timely or aren't forthcoming.

>>>

>>>2) As you are aware, when the children were enrolled in Belleair Montessori (sometime early this year), the temporary parenting plan called for sole

>>>decision making on my part. Therefore, I did not violate court order as you continue to claim.

>>>

>>>3) I have mentioned before that the children are not in daycare for 8-11 hours daily and they do have reduced hours because of my schedule.

>>>

>>>4) There are no ice skating lessons for either child and swimming is not daily. Both children are very well adjusted and are not "stressed out" as you claim.

>>>

>>>I see that you are trying to make a record of there being difficulties with the children, but there are not.

>>>

>>>Lyn

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>To: <bkatare@hotmail.com>, <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>Subject: RE: Montessori School Payment

>>>>Date: Thu, 27 Nov 2003 23:04:54 -0500

>>>>

>>>>Any update on this? Also for the record, you enrolled kids in the

>>>>Montessori school without my consent and violated court order of joint
>>>>decision making. Secondly, enrolling a 2 and 3 year old for 8-11
hours/day
>>>>in a school and swimming lesson and ice skating lesson is extremely
harmful
>>>>to the children. I think it is clear from the looks and stress level of
the
>>>>children and I am deeply concerned about their well being. Perhaps, you
>>>>should reduce their schooling hours as you work for your mother and have
>>>>flexible hours as you testified in the court during the trial. Let me
know
>>>>if I can be of any help.
>>>>
>>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>>From: bkatare@hotmail.com [mailto:bkatare@hotmail.com]
>>>>Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 2:55 AM
>>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>>>Subject: Montessori School Payment
>>>>
>>>>I just received papers from the Belleair Montessori school that outlines
>>>>their fee structure. It is cheaper to pay the tuition fee on an annual
>>>>basis than in monthly installments. I would like to know why are you
paying
>>>>in monthly installments and not on a yearly basis which would be
economical
>>>>to both the parties.
>>>

RE: Annika Dental Issue

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sat 1/31/04 3:57 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

I don't think her teeth will last until she is 10-12. God knows who gave you this advice. I have talked to doctors too and it is too young of an age to be doing cavity filling. This is unnecessary. Secondly, the insurance should cover for it therefore do not incur cost until things are clear and this procedure is called for. Most Dr's prescribe things to make money and there are bunch of crooks & criminal in that profession to waztch out for. She should not be subjected to this trauma at this age.

I do not endorse this until there is a second opinion & multiple doctors are consulted. I will be there to visit them and would like to talk to the doctor as well. This is not a medical emergency. This is another way for you to continue to harrass father of Annika and Rohan.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>Subject: Annika Dental Issue

>Date: Fri, 30 Jan 2004 11:37:50 -0800

>MIME-Version: 1.0

>X-Originating-IP: [152.163.252.228]

>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>Received: from 152.163.252.228 by lw9fd.law9.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Fri, 30 Jan 2004 19:37:50 GMT

>

>Brajesh,

>

>This is to inform you that Annika will be getting 2 cavities filled on February 18th by Dr. Whitehead. The dentist said she had a defect in the enamel of the teeth, which caused the cavities. They need filling because she will have these teeth until she is 10-12 years of age. Dr. Whitehead is a pediatric dentist in Clearwater (727-441-8963). Rohan's teeth checked out fine except for a slight overbite.

>

>Annika's insurance will not cover the entire cost for this, so I will send you a copy of the bill for your portion (65%) of this expense per the Order of Child Support.

>

>Lyn

>

Continued harrasement of me

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 2/02/04 5:39 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

Lynette,

Please stop harrassing the children and me. Your abusive behavior is continueing. I have not talked to the children on the phone for a along time and my cell phone records shows that most of my calls last for one to two minutes because of lack of your cooperation and you disconnecting the phone. When I asked Annika on my speaker phone last month that why she was not talking to me she replied and I quote "Mommy keeps on taking the phone away". My friends and I heard in my office on the speaker phone you scolding Annika saying "Annika don't lie, you are lying you are lying..." repeatedly. Since then Annika has stopped coming to the phone becasue she knows that talking to her daddy means getting scolded.

There is no need to file a motion re: my residence. I have a residence in Seattle area. I gave you my new cell phone number which is +91 988 527 1119. I cannot afford to maintain 2 cell phones. The new number works all over the world. Secondly, I incur international charges 3 times a week to call the children. I have never asked for any reimbursement and you don't need to call this number if you are prompt in fulfilling court order and not violating it. You called only once on Sunday Feb 1st that too after I left you 2 messges and called 3 times between the specified time ordered by the court of 7:30pm - 8:30pm EST. You sending an inflamatory fax via your attorney is another example of provoking issues. There is no need for you to manufacture stuff anymore. It is time for you to move on. Divorces are common but this one continue to seem bizarre. Non-cooperation and denial of father's rights in this case has reached new peak and have set an example in our country.

Cavity filling at this early age is not required and I said I would like to talk to her doctor myself. You have never ever provided phone # and contact info of children's doctors that I had requested you on numerous occassions. I have consulted one doctor and he said there is no pressing need. I would like to talk to Annika's doctor during next visit. I also asked you for children's progress and how they are doing in school however you are prompt asking for money but not in providing a statusof their progress. They will grow and understand this one day. You cannot fool them for a very long time.

-Brajesh

RE: Issues with Phone calls

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sun 4/11/04 7:39 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

I thought you would have learned a lesson not to lie after all of your fabrications were tossed out in the court. But again lying, fabrications and cowardness runs in your family. Anyways, I have cell phone records to prove that I always call children on time and have been doing so since day #1. I challenge you to prove me wrong otherwise I think it would have to be a motion in the court with phone bills to show that you are just a pathological liar.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>Subject: RE: Issues with Phone calls

>Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2004 18:30:14 -0700

>MIME-Version: 1.0

>X-Originating-IP: [205.188.116.203]

>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>Received: from 205.188.116.203 by by17fd.bay17.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Mon, 12 Apr 2004 01:30:14 GMT

>

>For the record, tonight was just another of your missed phone calls to the children. You have missed repeated phone calls to the children in the last several weeks without bothering to be concerned about missing the calls by YOUR choice. The record will show in numerous prior emails that I have written that I have shared information on the children's health, progress and other issues. There is no "cruel behavior" on my part toward the children unlike your future threats to emotionally hurt them.

>

>

>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>Subject: Issues with Phone calls

>>Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2004 17:23:47 -0700

>>

>>Today was the 3rd time in last 1.5 weeks that you did not allow me to speak with Annika and Rohan by not picking up the phone. I left you messages with my number to call however your lack of cooperation & hostility continues. Also for the records, you are prompt in asking for money however despite of my numerous requests you fail to share their progress and any information about them. I do not have a clue as to how they are doing in school, how their health is and how is their overall growth and activities. Your actions continue to re-define threshold for hostility and cruel behavior towards children. You have not sent a single email since relocation to Florida with any information about Annika and Rohan. Only thing you care and ask for is money. Annika and Rohan will know and understand this one day - will be really a rude awakening for you. Perhaps you think they would be children under your "RULE" forever.

>>

>>Feel free to share this email with Wilcox so that he can send his thoughts

RE: Thursday August 26th call issue - Status

From: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sun 8/29/04 7:02 PM

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Bcc: gwilcox@gwwinc.com

I always allow you to speak to the children during your phone calls with them. You spoke to Annika and Rohan tonight for a long time as usual and, as usual, they were happy and doing well. I have told you recently that the children are doing well in school and are, otherwise, doing great.

>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: Thursday August 26th call issue - Status
>Date: Thu, 26 Aug 2004 21:02:42 -0700

>
>I guess you did not read my email completely. I called children
>within the time allocated to me. You are harassing me and the
>children by not allowing me to speak to them during my time with
>them.

>
>Also, Annika has not spoken to me since my last visitation because
>you are not cooperating at all and not even brining her on the
>phone. I do not even know how she is doing, well or ill, happy or
>sad and how is her progress in the school. You continue to make a
>joke of our legal system.

>
>
>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>Subject: RE: Thursday August 26th call issue - Status
>>Date: Thu, 26 Aug 2004 18:25:45 -0700
>>MIME-Version: 1.0
>>X-Originating-IP: [64.12.116.203]
>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>Received: from hotmail.com ([64.4.43.89]) by mc3-f30.hotmail.com
>>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(5.0.2195.6824); Thu, 26 Aug 2004 18:26:10
>>-0700
>>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft
>>SMTPSVC; Thu, 26 Aug 2004 18:25:46 -0700
>>Received: from 64.12.116.203 by by17fd.bay17.hotmail.msn.com with
>>HTTP; Fri, 27 Aug 2004 01:25:45 GMT
>>X-Message-Info: JGTYoYF78jH4zDSZ+ALLoKWomWSmtUFx
>>Message-ID: <BAY17-F39GlpP9bR7nF0003ed0e@hotmail.com>
>>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 27 Aug 2004 01:25:46.0150 (UTC)
>>FILETIME=[C7046C60:01C48BD4]
>>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>
>>You called tonight and spoke to Rohan for a while and then you hung
>>up yourself. You had your phone call with the children tonight,
>>but continued to harass me with more phone calls after that.
>>
>>

RE: Annika's B'day and May/June Visitation notice

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sat 5/28/05 7:08 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

I don't think you will ever give up lying because it has yielded you positive results. I am sure legal system would realize their mistakes of regarding your bad behavior. It is only a matter of time.

Regardless, It was reckless to ask me to drive back to drop off kids again when both of them were sleeping in the car, we were entering into the hotel when you called and it was very very late for these innocent kids. The chances of having car trouble at the same time phone not working are slim to none. You could have used any of the public phones to call me. The truth is you didn't care about children to be pickup up for whatever reason.

Regarding visitation - You were given notice on Feb 4th and you had agreed to after initially refusing to follow the plan. Below is the plan as per agreement with you. I will be picking up them again on May 29th at 10am and continue to follow the plan as per the Feb 4th notice.

- >>>>>(1) Annika's B'day - May 27th 2005 from 10am - 8pm
- >>>>>(2) May 2005 visitation from May 29th at 10am - May 31st at 8pm
- >>>>>(3) June 2005 visitation from June 1st at 10am - June 3rd at

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: Annika's B'day and May/June Visitation notice
>Date: Fri, 27 May 2005 21:52:12 -0700
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [65.54.161.200]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.40]) by mc5-f32.hotmail.com with
>Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Fri, 27 May 2005 21:52:27 -0700
>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
>Fri, 27 May 2005 21:52:13 -0700
>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with
>HTTP;Sat, 28 May 2005 04:52:12 GMT
>X-Message-Info: JGTYoYF78jEHjJx36O18+Z3TmnkSEdPtfpLB7P/ybN8=
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 28 May 2005 04:52:13.0162 (UTC)
>FILETIME=[036FF4A0:01C56341]
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>
>I am sending this email to document the fact that I responded to you to
>tell you that when I returned your call at 9:30pm on May 27th, I explained
>what happened to you regarding my car trouble on May 27th night. I was
>stranded due to that car trouble and missed picking up the children at our
>scheduled time. During that phone call, I offered to pick the children up
>at 9:30pm, but you refused. We arranged for a new time for visitation to
>start on May 28th at noon, since you did not want to agree to the normal
>time of 10am that day.

>

RE: Expressing Rohan's concerns

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Tue 8/09/05 1:16 PM

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

I always ask the children if they want to speak to you on the phone and never yell at them regarding your phone calls with them. I always give the children the phone to speak to you, if they chose to speak to you. However, sometimes when I ask them if they want to speak to you on the phone, they tell me "no".

I do not remember who is listed to pick them up. However, you would have to take them to school first in order to pick them up and you chose not to do that on her first day of kindergarten.

>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com

>Subject: RE: Expressing Rohan's concerns

>Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 20:02:39 -0700

>

>Ok. However; the fact of the matter is that you are never truthful.

>

>Annika said something very interesting when I asked her why she and
>Rohan do not come to the phone. She said and I quote "Daddy I love
>you soooooo much (extending her arms) but I don't come to the phone
>becasue we get yelled at and also mommy does not give us the phone".

>

>Regarding phone calls, they love and ask me to call Tingu Chacha.
>They spoke to my brother several times during this visit. In fact
>Rohan asked me to call my friend Sanjay in Seattle. They spoke for a
>while where Rohan compared Vijay Singh and Tiger Woods on the call
>with Sanjay. Rohan and Annika had watched Buick Open with me for a
>bit that weekend. They are relating to the skin color asking me if
>Vijay Singh was from India. So, the children are capable and
>interested in speaking to people who love them on the phone however;
>it is your job to provide an environment for that. I do that when
>you call. I urge them to say hello to you etc. etc..

>

>You and your family have to shift their focus from hurting me to
>what is in the best interest of the children and that is when the
>kids will enjoy a peaceful time. Your lies can't stop this father
>from loving his children and fighting for his and kiddos rights. I
>guarantee it.

>

>Also, I urge you to answer my IMPORTANT questions as they relate to
>children. You did not answer my following question:

>

>>>BTW, who is in the list to pickup Annika from her elementary
>>>school? I would like to know. Since during my future visitation I
>>>will be dropping her off and picking her up therefore it is
>>>critical you disclose the list to me and make sure my name is
>>>there too.

>

>

>

>>>>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com
>>>>with HTTP; Fri, 05 Aug 2005 16:23:56 GMT
>>>>X-Message-Info: JGTyoYF78jEHjJx36Oi8+Z3TmmkSEdPtfpLB7P/ybN8=
>>>>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 05 Aug 2005 16:23:56.0872 (UTC)
>>>>FILETIME=[14144480:01C599DA]
>>>>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>>>

>>>>I am able to handle the children easily. Rohan does not get time
>>>>outs in the garage.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>>>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>>>>Subject: Expressing Rohan's concerns
>>>>>Date: Thu, 04 Aug 2005 13:17:49 -0700
>>>>>

>>>>>I wanted to bring another thing to your attention. Rohan said
>>>>>you and the family used to give him timeout in Laundry Room and
>>>>>Bathroom. However; now you guys are giving him timeouts in the
>>>>>garage. He said and I quote "Mommy and abuela and grandpa gives
>>>>>me timeout in garage. It is very very hot there and also there
>>>>>are mosquitos". He was literally in tears expressing me his
>>>>>concerns. This is nothing but abusing the innocent child.

>>>>>

>>>>>I request you to stop these kinds of timeouts immediately. If
>>>>>you cannot handle the children then I am open to accepting them
>>>>>back with me any day.
>>>>>

> To: lkatare@hotmail.com
> Subject: RE: Phone call - Contempt of court order
> Date: Thu, 27 Oct 2005 11:03:58 -0700

> Let's put this matter to rest. I was just trying to tell you that you lied
> in. In your response to my original email you wrote:

> "This is another falsehood on your behalf. I have the phone records on my
> cell phone to prove that I picked up and also called you back on your cell
> phone several times."

> Then you contradicted yourself. You had a voice mail from me however; you
> never called me back. I can easily prove that using your and my phone
> records.

> I have no desire to have any conflict with you. I did what I said out to do.
> Did my two year assignment in India and am back. I raised money in Hyderabad
> to have 6 heart surgeries for kids in the name of Annika and Rohan. I would
> rather do good for people and and community and spend my time wisely instead
> of lying or behaving like yourself, your mother and your sister did in the
> court at the expense of Annika and Rohan. Hopefully one day each one of you
> will answer to Annika and Rohan for depriving them of their heritqage,
> culture and the fantastic life they could have enjoyed. I met several
> divorced females in Microsoft. Most of them have stayed in WA state just
> because they didn't want to deprive children of their father. It is these
> choices that people make that differentiates them from one another.

> Take care.

> From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
> To: bkatare@hotmail.com
> Subject: RE: Phone call - Contempt of court order
> Date: Tue, 25 Oct 2005 21:09:30 -0700

1/5/2009

RE: November 2005 visitation notice

From: **Brajesh Katare** (brajeshk@microsoft.com)

Sent: Tue 10/18/05 9:41 AM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com; gschoon@hmlaw.com

Your lack of response is putting my next visit in jeopardy. You are already 3 days late in responding to this request. I am unable to find hotel and cheap flight tickets. This is another example of your continued hostility and not putting children's interest ahead of your own agenda.

-Brajesh Katare
Sr. Director, SMS&P
425 706 2794 (W)
425 301 3377 (C)

-----Original Message-----

From: Brajesh Katare
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2005 6:52 AM
To: Lynette Katare
Subject: RE: November 2005 visitation notice

What is there to check? Your lack of prompt responses have been consistent.

-Brajesh

"Sent using Smartphone"

-----Original Message-----

From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
To: "brajeshk@microsoft.com" <brajeshk@microsoft.com>
Sent: 10/17/2005 6:07 AM
Subject: RE: November 2005 visitation notice

I will check the dates and get back with you.
Lyn

>From: "Brajesh Katare" <brajeshk@microsoft.com>
>To: <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>CC: <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>Subject: November 2005 visitation notice
>Date: Sat, 15 Oct 2005 12:06:48 -0700
>

>I will be visiting Annika and Rohan on following dates for my November
>visit.

>(1) Pick up on Nov 19th at 10am

>(2) Drop off on Nov 21st at 8pm

>(1) Pick up on Nov 26th at 10am

>(2) Drop off on Nov 27th at 8pm

>Let me know if you have question.

>-Brajesh Katare

>Director, Entertainment & Devices Division - Systems

RE: Kids Dental Appt

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Tue 10/18/05 3:31 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

My mouth is full with delicious indian food and sweets. I have no need to put any words in your mouth. I was just letting you know that Annika and Rohan are most welcome anytime they become burden for you as alluded by you.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: Kids Dental Appt
>Date: Tue, 18 Oct 2005 15:20:52 -0700
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [205.188.117.9]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.11]) by mc12-f20.hotmail.com with
>Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Tue, 18 Oct 2005 15:20:53 -0700
>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
>Tue, 18 Oct 2005 15:20:52 -0700
>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with
>HTTP;Tue, 18 Oct 2005 22:20:52 GMT
>X-Message-Info: JGTYoYF78jEHjJx36O18+Z3TmmkSEdPtfpLB7P/ybN8=
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 18 Oct 2005 22:20:52.0957 (UTC)
>FILETIME=[33A100D0:01C5D432]
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>
>Helping to raise the children is a joy. Don't put your words into my
>mouth.

>

>

>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>Subject: RE: Kids Dental Appt
>>Date: Mon, 17 Oct 2005 09:11:44 -0700

>>

>>You sound like dealing with them is a burden. It's your choice. If dealing
>>with them is something you can't handle then they have my house open to
>>them anyway and anytime. Let them come to me and I will take care of them

>>:-)

>>

>>Legally, you cannot see or be on my insurance. It is not your choice.

>>

>>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>>Subject: RE: Kids Dental Appt
>>>Date: Mon, 17 Oct 2005 06:13:56 -0700
>>>MIME-Version: 1.0
>>>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]
>>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>>Received: from mc12-f25.hotmail.com ([65.54.167.161]) by
>>>imc1-s41.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Mon, 17 Oct

Annika

From: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 2/01/06 10:08 AM

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

I take time to explain things to the children regarding our situation, especially if something were to bother them.

>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com

>Subject: RE: Receipts

>Date: Wed, 01 Feb 2006 08:19:20 -0800

>

>Payment has gone out as per the plan. I will not vilolate any court
>order ever like you have been doing because this biased system is
>supporting you. Like everything else; this streak will come to and
>end too as kids grow. It is a matter of time :-)

>

>On a disturbing note, I wanted to bring something to your
>attention. Annika and I had a long conversation during the weeklong
>visit. She told me that she has said to all of her friends that she
>does not have a daddy. When I explained it to her that she does have
>a daddy who loves her and she should tell her friends that he lives
>in Seattle etc. She started to cry saying that if she tells them now
>then they will break friendship and consider her a liar. I explained
>to her that she is not lying but correcting a mistake that she had
>made originallly. I expect you to provide comfort to Annika and help
>her with these kinds of issues. You might want to handle these
>issues and help them cope up with the loss they have because of the
>choices you have made. As they grow they will know that me not
>living next to them is the choice their mother made and not made by
>their father.

>

>If Annika needs some kind of counseling then we should think about
>seeing a child psychologist. Microsoft programs cover expenses like
>these.

>

>

>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>Subject: RE: Receipts

>>Date: Wed, 01 Feb 2006 08:05:32 -0800

>>MIME-Version: 1.0

>>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]

>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>Received: from bay0-mc10-f2.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.245.42]) by

>>bay0-imc1-s26.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft

>>SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Wed, 1 Feb 2006 08:10:11 -0800

>>Received: from omc2-s28.bay6.hotmail.com ([65.54.249.38]) by

>>bay0-mc10-f2.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211);

>>Wed, 1 Feb 2006 08:08:18 -0800

>>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.16]) by

>>omc2-s28.bay6.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211);

RE: Annika

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 2/01/06 10:50 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

Then do explain it to her that she does have a father who is successful, caring and loves her. Expose this facts to her friends when you are with her. I have many things that they have said on tapes that I will watch with them together when they grow up.

One of the thing they used to tell me was that I was married to you and then I got mean to you. After six months they have started to say that I was married to you and then both got mean to each other. I am sure in few years they will know who robbed whom, who lied, who fabricated and who was mean to whom. Again, matter of time since they have started to figure things out. They tell me many many disturbing things that I tried to bring to your attention with no success.

They talk about your parties where only adults are involved, they have to sleep at your parents house and how they put bowl in front of them and not feed etc. Anyways, they get TLC when they are with me. I will continue to do my duties since they deserve the best.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: Annika
>Date: Wed, 01 Feb 2006 10:08:51 -0800
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>Received: from omcl-s39.bay6.hotmail.com ([65.54.248.241]) by
>bay0-mc5-f17.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Wed, 1
>Feb 2006 10:12:45 -0800
>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.36]) by omcl-s39.bay6.hotmail.com
>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Wed, 1 Feb 2006 10:09:19 -0800
>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
>Wed, 1 Feb 2006 10:08:52 -0800
>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with
>HTTP;Wed, 01 Feb 2006 18:08:51 GMT
>X-Message-Info: JGTyOYF78jEHjJx360i8+Z3TmmkSEdPtfpLB7P/ybN8=
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 01 Feb 2006 18:08:52.0144 (UTC)
>FILENAME=[8EB58B00:01C6275A]
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>
>I take time to explain things to the children regarding our situation,
>especially if something were to bother them.

>
>
>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>Subject: RE: Receipts
>>Date: Wed, 01 Feb 2006 08:19:20 -0800

RE: Feb visit cancellation and phone call

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sun 2/19/06 4:58 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com

You are a born liar who has developed a compulsive lying habit. I called on 2/16/2006 at 4:55pm and the duration of the call was 36 seconds. I left you a message and you should have called me rather me chasing you. It has been a routine problem which is sad/loss for the children. If a judge believes your email over the phone company records then god bless this wonderful country on earth.

I am more scared of children being raised in your company and in your family's surrounding. Annika and Rohan have a perfect father but what a shame they have to live with a liar, a sexual abuser and vindictive bunch.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: Feb visit cancellation and phone call
>Date: Sun, 19 Feb 2006 14:38:43 -0800
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [64.12.116.204]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>Received: from bay0-mc4-f19.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.244.123]) by
>bay0-imc1-s27.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Sun,
>19 Feb 2006 14:38:48 -0800
>Received: from omc2-s42.bay6.hotmail.com ([65.54.249.52]) by
>bay0-mc4-f19.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Sun, 19
>Feb 2006 14:38:48 -0800
>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.44]) by omc2-s42.bay6.hotmail.com
>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Sun, 19 Feb 2006 14:38:43 -0800
>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
>Sun, 19 Feb 2006 14:38:43 -0800
>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with
>HTTP;Sun, 19 Feb 2006 22:38:43 GMT
>X-Message-Info: JGTYoYF78jEHjJx360i8+Z3TmmkSEdPtfpLB7P/ybN8=
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 19 Feb 2006 22:38:43.0538 (UTC)
>FILETIME=[3CF7B320:01C635A5]
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com
>

>As always, I will pass on your messages to the children. And as always, I
>always pick up the phone if and when you call; however, you have not called
>on the last 2 phone calls that you have had. I do not have any messages
>from you on my phone. Plus, you know that if you cannot get through for
>any reason on my cell, you can call the landline as I have said repeatedly
>in the past. Do not make excuses for not calling and blaming me.
>

>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>Subject: Feb visit cancellation and phone call

>>Date: Fri, 17 Feb 2006 15:52:39 -0800

>>

>>Due to business commitments and scheduling challenges I will not be able
>>to visit kids this month. I had requested in another email about your
>>cooperation for me to visit kids impromptu for the weekends during my
>>business trips but you declined to cooperate. If you change your mind
>>then let me know and I will try to squeeze trips to Florida whenever I am
>>on business trips.

>>

>>Tell Annika and Rohan that their daddy is caught in some business meetings
>>and is unable to come but will see them during the spring break.

>>

>>BTW, I left you a message yesterday during my scheduled call and you
>>didn't pickup the phone. It is a violation of the court order.

>>

>>

>>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>>Subject: RE: Feb 2006 visitation notice

>>>Date: Tue, 07 Feb 2006 13:49:41 -0800

>>>MIME-Version: 1.0

>>>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]

>>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>Received: from omc3-s30.bay6.hotmail.com ([65.54.249.104]) by

>>>bay0-mc9-f2.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Tue, 7

>>>Feb 2006 13:52:05 -0800

>>>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.27]) by omc3-s30.bay6.hotmail.com

>>>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Tue, 7 Feb 2006 13:49:41 -0800

>>>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;

>>>Tue, 7 Feb 2006 13:49:41 -0800

>>>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with

>>>HTTP;Tue, 07 Feb 2006 21:49:41 GMT

>>>X-Message-Info: JGTYoYF78jEHjJx36Qi8+Z3TmmkSEdPtfpLB7P/ybN8=

>>>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 07 Feb 2006 21:49:41.0492 (UTC)

>>>FILETIME=[666A1B40:01C62C30]

>>>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>

>>>That appears to be alright. Then I will drop her off to school on the
>>>24th and you will be picking her up - for certain? I don't want there to
>>>be any uncertainty with this. The latest you can pick up either child is
>>>6pm. Contact me on my cell phone with any questions.

>>>

>>>

>>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>Subject: RE: Feb 2006 visitation notice

>>>>Date: Mon, 06 Feb 2006 09:38:06 -0800

>>>>

>>>>I need to change these dates due to business commitments. Therefore; I
>>>>would like to modify this visitation dates to be from Feb 24th - Feb
>>>>26th. Let me know if you have any questions.

>>>>

>>>>I understand Annika may be in school on the 24th. In the case, you drop
>>>>her to the school and I will pick her up. Same thing with Rohan. You can
>>>>drop him to school and I will pick him up by lunch time.

RE: July 2006 visitation notice

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 6/14/06 8:57 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: bkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

No I will not see them this month and due to lack of your cooperation and flexibility I will not see them next month as well. If you change your mind then let me know for July 22nd and 23rd.

Cheers.

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: July 2006 visitation notice
>Date: Wed, 14 Jun 2006 08:51:44 -0700
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>Received: from omc2-s11.bay6.hotmail.com ([65.54.249.21]) by
>bay0-imc1-s34.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444); Wed,
>14 Jun 2006 08:51:51 -0700
>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.12]) by omc2-s11.bay6.hotmail.com
>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Wed, 14 Jun 2006 08:51:49 -0700
>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
>Wed, 14 Jun 2006 08:51:49 -0700
>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with
>HTTP;Wed, 14 Jun 2006 15:51:44 GMT
>X-Message-Info: LsUYwvHHnt3SnQYtlpcBU5H/3FyZXeM88R4rBSfjkCk=
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 14 Jun 2006 15:51:49.0096 (UTC)
>FILETIME=[7254BE80:01C68FCA]
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>

>So you are not going to be seeing the children from June 17th thru the
>23rd? I never received any notice from you regarding that. Please
>confirm.

>

>I cannot change my vacation plans at this point, which is why I offered
>different dates to you.

>

>

>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>Subject: RE: July 2006 visitation notice
>>Date: Tue, 13 Jun 2006 18:36:12 -0700
>>

>>I will not be visiting kids this month as per the notice I gave you. It is
>>impossible with my work load and the lack of vacation time available to
>>me. I will not be able to accommodate any changes to the schedule.

>>

>>I am assuming that you will not cooperate with me visiting kids on July
>>22nd and 23rd? Correct?

>>

>>Too bad as it is a loss to the children!!!

>>

>>However; all signs point that they will know who robbed them as they grow older. What a shame.

>>

>>

>>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>>Subject: RE: July 2006 visitation notice

>>>Date: Tue, 13 Jun 2006 17:09:43 -0700

>>>MIME-Version: 1.0

>>>X-Originating-IP: [205.188.116.204]

>>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>Received: from omc1-s36.bay6.hotmail.com ([65.54.248.238]) by

>>>bay0-imc1-s35.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444);

>>>Tue, 13 Jun 2006 17:12:33 -0700

>>>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.18]) by omc1-s36.bay6.hotmail.com

>>>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.211); Tue, 13 Jun 2006 17:12:33 -0700

>>>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;

>>>Tue, 13 Jun 2006 17:09:47 -0700

>>>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with

>>>HTTP;Wed, 14 Jun 2006 00:09:43 GMT

>>>X-Message-Info: LsUYwwHHNt3XOllKCEUNJ908Iw3vPoY7UILhOhfui7U=

>>>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 14 Jun 2006 00:09:47.0872 (UTC)

>>>FILETIME=[D90E6600:01C68F46]

>>>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>

>>>Brajesh,

>>>

>>>I do have a problem with the below July visitation timeframe as it

>>>interferes with my vacation time that I am scheduled to be with the

>>>children at the end of July starting that weekend (July 22nd). I

>>>notified you of my vacation plans with the children via email on March

>>>21st well before the May 1st deadline of the Parenting Plan. You don't

>>>even get this July weekend, per the Parenting Plan, since "the summer

>>>schedule is in lieu of the monthly weekend time per paragraph 3.2 for

>>>June and July" and we are following the summer schedule already; and you

>>>were supposed to notify me of your intent to visit with the children by

>>>April 1st for July and you didn't do so. Although your threat to file a

>>>motion below would not hold water because of all of the above, I would be

>>>willing to work with you to switch the 2 days you want for July.

>>>

>>>If you want to combine the 2 days with your birthday and make your

>>>visitation July 14th thru the 16th that would be fine, OR you could

>>>extend your upcoming June visitation to return the children on June 25th

>>>(giving you 2 extra days this month instead of next). Let me know.

>>>

>>>Lyn

>>>

>>>

>>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>CC: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>>>Subject: July 2006 visitation notice

>>>>Date: Sun, 11 Jun 2006 22:39:05 -0700

RE: Yet another phone call issue

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)
Sent: Mon 7/10/06 9:29 AM
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
I get nothing by lying!!! Videos don't lie pictures don't lie...

>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: Yet another phone call issue
>Date: Mon, 10 Jul 2006 09:25:33 -0700
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]
>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>Received: from bay0-imc1-s39.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.245.175]) by
>bay0-imc3-s11.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444); Mon,
>10 Jul 2006 09:25:53 -0700
>Received: from bay0-omc1-s7.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.246.79]) by
>bay0-imc1-s39.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444); Mon,
>10 Jul 2006 09:25:36 -0700
>Received: from hotmail.com ([65.54.161.13]) by
>bay0-omc1-s7.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.1830); Mon,
>10 Jul 2006 09:25:34 -0700
>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;
>Mon, 10 Jul 2006 09:25:34 -0700
>Received: from 65.54.161.200 by by106fd.bay106.hotmail.msn.com with
>HTTP; Mon, 10 Jul 2006 16:25:33 GMT
>X-Message-Info: LsUYwwHHnt2NjSjXg2qY641DJYfBRSj2jHbJbaHaJto=
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Jul 2006 16:25:34.0465 (UTC)
>FILETIME=[78490710:01C6A43D]
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com
>
>You continue to lie.
>
>
>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>Subject: RE: Yet another phone call issue
>>Date: Thu, 06 Jul 2006 17:34:21 -0700
>>
>>They are young however; when my daughter and son tells me that they do not
>>like you and your parents talking bad about me and give specific examples
>>of what you guys tell them, how you lock them in garage and laundry room
>>and how my daughter invited me to her place numerous times citing Chicken
>>Little movie that talk talk talk will bring closure (dialogue from the
>>movie) then I am forced to think and understand their situation.
>>
>>When my daughter tells me with teary eyes that you tell them that I used
>>to throw things at you which is a complete lie then I am forced to think
>>that they are more mature than you think for their age. They are the true
>>survivor of your regime's abuse of them.
>>
>>I bring them to phone and stop their activities when it is your turn and I

RE: Annika

>From: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)
>To: lkatare@hotmail.com
>CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
>Subject: RE: Annika
>Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2007 12:42:22 -0700

>
>No one ignored your calls. Read the PP about calling times!!! Show
>me the phone records.

>
>You don't know about my life so no need to copy Roopa selectively.
>if you father was clean then court would not put restrictions on him
>keeping him away from my kids. He masturbated veterans of this
>country and didn't even have guts to come clean by going thru a
>trial. Look into mirror yourself and you would know the coward
>behavior of you and your folks.

>
>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>>CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
>>Subject: RE: Annika
>>Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2007 09:49:03 -0700
>>MIME-Version: 1.0
>>X-Originating-IP: [72.187.247.178]
>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]
>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com
>>Received: from bay0-omc3-s18.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.246.218]) by
>>bay0-imc3-s36.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft
>>SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444); Sun, 1 Apr 2007 09:49:05 -0700
>>Received: from hotmail.com ([207.46.9.114]) by
>>bay0-omc3-s18.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft
>>SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2668); Sun, 1 Apr 2007 09:49:05 -0700
>>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft
>>SMTPSVC; Sun, 1 Apr 2007 09:49:04 -0700
>>Received: from 207.46.9.123 by by119fd.bay119.hotmail.msn.com with
>>HTTP;Sun, 01 Apr 2007 16:49:03 GMT
>>X-Message-Info:
>>LsUYwvHHNt2g7Z98I8W3LjuvE6XLrZDo2kEXg4vij8q59XPDaQo3HS3TBksfoPWW
>>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 01 Apr 2007 16:49:04.0830 (UTC)
>>FILETIME=[A86565E0:01C7747D]
>>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>
>>It's no different then you having your girlfriend taking care of
>>the kids instead of your doing it. What about your priorities? I
>>do not leave threatening phone calls to you. If you would follow
>>the PP and allow me to speak to the children instead of ignoring
>>the phone calls, there wouldn't be a problem. I wouldn't have to
>>speak of my attorney.

>>
>>You might want to look in a mirror regarding your last paragraph.
>>I simply answer their questions truthfully. I don't skew things
>>about me and my family to them as you have done for years.

>>
>>
>>>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>>>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

RE: March Visitation Schedule

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Fri 3/09/07 1:43 PM

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Without rehashing the beginning where you threatened us with physical harm and abducting the kids to India without me, it doesn't solve the issue of the earlier and earlier flights which is the point here.

>From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

>To: lkatare@hotmail.com

>CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

>Subject: RE: March Visitation Schedule

>Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2007 20:43:02 -0800

>

>If you had not taken kids to Florida, i meant legally abducted them,
> then they would not be going thru this.

>

>

>>From: "Lynette Katare" <lkatare@hotmail.com>

>>To: bkatare@hotmail.com

>>Subject: RE: March Visitation Schedule

>>Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2007 20:18:04 -0800

>>MIME-Version: 1.0

>>X-Originating-IP: [65.35.0.133]

>>X-Originating-Email: [lkatare@hotmail.com]

>>X-Sender: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>Received: from bay0-omc1-s8.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.246.80]) by

>>bay0-imc1-s35.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft

>>SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444); Thu, 8 Mar 2007 20:18:06 -0800

>>Received: from hotmail.com ([207.46.9.108]) by

>>bay0-omc1-s8.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft

>>SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2668); Thu, 8 Mar 2007 20:18:06 -0800

>>Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft

>>SMTPSVC; Thu, 8 Mar 2007 20:18:06 -0800

>>Received: from 207.46.9.123 by by119fd.bay119.hotmail.msn.com with

>>HTTP; Fri, 09 Mar 2007 04:18:04 GMT

>>X-Message-Info: LsUYwwHHnt3660MmjhEvYg2f340AemlK3oXsmRrh6gU=

>>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 09 Mar 2007 04:18:06.0307 (UTC)

>>FILETIME=[EFEC2B30:01C76201]

>>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com

>>

>>These pickup times get getting earlier and earlier. This is very
>>hard on the kids to wake up so early and get ready at this time.

>>They are not used to getting up so early. They will be extremely
>>exhausted for their flight. Also, they will not be hungry so

>>early. It takes time for them to get hungry in the morning. They

>>don't just wake up and eat. Therefore, you will have to take this

>>into account during the flight and have something for them along

>>the way in the morning as they won't have eaten before they go.

>>Maybe when you book the flights you can think of their schedule and

>>book them a little later.

>>

RE: Kids Arrival

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 7/16/07 12:16 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Roopa - for your reading pleasure :-) Seriously I need to learn what is right and wrong from someone who has done huge atrocities towards children and their fathers side of the family. Read below "That isn't right."

Lynette - Do not forget how many times I had to wait until my phone call turn to find out if children made it safely to Tampa. Check your inbox. I didn't do it intentionally.

Wow!!!

Cheers.

>From: Lynette Katare <lkatare@hotmail.com>
>To: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>
>Subject: RE: Kids Arrival
>Date: Mon, 16 Jul 2007 10:26:29 -0700
>MIME-Version: 1.0
>X-Originating-IP: [136.174.187.10]
>Received: from bay0-omc3-s39.bay0.hotmail.com ([65.54.246.239]) by
>bay0-imc1-s31.bay0.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2444); Mon,
>16 Jul 2007 10:26:29 -0700
>Received: from BAY119-W1 ([207.46.9.36]) by bay0-omc3-s39.bay0.hotmail.com
>with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.2668); Mon, 16 Jul 2007 10:26:29 -0700
>X-Message-Info:
>LsUYwwHHNt0YQDpU45WDtnHWjRunoAIPNHxYjDEch8CPoYzLVrbiMjE351sE7FkV
>Return-Path: lkatare@hotmail.com
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 16 Jul 2007 17:26:29.0246 (UTC)
>FILETIME=[71F599E0:01C7C7CE]
>
>Also check your emails. I have also been accused of harassment by you on a
>continuous basis (as recently as last week). I would not call and feed
>your harassment charges.
>
>It would have been common courtesy to let me know that the kids had made it
>to Seattle, which you could have easily done.
>
>I had to wait until my phone call to the kids on Sunday night 3 days after
>they left to know if everything with them was okay. That isn't right.
>
>
>
> > From: bkatare@hotmail.com> To: lkatare@hotmail.com> CC:
>roopagangadhar@hotmail.com> Subject: RE: Kids Arrival> Date: Sun, 15 Jul
>2007 21:14:45 -0700> > You have been authorized to call me whenever you
>want. Go check your emails. > If you are worried so much about kids safety
>then you should have called.> > > >From: Lynette Katare
><lkatare@hotmail.com>> >To: <bkatare@hotmail.com>> >Subject: Kids Arrival>
> >Date: Sun, 15 Jul 2007 12:39:41 -0700> >MIME-Version: 1.0>

Re: September Visitation Schedule

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sun 9/16/07 8:32 PM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

Bcc: bkatare@hotmail.com

Let me know if you need more money. I don't think school attendance and accomplishments are related. Look what happened to you.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Sunday, September 16, 2007 8:19 PM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: RE: September Visitation Schedule

I will pass on your wishes to the children. Thank you for your payment for Annika's dental. I will let you know about November.

You still didn't get the importance of attendance in school. Perhaps you should read up on the importance of the FCAT in Florida now.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: Re: September Visitation Schedule

Date: Sun, 16 Sep 2007 10:20:51 -0700

Tell kids I love them and wish Rohan happy birthday. September visitation is off. Also due to this delay I did not give you a notice to see them in October. Therefore October visitation is off too. I will see them for thanksgiving. It is unfortunate and their loss. Let me know what suits for November visitation. It will have to be in Seattle.

BTW - There is no mention anywhere in any email thread that talks about visitation to be in Florida only. There are numerous Microsoft employees who travel to India with their kids and kids miss school for a week at a time and still are outstanding in their performance in school. Must be genes than the attendance. You are so sick that you don't even know you are lying.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Saturday, September 15, 2007 9:41 PM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: RE: September Visitation Schedule

As you know, since you can hear them on the phone, I ask them repeatedly and encourage them to get on the phone. They are the ones to refuse to get on the phone. I cannot force them to get on the phone,

but this is an old message that I have been telling you over and over from the beginning for which you just don't get.

Rohan did tell me that he wanted to have his visitation in Florida. He did not want to fly to Seattle. Annika said that the only reason why she wanted to go to Seattle was to have her Barbie, but other than that she would want to stay in Florida for her visitation.

Plus, we agreed to any weekend in September based on your having your visitation in Florida only. If you change your plans then the deal is off and the September visitation is off.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: September Visitation Schedule
Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2007 11:03:08 -0700

I need to speak to my son and daughter about it as well. You are not letting me have a word with them for last two months. I would like to know what their preferences are when it comes to either flying to Seattle or staying in Florida.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2007 7:08 PM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: RE: September Visitation Schedule

This is totally unfair that you are waiting until the last minute to let us know. For one thing, you promised to let us know 2 weeks ago. Second, we are running out of time in September. Third, remember that it is not good for them to miss any school. What are you doing that you can't schedule the weekend?

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: September Visitation Schedule
Date: Mon, 10 Sep 2007 10:43:47 -0700

I am still working on it and shall update you.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2007 7:16 PM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: September Visitation Schedule

Re: Rohan's Parent/Teacher Conference

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sun 10/28/07 7:57 PM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

2 attachment(s)



Emoticon1...gif (0.2 KB), Emoticon3...gif (1.0 KB)

I am pleased to hear kids are doing well. Give my love to them and tell them I will see them soon. I guess even they are taken away from me, my engineering genes are still at work. Tell Annika that I used to go to library with my father and arrange books and in return I used to get more books to take home and read. Tell her that her daddy almost ready every book in the kids section by 4th grade.

It is good to see Rohan doing so great in school. He cannot be an abductor of his kids when he grows up. I guess genes have to play a role.

I hope she is enjoying her soccer.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Sunday, October 28, 2007 6:34 PM

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: Rohan's Parent/Teacher Conference

Just an update for you on the kids. First, I saw Rohan's teacher at his parent/teacher conference last week. She could not say enough about how well he is doing in school - both academically and in discipline. He is doing extremely well in kindergarten. He is well ahead of where he should be at this stage of the year in all areas - reading, writing, math concepts. He is not lagging behind in any area. Of course, we do our weekly homework and read every night. He helps the other students who are not doing well in free time. He is very intelligent. He also helps the teacher.

Annika is also doing good in school. Of course, all of their holiday activities are coming up, so they are having fun as well as learning right now. She is an excellent reader and chose many chapter books to read when we went to the library today.

Re: Annika stomach virus

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 11/05/07 2:23 AM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

BTW - What took so long to let me know about my daughter's health? Are you informing me because I missed a call to document to put in front of Judge Roberts?

Not sure when you will learn human decency and self-respect.

Cheers.

From: Brajesh Katare

Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:56 AM

To: Lynette Katare

Cc: Roopa

Subject: Re: Annika stomach virus

Glad to hear Annika is doing fine. Give my love to her and let me know if she or Rohan need anything.

Yes I missed my call today. I am in China and during business trip at times it is not possible to call.

BTW - Feel free to have kids call me whenever they want. You have had this option for last 5 years but you have never exercised it.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 10:22 AM

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: Annika stomach virus

I took Annika to the doctor last week for a stomach virus, since she threw up once and had diarrhea for a couple of days. She missed school one day because of it. She is doing a lot better now and she is acting normally now. She is eating again and sleeping fine.

I am waiting to see if Rohan still may get it because there is a lag with this virus in getting it. He seems fine so far.

You missed your call to the children tonight otherwise they might have told you how they were feeling.

Re: custodial parental interference - Phone call issue

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sat 11/10/07 9:42 AM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

Interesting that Rohan told me that Annika went to play soccer and he stayed at home with your parents. He also said that he heard my message on the home answering machine and kept on asking to call me but you guys didn't listen to him. Your stories are not adding up.

Perhaps I should not mention any issues so that you won't have to tell lies. Kids are growing up very fast and you have no chance to save your face with them!!!

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2007 6:39 PM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: RE: custodial parental interference - Phone call issue

Maybe you should think that I was taking care of our sick daughter and that took some time. Perhaps you could wonder that maybe I caught it too and that was a little hard and checking emails was the last thing I cared about at the time.

Maybe you should have some human decency and think of others beyond yourself...

Of course, taking care of sick children takes time. I could not answer right away. As you know, I called you back this past Thursday (Nov. 7th) at 8:30pm and Rohan talked to you for about half an hour about their upcoming trip. I believe though that you are confusing your not letting me speak to the children during my scheduled phone calls with them with your phone calls to them. You do not understand that I ask the children if they want to talk to you and they say that they do not. It is their choice.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com; lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: custodial parental interference - Phone call issue

Date: Thu, 8 Nov 2007 17:27:27 -0800

I called today, Thursday Nov 8th 2007, 3 times (twice on your cell phone and once at your home number) and left 3 messages. You did not pickup the phone and therefore did not allow me to speak to the kids. This is violation of the parenting plan and custodial parental interference in otherwise my right to speak to the kids. You have done this on many occasions. I have documentation of all of the incidents. This is your usual meanness.

Hope kids are doing well. Give them my love.

From: Brajesh Katare
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 2:23 AM
To: Lynette Katare
Cc: Roopa
Subject: Re: Annika stomach virus

BTW - What took so long to let me know about my daughter's health? Are you informing me because I missed a call to document to put in front of Judge Roberts?

Not sure when you will learn human decency and self-respect.

Cheers.

From: Brajesh Katare
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:56 AM
To: Lynette Katare
Cc: Roopa
Subject: Re: Annika stomach virus

Glad to hear Annika is doing fine. Give my love to her and let me know if she or Rohan need anything.

Yes I missed my call today. I am in China and during business trip at times it is not possible to call.

BTW - Feel free to have kids call me whenever they want. You have had this option for last 5 years but you have never exercised it.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 10:22 AM
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Annika stomach virus

I took Annika to the doctor last week for a stomach virus, since she threw up once and had diarrhea for a couple of days. She missed school one day because of it. She is doing a lot better now and she is acting normally now. She is eating again and sleeping fine.

I am waiting to see if Rohan still may get it because there is a lag with this virus in getting it. He seems fine so far.

You missed your call to the children tonight otherwise they might have told you how they were feeling.

RE: Meeting on Friday Nov. 16th

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 11/12/07 12:09 PM

To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Actually, you are twisting the story. This is not what the children told me and they were concerned. I wasn't making that up. I had to allay their fears and I don't like having to do that when it is unnecessary.

The kids have been worried about bringing their Nintendos since you keep reminding them. I'm not making that up.

As to the kids' stuff, I am mostly concerned about items that cannot be easily replaced, such as their school agendas, homework folders, and library books for example. If Annika loses her library books, then she cannot take any more library books out from school and it is a bad mark against her as to responsibility. Therefore, there is a lesson there about maintaining school property and keeping track of homework papers, etc. Not everything can be replaced.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Meeting on Friday Nov. 16th
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2007 08:05:12 -0800

Do not give me a lecture on fariness! Period.

Everything you have cited below is twisted story. Kids are making plans to meet me at 2.40 so that we can get out ASAP for the airport. I come from a well educated family and background. Missing school is not tied to lack of academic performance. Snatching kids away from father and telling lies and lies is more dangerous than one hr of missing school. With that said, there is no plan for anyone to miss any school. We will be going at 2.40pm. I will be right outside their class before the bell rings and we will take off. If you feel like then you can tell their teachers that their father will be picking them up.

Re: Nintendo - Rohan explicitly told you during one of my call with him that he will charge the nintendo otherwise flight would be boring. These are the things kids do when they are excited to see their loved one who has been away for a long time. I used to do when I was a child getting excited about seeing my relatives and going via train.

Regarding lunch boxes etc. - Don't worry If we lose then then I will buy new one and send from Seattle. There is some good Indian style lunch boxes available.

Bottom - You don't have to get too excited. Keep your calm which will keep blood pressure lower and arteries clear.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare

RE: Kids' Arrival

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Thu 12/27/07 10:11 PM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

More difficult than you picking the phone and calling me. I have authorized you to call me 24/7 if you are worried about kids. I have this documented in the email that I can show to any court or judge. Again, I am authorizing you outside the parenting plan to call me asking about kids well being.

BTW - You always preach me about parenting plan. Informing each other about arrival and departure is not a part of the parenting plan, I had to wait many days to figure out if my son and daughter are safe after going back to Florida. You reap what you sow.

May 2008 bring some peace and happiness for you.

Cheers.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: FW: Kids' Arrival
Date: Thu, 27 Dec 2007 10:55:50 -0800

In consideration that I might be worried about the children and I am not due to call them until tonight (2 nights after they have left for Seattle), you still have not responded that they have arrived safely. How difficult is it to communicate that to me?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: FW: Kids' Arrival
Date: Wed, 26 Dec 2007 14:36:37 -0800

I will ask you again since you never tell me if the kids have arrived without me repeatedly asking you over and over, have the kids arrived safely in Seattle?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Kids' Arrival
Date: Tue, 25 Dec 2007 19:59:29 -0800

Have the kids arrived safely in Seattle?

Share life as it happens with the new Windows Live. Share now!

RE: Kids' Arrival

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Fri 12/28/07 5:23 AM

To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Yes, but when you don't pick up the phone to respond (and I have that well documented as well) how can I even know that the children are safe? I prefer this communication with you.

The PP does talk about this as well. Read it sometime.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Kids' Arrival

Date: Thu, 27 Dec 2007 22:11:46 -0800

More difficult than you picking the phone and calling me. I have authorized you to call me 24/7 if you are worried about kids. I have this documented in the email that I can show to any court or judge. Again, I am authorizing you outside the parenting plan to call me asking about kids well being.

BTW - You always preach me about parenting plan. Informing each other about arrival and departure is not a part of the parenting plan. I had to wait many days to figure out if my son and daughter are safe after going back to Florida. You reap what you sow.'

May 2008 bring some peace and happiness for you.

Cheers.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: FW: Kids' Arrival

Date: Thu, 27 Dec 2007 10:55:50 -0800

In consideration that I might be worried about the children and I am not due to call them until tonight (2 nights after they have left for Seattle), you still have not responded that they have arrived safely. How difficult is it to communicate that to me?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: FW: Kids' Arrival

Date: Wed, 26 Dec 2007 14:36:37 -0800

I will ask you again since you never tell me if the kids have arrived without me repeatedly asking you over

and over, have the kids arrived safely in Seattle?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Kids' Arrival
Date: Tue, 25 Dec 2007 19:59:29 -0800

Have the kids arrived safely in Seattle?

Share life as it happens with the new Windows Live. Share now!

Re: Kids Christmas Airline Schedule

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Fri 12/28/07 10:19 AM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Probably in the history of great United States miles may not be used for divorce settlements. Un freaking believable!!! You and your attorney are made for each other. I am glad kids were able to fly first class on daddy's hard working miles.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Friday, December 28, 2007 5:33 AM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: Kids Christmas Airline Schedule

I am sending you the kids' upcoming flight schedule, which shows the timings and payment. Unlike you, I do not have the thousands of frequent flier miles to provide for the children. Thank you for paying the entire payment.

Kids' December 2007 Visitation Problem

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Thu 1/10/08 7:06 AM

To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)

I just wanted to bring something to your attention that I think you should be aware of. The kids mentioned that on their last visitation with you, that you took them to a very scary movie called "Sea Horse" or some such thing. I believe it was a PG-13 movie. Annika said that it was very sad and scary and that she started crying during the movie and wanted to leave. Since they have returned, they have had several nightmares about it and have wanted to sleep with me because of it. You should think about what movies you are taking them to and the effects on them.

This is not the first time this has happened. This has also happened with your Indian movies that you take them to. Some of them are very violent and scary for them. Just remember their ages (7 and 6 years old) and that they still have nightmares about what they see. It is not just what you and your friends want to see.

Share life as it happens with the new Windows Live. Start sharing!

RE: New Address? & Spring Break flights

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Thu 1/17/08 1:58 PM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

you bought two houses in your adult life with me. You know very well without closing you don't own anything. Kids saw the place, they liked it and it will be done to their satisfaction. I will let you know when I officially close and settle in the new house. There is nothing to hide, no games to play and life is wonderful. Give my love to kids.

BTW - I still have first class tickets for kids from Seattle to Orlando. We have two options for spring time. Either you drop them here and I take them back so that we don't have to pay one way. OR you can use those tickets and book your flight on the same flights as they are booked on to Orlando. Let me know.

Peace.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: New Address? & Spring Break flights

Date: Thu, 17 Jan 2008 13:51:39 -0800

2 things: You have access to the school calendar online as I do. It is as easy for you to get the schedule as me. I will get the you dates to help both our schedules, but why can't you put some effort into your visitations?

Why won't you answer the question about your new address? Why are you avoiding answering? It's a very simple answer.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: New Address? & Spring Break flights

Date: Thu, 17 Jan 2008 11:11:43 -0800

What are the dates for their spring break time off? As you mentioned below to get cheap flights it is critical to buy them early. Thanks.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: New Address? & Spring Break flights

Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2008 10:49:37 -0800

What are the dates for their spring break time off?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: New Address? & Spring Break flights
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2008 07:28:18 -0800

Why didn't you answer about your new address?

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: New Address? & Spring Break flights
Date: Sun, 13 Jan 2008 23:20:54 -0800

What are the dates for their spring break time off?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: New Address? & Spring Break flights
Date: Sun, 13 Jan 2008 18:13:54 -0800

The kids mentioned that you have bought a new home. I would like the new address before the next visitation to know where the kids will be staying. That is part of the PP. Plus, if you want me to send you any information and receipts on the kids, as I do, then I will need it.

In addition, the Spring Break visitation is coming up quickly. If we want cheap flights, as you are always stressing to me (even going to court over it), I would appreciate your confirming the dates you want, so that I can book my flights.

FW: Ponce de Leon Elem: Re Rohan

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 2/04/08 11:23 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Lynette - You have violated my privacy by giving my email address without my consent or knowledge. It is against the law.

Secondly - Kids won't ask me to send their pictures to school if you have basic decency of sharing what I send for them. I sent pictures to them directly due to their request and desire to share snow pictures with their teacher/friends in the class. Your family history of thief/thug like behavior is well established in the system. Stealing, lying and unjust is some of the traits you and your family have forcing kids to opt for alternate solutions.

From: SACCASYNT@pcsb.org

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 21:10:03 -0500

Subject: RE: Ponce de Leon Elem: Re Rohan

Hello Mr. Katare,

I am only just reading both of your recent emails. I contacted Rohan's mother about the package and she felt your email address was the easiest way to reach you. Our website only captures a small amount of information about our school. All our policies are not represented there. If you are looking for further information, I will be out of town the remainder of the week but will be back in the office on Monday, Feb. 11th.

Sincerely,
Thea Saccasyn
Principal
Ponce de Leon Elementary

From: Brajesh Katare [bkatare@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, February 04, 2008 7:48 PM

To: Saccasyn Thea; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Ponce de Leon Elem: Re Rohan

Any update Ms. Saccasyn? I responded to your email promptly and expect the same professional courtesy from you. I am unable to find any policy so far on the website that spells out parents cannot send cards or pictures to their children. Would you kindly point me to that policy? Did you have a word with kids mother on this topic and did you obtain my email address from Lynette Katare?

Thanks.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: saccasynt@pcsb.org

Subject: RE: Ponce de Leon Elem: Re Rohan
Date: Sat, 2 Feb 2008 20:14:29 -0800

Ms Saccasyn,

I am just curious as to how you found out my email address?

Thanks,

-Brajesh

From: SACCASYNT@pcsb.org
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Date: Fri, 1 Feb 2008 14:44:33 -0500
Subject: Ponce de Leon Elem: Re Rohan

Hi Mr. Katare,

I am writing from Ponce de Leon Elementary School in Clearwater in regards to your son, Rohan. We have received some mail sent by you for your child, and I needed to let you know that we will not be able to deliver these packages. The most we can do is call Rohan's mother and ask her to pick them up.

I know you live very far away and probably need to find ways to stay involved in your son's life. However, I must ask that you please refrain from sending personal mail to the school in order to communicate with Rohan. The school cannot be used as a vehicle for non-local parents to keep in touch with their children.

Please let me know if you have questions in this matter.

Respectfully,

Thea Saccasyn
Principal
Ponce de Leon Elementary
Clearwater, FL

RE: Rohan's Dental Work

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)
Sent: Sun 2/10/08 11:40 PM
To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com); roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

I am not in Seattle and out on a business trip. You know it as kids mentioned to each other and you hear everything!!! I will be back this week, will review your receipt which I have not received yet and then schedule the payment. You won't go bankrupt if it takes few days to pay you. BTW - I have not seen any receipts for my monthly before and after care payments.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: FW: Rohan's Dental Work
Date: Sun, 10 Feb 2008 18:20:27 -0800

I sent you the receipt last week. Have you scheduled the payment for this? I was wondering because Rohan filled the last set of cavities last Friday and I had to pay another \$190.41 for this work. I will send you the receipt for this. Your portion of this bill is \$123.77 or 65% of the total. The total from before (below email) that you owe with this total is now \$413.02. Could you please let me know when you will send the **\$413.02**.

Thanks,
Lyn

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Rohan's Dental Work
Date: Fri, 1 Feb 2008 09:26:38 -0800

super.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Rohan's Dental Work
Date: Fri, 1 Feb 2008 09:25:08 -0800

I sent you the receipt. You should be getting it soon.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Rohan's Dental Work
Date: Tue, 29 Jan 2008 22:44:50 -0800

Did you get your cavities filled too!!! Hope no side business going on. Expensive cavities fill. Send me the bill, I will audit and send the payment.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Rohan's Dental Work
Date: Tue, 29 Jan 2008 19:27:57 -0800

Brajesh,

I mentioned to you a short while back that Rohan had to fill some cavities. Well, the dentist filled 3 of the 6 cavities with the remaining work to be done next week. I had to pay \$445.00 for work that the insurance did not cover. Therefore, you owe \$289.25 or 65% of this total that the insurance did not pay. As always, since I am not on the insurance and if you want to challenge it with them, feel free, as I cannot, and do not know why the entire amount was not covered.

There may be more that I may be required to pay at the next dental visit. I will let you know.

I will send you a copy of the receipt in the mail.

Lyn

Helping your favorite cause is as easy as instant messaging. You IM, we give. Learn more.

RE: Kids pictures

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 2/20/08 12:41 PM

To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)

The kids did receive your photos a while back. As always, there is no problem in sending them to the house as you have done in the past with some of their birthday gifts and other toys. The kids have told you that they have received them, so why not send them to their home? Why do you not admit that their home is in Florida with me and why do you still send items to their school? The principal has let you know that she cannot accept items to the school?

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Kids pictures

Date: Mon, 18 Feb 2008 08:37:09 -0800

What is the story here? Would be interesting to show this to kids once they are in grad school to understand my struggle to be in their lives. I am sure no one can love a mother like you. Cheers.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: Re: Kids pictures

Date: Fri, 15 Feb 2008 06:02:50 -0800

What is the story behind your meanness? BTW - Annika and Rohan are your kids so their happiness should be your concern as well. Rohan has been asking for snow pictures to be shared with his classmates and teacher. So I do not understand why you would be so cowardly towards your own children's pleasure.

From: Brajesh Katare

Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2008 8:49 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com ; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: Kids pictures

I spoke to kids on Monday and they told me that they have not received the pictures I sent to their school. Why are you not giving them the pictures? What is the reason if any for your meanness?

Cheers.

Connect and share in new ways with Windows Live. Get it now!

RE: Spring Break 2008 Visitation

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Tue 4/01/08 5:33 AM

To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)

As I stated in our repeated phone calls, I was fine with your picking the kids up with this past Monday at 11am. Also, as Rohan discussed with you on your phone calls with him, he understood what happened and asked you about it from the details I had provided to him.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: Re: Spring Break 2008 Visitation

Date: Sat, 29 Mar 2008 10:26:16 -0700

First, you are not sorry and second, I was not expecting you to cooperate. With that said, I assume you are agreeing me coming on Sunday or Monday or Tuesday to pickup kids if at all it is possible. I want you to reply in writing that you are ok with me coming few days late due to my illness.

Also kids have not called me however; they have a choice to call me at will as per PP. I am confident you are not telling me what happened.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Saturday, March 29, 2008 8:35 AM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: RE: Spring Break 2008 Visitation

I'm sorry you aren't feeling well. However, I really wasn't planning on going to Seattle at this time. I can't take off work at this time. Let me know what you can do. I won't change my original flights until I hear from you.

Thanks.

> From: bkatare@hotmail.com

> To: lkatare@hotmail.com

> CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

> Subject: RE: Spring Break 2008 Visitation

> Date: Fri, 28 Mar 2008 18:50:12 -0700

>

>

> I just got discharged from the hospital 2 hrs ago. Let me know if you are ok with me trying to come to

Tampa and probably pickup kids on Sunday or Monday. As it stands I have not tried to make flight changes as I do not know if you would agree or not. Secondly, I am not recovered fully so this would be a stretch and will also depend on availability and pricing of flights in addition to my condition.

>

> A better choice would be is you drop off kids in next couple of days and I will take them back next weekend. If you opt for this option then you can use their continental flights tomorrow and I can use your flights for them on the way back. Let me know as I will be calling Continental before the departure time.

>

> I hope you are telling kids the true story that I could not come due to illness.

>

>

> > From: lkatare@hotmail.com

> > To: bkatare@hotmail.com

> > Subject: Spring Break 2008 Visitation

> > Date: Fri, 28 Mar 2008 11:08:00 -0700

> >

> > Brajesh,

> >

> > As you know, I first received your call last night for the children and they didn't feel like talking last night. Then shortly after (about half an hour later), I received a call from your friend, Roopa, stating that you will not be picking up the children this Saturday for your visitation. She mentioned that you were admitted into the hospital a couple of days ago and were not up to the trip. She said that you did not know when you would be able to come and get the kids and she would let me know what was happening with you (your status). Please confirm what is going on and if you will still be coming for the children at all (if you can)?

> > Thanks,

> > Lyn

RE: eTicket Itinerary and Receipt for Confirmation DDM5KF

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sat 4/19/08 5:07 PM

To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)

First, for the record, you continually don't meet the deadlines for the kids' monthly school expenses and other expenses, so don't pressure me about settling the bills for the last visitation trip.

Next, in total you owe me **\$28.15**, which is the balance for both of our trips. Your tickets were \$811, which were overpriced since you changed them at the last minute. Also, since you changed them at the last minute, you were charged an additional \$230, which I won't pay due to your schedule change. The extra cost wasn't due to the children, but your schedule.

$\$480.00 * .65 = \312.00 Observe that the kids' tickets that I purchased were almost half the cost!!!!

$\$811.00 * .35 = (\$283.85)$

\$28.15

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: eTicket Itinerary and Receipt for Confirmation DDM5KF

Date: Tue, 15 Apr 2008 22:40:16 -0700

This is a notice to you to settle last trip expenses. You need to send me your part of the ticket cost and receipt. Otherwise I will be deducting my portion of the money from my monthly payment to you and may contact Washington State Support Registry.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: eTicket Itinerary and Receipt for Confirmation DDM5KF

Date: Wed, 2 Apr 2008 23:06:22 -0700

Cost of my portion of the ticket is = \$1041 (\$811 + \$200 + \$30). See below for details.

Your portion is \$364.35

Send me your bill and calculate the final settlement for spring visitation.

From: Continental Airlines, Inc.

Sent: Saturday, March 29, 2008 1:43 PM

To: BKATARE@HOTMAIL.COM

RE: Summer visitation notice 2008

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)
Sent: Mon 4/21/08 2:52 PM
To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com); roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Good. Annika can read now so I will let her read this email ;-0 Cheers.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Mon, 21 Apr 2008 09:48:29 -0700

Then, I guess it is off, because those are not real options on my side. Thanks for letting me know.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Sun, 20 Apr 2008 17:47:57 -0700

For second week you have two options:

- (1) Let kids spend July 4th with me (June 30th - July 6th) OR
- (2) Let kids spend two weeks in a row during the first visit (June 8th - June 22nd).

Choice is yours otherwise 2nd week is off from my side.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Sun, 20 Apr 2008 09:23:38 -0700

I agree to these dates. I am perfectly willing to cooperate just pick another week than my birthday.
Thanks,
Lyn

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com; roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Sat, 19 Apr 2008 20:14:11 -0700

Dates are finalized:

(1) First Week:

Pick-up on June 8th in Clearwater

Drop-off June 15th in Sammamish

(2) Since you cannot cooperate and have trouble in life adjusting for the sake of kids I am willing to give up this week of visitation.

(3) Third Week:

Pick-up on July 13th in Clearwater

Drop-off July 20st Sammamish

Cheers.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Sat, 19 Apr 2008 17:31:14 -0700

I don't believe we finalized the dates on this. So far, I have your summer dates as June 8-June 15th and July 12-July 20 unless you still need to pick them up on July 13th. Please confirm the final dates for these 2 visits, so we can book flights. Are you done with your summer visitation dates?

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Sat, 29 Mar 2008 10:39:06 -0700

If your vacation is more important then pick them up on July 20th.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2008 2:14 PM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008

Unfortunately, according to the PP, my vacation takes priority over all other holidays and so forth. Please check that. I am not trying to be difficult, but unfortunately I cannot move those dates either. Please move the dates up as requested.
Thank you.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Summer visitation notice 2008
Date: Sun, 23 Mar 2008 13:49:11 -0700

RE: Pickup time on June 8th

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Fri 5/09/08 7:41 AM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

I don't have time to prove you anything. Get lost. I will go with expensive route.

I don't recall pickup time on June 15th by you. It will be 8pm since it is fathers day. If you have bought tickets then change them. It will cost \$100 and we will do 65/35. This is all as per the PP.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Pickup time on June 8th

Date: Fri, 9 May 2008 07:32:00 -0700

I find it hard to believe that there is nothing else at that time. You should be able to find a cheaper price near the pickup time. If there is absolutely nothing else, that you can prove to me through the Expedia or other site sheets (that you liked to quote during your last motion, then I might be willing to consider it.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Pickup time on June 8th

Date: Thu, 8 May 2008 11:23:59 -0700

There are two options. If I select 8.30am departure (pickup would be 6.45am) then tickets are \$400 cheaper in comparison to 11.30am departure (pickup would be 9.30am). Let me know if you want me to pickup kids at 6.45am or 9.30am. I am ok either way but need to know ASAP.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Pickup time on June 8th

Date: Fri, 2 May 2008 09:42:37 -0700

It was one hour earlier, not 2 hours. Anyway, why don't we aim for the normal time (10am), but I will be a little flexible if it doesn't work out perfectly.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Pickup time on June 8th
Date: Fri, 2 May 2008 03:31:04 -0700

Due to flight schedules etc. I request that you be flexible and let me pickup kids anytime between 8am to 11am. Not sure which flights I will book. Let me know if you are ok with this flexibility.

Courtesy reminder - I agreed for your pickup time to be 9am instead of 10am during Spring pickup in Sammamish.

RE: Your United flight confirmation - June 16, 2008 - Seattle to Tampa

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 5/14/08 9:44 AM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com); roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

We go thru this everytime because at the core of my request is the question of "What is in the best interest of the children?". Oops...sorry that sentence does not exist in your language.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Your United flight confirmation - June 16, 2008 - Seattle to Tampa

Date: Wed, 14 May 2008 05:30:27 -0700

We go through this every time. The itinerary is below. I do not attempt to change your schedule each time. Do not try to change mine.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: Your United flight confirmation - June 16, 2008 - Seattle to Tampa

Date: Tue, 13 May 2008 15:11:18 -0700

Then why don't you pick them up on Monday at 10am? They can spend one more night in their rooms and beds, have breakfast, bath and go directly to the airport.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: FW: Your United flight confirmation - June 16, 2008 - Seattle to Tampa

Date: Tue, 13 May 2008 14:37:31 -0700

Here is the kids' itinerary for June. I will pick up the kids at 8pm on June 15th at the Sammamish police station outside at the city hall.

Date: Fri, 9 May 2008 15:29:55 -0500

From: UNITED-CONFIRMATION@UNITED.COM

To: LKATARE@HOTMAIL.COM

Subject: Your United flight confirmation - June 16, 2008 - Seattle to Tampa

Reservation complete: See ticket details below

Your ticket(s) have been issued as an E-Ticket

Your confirmation number is SKNN0Y

EasyCheck-in Online

RE: Kids' Summer Camp Payment

From: **Lynette Katare** (lkatare@hotmail.com)
Sent: Sat 6/14/08 12:23 PM
To: Brajesh Katare (bkatare@hotmail.com)
Fine then. It's not worth arguing with you.

I do not brainwash the kids.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Kids' Summer Camp Payment
Date: Sat, 14 Jun 2008 00:20:28 -0700

This is the way it will be and I have already scheduled payment. Go find a lawyer and lie and sue me.

Bottom line - I owe you Summer Camp + 65% of kids flight you paid. You owe me 35% of kids flight that I paid. I will not schedule multiple payments. Therefore a payment of \$1456.05 is going out settling summer camp and June flights for both sides. Do whatever the heck you want to do. Period. If math is too difficult for you then hire a CPA.

Also, do not brainwash kids. I don't want to write in the emails what I have heard but it is grossly disturbing.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 1:35 PM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: RE: Kids' Summer Camp Payment

No, I am not going to start this nonsense with you about you owe for summer camp and mix that up with the kids' airline tickets. That gets very messy because we still have to do my portion of the tickets as well with my flights. Just pay for the summer camp and we will do the airline reconciliation after I do my flights. Then it will be clean. I don't want to start mixing these up in case we have a disagreement as we did last time. We may still have to go to court over that and I want to keep it straightforward.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Kids' Summer Camp Payment
Date: Fri, 13 Jun 2008 08:29:13 -0700

I don't have time to argue with you. Here are the details.

Summer Camp (my portion) = \$1413.75
Kids tickets (TPA-SEA) \$921.00: Your portion = 322.35
Kids tickets (SEA-TPA) \$561: My portion = \$364.65

There net I owe you = \$1413.75 - \$322.35 + \$364.65 = \$1456.05

Question/Concerns/Comments???

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2008 10:06 AM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: RE: Kids' Summer Camp Payment

Since you got to that page of the receipt, which is at the end, then you checked it all. These are like all of the other receipts provided by the City of Largo. Each has the individual totals provided on each section. The City Manager just totaled it at the end and signed it. You can call them if you like. This is like all of the others. I expect you to pay it promptly since I have paid the first installments early in the Spring for both children.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Kids' Summer Camp Payment
Date: Fri, 6 Jun 2008 11:23:33 -0700

I have not checked the receipt. First glance shows that there is no receipt. Every date is somewhere in March and someone hand wrote the amount. Where is the actual receipt?

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Kids' Summer Camp Payment
Date: Fri, 6 Jun 2008 10:06:39 -0700

You should have received the receipts a while back. What is the status of the payment?

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Kids' Summer Camp Payment
Date: Tue, 27 May 2008 12:20:31 -0700

Will be paid once I receive the receipts.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:16 PM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: Kids' Summer Camp Payment

As I mentioned much earlier, we had agreed that the kids would go to the City of Largo's summer camp program at Highland Rec. Starting with June through the time they start school again, they will not have a "routine" school payment of before/after care. Therefore, starting with June, I will not require that the \$260 come automatically from you.

However, the summer camp fees are due in full now. I have already paid them in full to the City of Largo. The total was \$2,175 for both kids for everything (pre and post days, full camp and both kids). Your portion is \$1,413.75 ($\$2,175 \times .65$). I will send you the receipts today.
Lyn

RE: Phone call

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)
Sent: Fri 8/08/08 1:46 PM
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Being self critical is not your nature. Always someone else's fault.

Anyways, regarding IRS query have you talked to the experts who live next door?

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com
> Subject: Re: Phone call
> From: lkatare@hotmail.com
> Date: Fri, 8 Aug 2008 20:44:12 +0000
>
> If you were unable to get thru my cell phone then you should have called the house. You have all of my numbers. There are times when you don't call so I don't know if the call was dropped or you didn't call.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brajesh Katare
> To: lkatare@hotmail.com
> Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
> Sent: Aug 7, 2008 11:29 PM
> Subject: Phone call
>
>
> I called kids today as per court ordered parenting plan. I left a voice message and will produce my phone bill showing a call to your cell number. What is your story about not picking up the phone? This is violation of court order.
>
>
>

i'm EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD
> [Join me](#)
> http://im.live.com/Messenger/IM/Home/?source=EML_WLHM_GreaterGood
>
>
> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile
>

Re: IRS Form 8332

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 8/11/08 8:45 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

I will wait until I hear from IRS. I have sent them the copy of email and divorce decree. You just have a habit of causing issues rather than being straightforward. That is ok.

Peace.

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 5:29 PM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: Re: IRS Form 8332

I am requesting you to provide the same form to me so that I do not have any issues with the IRS either. Please provide as I promise to. My CPA will do so.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, 11 Aug 2008 14:46:29 -0700

To: Lynette Katare <lkatare@hotmail.com>

CC: <roopagangadhar@hotmail.com>

Subject: RE: IRS Form 8332

I don't shoot anyone. I am a Hindu and Hindus believe in non-violence. How come you have a need for the form? Are you also chased by IRS?

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

From: lkatare@hotmail.com

To: bkatare@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: IRS Form 8332

Date: Mon, 11 Aug 2008 14:44:08 -0700

I spoke to my CPA. I will fill this form out when you fill one out for Annika and shoot it on over for me. I will need my form by this Friday. Then I will send yours over.

Thanks,

Lyn

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: IRS Form 8332
Date: Tue, 5 Aug 2008 10:19:41 -0700

Take your time. I am sending my response to IRS with email attachment.

Cheers.

 **MEMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: IRS Form 8332
Date: Tue, 5 Aug 2008 10:10:39 -0700

I will reply when I have had time to review the documents with the relevant authorities on this matter and not sooner.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: IRS Form 8332
Date: Tue, 5 Aug 2008 08:37:21 -0700

As you are unable to respond to this email, I will be attaching a copy of this email to IRS stating that they can reach out to you and you are not cooperating/responding. It is critical to state that I have received other emails from you demanding money for kids travel so you are getting and checking emails.

Cheers.

From: Brajesh Katare
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 9:49 AM
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Cc: Roopa Gangadhar
Subject: IRS Form 8332
Importance: High

I want you to complete IRS Form 8332 (link below) as IRS wants this form to be sent every year by the non-custodial parent. The instructions are in the form. I would like to get this ASAP so that I can submit to IRS as per their request. This form is to release claim by you. Read General Instructions (purpose of the form) and your duties on the first page of the form. You can fax me completed form at +1 425 708 1965.

<http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f8332.pdf>

-Brajesh Katare

General Manager ♦ E&D Systems

+1 425 706 2794 (w) | +1 425 301 3377 (cell) | +1 425 708 1965 (Fax)

brajeshk@microsoft.com

Re: Kids' Dental Bill

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 9/15/08 7:01 AM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

Put them on your insurance or get a job back in Microsoft like you used to have to have access.

From: <lkatare@hotmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, September 14, 2008 6:23 PM

To: "Brajesh" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

Subject: Kids' Dental Bill

> The kids went to the dentist for their last routine checkups and cleanings
> recently. After Premera paid for some, the total balance left was still
> \$123.10. I asked the dental office why Premera didn't pay all of it and
> they told me that the kids have already reached their yearly maximum
> coverage and the rest is out-of-pocket. Since I have never had access to
> inquiring about the kids' insurance issues, I have no way of knowing
> whether the office is correct.
>
> Nevertheless, I paid the total balance in full, but your portion is
> \$80.02. I will send you the receipt in this case as this is not a routine
> expenditure.
> Thanks,
> Lyn
> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sun 10/05/08 4:54 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

They are off whole week. What dates do you agree? First (2006) thanksgiving was different than the second (2007) because of lack of your flexibility and utter nonsense. I get days before thanksgiving. So you propose what works for you.

Also reply about Xmas visitation with agreement or disagreement or some kind of stupid BS.

i'm EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD

Join me

http://im.live.com/Messenger/IM/Home/?source=EML_WLHM_GreaterGood

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com
> Subject: Re: Thanksgiving Visitation
> From: lkatare@hotmail.com
> Date: Sun, 5 Oct 2008 20:26:43 +0000

>
> I sent it before checking all of my emails, but regardless, the Thanksgiving visitation would have to follow when they are off from school. I don't have that in front of me. As you know, it's all online.

>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: Brajesh
> To: lkatare@hotmail.com
> Sent: Oct 5, 2008 2:48 PM
> Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

>
> I have already sent you Christmas visitation note. Check your email.

>
> Re: Thanksgiving, I have until Oct 15th. What dates are you proposing?

>
> EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD

> Join me

>
>> To: bkatare@hotmail.com
>> Subject: Thanksgiving Visitation
>> From: lkatare@hotmail.com
>> Date: Sun, 5 Oct 2008 16:49:40 +0000
>>

>> Brajesh,

>> Are you doing visitation with the kids this year during Thanksgiving? If so, when were you planning on mentioning it so we could book the kids' tickets? They are getting expensive - if I can still even get them. Also, what about Christmas?

>> Lyn

>> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Wed 10/08/08 12:00 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

According to the PP I get Fri and Sat. These are days after the thanksgiving and not before. You have been jerking around with dates and I don't have time for that. You preach PP when it is convenient for you Vs kids or I. My proposal is following, Select one option or the other and let me know.

Option #1: Pick up kids on Fri 11/21 from school directly in Clearwater. Then you pickup kids on 11/26 at 8pm as proposed by you.

Option #2: They don't see me for thanksgiving.

Let me know what you decide.

Re: Thanksgiving Visitation

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Fri 10/10/08 2:58 PM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

I do want to see kids as I have been seeing them for several years despite of injustice and your lies that have prevented kids from enjoying normal childhood and experience a diverse, rich culture. They have been raised in the vicinity an offender. Sickening...

You have been toying with children's and mine emotions by altering conditions willy nilly. Therefore I would like to do what we did last year. Which is pickup kids at 2.40 pm from school that I have done on multiple occasions, have worked very well and as documented below this give kids time in their own bed on Friday night in Seattle. This is consistent with past experiences and kids have thoroughly enjoyed it. In fact, last year Rohan and Annika both ran from their classes and they were waiting for me to get to the school. Their eyes were lit and big just to hug me. Now, you want to deprive them for this thanksgiving then it is your call. On several documented occasions we have worked and bypassed PP so that kids interests are served. Not sure what is cooking in your mind this time!

Again, let me know if my final offer is ok with you. All I am asking for is what is in the best interest of kids and not mine. In fact I will have to take two days off from work to travel and pick them up on Friday. If I pick them up on Sat then I will have to take only one day off. So I am sacrificing my work time for the sake of kids.

Your call. Confirm so that I can book and purchase tickets.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare

Sent: Friday, October 10, 2008 12:32 PM

To: Brajesh Katare

Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

I was the one to mention Thanksgiving in the first place when you first mentioned your Christmas visitation and skipped over your Thanksgiving visitation.

The PP states that each of only get 2 days for Thanksgiving. I have never said that you can't see the kids for Thanksgiving. On the contrary, I am providing more time for the visitation by stating that the exchange time should be the Saturday before, 11/21 at 10 am (as the PP states) and then returning them when the PP states at 8pm that Wednesday. You are the one insisting on picking them up on the Friday night before. Why does it have to be on Friday night at the school, which violates 2 conditions of the PP? Why again does it have to be all or nothing? Your way or the highway again? Why can we not have certain parameters that we stick to each time? If we don't, then each time we will have more and more disagreements on visitations.

No one is denying you your visitation. On the contrary, I am encouraging more time than the PP states. If you want, we can stick to the 2 days of the PP each for Thanksgiving? Let me know when you are coming for Thanksgiving as I have been stating, since I started asking about your Thanksgiving visitation six days ago on 10/5.

Lyn

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation
Date: Fri, 10 Oct 2008 07:27:07 -0700

Then why did you deviate in the past on multipel occasions? I have a complete list that will be furnished to the court. Therefore, this time I will ask again to pickup kids on Friday from schoool so that they can get home by Friday night in Seattle to spend few days in their own house.

This is also my final offer consistent with past exchanges othewise you are in contempt of court not letting me see kids for thanksgiving.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Thanksgiving Visitation
From: lkatare@hotmail.com
Date: Fri, 10 Oct 2008 12:49:23 +0000

I don't want to start deviating from the PP. The PP states where the exchanges are to be made. I want to continue with that. You have my final proposal. I would like to continue with the 10 am times in the mornings and 8pm times in the nights for consistency.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: "Brajesh Katare" <bkatare@hotmail.com>
Date: Thu, 9 Oct 2008 21:27:05 -0700
To: Lynette Katare <lkatare@hotmail.com>
CC: Roopa <roopagangadhar@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Thanksgiving Visitation

Last year I had picked up kids at 2.40pm on Friday at their school and went to the airport directly from there. Check your emails and confirm notes... Therefore, I would like to pickup kids on Friday 11/21 at 2.40pm

Below is cut and paste from email from last year...CONFIRM since I need to book flights for this year.

Cheers.

RE: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Mon 10/13/08 3:15 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

My bad. I am raised to not treat children like ration. I don't have time to read bunch of BS for which I had to spend kids education fund to defend your lies. It reminds me of how pathetic situation they are in.

Cheers.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice
From: lkatare@hotmail.com
Date: Mon, 13 Oct 2008 22:10:39 +0000

It is an even-numbered year isn't it? When it is, then you get until Jan. 1st. Read the PP. I try to remain consistent - even in my late thirties.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>
Date: Mon, 13 Oct 2008 13:51:59 -0700
To: <lkatare@hotmail.com>
CC: <roopagangadhar@hotmail.com>
Subject: RE: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice

Confirmed. BTW last year you picked them up on Dec 31st. Why are you picking them up on Jan 1st this time? I am happy though it is going to be Jan 1st. Another instance where you are just messing around in your late thirties :-)

Cheers.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice
From: lkatare@hotmail.com

Date: Thu, 9 Oct 2008 03:07:45 +0000

Yes, it is confirmed that you will pick up the kids in Clearwater at 2pm on Dec. 25th. I will then pick them up in Sammamish at 8pm on Jan. 1st.

Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>
Date: Wed, 8 Oct 2008 12:00:22 -0700
To: <lkatare@hotmail.com>
CC: <roopagangadhar@hotmail.com>
Subject: RE: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice

This is to confirm that I will pick them on 25th Dec and you will take them back on Jan 1st.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com
> Subject: Re: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice
> From: lkatare@hotmail.com
> Date: Mon, 6 Oct 2008 00:43:57 +0000
>
> Per the PP, you can pick them up from 2pm on Dec. 25th. I can pick them up in Sammamish on Jan. 1st at 8pm. You do not get any additional monthly time in January as the winter vacation is in lieu of the monthly weekend time.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brajesh
> To: Lynette Katare
> Cc: Roopa
> Sent: Oct 5, 2008 11:54 AM
> Subject: Xmas 2008 Visitation Notice + Jan visit Notice
>
> Here is my notice for the Xmas and January monthly visitation notice
>
> For religious holiday of Xmas:
> (1) Pick up: Dec 25th at 2pm as per PP (would prefer 9am) in Clearwater
> (2) Drop off in Sammamish at 8pm on January 1st 2009
> (3) As per PP I get 3 days and 2 night/month. Therefore I would like to pickup kids again on Jan 2nd at 10am and drop them off at 8pm on January 4th.
>
> Now - If you want me to combine the two then a better way is I pickup kids at 2pm (preferably 9am) on Dec 25th and then you take them back on January 4th 2009 at 8pm.
>
> Let me know since I need to book flights.
> Cheers.
> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

Re: Kids' Thanksgiving Airfare Schedule

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Sat 10/18/08 8:11 PM

To: lkatare@hotmail.com

Cc: Roopa (roopagangadhar@hotmail.com)

Ok. Thanks for your help and starting discussion two weeks ago but needing an attorney to close the loop. Brilliant.

Cheers.

From: <lkatare@hotmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2008 7:03 PM

To: "Brajesh" <bkatare@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Kids' Thanksgiving Airfare Schedule

> Which is why I started the discussion 2 weeks ago...I was able to find
> flights and seats today.

> You should be able to as well.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Brajesh

> To: Lynette Katare

> Sent: Oct 18, 2008 6:15 PM

> Subject: RE: Kids' Thanksgiving Airfare Schedule

>

> You will be given once I book them. Due to your delay there is difficulty

> in getting right schedule and fare.

>

>

> <http://im.live.com/Messenger/IM/Home/?source=EML_WLHM_GreaterGood>

> EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD

> Join me

>

>

> -----

> From: lkatare@hotmail.com

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com

> Subject: Kids' Thanksgiving Airfare Schedule

> Date: Sat, 18 Oct 2008 14:04:18 -0700

>

> Attached is the kids' airfare schedule for Thanksgiving. I would

> appreciate if you would send me their schedule as well.

> Thanks,

> Lyn

>

>

> -----

> Stay organized with simple drag and drop from Windows Live Hotmail. Try it

> <http://windowslive.com/Explore/hotmail?ocid=TXT_TAGLM_WL_hotmail_102008>

>

> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2008 13:04:07 -0800

Stop lying and accusing and you will be a happy soul. Kids are a core part of the overall issue. Without them this case wouldn't be where it is!!!

You decide what to do next. Lie or live life with dignity and self respect!!!

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2008 11:42:21 -0800

The kids came back from their visitation with you mentioning that you had Annika reading my emails about the speakerphone issue to them. Plus, they mentioned that you were blaming Rohan about using the speakerphone. Do not bring the kids into these issues. You should know not to use the speakerphone with them. Stop trying to influence them negatively.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call
Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2008 08:32:30 -0800

Can I ask kids? Answer the question please. At least you get to talk to them unlike me who gets no chance to talk to them due to your tactics.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

To: bkatare@hotmail.com
 Subject: Re: Tonight's Phone Call
 From: lkatare@hotmail.com
 Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2008 07:32:30 +0000

You have done this in the past which is why it is getting old. Do not keep doing this.
 Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>
Date: Mon, 24 Nov 2008 22:26:59 -0800
To: Lynette Katare<lkatare@hotmail.com>
Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call

What is this non-sense? Is this just to throw anything since I called you out for yesterday's misbehavior?

I had two friends and their son and daughter who are Annika and Rohan's age at home today when you called. The cake was being cut to celebrate Annika's friends birthday downstairs. Kids took the phone upstairs and were speaking to you from their rooms rather than being on speaker. This person is a General Manager in Microsoft and a non-Indian! There was Roopa and Ruchi both Microsoft employees present as well downstairs. I can and will call him as a witness to testify.

Kids spoke to you and talked about their iPod Touch that we got for them one each. They were eager to tell you about their iPod Touch and you are busy fabricating things...Total shameless behavior. I dare you to have kids being called to the court or an evaluator to prove that you are lying.

Do I have your permission to have kids read this email? I won't do it unless you allow me to. This is so awful to deal with.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com
 > Subject: Tonight's Phone Call
 > From: lkatare@hotmail.com
 > Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2008 03:48:41 +0000

>
 > I do not appreciate your always putting me on speaker phone when I call the kids for my call to them. It is not to be a phone call to your friends or their friends, but between me and them. Please stop that.

> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

>

Suspicious message? There's an alert for that. [Get your Hotmail® account now.](#)

It's the same Hotmail®. If by "same" you mean up to 70% faster. [Get your account now.](#)

1/2/2009

RE: Tonight's Phone Call

From: **Brajesh Katare** (bkatare@hotmail.com)

Sent: Tue 12/02/08 1:12 PM

To: Lynette Katare (lkatare@hotmail.com)

Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

Stop lying and accusing and you will be a happy soul. Kids are a core part of the overall issue. Without them this case wouldn't be where it is!!!

You decide what to do next. Lie or live life with dignity and self respect!!!

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

From: lkatare@hotmail.com
To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2008 11:42:21 -0800

The kids came back from their visitation with you mentioning that you had Annika reading my emails about the speakerphone issue to them. Plus, they mentioned that you were blaming Rohan about using the speakerphone. Do not bring the kids into these issues. You should know not to use the speakerphone with them. Stop trying to influence them negatively.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call
Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2008 08:32:30 -0800

Can I ask kids? Answer the question please. At least you get to talk to them unlike me who gets no chance to talk to them due to your tactics.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Tonight's Phone Call
From: lkatare@hotmail.com

Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2008 07:32:30 +0000

You have done this in the past which is why it is getting old. Do not keep doing this.

Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, 24 Nov 2008 22:26:59 -0800

To: Lynette Katare <lkatare@hotmail.com>

Subject: RE: Tonight's Phone Call

What is this non-sense? Is this just to throw anything since I called you out for yesterday's misbehavior?

I had two friends and their son and daughter who are Annika and Rohan's age at home today when you called. The cake was being cut to celebrate Annika's friends birthday downstairs. Kids took the phone upstairs and were speaking to you from their rooms rather than being on speaker. This person is a General Manager in Microsoft and a non-Indian! There was Roopa and Ruchi both Microsoft employees present as well downstairs. I can and will call him as a witness to testify.

Kids spoke to you and talked about their iPod Touch that we got for them one each. They were eager to tell you about their iPod Touch and you are busy fabricating things...Total shameless behavior. I dare you to have kids being called to the court or an evaluator to prove that you are lying.

Do I have your permission to have kids read this email? I won't do it unless you allow me to. This is so awful to deal with.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com

> Subject: Tonight's Phone Call

> From: lkatare@hotmail.com

> Date: Tue, 25 Nov 2008 03:48:41 +0000

>

> I do not appreciate your always putting me on speaker phone when I call the kids for my call to them. It is not to be a phone call to your friends or their friends, but between me and them. Please stop that.

> Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

>

EMAIL FROM LAST YEAR

THANKSGIVING

From: Brajesh Katare
Sent: Sunday, November 11, 2007 2:26 PM
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Fw: Thanksgiving dates

Here is your agreement on picking them up at the school at 2.40pm.

From: Brajesh Katare
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 8:25 PM
To: Lynette Katare
Cc: Roopa
Subject: Re: Thanksgiving dates

Yes I will pick them up at 2.40pm at the school. It is perfectly clear to me. Hope it is clear to you too!!! Do tell kids about this.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 8:28 PM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: RE: Thanksgiving dates

Then why did you make such a tight connection then? You knew that their school time ends at 2:40pm. I had made that perfectly clear.

Then I will assume that you will pick up the children at 2:40pm on Nov. 16th at the school?

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Thanksgiving dates
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2007 15:06:52 -0700

That does not give me enough time to catch the 4.20pm flight. With this tight connection it is better I pick them up at the school and dash to the airport. You don't need to come to the school. They can carry their bags to Seattle.

OR I might consider not visiting during thanksgiving to avoid them fly such a long distance for only 3 days. Again, it is their loss due to your stubbornness and stupidity.

For now - I WILL COME AND PICK THEM UP AT THE SCHOOL AT 2.40PM on NOV 16th 2007 or will make an arrangement with their teachers/principal privately. You don't need to do anything unless and until you hear otherwise from me. Just tell kids that their daddy will come and pick them up.

Cheers.

From: Lynette Katare
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2008 2:27 PM
To: Brajesh Katare
Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

Stop giving ultimatums. No, we have not done this for the past 2 years. We can exchange the kids at the police station on Saturday (11/22) morning at 10am then I will pick them up on 11/26 at 8pm.

From: bkatare@hotmail.com
To: lkatare@hotmail.com
CC: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation
Date: Wed, 8 Oct 2008 21:56:46 -0700

Stop the BS. I would like to pickup kids as per the following proposal:

Option #1: Pick up kids on Fri 11/21 from school directly in Clearwater. Then you pickup kids on 11/26 at 8pm as proposed by you.

Let me know if this proposal is ok with you. This is not exactly as per the PP but we have done this for last two years in a row. I have no intentions to make you look bad. Let the story decide for itself if you look bad or an angel :-)

Cheers.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

To: bkatare@hotmail.com
Subject: Re: Thanksgiving Visitation
From: lkatare@hotmail.com
Date: Thu, 9 Oct 2008 02:55:00 +0000

You aren't really providing me any options and trying to make me look like the bad guy in this. The PP only calls for each of us having 2 days for Thanksgiving with me having the actual holiday days as you know. We only changed the timeframe a couple of years ago because you insisted on taking all of your visitations in Seattle.

Therefore, my initial proposal stands to you since I will not change the location for the kids' exchanges or we can choose your second option, but don't make me out to be the bad guy as I was the one to initially propose the time to you. You decide.

Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

From: Brajesh Katare <bkatare@hotmail.com>

Date: Wed, 8 Oct 2008 11:59:35 -0700

To: <lkatare@hotmail.com>

CC: <roopagangadhar@hotmail.com>

Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

According to the PP I get Fri and Sat. These are days after the thanksgiving and not before. You have been jerking around with dates and I don't have time for that. You preach PP when it is convenient for you Vs kids or I. My proposal is following. Select one option or the other and let me know.

Option #1: Pick up kids on Fri 11/21 from school directly in Clearwater. Then you pickup kids on 11/26 at 8pm as proposed by you.

Option #2: They don't see me for thanksgiving.

Let me know what you decide.

 **EMAILING FOR THE GREATER GOOD**
[Join me](#)

> To: bkatare@hotmail.com

> Subject: Re: Thanksgiving Visitation

> From: lkatare@hotmail.com

> Date: Tue, 7 Oct 2008 00:41:48 +0000

>

> You can pick up the kids the weekend that starts that Thanksgiving week the kids are off, but we need to pick them up on Wed. 11/26 @ 8pm to get home by Thanksgiving.

> Lyn

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Brajesh

> To: lkatare@hotmail.com

> Cc: roopagangadhar@hotmail.com

> Sent: Oct 5, 2008 7:53 PM

> Subject: RE: Thanksgiving Visitation

