Received
Washington State Supreme Court

APR 21 2014

Ronald R. Carpenter
Clerk

NO 89317-9

SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF WASHINGTON

SENTINEL C3, INC., a Washington Corporation

Petitioner,

v.

CHRIS J. HUNT and CARMEN HUNT; MICHAEL BLOOD, an individual and the marital community, if any, comprised of MICHAEL BLOOD and JANAE BLOOD,

Respondent.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS MICHAEL AND JANAE BLOOD

Michael and Janae Blood
3310 Victory View Dr
Boise, Idaho
83709
208.639.6053

Pro Se

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. ARGUMENT 1

II. CONCLUSION 2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Case Law

Sentinel C3 Inc. v. Hunt
176 Wn. App. 152, 309 P.3d 582 (2013)..... 1

Statutes

RCW Chapter 23B.13 1

Rules

RAP 13.7(a) 1

1. ARGUMENT

The August 15, 2013 opinion of the Court of Appeals provided a detailed summary and analysis of the extensive law that supports the Court's decision therein. Sentinel C3 Inc. v Hunt, 176 Wn. App. 152, 309 P.3d 582 (2013).

The Washington Supreme Court can only reverse the decision of the Court of Appeals by overturning well established case law, statutes, and court rules regarding the summary judgment standard, dissenter's rights actions, valuation of shares, evidence and authentication, bad faith and the requirements for both awarding and entering a judgement on attorney's fees. Id;*see also Brief of Appellant Hunt and Reply Brief of Appellant Hunt, previously filed with the Court of Appeals, Div. III, and on record before this Court.* RAP 13.7(a).

Sentinel C3 was required by the statute to petition the court to provide a valuation of Sentinel share. RCW Chapter 23B.13. The intent of that statute appears to be to provide an avenue for minority shareholders with a fair valuation of their ownership stake in a Corporation, especially in a situation where a Corporation may not voluntarily agree to a fair value. Sentinel C3's attempts to diminish both our character and our share value through abusive tactics and legal maneuvering (including attempting summary judgement to trick the court into a one-sided "fair value" valuation) undermine the rights that the Washington Legislature carefully gave to shareholders in RCW Chapter 23B.13.

II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Respondents Michael and Janae Blood respectfully request that the Court uphold the extensive law that supports the decision of the Court of Appeals, and affirm that decision.

In response to the arguments raised in Sentinels C3's Supplemental Brief, Respondents Blood would refer the Court to Respondens Hunt's prior briefing before the Court of Appeals, to which we have joined - which already addresses all relevant arguments

DATED this 31st day of March, 2014

By: _____
Michael Blood, Pro Se

By: _____
Janae Blood, Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael Blood, do hereby certify that I did deliver a copy of the foregoing to the Court and to the following on 4, 12 2014.

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL:
Thomas T Basset
K&L Gates LLP
618 West Riverside Avenue
Suite 300
Spokane, WA 99201
(Attorneys for Sentinel C3, Inc)

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL:
Vicki L. Mitchell
PAINE HAMBLEN LLP
717 West Sprague Avenue
Suite 1200
Spokane, WA 99201
(Attorneys for Chris and Carmen Hunt)

Executed this 12th day of 04, 2014
By

3310 Victory View Dr
Boise, Idaho 83709