

RECEIVED  
SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
May 12, 2015, 8:17 am  
BY RONALD R. CARPENTER  
CLERK

No. 91427-3

E CDF  
RECEIVED BY E-MAIL

---

**SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF WASHINGTON**

---

JOHN F. KLINKERT,

Petitioner

v.

WASHINGTON STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING  
COMMISSION,

Respondent

---

Court of Appeals, Division One, Case No. 71461-9  
Appeal from Superior Court of Snohomish County

---

**ERRATA FOR CORRECTED PETITION FOR REVIEW**

---

John F. Klinkert  
Petitioner Pro Se

JOHN F. KLINKERT  
14316 11<sup>th</sup> Place W  
Lynnwood, WA 98087  
(425) 771-7195  
johnear3@comcast.net

 ORIGINAL

**Errata for Corrected Petition For Review, Case No. 94127-3**

On Page 8: In line 10, omit the word “However.”

On Page 10: In the first line of the last paragraph, omit the word “almost”

On Page 21: The last four lines of the last block quotation should read:

“the record (or part) and a brief explanation of how the exemption applies to the record withheld.” CP at 1717 (quoting RCW 42.56.210(3) (emphasis added)).” [Footnote omitted] Sanders v. State, supra, 169 Wash.2d 827, 240 P.3d at 130”

On Page 21: In the second line of the last paragraph: “cases,” should read

“cases:”

On Page 21: In the third line of the last paragraph: “Act,” should be “Act”

On Page 21: In the fourth line of the last paragraph: “RCW 5.60.060(2)

containing” should read “RCW 5.60.060(2), which contains”

On Page 22: In the sixth line of the second paragraph: omit the last four

words, namely, “at issue in Hangartner”

On Page 22: In the seventh line from the bottom, the word “who” should

be “how”

On Page 22: In the fourth line from the bottom, the word “the” should be

“that”

On Page 22: In the third line from the bottom, “withheld, how” should be

“withheld of how”

On Page 23: In the third line, “26-27, in” should be “26-27 that in”

On Page 23: The first two lines of the second full paragraph should read:

“The Training Commission’s purported two-line privilege log (and later August 5, 2010 email privilege logs) never showed, nor could they”

On Page 23: In the second line from the bottom, the phrase “RCW

42.56.210(3) requiring “a brief explanation of how [an] exemption applies to the record withheld”.” should read:

“RCW 42.56.210(3) -- the section requiring “a brief explanation of how [an] exemption applies to the record withheld” -- “

On Page 26: In the first sentence of the last paragraph, omit “However,”

The sentence should begin: “The Training Commission”

On Page 26: In the fourth line of the last paragraph, insert the word

“claimed” before “also”

On Page 27: In the first sentence of the first paragraph replace

“Nonetheless,” with “Thus.”

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015

Respectfully submitted,



John F. Klinkert  
Petitioner Pro Se

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF WASHINGTON**

JOHN F. KLINKERT.

Appellant

vs.

WASHINGTON STATE CRIMINAL  
JUSTICE TRAINING COMMISSION,

Respondent

NO. 91427-3

DECLARATION OF MAILING

I certify that I am over 18 years of age, that I am not a party to this action, and that I served a copy of the Errata For Corrected Petition For Review on the party named below on the date below by depositing it in the US mail, postage prepaid, in Lynnwood, Washington.

John Hillman, Asst. Attorney General  
Attorney General's Office  
Criminal Justice Division  
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000  
Seattle, WA 98104-3188

DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015 at Lynnwood, Washington.

  
Caron C. Curry-Klinkert

DECLARATION OF MAILING -- 1

JOHN F. KLINKERT  
14316 11<sup>TH</sup> PLACE W  
LYNNWOOD, WASHINGTON 98087  
(425) 771-7195  
PETITIONER PRO SE

## OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

---

**To:** johncar3@comcast.net  
**Cc:** Johnh5@atg.wa.gov; 'Logo, Daisy (ATG)'  
**Subject:** RE: 91427-3 - John F. Klinkert v. Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission

Received 5-12-2015

Supreme Court Clerk's Office

Please note that any pleading filed as an attachment to e-mail will be treated as the original. Therefore, if a filing is by e-mail attachment, it is not necessary to mail to the court the original of the document.

**From:** johncar3@comcast.net [mailto:johncar3@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 11, 2015 9:38 PM  
**To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK  
**Cc:** Johnh5@atg.wa.gov; 'Logo, Daisy (ATG)'  
**Subject:** 91427-3 - John F. Klinkert v. Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission

Dear Supreme Court Clerk,

I have attached a four-page document entitled Errata for Corrected Petition for Review, which I mailed to opposing counsel today.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

John F. Klinkert  
(425) 771-7195  
[Johncar3@comcast.net](mailto:johncar3@comcast.net)