

GENDER AND JUSTICE COMMISSION

AOC SEATAC FACILITY

FRIDAY, JANUARY 26, 2018 (8:45 AM – NOON)
JUSTICE SHERYL GORDON MCCLOUD, CHAIR
JUDGE MARILYN PAJA, VICE CHAIR

	Agenda		Page
8:45AM – 9	00 AM CALL TO ORDER		•
> Appr	oval of November 3, 2017 Meeting Notes		1
9:00 AM – 9	:15 AM INTRODUCTIONS		-
> Justi	ce Gordon McCloud and All		
9:15 – 10:1	5 AM COMMITTEE AND PROJECT UPDATES		
> Inca	cerated Women and Girls Committee	Ms. Gail Stone & Committee	
>	Follow-ups from Court Access Convening		8
>	Legal Resource Kiosks		
	munications Committee	Judge Paja & Committee	
>	Women's History Month event planning		
> Educ	eation Committee	Committee & Staff	
>	Judicial College – DV Session & Firearms Webii	nar	
>	Appellate Conference session		
>	 SCJA Conference sessions Immigration's Impact on the Judiciary Court Access for Incarcerated Parents 		
>	DMCJA Conference session o Technology Misuse in DV Cases, Part 2		
>	Fall Conference proposals		9
	 The Brain Explains: A Trauma-Informed A Adversities Procedural Justice: Fair Treatment Matte Immigration's Impact on the Judiciary 	-	
> Repo	orts from Liaisons		
>	Washington Women Lawyers	Ms. Jennifer Ritchie	
>	Access to Justice Board	Mr. Sal Mungia	

10:15 - 10:30 **BREAK**



GENDER AND JUSTICE COMMISSION

AOC SEATAC FACILITY

FRIDAY, JANUARY 26, 2018 (8:45 AM – NOON)
JUSTICE SHERYL GORDON MCCLOUD, CHAIR
JUDGE MARILYN PAJA, VICE CHAIR

	Agenda		Page
10:30 – 11:15 COMMITTEE AND PROJECT UPDATES			
≻ Gei	nder Bias Study	Justice Gordon McCloud &	18
	Funding update	Committee	
. D	martia e Cannal Vialanca Cammitta	hadaa Daia 9 Oomaaitta	
	mestic & Sexual Violence Committee	Judge Paja & Committee	
	Proposed new committee name		
	Report back from in person meeting		
	Need for Chair/Project leads		
> Tril	oal State Court Consortium	Judge Smith	
	> Regional meeting updates		
	> Planning committee meeting		
> HB	1163 DV Workgroups	Judge Paja & Judge Lucas	
	Report back from second meeting		
	2:00 PM CHAIR AND STAFF REPORTS		
> Cha	air Report	Justice Gordon McCloud	
	Membership update		
	> SB 5831 – GJCOM named in bill		20
⊳ Vio	o Chair Banart	ludgo Doio	
P VIC	e Chair Report	Judge Paja	
≻ Sta	ff Report	Ms. Kelley Amburgey-Richardson	
	New STOP Grant year		
	> Committee Listservs		23
APPENDI	X		
	w Member (Ms. Erin Moody) Resume		24
	ucation Fund Report on HB 1840 (DV & Firearms) COM Budgets (State funds, STOP grant funds)		26 28
The Incarcerated Women and Girls Committee will be meeting directly following the			
Commission meeting.			



Gender and Justice Commission (GJCOM) SeaTac Office 18000 International Blvd Friday, November 3, 2017 (8:45 AM – 12:00 PM)

MEETING NOTES

Present: Justice Sheryl Gordon McCloud, Judge Anita Crawford-Willis, Josie Delvin, Patty Eakes, Grace Huang, Trish Kinlow, Heather McKimmie, Judge Rich Melnick, Riddhi Mukhopadhyay, Judge Marilyn Paja, Dr. Dana Raigrodski, Leslie Savina, Judge Cindy K. Smith, Gail Stone, Vicky Vreeland, Cassidy Wisley-Paul

Via Phone: Judge Eric Lucas, Jennifer Ritchie

AOC Staff: Nichole Kloepfer, Kelley Amburgey-Richardson, Cynthia Delostrinos

Not Present: Gail Hammer, Justice Susan Owens, Judge Michael Evans, Sonia Rodriguez True, Sal Mungia, Kathy Bradley

main, bracing

CALL TO ORDER

September 11th Meeting Notes

Minutes approved and passed unanimously as presented.

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

- Justice Gordon McCloud welcomed the four new members:
 - o Judge Anita Crawford-Willis
 - o Ms. Riddhi Mukhopadhyay
 - o Ms. Heather McKimmie
 - Ms. Patty Eakes
- She invited everyone to go around the room and introduce themselves and share their areas of expertise and role on the Commission.
- This meeting will be unusual in that instead of conducting specific business, each committee chair will share about their committee's work. This will help the new members choose a committee to join and get to know GJCOM.

COMMITTEE AND PROJECT UPDATES

- Reports from Liaisons
 - This is a new regular agenda item.
 - Washington Women Lawyers Ms. Jennifer Ritchie
 - WWL posted the GJCOM Annual Report and other shared resources on their page.
 - Yearly Spokane event was well received. GJCOM would like to know more about WWL events to attend or sponsor.
 - Ms. Ritchie will send to Ms. Amburgey-Richardson for distribution.
 - Access to Justice Board Mr. Sal Mungia

• Mr. Mungia was just appointed and wasn't able to attend the meeting. In the future, he will provide a written report if possible.

ACTION: Liaisons should send information about any upcoming events to Kelley Amburgey-Richardson for distribution. GJCOM members are encouraged to attend these events.

Tribal State Court Consortium

- o Judge Cindy K. Smith provided an overview of the TSCC Committee.
 - There is a general planning committee and two work groups, which grew out of the recent Regional Meeting.
 - One work group is looking at creating a court rule so state and tribal judges can talk to each other.
 - The second is looking at the state and tribal child welfare systems and services available.
- TSCC Annual Meeting
 - This meeting took place during Fall Conference.
 - The TSCC screened the film, Tribal Justice, held a discussion, and talked about upcoming TSCC projects. The film centered on restorative justice practices.
- The committee is looking for volunteers. If anyone is interested please contact Kelley or Judge Smith.

• Incarcerated Women and Girls Committee

- o Gail Stone provided an overview of the work of the IWG Committee.
- Court Access Convening
 - A number of action items were identified by the 5 workgroups at this meeting, including telephone access and access to legal services and case information. This work is ongoing.

• Communications Committee

- o Judge Marilyn Paja provided an overview of the work of the Communications Committee.
 - The Committee is looking for new members. Dana Raigrodski and Trish Kinlow volunteered.
- GJCOM Women's History Month Event
 - The GJCOM March meeting is usually held at the Temple of Justice, followed by a reception to celebrate Women's History Month with interested parties such as legislators.
 - In past years, attendance has been low from legislators due to session.
 - GJCOM needs to decide how to proceed this year & select a group interested in working on this event.
 - Any volunteers?
 - Vicki Vreeland volunteered to help with Women's History month event.
- Social Media
 - There has been a suggestion to start a GJCOM Facebook page. MJCOM has one.

- Judge Paja expressed that it would be necessary to have committee members interested in actively working on the page.
- Kelley Amburgey-Richardson provided an overview of options, and facilitated a brief discussion about the utility of a Facebook page.
 - Issues raised:
 - Photo permissions
 - Security is it possible to limit who can post to the page?
 - Survivors of DV, SA may post frequently on pages that address these issues. This may be an issue since GJCOM is not a direct service entity that can respond to support requests or legal questions.
 - o Public records issues
 - Time consuming
 - Alternatives:
 - GJCOM could send information about GJCOM events and photos to WA Courts Facebook page to be posted.
 - o Add additional information to the GJCOM website, including photos
- The committee will meet to discuss this further.

ACTION: The Committee will meet to discuss potential implementation of social media and the Women's History Month event.

Education Committee

- Judge Melnick provided an overview of the work of the Education Committee.
- o Fall Conference Report
 - GJCOM sponsored two sessions: DV & Children and Transgender Parties. Both were well-received. We are waiting on evaluations.
 - Dean Edleson and Professor Suddha Shetty provided an additional training Hague Convention & DV CLE for the Clark County Bar. There was good attendance and the subject matter was very engaging.
- Judicial College DV Session & Webinar
 - Planning call for this session took place on Nov. 2nd. Session is on track.
 - Since there is so much content to deliver in a 3-hour session, a follow-up webinar is being considered. A potential presenter has been contacted.
- Appellate Conference Session
 - This is a joint program with MJCOM on Collateral Consequences specifically LFOs and Reentry Issues.
 - Honorariums will be provided to a panel of formerly incarcerated people.
- SCJA Conference Proposals Accepted
 - Two proposals were accepted
 - Immigration Enforcement at the Courthouse (joint proposal from all 3 Commissions, selected as plenary)
 - o Chief Justice Fairhurst may be interested in being involved
 - Court Access for Incarcerated Parents (selected as choice session)

DMCJA Proposed Sessions

- GJCOM proposed 2 sessions:
 - Immigration Enforcement at the Courthouse session (joint proposal)
 - Technology Misuse in DV Cases, Part 2 (follow-up from last year's very well-received session).

Miscellaneous

- The joint Commissions education committee is meeting regularly. The next meeting is on the 15th.
- Neurobiology of trauma videos for GJCOM website
 - Kelley Amburgey-Richardson is looking into options for this. Is in contact with an expert psychologist who may be interested in recording the videos.
 - Dr. Raigrodski suggested a YouTube channel for GJCOM.
 - Dr. Raigrodski suggested to the subject matter experts in the room that they could record their trainings or presentations for GJCOM.
- Commission members recognized Judge Melnick for his work in leading this committee and making it active and thriving.

Gender Bias Study

- Justice Sheryl Gordon McCloud provided an overview of the work of the Gender Bias Study committee.
- Funding Update
 - National Center for State Courts (NCSC) advised that the State Justice Institute (SJI) would not fund both a planning grant and a larger project grant.
 - GJCOM will go for the larger grant. This would be for two years, and the ballpark estimate to conduct the study is \$300,000 - \$500,000. In addition to SJI, private foundations will be considered.
 - NCSC is offering their technical assistance for 2 days in WA to help us develop survey instruments, identify other methods of data collection for each topic, and prepare to write a large project grant, due in February.
 - Staff are working with Justice Gordon McCloud on a proposal for the researchers, and then committee input will be sought. The committee will convene before the researchers come.
 - Dr. Raigrodski suggested that there would still be utility in the workgroup meeting now to discuss outreach to stakeholders.

ACTION: The committee will meet to discuss next steps with the researcher visit and concurrent committee work.

• Judicial Officer & Law Student Reception

- Vicky Vreeland provided a report about the event.
 - It was a full house, great statistical information provided by Dean of Law School regarding women in law.
 - Justice Gordon McCloud gave energizing opening remarks.
- Scholarship Recipients

- Rebecca Sweeney, 2L, received a scholarship from NAWJ
- Ava Sanchez, 2L, received a scholarship from WSAJ
- o An ad hoc committee plans this event each year.
- Expanding the scholarship program
 - NAWJ and WSAJ have discussed increasing the scholarship awards
 - It was also suggested that GJCOM look at other organizations that may want to sponsor a scholarship, such as WWL, MAMAs.

ACTION: This committee will connect with Jennifer Ritchie about the potential for a WWL scholarship.

Domestic & Sexual Violence Committee

- o Judge Paja provided an overview of the committee's work, and its new expanded focus.
 - Domestic violence, sexual violence, human trafficking, workplace harassment
 - The committee will look to identify leads on each topic it decides to include, so none are overlooked.
 - The committee has not selected a new name yet.
 - Suggestions made included: gender based violence, interpersonal violence, domestic and sexual violence.
- Need for Committee Chair
 - Judge Paja is temporarily chairing this committee because there is a current need for the committee to meet (Request for GJCOM feedback on DV treatment WACs).
 - A chair or co-chairs are needed. If anyone is interested in being a Chair or subject matter lead, they should tell Kelley or Judge Paja.
- Domestic Violence Projects
 - Committee meeting on proposed WAC revision re: DV treatment
 - Committee met via phone on Oct 27th.
 - Heard from Amie Roberts, DSHS on draft WACs.
 - Will discuss in more depth at in-person meeting in December.
- o TBD: Firearms surrender best practices regional training.
 - Judge Paja would like the committee to discuss this project.
 - What is currently needed? What format? Who would the faculty be?
 - She has been involved in a pilot in Kitsap that may be helpful, revising bench cards, working with a national group.
- o In-person meeting Dec. 15th, 9a.m. 12p.m. at SeaTac Office.
 - This meeting is for any GJCOM members or committee members who are interested in working on these issues.
 - Committee hopes to identify chair(s), get ready for the upcoming STOP grant projects related to DV, SV, etc.

ACTION: Anyone who is interested in a Chair or subject matter lead role on this committee should contact Kelley Amburgey-Richardson or Judge Paja.

• Legal Financial Obligations

Trish Kinlow, Tukwila Municipal Court Administrator presented on King County's NEW Unified Payment Program (UP).

- Beginning October 1, 2017, individuals with traffic-related fines in multiple jurisdictions in King County will have an easier and less expensive way to pay court-mandated fines through the new UP Program. This program also lifts the hold on a person's drivers; license before the fines are paid in full.
- Using the documentation provided by the court, the customer will submit an online application to participate in UP.
- The payment agency works with individuals to determine a realistic payment plan, as low as \$25.00 per month, which includes a \$7.00 fee.
- One the payment agency receives the first installment, participating courts will be notified and they will notify the Washington State Department of Licensing that the hold can be removed from the person's driver's license.
- At this time, UP is only available in King County, but Pierce County expressed interest in the program.
- In King County 172 cases signed up for the program.

• HB 1163 DV Workgroups

- A report and supplemental materials are attached to the packet.
- Judge Paja & Judge Lucas reported on the first meeting of the workgroups and their progress with this project.
- o Laura Jones is the contract staff person on this project.
- First meeting was October 4th. The next in-person meeting is December 12th. Each workgroup will meet via phone in-between in-person meetings.
- Written report is due next June to the legislature.

CHAIR AND STAFF REPORTS

Chair Report

- Justice Gordon McCloud provided a few membership updates:
 - Rita Bender has resigned from the Commission to focus on her class action case out of state. The Nominations Committee is finalizing a selection for her replacement from the previous pool of candidates.
 - Sal Mungia has been appointed the new ATJ Liaison. He is not able to attend this meeting, but will attend future meetings.

o Committees

- Justice Gordon McCloud asked each member to review their committee membership (attached to packet) and make sure it's accurate.
- New members should tell Kelley which committee(s) they would like to join and she will add them to email lists.

o International Delegation Visit

 Approximately 25 women attorneys, judges, and advocates from around the world working on issues of women & justice joined GJCOM members, Supreme Court members and staff on October 10th at TOJ to discuss GJCOM's work and issues incommon. GJCOM hopes to have this opportunity again, and to learn more from the delegates.

ACTION: New members should look at all of the committees and decide which one(s) to join. They should let Kelley Amburgey-Richardson know so they can be added to the appropriate committee distribution lists.

Vice Chair Report

- Judge Paja recently attended the NAWJ Conference in Atlanta. A written report is included in the packet.
- There were a number of interesting education programs, which she has provided information about to the Ed. Committee.

Staff Report

- o Kelley Amburgey-Richardson pointed out the GJCOM budgets in the packet. Anyone who has budget questions should feel free to reach out.
- o Cynthia Delostrinos provided an update on the PO forms translations and showed where to access them on the AOC website.
 - Members were excited about this step toward accessibility.
 - A next step may be to record audio of the forms for those who have limited reading ability.
 - One concern raised was how these forms would be filed with the court, if the petitioner writes in their language rather than English.
 - This could be an educational opportunity.

ACTION: Ms. Delostrinos will contact the Interpreter Commission to talk about a process for how translated PO forms will be filed with the court. This could be included in the agenda of the Joint Commissions Education meeting.

TO: Gender and Justice Commission

FROM: Workgroup Leads

RE: Court Access Convening Workgroups

WORKGROUP REPORTS

Barrier 1: Access to Legal Resources & Law Libraries (Lead: Laura Edmonston)

- Work group had a call in mid-December to review action items
- Decided to re-write an action item that didn't apply anymore
- Working on a comprehensive report on what the identified needs are and the status of addressing them
- Will provide report to GJCOM once complete

Barrier 2: Telephonic Appearances (Leads: Elizabeth Hendren, Leslie Savina)

- Group has drafted a statewide court rule for telephonic access
- DOC has drafted a revision to their related policy
- A sub-group within the family law task force is reviewing both
- At some point, group would like IWG Committee and then full Commission to review and provide feedback

Barrier 3: Parent Access to Case Information; GALs, CASAs (Lead: Amelia Watson)

- Creating a pamphlet for incarcerated survivors who are in dependency or family law cases
- Work group members are working on different parts of the plan
- DOC is conducting focus groups with incarcerated parents to clarify common questions they have
- Determining how long the pamphlet will be and whether to include web links
 - Web links may not be accessible until kiosks move forward
- The group is conducting regular calls and aims to have a draft done in May

Barrier 4: Rules and Procedures Vary by County (Lead: Jana Heyd)

• This work group has been meeting regularly via phone. Workgroup lead was unable to participate in check-in call, but will provide a comprehensive update soon.

Barrier 5: Parents Don't Have Funds for Calls, Emails, Stamps, etc. (Lead: Patty Noble-Desy)

Workgroup lead has a meeting scheduled with DOC on January 23, to discuss their policy 590.500
relative to including all legal issues as priority for access.

Next Steps

• Workgroup leads check-in on a monthly basis. The next call is scheduled for 2/23 at 10 a.m.

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima, Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

PROPOSED SESSION TITLE: The Brain Explains: A Trauma-Informed Approach to Addressing Childhood Adversities			STATUS: Received Date: Accepted Not Accepted Why:	
PROPOSED BY: Washington S	State Supreme Court	Gender and Justice	TARGET AUDIENCE:	
Commission	state Supreme Source	Condor and oddiloc		
CONTACT NAME: Kelley Amb	urgey-Richardson		New Judges	
CONTACT PHONE : 360-704-4	031		□ District Courts	
CONTACT EMAIL: kelley.amb	urgey-richardson@co	urts.wa.gov		
PROPOSED DURATION:	SESSION TYPE:	IS THERE A LIMIT TO THE I	NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS?	
	⊠ Plenary	Yes		
☐ 3 Hours	□ Choice	⊠ No		
☐ Other:	☐ Colloquium			
	Other:			
RECOMMENDED FACULT	ГҮ:			
Linda Chamberlain, PhD M	PH			
Please note that should this		ed, Dr. Chamberlain is not	available to present on	
Wednesday, September 26	<mark>;th_</mark>			
SESSION DESCRIPTION: Describe the purpose of the session and key issues to be presented. Explain what judicial officers will learn in the course and how the information will apply to their work in the courts (this information will be included in the program flyer as your session description). The impact of stress on a child's developing brain explains many of the predictable and preventable				
physical, mental, behavioral, and cognitive challenges that traumatized children experience. The brain's ability to adapt and change in response to experiences and environment is also the pathway to self-regulation and healing. Childhood trauma is examined within a protective factors framework to promote resilience and prevent the transmission of intergenerational trauma. Practical strategies and resources that are making a difference for children and families experiencing violence and other adversities are highlighted.				

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima. Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Describe what participants will be able to do as a result of this session.

Participants will be able to:

- 1. Explain how neuroplasticity is connected to vulnerability and opportunity relative to childhood trauma.
- 2. List three common effects of childhood trauma on early brain development.
- 3. Describe the role of self-regulation as a gateway to healing trauma.
- 4. Describe three trauma-informed strategies for working with traumatized children.

FUNDAMENTALS COVERED: Describe the case law, best practices, or "nuts and bolts" that will be addressed during the session.

- An overview of the following brain science concepts and how they may impact litigants and witnesses in court:
 - o Neurobiology of trauma: how the brain & body respond to trauma
 - <u>Neuroplasticity</u>: the brain's ability to reorganize itself by forming new neural connections throughout life. Neuroplasticity allows the neurons (nerve cells) in the brain to compensate for injury and disease and to adjust their activities in response to new situations or to changes in their environment.
 - Trauma-informed approaches: recognize the impact that experiencing trauma may have on an individual's ability to interact with the court and respond by putting this knowledge into practice

PARTICIPANT RESOURCES: Describe the resources faculty will recommend participants reference when handling the key issues described in this session (e.g., bench books, checklists, bench cards, websites, organizations, agencies, etc.).

- Websites and webinars for additional learning
- Research articles
- Checklist or bench card

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima, Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

PROPOSED TEACHING METHODS AND ACTIVITIES: Describe how the session will be presented to actively engage the audience in the education (e.g., small/large group discussion, hypotheticals, case study review, role play, lecturette, etc.).

This session will use the following methods to engage the audience and different learning styles:

- Large group discussion questions
- Video clips
- Case study review/examples from the field

DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION: Describe how the session will incorporate issues of diversity and inclusion into the topic. (Consider different perspectives and experiences relating to gender, ethnicity, race, nationality, sexuality, socio-economic status, ability, language, age, etc.)

- This session will incorporate issues of diversity and inclusion in the following ways:
 - o Trauma's impact on an individual is informed by their perspective and life experiences
 - The legal system response should take this into account and provide a trauma-informed response that is culturally sensitive/appropriate
 - Adverse Childhood Experiences and children and youth living in poverty

If you need assistance with this question, please let us know and we can connect you with a representative who can help with identifying ways to incorporate diversity and inclusion into your topic.

ANTICIPATED COST: \$1500 for faculty travel and lodging	FUNDING RESOURCES: Gender & Justice will cover all costs

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima, Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

PROPOSED SESSION TITLE: Procedural Justice: Fair Treatment Matters			STATUS: Received Date: Accepted Not Accepted Why:	
PROPOSED BY: Washington State Supreme Court Gender and Justice			TARGET AUDIENCE:	
Commission	state Caprome Court	Condor and Caches		
CONTACT NAME: Kelley Amb	urgey-Richardson		New Judges	
CONTACT PHONE: 360-704-4	031		□ District Courts	
CONTACT EMAIL: kelley.amb	urgey-richardson@co	urts.wa.gov		
PROPOSED DURATION:	SESSION TYPE:	IS THERE A LIMIT TO THE I	NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS?	
	⊠ Plenary	☐ Yes		
☐ 3 Hours	□ Choice	⊠ No		
☐ Other:	☐ Colloquium			
	Other:			
RECOMMENDED FACULTY: Emily Gold LaGratta, Director, Procedural Justice Initiatives, Center for Court Innovation (CCI) Additional CCI faculty to be determined				
SESSION DESCRIPTION: Describe the purpose of the session and key issues to be presented. Explain what judicial officers will learn in the course and how the information will apply to their work in the courts (this information will be included in the program flyer as your session description).				
The purpose of this session is to educate judicial officers about the importance of the perceived fairness of court procedures and interpersonal treatment of litigants, otherwise known as procedural justice. Research shows that procedural justice is the primary factor in litigants' willingness to accept and comply with decisions. Increased compliance means: fewer violations, reduced recidivism, smaller dockets, and cost and resource savings. This session will address the practical application of the research on procedural justice to Washington State Court judicial officers, using examples from the field.				

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima. Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Describe what participants will be able to do as a result of this session.

Participants will:

- 1. Understand the key elements of procedural justice and how it impacts litigants' willingness to comply with court decisions
- 2. Have increased awareness of how litigants' perception of fairness of the courts may differ based on their identity (e.g. race, language, gender)
- 3. Be able to explain the concept of procedural justice to their colleagues and court staff and facilitate a discussion about changes that can be made locally to improve litigants' perception of court fairness

FUNDAMENTALS COVERED: Describe the case law, best practices, or "nuts and bolts" that will be addressed during the session.

- Procedural justice is the perceived fairness of court procedures and interpersonal treatment, as contrasted with distributive justice, or the perceived fairness of the outcome.
- Key elements of procedural justice
 - o Litigants are more likely to accept decisions when they:
 - Believe they were treated with dignity and respect
 - Understand the process
 - Had a chance to be heard
 - Believe the decision-making process is neutral and unbiased
- Litigants cannot always win. Procedural justice theory says that they will be more likely to accept losing and comply with a decision if they perceive the court procedures and interpersonal treatment as fair.

PARTICIPANT RESOURCES: Describe the resources faculty will recommend participants reference when handling the key issues described in this session (e.g., bench books, checklists, bench cards, websites, organizations, agencies, etc.).

- Center for Court Innovation website
- Procedural justice bench card
- Center for Court Innovation research and resources

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima. Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

PROPOSED TEACHING METHODS AND ACTIVITIES: Describe how the session will be presented to actively engage the audience in the education (e.g., small/large group discussion, hypotheticals, case study review, role play, lecturette, etc.).

This session will use the following methods to engage the audience and different learning styles:

- Large group discussion questions
- Table exercises
- Video examples
- · Case study review/examples from the field

DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION: Describe how the session will incorporate issues of diversity and inclusion into the topic. (Consider different perspectives and experiences relating to gender, ethnicity, race, nationality, sexuality, socio-economic status, ability, language, age, etc.)

- This session will incorporate issues of diversity and inclusion in the following ways:
 - o Content related to gender bias in the courts and procedural justice
 - Research on the difference in perception of procedural justice based on race, and how to minimize cultural bias and achieve fairness
 - The role of language access in achieving procedural justice

If you need assistance with this question, please let us know and we can connect you with a representative who can help with identifying ways to incorporate diversity and inclusion into your topic.

ANTICIPATED COST: \$2500 for faculty travel from New York and lodging	FUNDING RESOURCES: Gender & Justice will cover all costs

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima, Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

PROPOSED SESSION TITLE: Immigration's Impact on the Judiciary: Implementing New Evidence Rule 413			STATUS: Received Date: Accepted Not Accepted Why:	
PROPOSED BY: Gender & Jus	stice Commission, Mir	nority & Justice Commission,	TARGET AUDIENCE:	
Interpreter Commission				
CONTACT NAME: Kelley Amb			⊠ New Judges	
CONTACT PHONE: 360-704-4	031		□ District Courts	
CONTACT EMAIL: kelley.amb	urgey-richardson@co	urts.wa.gov	Municipal Courts	
PROPOSED DURATION:	SESSION TYPE:	IS THERE A LIMIT TO THE I	NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS?	
⊠ 90 Minutes		Yes		
☐ 3 Hours		⊠ No		
☐ Other:	☐ Colloquium			
	Other:			
RECOMMENDED FACULT	ΓY:			
Grace Huang, Policy Director at Asian Pacific Institute on Gender Based Violence Judge David Estudillo, Grant County Milena Calderari Waldron, Spanish Language Court Interpreter				
SESSION DESCRIPTION: Describe the purpose of the session and key issues to be presented. Explain what judicial officers will learn in the course and how the information will apply to their work in the courts (this information will be included in the program flyer as your session description).				
New Evidence Rule 413 (Immigration Status) takes effect in September 2018. This session will prepare judicial officers to implement the rule. It will also address related issues that the geographically and economically diverse immigrant population in Washington State may face when accessing the courts. Topics include: the legal landscape of immigration enforcement and the importance of correct translation and interpretation in court proceedings. In addition to reviewing this complex and mobile area of the law, this session will discuss practical policies and procedures to increase access to the courts for immigrant litigants.				

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima. Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Describe what participants will be able to do as a result of this session.

Participants will:

- 1. Understand the legal landscape of immigration enforcement in Washington State
- 2. Come away with ideas for judicial leadership in immigration enforcement approaches in their community and courthouse
- 3. Be familiar with ER 413 and its application to cases they may hear
- 4. Be prepared to assess and modify courthouse policies and procedures, including policies about scheduling interpreters, to increase access for immigrant litigants

FUNDAMENTALS COVERED: Describe the case law, best practices, or "nuts and bolts" that will be addressed during the session.

This session will cover:

- Courthouse policies and practices on immigration enforcement
- Best practices for scheduling interpreters for immigrant litigants and posting dockets
- Evidence Rule 413 immigration status which goes into effect in September 2018

PARTICIPANT RESOURCES: Describe the resources faculty will recommend participants reference when handling the key issues described in this session (e.g., bench books, checklists, bench cards, websites, organizations, agencies, etc.).

- Bench cards
- Domestic Violence Manual for Judges Appendix F the Overlap Between State Law and Immigration Law
- Online resources
- ER 413 Immigration Status

60th Washington Annual Judicial Conference September 23-26, 2018 Yakima Convention Center Yakima, Washington

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: January 5, 2018 to Judith.Anderson@courts.wa.gov

PROPOSED TEACHING METHODS AND ACTIVITIES: Describe how the session will be presented to actively engage the audience in the education (e.g., small/large group discussion, hypotheticals, case study review, role play, lecturette, etc.).

- Power point
- Hypotheticals
- · Large group discussion
- Responder questions
- Case study review (e.g. http://www.chinookobserver.com/co/local-news/20171219/mom-not-guilty-in-babys-death; case involving incorrect translation of defendant's interview transcript).

DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION: Describe how the session will incorporate issues of diversity and inclusion into the topic. (Consider different perspectives and experiences relating to gender, ethnicity, race, nationality, sexuality, socio-economic status, ability, language, age, etc.)

- This session will address unique challenges to accessing the courts faced by:
 - Geographically and economically diverse immigrant litigants
 - Limited English Proficiency (LEP) litigants
- And will present strategies to increase access to justice for these populations.

If you need assistance with this question, please let us know and we can connect you with a representative who can help with identifying ways to incorporate diversity and inclusion into your topic.

ANTICIPATED COST: \$2500 for faculty travel and lodging	FUNDING RESOURCES: The Commissions will cover all costs

To: Gender and Justice Commission

From: Laura Klaversma, Court Services Division Director, National Center for State Courts

After reviewing the many materials that your working group has collected and reviewed, we are very impressed with the significant amount of work that has already been done. We understand the focus of the overall Gender and Justice Commission effort is to use a data driven approach to determine what policy and best practice recommendations should be made to address gender bias in Washington State Courts.

In order to best build on your working group's efforts, we propose the following plan and timeline. We believe this will allow you to use the SJI funds, NCSC resources, and the Commission resources in the most focused, effective way.

Tom Clarke, Vice President of Research and Technology is going to work with Patti Tobias and one of our internal researchers on our responsibility as outlined below.

Step	Task	Timeline	Funds
Step 1	NCSC would review Washington materials provided for priority areas.	January 2018	NCSC Technical Assistance Funds
Step 2	NCSC would review the work of other states to determine what other studies have already been done that address the various priority areas. This will allow Washington to adapt/incorporate these studies and to focus its efforts on areas not already investigated.	January – February 2018	NCSC Technical Assistance Funds
Step 3	NCSC would conduct an on-site retreat in WA for presenting results of this literature review, highlighting outstanding research questions for the priority areas, and presenting research that has already been conducted. Collaboratively with Washington, NCSC would develop recommendations as to the next steps for answering the research questions. The recommendations could include NCSC work or Commission work or adaptation of existing resources. (Note: Through this process it may be determined that data is not available, or cannot be collected,	February 2018	NCSC Technical Assistance Funds

	or is too expensive to be collected to provide responses to some of the research questions.)		
Step 4	NCSC would develop an action plan including what will be done, when it will be done, how it will be done, and who will do it. Once the plan is approved by the Commission, NCSC would develop a project grant for AOC/Commission to submit to SJI to conduct research on the refined priority topic list and developed research questions.	March – April 2018	NCSC Technical Assistance Funds
Step 5	NCSC would (assuming grant is approved) begin work on project grant work.	June 2018	SJI Project Grant Funds

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5831

State of Washington 65th Legislature 2018 Regular Session

By Senate Human Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Darneille, Keiser, Kuderer, and Hunt)

READ FIRST TIME 01/11/18.

- AN ACT Relating to assessing the needs of girls and young women concurrently involved in the juvenile justice and child welfare systems; and creating new sections.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** (1) The legislature finds that the well-6 being of girls and women is a reliable indicator of overall community 7 health. On a national basis, girls and young women experience higher 8 rates of sexual abuse and like forms of victimization relative to 9 boys and young men. Research findings document a relationship between 10 childhood victimization and juvenile justice system involvement.
 - (2) The legislature intends that the services provided across the child welfare and juvenile justice systems for the target population consisting of dually involved females should be research-based and data-driven in order to identify effective prevention strategies, intervention, and system improvements. best practices for services provided to the target population should address state and local needs for efficient use of limited financial resources and reduce reliance ineffective costly and placement on and incarceration.
- 20 (3) The legislature acknowledges that baseline information on the 21 target population of dually involved females is not presently

- 1 available. Data identifying the prevalence and characteristics of the
- 2 target population of dually involved females and their families,
- 3 access to trauma-informed interventions, and academic support will
- 4 inform understanding of the root causes of the complex issues
- 5 impacting their well-being and development. There is a need for
- 6 gender-specific data collection across Washington's child welfare,
- 7 juvenile justice, and education systems. There is also a need for
- 8 data examination identifying potential best practices and system
- 9 changes leading to improved outcomes for dually involved females.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. (1) The Washington state institute for public policy shall conduct a statewide study on the needs of dually involved females. The study must review available data for the following purposes:
- 14 (a) Understanding the prevalence and demographics of the dually 15 involved female population and their families;

16

17

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

2930

31

- (b) Tracking outcomes for this population including, but not limited to, academic, social, and vocational achievement; and
- 18 (c) Surveying other states' systems that address and treat the 19 needs of this population.
 - (2) To the extent possible, the data should be disaggregated by race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation and gender identity, county of residence, and other relevant variables.
 - (3) To the extent possible, the study should include a costbenefit analysis of programs for dually involved females that would show evidence of avoidance of costs associated with public welfare programs or would demonstrate higher educational attainment.
 - (4) By July 1, 2019, the Washington state institute for public policy shall submit its study findings to the legislative fiscal and policy committees with responsibility for child welfare and juvenile justice issues and the work group created in subsection (5) of this section.
- (5)(a) Effective July 1, 2019, the department of children, youth, and families and the Washington state supreme court gender and justice commission shall convene a work group to develop, in consultation with the Washington state institute for public policy, an implementation plan for policies, best practices, and system improvements based on the study findings of the Washington state institute for public policy under this section.

(b) The work group shall also: Evaluate the capabilities and limitations of current systems to serve the dually involved female population effectively; and identify opportunities for collaboration across systems with respect to alternatives to formal case processing, and opportunities for coordinated service plans, case planning, and management. The plan should include an evaluation rubric and accountability standards for measuring success in reduced recidivism, use of and length of stay in detention, improved learning support, increased stability in home or placement, and increased development of social competencies.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

2223

- 11 (c) By December 1, 2019, the work group shall report to the 12 legislative fiscal and policy committees with responsibility for 13 child welfare and juvenile justice issues on its implementation plan 14 and:
- 15 (i) Effective protocols and procedures to ensure consistent use 16 of targeted evidence-based interventions; and
- 17 (ii) Promising best practices for improving academic, social, and vocational achievement.
 - (6) For purposes of this section, "dually involved female" means any female person under eighteen years of age who has concurrent involvement, whether diversionary, formal, or a combination of the two, with both the child welfare and the juvenile justice systems in Washington state.

--- END ---

Gender and Justice Commission Committees

Domestic & Sexual Violence	Incarcerated Women & Girls	Education
Vacant, Chair	Gail Stone, Chair	Judge Rich Melnick, Chair
Brandy Andersson*	Judge Karen Donohue*	Judge Michael Evans
Josie Delvin	Judge Michael Evans	Justice Sheryl Gordon McCloud
Grace Huang	Gail Hammer	Judge Judy Jasprica*
Trish Kinlow	Elizabeth Haumann Ford*	Trish Kinlow
Commissioner Jonathon Lack*	Elizabeth Hendren*	Judge Marilyn Paja
Dawn Lewis*	Heather McKimmie	Dana Raigrodski
Judge Eric Z. Lucas	Patty Noble-Desy*	Leslie Savina
Judge Rich Melnick	Judge Marilyn Paja	David Ward*
Riddhi Mukhopadhyay	Sonia Rodriguez True	
Judge Marilyn Paja	Leslie Savina	
Leslie Savina	David Ward*	
	Success Inside & Out	
	Judge Karen Donohue*	
Communications	Tribal State Court Consortium	Gender Bias Study
Judge Marilyn Paja, Chair	Judge Cindy K. Smith, Co-Chair	Justice Gordon McCloud, Chair
Trish Kinlow	Judge Lori Kay Smith, Co-Chair*	Laura Edmonston*
Dana Raigrodski	Judge Lisa Atkinson*	Dana Raigrodski
Gail Stone	Judge Kathryn Nelson*	Jennifer Ritchie
	Justice Barbara Madsen*	Vicky Vreeland
	Justice Susan Owens	
	Judge Mark Pouley*	

Ad Hoc Committees

Judicial Officer & Law	Nominations Committee	Women's History Month
Student Reception		
Judge Karen Donohue*	Justice Gordon McCloud, Chair	Rotating
Law Student Liaisons*	Judge Paja	
Vicky Vreeland	Committee Chairs	
	Association Liaisons	

Erin Moody 718 Governor Stevens Ave. SE Olympia, WA 98501

August 15, 2017

Gender & Justice Commission Washington State Administrative Office of the Courts P.O. Box 41170 Olympia, WA 98504-1170

Dear Commission Chairs:

I am writing to express my interest in becoming a member of the Washington Supreme Court Gender and Justice Commission. There are three primary reasons that I believe I could make meaningful contributions to the Commission's work.

First, I have many years of experience as a researcher and writer, including as an executive editor for the Washington Law Review, co-author of a casebook on disability law, and long-term judicial clerk at the Washington State Supreme Court. This experience has taught me what it takes to produce timely, high quality publishable work that is useful for practitioners. I am excited about the Gender Bias Taskforce's current project, updating the Commission's 1989 Report, and I would love to assist in that effort.

Second, I am a long-time volunteer at the SafePlace legal clinic in downtown Olympia, where I help survivors of domestic abuse navigate the family law court system. At the clinic I regularly meet with clients who are escaping gender-based violence and I have seen firsthand the ways in which the courts can both empower and intimidate these women. I am grateful to the Commission for the work it has done to educate lawyers, judges, and the public about domestic violence—work that is particularly valuable because so many victims must appear pro se. I am especially interested in the Commission's collaboration with advocates and social workers and I believe there is more we can achieve on that front.

Finally, this September I will begin working as a civil legal aid attorney for the Lummi Nation's Victims of Crime Office. In this capacity I will appear regularly in both tribal and state court, representing clients who have survived domestic violence or elder abuse. I will learn the legal landscape affecting these clients, including jurisdiction and enforcement issues, and I will work closely with the Office's non-attorney advocates to provide trauma-informed representation. I believe this will position me well to assist the Commission with its ongoing work on access to justice in tribal courts and communities.

Thank you very much for considering my application. Please let me know if there is any other information I can provide to help you with your decision.

All the best,

Erin Moody

Erin.Moody@courts.wa.gov

718 Governor Stevens Ave SE, Olympia, WA 98501 | 206-979-8519

PRESENT EXPERIENCE

Jan 2013 - Judicial Clerk

Olympia WA Chambers of Justice Sheryl Gordon McCloud, Washington State Supreme Court

As a law clerk I research and draft opinions, objective memoranda, and various orders. I also supervise law student externs, planning their assignments and editing their written work.

Jan 2017 - Adjunct Instructor

Olympia WA Judicial Externship Seminar, Seattle University School of Law

In this seminar I use lecture and discussion to introduce law students to the Rules of Appellate

Procedure.

Feb 2016 - Volunteer Attorney

Olympia WA Thurston County Volunteer Legal Services, SafePlace Legal Clinic

As a clinic volunteer I meet with walk-in clients to advise them on family law issues.

PRIOR EXPERIENCE

June 2011 - Aug 2011 Law Clerk Intern

Seattle WA Washington State Attorney General's Office, Education Division

Researched and wrote memoranda on questions of constitutional and regulatory law for

attorneys advising client colleges.

June 2010 - Aug 2010 Judicial Extern

Seattle WA Federal District Court, Chambers of Judge Ricardo S. Martinez

Researched and drafted bench memoranda and opinions.

June 2008 - June 2009 Lecturer

Tacoma WA University of Washington Tacoma

Designed and taught Beginning Writing, Introduction to Academic Writing, and Intermediate Academic Writing. Helped develop university's interdisciplinary writing curriculum.

EDUCATION

June 2012 Juris Doctorate

Seattle WA University of Washington School of Law

Paul Ashley Memorial Law Review Scholarship, recipient 2011

Washington Law Review, Executive Editor, Notes and Comments Department

Northwest Immigrant Rights Project, U-Visa application volunteer

Education Law and Policy Society, founding member

UW College of Education, volunteer instructor for EDUC 401: Education, Law & Equity

University Beyond Bars, volunteer instructor at Monroe Correctional Complex

June 2007 M.A. English Studies

Bellingham WA Western Washington University

Taught six quarters of English 101: "Writing and Critical Inquiry" while completing master's

degree. Independently planned syllabi, lectures, discussions, and assignments.

June 2004 B.A. English

Bellingham WA Western Washington University

Awarded 'Outstanding Graduate in English'

Publications and Presentations

The U.S. Supreme Court on Disability Law: Sixteen Modern Cases Carolina Academic Press 2014

Co-authored and edited casebook on United States Supreme Court decisions involving disability law; wrote in-depth study questions, case histories, and discussion prompts.

Seattle University Judicial Externship Seminar panel participant June 2016

Presented information and answered student questions about working as a judicial clerk.

Meeting Your Supervisory Responsibility under RPC 5.3: Providing Culturally Competent Supervision *May 2015* Gave prepared talk and answered audience questions about working with externs on legal writing.

Summary of Domestic Violence Protection Order / No Contact Order Implementation Work in Washington State

Adelyn Allchin, MPH, Public Health Analyst Kelly Roskam, JD, Legal Director Educational Fund to Stop Gun Violence

Beginning in February 2016, the Educational Fund to Stop Gun Violence (Ed Fund) in partnership with the Alliance for Gun Responsibility (AGR) has engaged stakeholders across Washington state who are involved in implementation of HB 1840 to better understand what's working well and what's not working well with the firearm surrender process in cases of Domestic Violence Protection Orders (DVPOs) and No Contact Orders (NCOs). Stakeholders consist of domestic violence advocates; judges and judicial staff at the Municipal, District, and Superior Court level; law enforcement; prosecutors; and defense attorneys. To date, the Ed Fund has conducted more than sixty in-depth interviews with stakeholders in six counties including King, Kitsap, Pierce, Spokane, Thurston, and Whatcom.

In addition to conducting stakeholder interviews, the Ed Fund traveled to King County in March 2016 and met with a variety of stakeholders in person to better understand the issues involved with implementation of HB 1840. The Ed Fund provided stakeholders with educational materials, such as the joint report from the Consortium for Risk-Based Firearm Policy (Consortium) and Prosecutors Against Gun Violence titled, "Firearm Removal/Retrieval in Cases of Domestic Violence," and a firearm identification sheet to help petitioners identify firearms. Additionally, the Ed Fund attended a DVPO proceeding at the Superior Court.

Most recently, the Ed Fund has focused efforts on understanding implementation challenges in Kitsap County. Kitsap is uniquely positioned to make changes to improve implementation because it has a DVPO working group that meets regularly and engaged stakeholders. The Ed Fund traveled to Kitsap County in September 2016 to meet with the DVPO working group. After attending the meeting, it was agreed that the Ed Fund would convene a meeting in early 2017 to work through implementation issues and provide guidance to stakeholders.

In March 2017, after coordinating with local stakeholders, the Ed Fund convened a half-day meeting to discuss issues related to implementation of DVPOs. Approximately 40-50 stakeholders attended the meeting. Following the meeting, the Ed Fund conducted a follow-up survey to assess attitudes regarding effectiveness and utility of the meeting. Fourteen individuals responded to the survey: of those that responded, 80% were somewhat or very satisfied with the meeting, 85% said they were likely to attend a similar training in the future, and two-thirds of respondents said they met new individuals with whom they had not previously worked.

Throughout these stakeholder meetings the Ed Fund has synthesized common themes regarding implementation of HB 1840. The Ed Fund has classified the implementation issues into six categories in the protective order process: 1) obtaining a protective order, 2) identifying respondents in possession of firearms, 3) notifying prohibited persons, 4) removing firearms from prohibited individuals, 5) storage and sale of firearms, and 6) returning firearms, and general catch-all category. Additionally, data needs are considered throughout the process. Two overarching recommendations the Ed Fund developed as a result of the interviews and stakeholder meeting conducted are: 1) establish a multidisciplinary working group (if one does not already exist; and 2) develop and disseminate educational materials about HB 1840,

including model policies for law enforcement. The Ed Fund continues to participate in Kitsap County monthly DVPO meetings and serve as a resource as needed to the community.

Since September 2017, the Ed Fund, in partnership with AGR, have been filming stakeholders to create stakeholder-specific training videos that discuss the importance of firearm removal in cases of domestic abuse, the implementation obstacles, and promising practices for overcoming those obstacles. The Ed Fund and AGR expect to release the videos in early 2018 and disseminate to stakeholders across Washington state.

¹ Kelly Roskam on behalf of the Consortium for Risk-Based Firearm Policy. February 2016. "Firearm Removal/Retrieval in Cases of Domestic Violence." Available: http://efsgv.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Removal-Report-Updated-2-11-16.pdf

Gender & Justice Commission

Budget July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018

Commission Expenses	Proposed Budget	FY16-17
Commission Meetings	Travel-related costs for members (lodging, per	\$11,500
	diem, mileage, airfare, etc.) (July, Sept, Nov,	
	Jan, March, May)	
General Operating Expenses	Printing, conference calls, supplies, etc.	\$3,000
Staff Travel & Training	Registration Fees, Travel-related costs	\$3,000
	workshops, tuition reimbursement	
Communications	Annual Report	\$700
Education Programs		
	Appellate Conference	\$1,000
	DMCJA Conference	\$3,000
	Fall Conference (Sept. 2017)	\$8,000
	Poverty simulation	\$1,000
	Judicial College (STOP Sponsored)	
	SCJA Conference (STOP sponsored)	
Sponsorships/Events	Judicial Officer & Law Student Reception	\$1,000
	Women's History/Legislative Reception	\$1,500
	Tribal State Court Consortium	\$7,500
	Tribal Judges to Judicial College	
	TSCC Regional Meetings / Fall Mtg	
	Tribal Judges to SCJA Conference	
	Tribal Judges to Fall Conference	
	Color of Justice	\$500
	IWGC Committee Mtg Support	\$300
	Tech Law Summit for Girls	\$1,000
	Mission Creek - Success Inside & Out	\$1,000
Special Allocation	HB 1163 Funding from Legislature	\$53,000
Requests	Gender Bias Report - <i>Undetermined</i>	
	Starting Budget	\$50,000
	Special Allocation	\$53,000
	All Allocated Commission Expenses	\$97,000
		+32,000

Updated 10.26.2017

Unallocated

\$6,000

Draft STOP BUDGET FFY17

January 1, 2018 - December 31, 2018

	Total = \$143,825	\$100,677 (max amt)	\$43,148
		Statewide	Tribal Courts
Salaries & Benefits	Staff	\$31,107	\$14,034
Office Supplies, Copies, Printing	Supplies, Copies, etc. Benchguides (printed, flash drive, DVD/CD)	\$6,750	\$1,500
Staff Training & Education	Staff to attend local and national conferences & training events	\$2,500	\$2,500
Committee Meetings	Support travel-related & pro tem costs for in-person Committee mtgs Gender Bias Study - DV/SA Related Meetings; DSV Committee; TSCC - DV/SA Related Meetings	\$5,000	\$5,000
Scholarship Support	Scholarships for judicial officers & court staff to attend trainings.	\$10,000	\$10,114
	Enhancing Judicial Skills in DV (All Judicial Officers) Continuing Judicial Skills in DV (All Judicial Officers) NCJFCJ National Conference (All Judicial Officers) Women are Sacred Conference (Tribal Courts) National Indian Nations Conference (Tribal Courts)		
Education Programs	Monies for support of educational sessions		
	Judicial College (January 2018)	\$2,500	
	SCJA Spring Conference - Immigration Session (April 2018)	\$2,500	
	DMCJA Conference (June 2018)	\$2,500	
	TSCC Regional Meeting (TBD 2018)		\$10,000
	Fall Conference (September 2018)	\$8,000	
	Neurobiology of Trauma Webinar	\$1,000	
Projects	SA Benchguide - Editor for New Chapters (Judge Yule)	\$5,000	
Requests	Requests from others for support		
	DV Symposium (Judicial Officers & Court Personnel)	\$10,000	
Legislative Requests	HB 1163 - Convene workgroups, write legislative reports	\$6,500	
	SUB-Totals per portion of grant	\$93,357	\$43,148
	Total	\$136,505	
	Non-dedicated Funds	\$7,320	