

Faulk, Camilla

From: Spok99202@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2009 2:49 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: Legal Technician Rule

There was a Craigslist posting asking for comment to be sent to you regarding allowing legal technicians to operate independent offices, separate from attorneys.

This seems like a terrible idea to me. "Legal technicians" simply don't have the education and background to operate independently of attorneys, and you would be foisting off on the public sub-standard legal services all "approved" by the bar.

Will they be required to have insurance when they screw up? Will that bar fund cover theft when they do that?

Why even bother to license attorneys? It will be a lot easier and faster, and less expensive, to just get your legal technician's license . . . and who says these guys will charge less than attorneys charge for the same service. Most attorneys use legal assistants for the "grunt work" part of the job, so people already get the benefit of lower-priced "legal technicians" for the "grunt work" while the job is overseen by an attorney.

I think it will be a disservice to the public, and will create a whole new area of litigation. Will the courts really hold these people to the same legal standard of care of an attorney? Will the public understand that?

Feeling the pinch at the grocery store? Make dinner for \$10 or less.