

Tracy, Mary

From: Hinchcliffe, Shannon
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2015 10:08 AM
To: Tracy, Mary
Subject: FW: Rule Changes

From: Cindi Ullman [cindiu@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 4:20 PM
To: AOC DL - Rules Comments
Subject: Rule Changes

Dear Honorary Members of the Supreme Court Rules Committee:

I am writing to urge you to adopt the proposed changes to 28(c), (d) and (e) as well as the proposed amendment to CR 80 and 80(d), along with Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.2(g). I am a Washington State Certified Court Reporter and a Washington Court Reporters Association member, and I believe the changes to CR 28 are necessary to protect the consumers of our work product.

The adoption of CR 80, which currently reads "Except as otherwise ordered by the court the minimum qualification to become an authorized transcriptionist in order to complete and file an official certified court transcript from electronically recorded proceedings is certification as a court reporter or certification by AAERT (American Association of Electronic Reporters and Transcribers) or proof of one year of supervised mentorship with a certified court reporter or an authorized transcriptionist. Courts may require additional or different qualifications or at their discretion," should exclude the unintentionally added words "or different" in the last sentence. Those words reverse the effect of the rule and may allow underqualified people to transcribe court transcripts.

RAP 9.2(g) is important because the official record should be transcribed by the court reporter who was present to report it.

Thank you for your considerations herein.

Cindi Ullman, CCR

Washington State Certified Court Reporter, #2687
3717 California Ave SW, Suite 303
Seattle, Washington 98116
cindiu@comcast.net