

Land Line
(360) 856-2618

ALLEN R. EMERSON & ASSOCIATES
Court Reporters
1226 McGarigle Street
Sedro Woolley, WA. 98284
Email: allen.emerson@comcast.net

Cell
(360) 421-1867

August 19th, 2016

Dear Members of the Supreme Court:

I want to thank you, first of all, for taking the time to address the question on whether to adopt CR 28(d), (e), and CR 30(b)(1) for all of the reasons outlined in the GR 9 cover pages.

I've worked as a certified court reporter with the State of Washington for over 30 years with much of that time serving as a firm owner of a free-lance court reporting business. I've been deeply concerned about the issue of equal terms and I believe the adoption of CR 28(d) will provide quick remedies for violations of equal terms outlined in CR 28(c) that are regularly being engaged in by nationwide firms here in the state of Washington.

I believe the passage of CR 28(e) will prevent court reporting firms from making changes to transcripts after the original transcript has been completed. It will prevent unethical and unscrupulous "stretching" of transcripts by reducing the characters per line established in RAP 9.2 and WAC 308-14-135 guidelines in order to create a longer transcript and charge higher fees for same to the consumers of our product.

I think the adoption of CR 30(b)(1) requiring transparency and notification within the deposition notice to disclose the existence of any known contractual relationships between the noticing party, its counselor, a third party paying to record the noticed deposition and the person, court reporting firm, consortium, or other organization providing a court reporter for the noticed deposition is necessary to ensure all parties are aware of what could be considered a violation of CR 28(c), in that a court reporting firm that has a long-term contract with one of the parties is not a disinterested person under CR 28(c).

The foundation of our justice system is providing fair and equal access to justice for all. Third-party contracting gives the appearance of compromising the court reporter's impartiality and integrity and restricts the ability of the reporter to be accountable to the court, to the public, and, most importantly, to the individual litigant. Unfortunately, third-party contracts between court reporting firms and party litigants also circumvents counsel and their related ethical obligations to the courts.

Thank you for publishing these proposed rules, I very much hope they will be adopted.

Sincerely yours,

Allen R. Emerson
Court Reporter & Firm Owner

Tracy, Mary

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Sent: Friday, August 19, 2016 10:43 AM
To: Tracy, Mary
Subject: FW: Proposed Amendments to CR 28(d), (e), and CR 30(b)(1)
Attachments: Letter to Supreme Court 8-19-2016.doc

Forwarding.

From: Allen Emerson [mailto:allen.emerson@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, August 19, 2016 10:40 AM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Proposed Amendments to CR 28(d), (e), and CR 30(b)(1)

Dear Members of the Supreme Court

Thank you for taking the time to consider my letter in support of CR 28(d), (e) and CR 30 (b) (1)

Sincerely,

Allen

Allen R Emerson & Associates
Court Reporters & Legal Videographers
Email: allen.emerson@comcast.net
Cell: 360-421-1867
Land Line/Fax 360-856-2618