	Superior Court of Washington, Co	ounty of
In	re custody of:	
	nildren:	
		No
Pe	etitioner/s (person/s who started this case):	Findings and Conclusions on Non-Parent Custody Petition (FNFCL)
Re	espondents (parents and any guardian or custodian):	
	this form together with either a Final Non-Parent Custod ving Non-Parent Custody (form FL Non-Parent 432).	ly Order (form FL Non-Parent 431) or a Final Order
1.	Basis for findings and conclusions (ch	eck all that apply):
	[] Parties' agreement.	
	[] Default Order (date):	<u>_</u> .
	[] Trial for this case on (date):(check all that apply):	, with the following people present
	[] Petitioner (name):	[] This person's lawyer
	[] Other Petitioner (name):	[] This person's lawyer
	[] Respondent (name):	[] This person's lawyer
	[] Other Respondent (name):	[] This person's lawyer
	[] Guardian ad Litem (name):	
	[] Other (name and relationship to this of	case):

2. Indian children

(An Indian child is a child who is a member of an Indian member and eligible for membership.)	tribe, or who is the biological child of an Indian tribe			
[] None of the children are Indian children. The Acts do not apply to this case. The court methat apply:				
[] the Petitioner made a good faith effort to find out if any child in this case is ar Indian child. (RCW 13.38.050.) The court has received no information show that any child is or may be an Indian child.				
[] the Petitioner notified the tribal agent of every tribe the children may have been eligible for membership in. List tribes notified:				
Each tribe responded that the children were not tribal members and not eligibl membership.				
[] other (specify):				
[] These children are Indian children:				
Children	Tribe			
[] All children				
[](name/s):				
[] All children				
[] (name/s):				
The federal and state Indian Child Welfar	re Acts apply to this case.			
Notice to tribes – The Petitioner [] provious Indian Child Welfare Act Notice (form FL Nother agent for the tribe/s named above, the provious statement of the tribe of the provious statement of the tribe of tribe of the tribe of the tribe of the tribe of tribe of the tribe of tribe of the tribe of the tribe of tri	on-Parent 402) and a copy of the Petition to			
Evidence – The evidentiary requirements of met as described below. (RCW 13.38.130)				
 Active efforts – The following active efforts were made to provide remedial se and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian fan (Active efforts means: "a documented, concerted, and good faith effort to facilithe parent's or Indian custodian's receipt of and engagement in" those service and programs. RCW 13.38.040.) 				
	The court considered testimony from a CW 13.38.130. The court finds by clear en <i>(check one):</i> [] would [] would not			

	likely suffer serious emotional or physical damage if they lived with either parent o an Indian custodian. The Court makes this conclusion because:
1	risdiction over Indian children
	Does not apply. None of the children are Indian children.
IJ	This court cannot decide this case for these Indian children (names):
	have jurisdiction over them. The <i>Petition</i> should be dismissed as to these children.
[]	This court can decide this case for the Indian children because <i>(check one)</i> :
	[] (Children's names): are not
	[] (Children's names): are not domiciled or living on an Indian reservation, and are not wards of a tribal court. (25 USC §1911)
	[] (Children's names):are
	domiciled or living on an Indian reservation, or are wards of a tribal court, however (check all that apply):
	[] The children's tribe agrees to Washington State's concurrent jurisdiction.
	[] The children's tribe decided not to use its exclusive jurisdiction (expressly declined). (RCW 13.38.060)
	 Washington State should claim emergency jurisdiction for children temporarily located off the reservation to protect the children from immediate physical damage or harm. (RCW 13.38.140)
[]	Other (specify):
De	pendency case
[]	There is no open dependency case for these children.
[]	There is an open dependency case for these children in cour under case number: The court handling the dependency
	has signed an order allowing this court to proceed with this non-parent custody case.
Ju	risdiction over the children (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)
[]	This court cannot decide this case for these children (names):
	because this court does not have jurisdiction over them. The <i>Petition</i> should be dismissed as to these children.
_{[1}	·
ιJ	This court can decide this case for these children because (check all that apply; if a box applies to all of the children, you may write "the children" instead of listing names

	⁻ pa	clusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made a renting plan, residential schedule or custody order for the children, and the court I has authority to make other orders for (children's names):
[-	me state jurisdiction – Washington is the children's home state because neck all that apply):
	[]	(Children's names): lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the 6 months just before this case was filed, or if the children were less than 6 months old when the case was filed, they had lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.
		[] There were times the children were not in Washington in the 6 months just before this case was filed (or since birth if they were less than 6 months old), but those were temporary absences.
	[]	(Children's names): do not live in Washington right now, but Washington was the children's home state sometime in the 6 months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the children still lives in Washington.
	[]	(Children's names): do not have another home state.
[home state or home state declined – No court of any other state (or tribe) is the jurisdiction to make decisions for <i>(children's names):</i>
		a court in the children's home state (or tribe) decided it is better to have this se in Washington and:
	•	The children and a parent or someone acting as a parent have ties to Washington beyond just living here; and
	•	There is a lot of information (substantial evidence) about the children's care, protection, education and relationships in this state.
[ch (ch	her state declined – The courts in other states (or tribes) that might be nildren's names): 's home state we refused to take this case because it is better to have this case in Washington.
[] Te	mporary emergency jurisdiction – Washington had temporary emergency isdiction over (<i>children's names</i>): when the case was d, and now has jurisdiction to make a final custody decision because:
	•	When the case was filed, the children were abandoned in this state, or the children were in this state and the children (or children's parent, brother or sister) was abused or threatened with abuse;
	•	The court signed a temporary order on <i>(date)</i> saying that Washington's jurisdiction will become final if no case is filed in the children's home state (or tribe) by the time the children have been in Washington for 6 months;
	•	The children have now lived in Washington for 6 months; and
	•	No case concerning the children has been started in the children's home state (or tribe).
[] Ot	ner reason (specify):

6. Background Records Checked

The Court has (unless stated otherwise below):

- Checked the judicial information system for any information or proceedings relevant to placement of the children;
- Reviewed the records from the Department of Social and Health Services provided in response to the court's Order to DSHS to Release CPS Information; and
- Reviewed the criminal history record from the Washington State Patrol for Petitioner and each adult living in Petitioner's home.

	The court did not check background records because the <i>Petition</i> is denied. Other findings (specify):
Ac	lequate Cause
Or	e Court found there was adequate cause to make a final decision on the <i>Petition</i> in an eder on Adequate Cause for Non-Parent Custody (form FL Non-Parent 417) signed by e court on (date):
Ar	e there valid reasons why the children should <u>not</u> live with a parent?
[]	No. The Petitioners did not prove that both parents were unfit or that the children would suffer actual detriment (harm) to their growth and development if they lived with either parent. The <i>Petition</i> should be denied. [] (Other findings):
[]	Yes. At the time this case was filed (check all that apply):
	[] the children were not living with either parent. The children had been living with (name/s): since (date):
	[] neither parent was a suitable custodian.
	And,
	Both parents are currently unfit, or, even if they may be fit, the children will suffer actual detriment (harm) to their growth and development if they lived with either parent.
	(These conclusions are based on the following facts.)

9.	Sh	ould the children live with Petitioner/s?				
	[]	Does not apply. The Court found no valid reasons why the children should not live with a parent. The <i>Petition</i> should be denied.				
	[]	No. The <i>Petition</i> should be denied. It is not in the children's best interests to live with the Petitioner/s because <i>(explain):</i>				
	[]	Yes. It is in the children's best interests to live with the Petitioner/s because (explain):				
10.	Respondents' Visitation					
	[]	Does not apply. The <i>Petition</i> should be denied.				
	[] The visitation ordered is reasonable. Findings about any reasons for limiting parent's visitation are summarized either in the Residential Schedule or the N Parent Custody Order. These findings are supported by the following facts (in facts about both parents):					
11.	Lir	mitation on Petitioner's Authority (RCW 26.10.170)				
	[]	Does not apply. The <i>Petition</i> should be denied.				
	[]	No limits should be put on the Petitioner's authority over the children's upbringing or decision-making for the children.				
	[]	Limits should be put on the Petitioner's authority over the children's upbringing or decision-making for the children because <i>(check all that apply):</i>				
		[] the parties have agreed as follows:				
		[] without a limit, the children's physical, mental, or emotional health would be endangered. (Explain):				

	[] The court finds that an agency should be appointed to assure the Petitioner is following the custodial or visitation terms of the order. The court finds that (agency name): is an appropriate agency that regularly deals with children.				
[]	Other findings:				
Sı	upport, insurance and taxes				
	The court has considered whether to order child support, health insurance, and allocation of tax exemptions. The court finds:				
[]	Does not apply. The Petition should be denied.				
[]	Child Support - The children should be supported according to state law.				
	[] The court signed the final <i>Child Support Order</i> and <i>Worksheets</i> filed separately today or on <i>(date):</i>				
	[] There is no need for the court to make a child support order because the DSHS Division of Child Support (DCS) has already established an administrative child support order for the children.				
[]	Heath Insurance or other expenses – The parents should pay for health insurance, uninsured medical, day care, or other necessary expenses as listed in the <i>(check one)</i>				
	[] final Child Support Order or administrative order.				
	[] Final Non-Parent Custody Order (if no Child Support Order is being issued). Support is ordered based on the Worksheets and/or the following findings:				
[]	Tax Issues – The parties should have the right to claim the children as their dependents for purposes of personal tax exemptions and associated tax credits on their tax forms as listed on the final <i>Child Support Order</i> or <i>Non-Parent Custody Order</i>				
	Important! Although the personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under federal law through tay year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as dependent.				
[]	(Check here if the court is not ordering child support, health insurance, other expenses, and/or allocating tax exemptions, and explain why.)				
[]	Other (specify):				
Pr	otection Order				
[]	No one requested an Order for Protection in this case.				
[]	(Name): requested an Order for Protection in this case.				

	[]	approve an Order for Protection because:
F	Restraini	ng Order
_	-	e requested a Restraining Order in this case.
[] (Name): requested a Restraining Order
		not approve a Restraining Order because:
	[]	approve a Restraining Order because:
		Court Costs earty should pay his/her own fees or costs.
[the <i>Fin</i>	incurred fees and costs, and needs help so fees and costs. (Name): has the so help pay fees and costs and should be ordered to pay the amount as listed to hal Non-Parent Custody Order or Final Order Denying Non-Parent Custody. The nds that the amount ordered is reasonable.
[paid as Parent	or a guardian ad litem (GAL) or other court-appointed professional should be a listed in the <i>Final Non-Parent Custody Order</i> or <i>Final Order Denying Non-Custody</i> . The court has considered relevant factors including each party's to pay, and finds the fees as ordered are reasonable.
[] Other f	indings:
(Other fine	dings or conclusions (if any)

Petitioner/s and Respondent/s or their lawyers fill out below.

This document (check any that apply): [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice	This document (check any that apply): [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice to me		
<u> </u>			
Petitioner signs here or lawyer signs here + WSE	3A #	Respondent signs here or lawyer signs here +	WSBA #
Print Name D	ate	Print Name	Date
This document <i>(check any that apply)</i> : [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice	e to me	This document (check any that apply): [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without not	
>		•	
Other Petitioner or lawyer signs here + WSBA #		Other Respondent or lawyer signs here + WSI	3A #
Print Name D)ate	Print Name	Date