	Superior Court of Washingto	on, County of		
In ı	re parentage:			
Pe	titioner (person who started this case):			
		_		
	d Respondents: rent / presumed parent / possible genetic parent)	•	onclusions about Parentage	
1.	Findings and Concle  Basis for findings and conclusion  Parties' Agreement  Default Order or Summary Judgme  Order after Sexual Assault Fact-Fir  Trial for this case on (date):  (Names):	ent Order (date): ending Hearing on (date	te):the following people present:	
2.	Child This case will decide who are the legal	parents of:		
	Child's name (first, middle, last)	Born (month/day/year)	Lives in (county and state)	
	(If multiple children are listed, change "child" to	"children" in this form as	ii needed.)	
3.	Guardian ad Litem			
	☐ No Guardian ad Litem was appointed.			
	☐ The Court appointed (name): Litem (GAL) for the child.		Guardian ad	

	<ul><li>☐ Parenting Plan or Resid</li><li>☐ Other (specify):</li></ul>		
	Other (specify):		
		diation	
•	Notice and Personal Juriso		1 24 4 0
	All people with a right to receive <i>Petition</i> .   except (name/s):		
	Basis for Personal Jurisdiction (check all that apply)	1	Other Respondent's Name:
	Was served in Washington		
	Lives in Washington now		
	Lived in Washington with child		
	Lived in Washington and paid pregnancy costs or support for child		
	Caused child to live in Washington		
	Had sex in Washington that may have produced the child		
	Agrees to Washington deciding		
	None of the above		
		e/s):	•
	Other findings or conclusion	ns –	
CC	ourt makes the following f	indings of fact and	conclusions of law:
Par	rentage		
	Genetic testing results, if a	any	
	☐ No genetic testing results w	vere considered by the co	ourt (admitted as evidence).
	The following genetic testin evidence) (Repeat this sec	•	· ·
	The test results for (name):	-	(check or

	exclude this person as a biological parent of the child.
	est Results Challenged – The genetic paternity test results of ame):
W	ame):ere challenged by <i>(name)</i> :
Th	ne court concludes that the challenged test results <i>(check one):</i> $\square$ are $\square$ are <b>not</b> lid because <i>(specify):</i>
O1	ther findings or conclusions –
	umed Barant if any (by marriage demostic partnership or holding out)
_ D	umed Parent, if any (by marriage, domestic partnership, or holding out)  oes not apply. The child does not have a presumed parent by marriage, domestic artnership, or holding out. (Skip to 7.)
□ <b>H</b> o	olding out claim – (Name): claims to be a esumed parent by holding out. The court finds that s/he (check one):
	is a presumed parent because s/he lived in the same household as the child for the first 4 years of the child's life, including any periods of temporary absence, and openly held out the child as his/her own child.
	is <b>not</b> a presumed parent because s/he (check all that apply):
	did not live in the same household as the child for the first 4 years of the child's life, including any periods of temporary absence.
	did <b>not</b> openly hold out the child as his/her own child.
	nallenge – (Name): is a presumed parent. party has asked the court to find that the presumed parent is <b>not</b> a legal parent.
a.	Was the case filed by the deadline?
	☐ No. The Petition should be denied. (Skip to 7.)
	Yes, the case was filed:
	on or before the child's 4 <sup>th</sup> birthday.
	after the child's 4 <sup>th</sup> birthday, and the presumed parent:
	<ul><li>is not a genetic parent,</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>never lived with the child, and</li> </ul>
	■ never held out the child out as his/her own.
	after the child's 4 <sup>th</sup> birthday and the child has more than one presumed parent.
b.	<b>Possible third parent?</b> Is anyone other than the birth parent and the presumed parent claiming to be a parent of this child?
	☐ No.
	Yes. Parentage should be decided based on the factors in section 10.
C.	Is the presumed parent a genetic parent?
	☐ No. Parentage should be decided based on the factors in section 10.

	No genetic test results were admitted. Parentage should be decided based on the factors in section <b>10</b> .
	Yes. The presumed parent should be confirmed as a legal parent.
Confi S/He	irm – (Name): is a presumed parent. should be confirmed as a legal parent because (check all that apply):
	no one in this case says the presumed parent should <b>not</b> be a parent.
	genetic testing shows the presumed parent is the genetic parent.
	it is in the child's best interest, even if the presumed parent is not the genetic parent.
	nange – None of the parties have asked to court to change the presumed it's status as a parent.
] Othe	r findings or conclusions –
nallen	ge to Acknowledgment or Denial of Parentage by person who signed
Does	not apply. (Skip to 8.)
	enge by person who signed – Petitioner has challenged the Acknowledgment or Denial of Parentage for this child. The Acknowledgment was signed by:
(nam	e): and
(nam	e):
sayin	g that they were the child's parents. (Check one):
	☐ A Denial of Parentage was signed by (name):
	☐ There is no <i>Denial of Parentage</i> related to this <i>Acknowledgment</i> .
a. W	as the case filed or served by the deadline?
	No. This case was <b>not</b> filed or served within 4 years of the child's birth or the date the <i>Acknowledgment of Parentage</i> was filed, whichever happened later. The petition should be denied. ( <i>Skip to 8.</i> )
	Yes. This case was filed or served within 4 years of the child's birth or the date the <i>Acknowledgment of Parentage</i> was filed, whichever happened later.
b. D	oes Petitioner have a valid reason to challenge?
	No. Genetic test results match the <i>Acknowledgment</i> . The Petition should be denied.
	No. Petitioner did <b>not</b> prove that the <i>Acknowledgment</i> or <i>Denial</i> was signed because of fraud, duress (pressure or force), or material mistake of fact. The petition should be denied.
	polition chould be defined.

	duress (pressure or force).
	material mistake of fact. This conclusion is based on the following fact.
☐ Ot	her findings or conclusions –
 Chall	enge by Person not included in Acknowledgment or Court Decision
☐ Do	pes not apply. (Skip to 9.)
☐ Ch	nallenge – The Petition was filed by a person who was not included in a/n:
	<b>Acknowledgment of Parentage</b> . Petitioner did <b>not</b> sign the <i>Acknowledgment</i> related <i>Denial</i> .
	Court decision. Petitioner was not notified of the case and was not a party.
a.	Was the case filed or served by the deadline?
	No. This case was <b>not</b> filed or served within 4 years of the child's birth or date the <i>Acknowledgment of Parentage</i> was filed, or the date a court decic parentage, whichever happened later. The Petition should be denied. (Sk 9.)
	Yes, the case was filed or served within 4 years of the child's birth or the d an <i>Acknowledgment of Parentage</i> was filed, whichever happened later.
	Yes, the case was filed or served within 4 years of the date a court decided parentage.
b.	Did the court find it was in the child's best interest to permit this proceeding
	□ No. The Petition should be denied.
	Yes. The court signed an order to permit this proceeding on (date):
C.	Is Petitioner a genetic parent?
	No. The Petition should be denied.
	☐ Yes. Parentage should be decided based on the factors in section <i>10</i> .

	□ Co	nsent. (Birth Pa	rent's Name):			and
	(na	ame):		cons	sented to assiste	d reproduction
		th the intent that to oof of consent is	hey would both be pa (check one):	arents. Th	e consent was n	ot withdrawn.
		in a written agre	ement or record, incl	uding thro	ugh a fertility clin	ic.
		<b>not</b> in writing. H	owever, (check all th	at apply):		
<ul> <li>the parties had an express agreement <b>before</b> conception that the would both be parents of the child.</li> <li>the parties lived together with the child, holding the child out as</li> </ul>				that they		
		child, for	es lived together with the first four years o incapacitated.			
	Other	findings or conclu	ısions –			
10.	Challeng	es or competion	ng claims			
	☐ Does	not apply. <i>(Skip t</i>	to <b>11.</b> )			
This case involves a challenge to the current legal parents (pre or court ordered), or someone has asked to be a third legal par decide in the best interest of the child considering the factors in				legal parent. The	e court will	
☐ Findings are attached that address the factors belo			ors below.	. (Skip to <b>11.</b> )		
☐ Findings are set out in <b>a.</b> – <b>g</b> . below: (Add lines as needed.)						
	a.	Child's Age - T	he child is (how old):			
		Length of time	in role – For each poe of) the child's parent	ssible pare	<del></del>	they act as
	c.	Nature of relation	onship – What was tl ossible parent?	ne nature c	of the relationship	between the
	d.		What would the harn d and each possible เ			e relationship
	e.	Type of claim –	What is the basis for	each poss	sible parent's clair	m to parentage?
	d.	Harm to child – between the chil	ossible parent?  What would the harn d and each possible p	n be (if any parent is no	r) to the child if thoot recognized?	e relationship

	relationship between the child and each possible parent or the likelihood of oth harm to the child.				
	☐ g.	If the challenge is based on genetic test results:			
		What were the facts surrounding the discovery that the person may not be a genetic parent?			
		How much time passed between finding out the person may not be a genetic parent and starting this case?			
11.	Allegatio	n of parentage resulting from sexual assault			
	☐ There	was no allegation of sexual assault resulting in birth of a child.			
	☐ There	was an allegation of sexual assault resulting in birth of a child.			
	(date	ne Order after Sexual Assault Fact-Finding Hearing signed by the court on  The Findings and Conclusions from that order are a part of this order. The court has determined that the sexual assault allegation			
	was.	proved.			
	_ 	not proved.			
		not considered because it was not timely filed.			
12.	Parentag	e Conclusions			
	Based on	the findings and conclusions above, the court should order:			
	are leg	t – The following people (name/s):			
		Parent – The following people (name/s):t parents of the child.			
	☐ No Ch	ange – The court should not make any order about (name/s):			
	parent	age status because (explain):			
		s birth certificate and any other birth record should be changed to match the nts as listed on the <i>Final Parentage Order</i> if there is any difference.			
13.	Child's N	lame			
	☐ The ch	nild's name should <b>not</b> be changed.			
D =					

[	TI	ne child's name should be changed as listed on the Final Parentage Order.
Pare	ntin	g Plan / Residential Schedule
14.	Juris	diction over the child (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)
[		ne court <b>can</b> approve a <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> for the child and ecide who the child should live with most of the time because <i>(check all that apply):</i>
		Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made a custody order or parenting plan for the child, and the court still has authority to make other orders for the child.
		Home state jurisdiction – Washington is the child's home state because (check all that apply):
		☐ The child lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the 6 months just before this case was filed, or if the child was less than 6 months old when the case was filed, the child had lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.
		There were times the child was not in Washington in the 6 months just before this case was filed (or since birth if they were less than 6 months old), but those were temporary absences.
		☐ The child does not live in Washington right now, but Washington was the child's home state some time in the 6 months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the child still lives in Washington.
		☐ The child does not have another home state.
		<ul> <li>No home state or home state declined – No court of any other state (or tribe) has the jurisdiction to make decisions for the child, or a court in the child's home state (or tribe) decided it is better to have this case in Washington and:</li> <li>The child and a parent or someone acting as a parent have ties to Washington</li> </ul>
		beyond just living here; <b>and</b>
		<ul> <li>There is a lot of information (substantial evidence) about the child's care, protection, education and relationships in this state.</li> </ul>
		Other state declined – The courts in other states (or tribes) that might be the child's home state have refused to take this case because it is better to have this case in Washington.
		Temporary emergency jurisdiction – Washington had temporary emergency jurisdiction over the child when the case was filed, and now has jurisdiction to make a final custody decision because:
		<ul> <li>When the case was filed, the child was abandoned in this state, or the child was in this state and the child (or child's parent, brother or sister) was abused or threatened with abuse;</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>The court signed a temporary order on (date) saying that Washington's jurisdiction will become final if no case is filed in the child's home state (or tribe) by the time the child has been in Washington for 6 months;</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>The child has now lived in Washington for 6 months; and</li> <li>No case concerning the child has been started in the child's home state (or tribe).</li> </ul>

	Other reason (specify):
	☐ The court <b>cannot</b> approve a <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> for the child or decide who the child should live with most of the time because the court does not have jurisdiction over the child.
15.	Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule
	☐ Does not apply because the petition is being dismissed or the court does not have jurisdiction over the child.
	☐ No Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule should be entered based on the Order after Sexual Assault Fact-Finding Hearing.
	☐ The court signed the final <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> filed separately today or on <i>(date)</i> :
	No one requested a <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> . The child has been living with <i>(name)</i> : most of the time. The child should continue to live with this parent most of the time. This parent should
	be named the child's custodian.
	The plan, schedule or custodian is approved (check one):
	□ by default. The court considered the court record.
	by agreement of both parents without a contested hearing. The court considered the parties' agreement and the following evidence, if any:
	after a summary judgment hearing. The court considered the evidence listed in the Summary Judgment Order.
	after trial. The court considered all of the evidence admitted at trial.
	Other findings or conclusions:
Chi	ld support
16.	Child Support
	☐ The child should be supported according to state law. The court signed the final <i>Child</i> Support Order and Worksheets filed separately today or on (date):
	☐ No child support should be established or collected based on the <i>Order after Sexual Assault Fact-Finding Hearing</i> .
	☐ The court is not making a decision about child support now because:
	Other findings or conclusions:

## > Other Requests

<b>17</b> .	Protection	Order
	☐ No one re	equested an Order for Protection in this case.
	[ (Name):	requested an Order for Protection in this case.
	Conclusi	on: The court should (check one):
	□ no	ot approve an Order for Protection because:
	_	
	□ ap	pprove an Order for Protection because:
18.	Restraining	) Order
	☐ No one re	equested a Restraining Order in this case.
	[ (Name):	requested a Restraining Order.
	Conclusi	on: The court should:
	□ no	ot approve a Restraining Order because:
	_	
	⊥ ap	pprove a Restraining Order because:
	_	
10	Fees and c	nete
. 7.		by should pay his or her own fees and costs.
	Lawyer's	fees were awarded in the Order after Sexual Assault Fact-Finding Hearing ld be included in the Final Parentage Order.
		e was decided in the <i>Summary Judgment Order</i> signed by the court on <i>(Skip to <b>20</b>.)</i>
		incurred fees and costs. (Name/s):
		should be ordered to pay the amount as listed in Parentage Order. The court finds that the amount ordered is reasonable.
		a Guardian ad Litem (GAL) or other court-appointed professional should be
		sted in the Final Parentage Order. The court finds the fees as ordered are
	Other find	lings or conclusions:

20. Other findings or conclus	Other findings or conclusions (if any)						
	•						
Date	Judge or (	Commissioner					
Petitioner and Respondent/s	or their law	yers fill out below.					
This document <i>(check any that applied is an agreement of the parties is presented by me may be signed by the court without in the court with th</i>	-,	This document <i>(check any that apply)</i> :  ☐ is an agreement of the parties ☐ is presented by me ☐ may be signed by the court without notice to me					
<b>&gt;</b>		•					
Petitioner signs here <b>or</b> lawyer signs here	+ WSBA #	Respondent signs here or lawyer signs here	9 + WSBA #				
Print Name	 Date	Print Name	Date				
This document (check any that applied is an agreement of the parties is presented by me may be signed by the court without	-,	This document (check any that applied is an agreement of the parties is presented by me may be signed by the court without response.					
<b>&gt;</b>		•					
Other Respondent or lawyer signs here +	WSBA #	Other party or Guardian ad Litem signs here	9				
Print Name	Date	Print Name	Date				