Policy Arguments: Importance of DOC Visitation

DOC Policy Support

The State of Washington Department of Corrections (DOC) policy for visitation “recognizes the vital role families play in the re-entry process and will support offenders in maintaining ties with family, friends, and the community.” Policies require that the facility provide a secure and welcoming space for visitors and provide a “child friendly environment with toys and games suitable for interaction by family members of all ages.”

Social Science Support

Studies have shown that maintenance of family ties during incarceration, especially through in-person visits, is one possible means of lessening the negative impact of incarceration on families and children. Research shows that when children of incarcerated parents have strong, healthy relationships with others, they cope better with the loss of their parent and exhibit fewer problematic behaviors. One well cited-report shows how working together, with supportive parents and caregivers, children have the best outcomes:

Although the most important relationship to develop and maintain is probably that which exists between child and their incarcerated parent, a supportive relationship with another parent, caregiver, mentor, or other adult in the community may lead to similar benefits in the child’s life. Such benefits can, in turn, contribute toward healthier families and communities across the nation.

Additionally, maintaining a connection between the child and incarcerated parent was shown to further help children by better allowing them to express their emotional reactions to separation from the parent; promoting a more realistic understanding of the circumstances; maintaining existing relationships in order to contribute to an eventual, successful family reunification;
improving recidivism rates; preserving important connections; and reducing child anxiety by
knowing their parent is safe.\textsuperscript{vi}

Visiting allows children to actually see their parents and be assured that they are safe.\textsuperscript{vii} Without
this contact, children may begin to view their parents as strangers and believe that their parents
neither love nor care about them.\textsuperscript{viii} One study found that children who were told about their
parent’s incarceration in an open, honest and age-appropriate manner and children who reacted
with loneliness, rather than anger, to the separation from their parents, were slightly more likely
than other children in the study to have secure, positive perceptions of their caregivers.\textsuperscript{ix}

From the voices of youth, we find that although their parents may be less than perfect, the love
that they have for their parents is as real and strong as any other child’s. The loss experienced by
these children when their relationships are severed is real. This loss is one that we should be
concerned about since there is significant evidence that maintaining contact with one’s
incarcerated parent improves a child’s emotional response to their parent’s incarceration and
supports parent-child attachment as well as lowers the likelihood of recidivism among
incarcerated parents.\textsuperscript{x} In the infamous book, \textit{All Alone in the World: Children of the
Incarcerated}, written by Nell Bernstein, a young person says: “There was so much emphasis on
me, supposedly, that they forgot about her... [w]hat would have helped me most is compassion
for my mom.”\textsuperscript{xi}

Further, evidence based research shows it is in the best interest of children to remain in phone
and visitation contact with their parents while they are in prison as the parent and child will be
able to maintain ties and it will bolster the child’s well-being and healthy development; reduce
the trauma of separation; and where appropriate, assist families in reunification after their
parent’s release.\textsuperscript{xii} It will also help correct frightening images children may have about their
incarcerated parent’s circumstances. Finally, it allows children to learn that they are not alone – by seeing other children visit their parents, it helps children learn that their situation is not unique and that there are other families like their own.

**Importance of DOC Visitation from Legislature and Case Law**

The Legislature recognized maintaining family ties could lessen the negative impact of incarceration on families and children. Substitute H.B. 1284, 63rd Leg., Reg, Sess. (Wash 2013). For ten years, the legislature has enacted legislation in response to significant evidence that maintaining contact with one’s incarcerated parent improves a child’s emotional response to their parent’s incarceration and supports parent-child attachment, while lowering the likelihood of recidivism among incarcerated parents and reducing chances of intergenerational incarceration. Substitute H.B. 2680, 61st Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash 2010). H.R. 1422, 60th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wa. 2007); see also RCW 74.04.800 (requiring the secretary of social and health services to adopt policies encouraging familial contact between children and incarcerated parents); RCW 72.09.495 (requiring the secretary of corrections to adopt such policies).

Further, in denying or limiting parent-child contact the department must “prove that visitation poses a current concrete risk to the children.” *In re Dependency of T.L.G.*, 139 Wash.App. 1, 18 (2007). The court also states that the “risk of harm must be an actual risk, not speculation based on reports” and that “[s]omething more than opinions based on a single incident is necessary to support a finding of risk of harm” *Id.* at 17-18.

Additionally, if the Department were to later pursue termination of the parent’s parental rights, “the Court shall consider whether a parent maintains a meaningful role in his or her child's life based on factors identified in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b).” RCW 13.34.180(1)(a). A recent published decision by the Court of Appeals for Division I, made clear that the requirements added by SHB
1284 to RCW 13.34.180(1)(f) are mandatory findings because they are part of the elements necessary to terminate the parental rights of an incarcerated parent. In re Dependency of A.M.M., No. 70832-5-I, 2014 WL 3842977 (Wn. App. Aug. 4, 2014).

According to RCW 13.34.145(5)(b), the “court's assessment of whether a parent who is incarcerated maintains a meaningful role in the child's life may include consideration of the following: (i) The parent's expressions or acts of manifesting concern for the child, such as letters, telephone calls, visits, and other forms of communication with the child.” RCW 13.34.145(5)(b) (emphasis added). Further, evidence that incarcerated parents did not receive services, experienced delays and barriers to visitation and other meaningful contact, or could not be found due to their imprisonment may be used to challenge termination. RCW 13.34.180(2). The court may consider such a showing as evidence of rebuttal to any presumption established pursuant to 13.34.180(1)(e)). Id. The legislature and caselaw clearly acknowledge the significance of ongoing contact and communication between a child and an incarcerated parent.
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