

COURT OF APPEALS
 DIVISION TWO
 OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED
 COURT OF APPEALS
 DIVISION II

07 JAN 31 PM 12:03

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
 Respondent,)
)
 v.)
)
TERNS D. PETERSON)
 (your name))
)
 Appellant.)

STATE OF WASHINGTON
 BY Jan
 DEPUTY

No. 35156-1-LL

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
 GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, TERNS PETERSON, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

CR 8.3(b) PROTECTS AGAINST ARBITRARY ACTION OR GOVERNMENTAL MISCONDUCT AND DOES NOT WANT THE COURTS TO GO OTHERWISE. THE PROSECUTOR MISMANAGEMENT OF THE CASE WAS THE CAUSE OF MY DEFENSE BEING ILL PREPARED IN RESULT THE PROSECUTOR MISMANAGEMENT NEED NOT BE OF AN LEVEL OF DISHONEST NATURE, SIMPLE MISMANAGEMENT IS SUFFICIENT.
BLACKWELL, 120 Wq. 2d AT 851 @ DAZLEY, 93 Wq. 2d AT 457 @ SULLGROVE, 19 Wq. App. AT 863
STATE V. BURKE, 87 Wq. 2d 175, 183, 550 P.2d 507 (1978) @ STATE V. SHERMAN, 54 Wq. App. 763, 767
801 P.2d 274 (DEV. 1 1990) @ STATE V. ANDRELL, 11 Wq. 2d 385, 390, 708 P.2d 11 (1988) @ STATE V. STAPRESH
86 Wq. 2d 200, 205, 544 P.2d 1 (1975).

Additional Ground 2

CR 4.7 DISCOVERY HAS STRICT RULES TO MAKE SURE THE ELIMINATION OF SURPRISE IS AVOIDED. THE PROSECUTOR DID NOT TEND TO ALL POLICE STATEMENTS IN A TIMELY MANNER THAT WAS USED AGAINST ME AND HEAVILY PREJUDICED ME. A WITNESS LIST WAS TOGETHER BY SEPT. 2003, TRIAL BEGINS START TEL APRIL 2006. THE POLICE WIT STATEMENTS WERE USED WERE ON THE SEPT. 2003 WITNESS LIST. @ STATE V. YATES, 111 Wq. 2d AT 797 @ DAZLEY, 93 Wq. 2d AT 454 @ STATE V. MAK, 105 Wq. 2d 692, 704, 708 P.2d 407 @ STATE V. SHERMAN 54, Wq. App. 763, 801 P.2d 274 (1990)

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: JANUARY 29, 2007

Signature: TERNS PETERSON