

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

08 OCT -7 AM 11:40

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
Respondent,)
)
v.)
)
MICHAEL A. May Jr.)
(your name))
)
Appellant.)

STATE OF WASHINGTON
BY [Signature]
DEPUTY

No. 37189-8-11

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUND FOR REVIEW

I, MICHAEL A. May Jr., have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

AS PER THE GUIDELINES SET FORTH BY THE STATE OF WASHINGTON WITH REGARD TO THE SSOSA, I QUALIFIED. YET IN MY CASE THE STATE ARGUED THAT 1) IT DID NOT BELIEVE SSOSA WORKED AND 2) THAT I DID NOT WARENT GETTING A SSOSA EVEN THOUGH THEY MADE NO ARGUMENT AS TO WHY. INSTEAD, THEY ATTACKED THE CREDIBILITY OF MIKE COMPTON (THE SSOSA EVALUATOR) AND EVEN THE VICTIM WHO WAS IN FAVOR OF ME GETTING A SSOSA. ON THE FIRST POINT THE STATE WAS ESSENTIALLY ARGUING AGAINST ITSELF AND ON THE SECOND THE STATE FAILED TO MAKE AN ARGUMENT AS TO WHY I SHOULDN'T GET A SSOSA.

Additional Ground 2

IT WAS SAID WITH REGARD TO MY SSOSA THAT I HAD MORE THAN ONE VICTIM, YET IF THAT WERE THE CASE I WOULD NOT HAVE QUALIFIED FOR A SSOSA. I FEEL THAT THIS MISINFORMATION GREATLY AFFECTED MY SENTENCING. THERE ARE MANY THINGS THAT WERE ON MY SSOSA EVALUATION THAT ARE FACTUALLY INCORRECT. I WAS NOT GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE EVALUATION PRIOR TO MY SENTENCING. ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS I RAISED THESE ISSUES WITH MY LAWYER BUT BY THEN IT WAS TOO LATE. If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 9/25/08

Signature: [Signature]