

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

03 DEC -8 PM 10:28

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BY _____
DEPUTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
 Respondent,)
)
 v.)
)
 JOEL P. REESMAN,)
)
 Appellant.)

No. 37520-6-II

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, Joel P. Reesman have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

I wish to exercise my right to remove argument #2 from brief (appellee) My attorney John Hays filed the above motion on the 13th of Nov. 2008. On the 17th of Nov. Mr Hays informed me that he changed his mind & will argue argument #2. My wish is that argument #2 be removed from appellant brief. I do not want argument #2 in my brief. Please remove it. Thank you Please confirm that you have the above removed. ✓

Additional Ground 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I mailed

1 copies of SAC

to M. Rinaldi,

& J. Hays

11/4/09 Date KSC Signed

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 12/3/08

Signature: Joel P. Reesman

Dear Sir

I want to reintroduce this motion. I approve of this motion & absolutely do not argue #2 in my appellate brief. I'm writing this note because I got a letter from Mr. Hays stating he was withdrawing my motion to strike argument #2. That's not what I want. No matter what, my attorney this I want argument #2 removed.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, DIVISION II

Joel P. Reesman
12/4/08

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

NO. 37520-6-II

Respondent,

MOTION TO REMOVE ARGUMENT #2 FROM BRIEF OF APPELLANT


vs.

JOEL PAUL REESMAN,

Appellant.

1. **IDENTITY OF MOVING PARTY:** JOEL PAUL REESMAN, Appellant, asks for the relief designated in Part 2.
2. **STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT:** Appellant requests that Argument No. 2 in the Brief of Appellant be removed.
3. **FACTS RELEVANT TO MOTION:** The facts relevant to this motion are set out in the attached affirmation.
4. **GROUND FOR RELIEF SOUGHT:** Granting this motion will assure the complete administration of justice.

DATED this 12TH day of NOVEMBER, 2008.


JOHN A. HAYS, WSB#16654
Attorney for Appellant

////

MOTION TO REMOVE ARGUMENT #2 FROM BRIEF OF APPELLANT - 1


John A. Hays
Attorney at Law
1402 Broadway
Longview, WA 98632
(360) 423-3084

AFFIRMATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JOHN A. Hays, states the following under the penalty of perjury under the laws of Washington State: I am the attorney of record in this case. After completing the Brief of Appellant I had communication with my client. He stated that under no circumstances did he want to have a new trial. Due to his request, I am asking that you remove Argument #2 from the Brief of Appellant.

DATED this 12th day of NOVEMBER, 2008.



JOHN A. HAYS, WSE#16654
Attorney for Appellant

Name: Joel Resman DOC # 316821
Unit: E Tier: E Cell: 129
Facility Name: Washington State Penitentiary
Address: 1313 W 13th Ave
City: Walla Walla, WA Zip: 99362
State of Washington Department of Corrections Facility

PASCO WA 993
04 DEC 2008 PM 1 T

RECEIVED
DEC 08 2008
COURT OF APPEALS DIV II
STATE OF WASHINGTON

THIS WAS MAILED BY AN INMATE ~~AND~~ ^{AND} CONFINED AT A
WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FACILITY.
ITS CONTENTS MAY BE UNCENSORED
8440214427

Court of Appeals Division II
950 Broadway Suite 300
Tacoma, Wa. 98402-4454

