

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
Respondent,)
)
v.)
)
HOAGN X. NGUYEN,)
(your name))
)
Appellant.)

09 JUN 29 AM 9:33
STATE OF WASHINGTON
BY [Signature]
DEPUTY

No. 38564-3-II
STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, HOANG X. NGUYEN, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel: Failure to move for or moving for mistrial by my trial counsel. Incompetence by council for not objecting to unreliable witness when states witness was unable to identify Mr. Nguyen as person who borrowed phone in photo montage. Defense counsel was ineffective, incompetent, and indifferent in this case.

Additional Ground 2

Insufficient Evidence; lack of evidence. As stated in my appellant brief, by Anne Crusier appeal counsel, the State was allowed to present to the jury an alleged premise (named "Stipulation") which was purely fabricated and false and not understood by me, the defendant. Befor trial this "Stipulation" that alledged Mr. Nguyen agreed he had two prior convictions for violating a no contact order should have been suppressed befor the jury had a chance to acknowledge it/Hear it. SEE: Appellants brief page 1.C.

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 06-25-2009

Signature: [Signature]
Hoang X. Nguyen

Form 23

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I certify that I mailed
copies of 109
to C. Laverne
& A. Crusier
6/29/09 FXC
Date Signed

June 25, 2009

RECEIVED

JUN 29 2009

CLERK OF COURT OF APPEALS DIV II
STATE OF WASHINGTON

Hoang X. Nguyen
774705 Unit A Cell A3
Clallam Bay Corrections Center
1830 Eagle Crest Way
Clallam Bay, WA. 98326

Court of Appeals, Division II
950 Broadway, Suite 300
Tacoma, WA. 98402-4454

RE: State of Washington v. Hoang X. Nguyen
Case No. 38564-3-11

Dear Honorable Court,

Mr. Nguyen would like to encourage the court to acknowledge some underlying factors in this case. Aside from the additional grounds and appellant brief Mr. Nguyen would like to ask the court to take into account the severe disadvantage that exists with him not being able to understand court procedure.

Especially because Mr. Nguyen is Vietnamese and not being able to communicate directly with his defense counsel, before and at trial, he was treated with disrespect when at one point after state witness could not identify him in court or line-up he tried to request his counsel move to dismiss charges, his trial counsel stated to him "shut up" and told him (Mr. Nguyen) not to speak.

Even though this statement by Mr. Nguyen's defense counsel is not part of the transcript or official court record, Mr. Nguyen insists that he felt prejudiced by counsel during trial, by defense counsels indifference to his (Mr. Nguyen's) specific requests he wished to make.

Mr. Nguyen does not wish to file a formal complaint with the bar association based on the outcome of the trial or the defense counsels' behavior and incompetence.

Mr. Nguyen simply wants the court to acknowledge this letter as a plea for justice and better treatment from the American court system. Not to say that an incompetent defense counsel represents the court system as unjust or unfair treatment as a whole.

Thank you for your time and considerations.

Sincerely,



Hoang X. Nguyen