

new + amended as of 3-23-2012

NO: 297926

In The Court of Appeals of The State of

Washington Division III

Terry-Lee, Interested Being

for the vulnerable adult, Orville Moe

Appellant

V.S

Aaron G. Goforth, Attorney

for Reed & Giesa, P.S

for .A Davidson - (receiver)

Respondent

Appellants Brief

Appellant is always:
In solo proporia natura
cell phone # 1-509-994-3632

Terry-Lee a sovereign being
box 1084, by necessity
Loon-Lake, non-domestic
Washington de jure state
(no zip ever)
Last Known Address

new + amended as of 3-23-2012

NO: 297926

In The Court of Appeals of The State of

Washington Division III

Terry-Lee, Interested Being

for the vulnerable adult, Orville Moe

Appellant

V.S

Aaron G. Goforth, Attorney

for Reed & Giesa, P.S

for .A Davidson - (receiver)

Respondent

Appellants Brief

Appellant is always:
In solo proporia natura
cell phone # 1-509-994-3632

Terry-Lee a sovereign being

box 1084, by necessity

Loon-Lake, non-domestic

Washington de jure state

(no zip ever)

Last Known Address

Table of Contents

1. Title page	front cover
2. Table of Contents	page 1
3. Table of Authorities.....	page 2 A, B, C
4. Constitutions	page 3, 4, 5
5. Introduction	page 6
6. Assignments of error	page 7, 8, 9
7. Issues pertaining to	
Assignments of error	page 10, 11, 12
8. Undisputed facts.....	page 13, A, B, C
9. Statement of the case (events)	page 14
10. Argument	page 18, 19, 20, 21 no -- 22
11. Conclusion	page 23, 24
12. Affidavit in support of brief	page 25
13. Notice of service	page 26

Table of Authorities

Table of Cases

1. Daley v. Butte County, 227 Cal. App. 2d 380, May 22, 1964. CP-78-84
2. Fowlkes v. Ingraham, 185 P.2d 379 CP-82
3. Hallett v. Slaughter, 140 P.2d 3 CP82
4. Manson v. First Nat. Bank, 366 Pa. 211 CP82
5. Price v. McCamish, 70 P.2d 978 CP82
6. Miller v. Republic Grocery, Inc., 242 P.2d 396 CP82
7. State v. Carol M.D., 89 Wn. App. 77, 85 CP82
8. Stub v. Harrison, 96 P.2d 979 CP-82
9. Vartanian v. Croll, 256 P.2d 1022 CP-82
10. Davis v. Locke 936 F.2d ... 22 1208 (11th Cir. 1991)
11. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972) ... 16
12. Knight vs Pacific Gas & Electric 178 Cal. App. 2d 930 CP-82

Constitutional Provisions

1. Washington State Constitution Article 1
2. United States Constitution Supreme Law of the Land
3. U.S. of A. Constitution
Bill of Rights AD 1791
Amendments IV, V, VI, VII,
VIII, IX, X and XIVth.

U.S.C. Statutes

1. 42 U.S.C. All A.D.A. Statutes
2. 42 U.S.C. 12101, Thru 12213
3. 42 U.S.C. 12101, (a) Findings (2)
4. 42 U.S.C. 12102, Definit. (2)(a)(b)(c)
5. 42 U.S.C. 12212, mini Trial(s) Resolutions

Revised Codes of Washington RCW's

page c-P

1. R.C.W., 7.40.080 6, 63, 71
2. R.C.W., 74.34.130 (5) (a) . . . 6, 63, 71
3. R.C.W., 74.34.021 (10) 8
4. R.C.W., 9A.42.100 4, 60
5. R.C.W., 274.34.110 1 thru 6
57

Additional Authority's

1. 2 Levin + Woolley, Dispatch
and Delay (1961) 25-31
2. Kalven, The Bar, the Court and
the Delay, 328 Annals, American
Academy of Political and Social
Science 37-45
3. Evidence Rule ER 803 (a) (4)
1. Judge Sweeney's Book, Making
The Record (Medical)!
4. Evidence Rule 103 (a) (3) Offer of
Proof
5. Evidence Rule 201 Judicial Notice

Constitutions

1. The Washington State Constitution Article 1

Declaration of Rights

SECTION 1 POLITICAL POWER: All political power is inherent in the people , and governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, and are established to protect and maintain individual rights.

SECTION 2 SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND: The Constitution of the United States of America is the supreme law of the land.

SECTION 3 PERSONAL RIGHTS: No person shall be deprived of life,liberty,or property,without due process of law.

SECTION 7 INVASION OF PRIVATE AFFAIRS OR HOME PROHIBITED: No person shall be disturbed in his private affairs,or his home invaded,without authority of law.

SECTION 17 IMPRISONMENT FOR DEBT: There shall be no imprisonment for debt,except in cases of absconding debtors.

SECTION 29 CONSTITUTION MANDATORY:

The provisions of this Constitution are mandatory, unless by express words they are declared to be otherwise.

SECTION 32 FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES:

A frequent reoccurrence to fundamental principles is essential to the security of individual right and the perpetuity of free government.

SECTION 6 JURISDICTION OF SUPERIOR

COURTS: Superior courts and district courts have concurrent jurisdiction in cases in equity. The superior court shall have original jurisdiction in all cases at law which involve the title or possession of real property or the legality of any tax, impost, assessment, toll, or municipal fine, and in all other cases in which the demand or the value of the property in controversy amounts to three thousand dollars or as otherwise determined by the law, or a lesser sum in excess of the jurisdiction granted to justices of the peace and other inferior courts, and in all criminal cases amounting to felony, and in all cases of divorce, and for annulment of marriage and for such special cases and proceedings as are not otherwise provided

for. The superior court shall also have original jurisdiction in all cases and of all proceedings in which jurisdiction shall not have been by law vested exclusively in some other court; and said court shall have the power of naturalization and to issue papers therefore. They shall have such appellate jurisdiction in cases arising in justices and other inferior courts in their respective counties as may be prescribed by law. They shall always be open, except on non judicial days, and their process shall extend to all parts of the state. Said courts and their judges shall have power to issue writs of mandamus, quo warranto, review, certiorari, prohibition and writs of habeas corpus, on petition by or on behalf of any person in actual custody in their respective counties. Injunctions and writs of prohibition and of habeas corpus may be issued and served on legal holidays and non judicial days. [Amendment 87, 1993 house joint resolution no 4201, p 3063. Approved November 2, 1993]

Introduction

I, Terry-Lee, initiated a petition for a protection order (temporary) for Orville Moe an ADA qualified handicapped, disabled vulnerable adult, who has had a heart attack and now has a pace maker and is seventy four years old and has a number of other physical problems and has been financially exploited for more than eight years now.

My petition for (temporary) protection for the above reasons was denied by Superior Court Judge Anette Plese to be explained here in this brief.

I also did a motion for reconsideration on March 17th A.D. 2011, where I was forced to in the Hallway and was denied the right to object and denied the right to enter my 15 exhibits into the evidence side of this case (Denied Due process of Law).

I also did a second motion for reconsideration on March 22nd A.D. 2011 which was totally ignored.

Assignments of error

1. Judge Plese made an error in entering the order on March 11, AD 2011 denying my vulnerable ^{adult} protection petition. CP-36-37
2. Judge Plese made an error by denying my first motion for reconsideration on March 1th AD 2011 for my vulnerable adult protection petition. CP-66
3. Judge Plese made an error when she made me sit in the hall and denied me access to the courts and denied me the right to object and denied me the right to enter evidence into the evidence side of the case while she wrote up her denial to my 1st motion for reconsideration of said petition. CP-66
4. Judge Plese made an error when she did not call Orville Moe's primary doctor and get his official medical opinion and/or determination as to Orville's medical and/or physical conditions, before she issued a bench warrant on May 6th, 2010. CP-27-28-33
5. Judge Plese made an error when she chose to make her own medical opinions and chose to make her own medical determinations as to Orville Moe's medical conditions. CP-99 while the record fails to reflect her training or certified experience to do such which led to Vulnerable Adults Financial Exploitation.

6. Judge Plese made an error when she denied my second motion for reconsideration on March ~~22~~²² AD 2011 for vulnerable

adult protection order petition. *the record fails to reflect any findings of fact or conclusions of law supporting such.*

7. Judge Plese made an error when she did not quash the bench warrant she issued on may 6, AD 2010, for good cause, attorney misconduct, by Jerome Schulkin, CP-12, CP-50

8. Judge Plese made an error when she did not stop the ongoing financial exploitation of Orville Moe, CP-8, ^{civil rights violations} see XIV abandonment

9. Judge Plese made an error by not overturning the two thousand dollars (a day sanctions) she placed on Orville Moe

at the request of Aaron Goforth, for Reed & Gesia for Barry Davidson, Receiver, achieved by withholding evidence, CP-5
11 boxes of private records of Orville Moe

10. Judge Plese made an error when she denied Orville Moe's affirmed affidavit stating he will come to court with his doctor

and appear at all future proceedings. CP-47
which led to the financial exploitation

11. Judge Plese made an error when she did not sanction Jerome Schulkin for attorney misconduct. CP-83, 84
His failure to file for protection orders, caused financial

12. Judge Plese made an error when she did not protect Orville Moe's rights secured by the Washington State
Exploitation of Orville Moe

Constitution. page 3-4-5

13. Judge Plese made an error when she did not protect Orville Moe's substantive rights freedoms and liberties protected by the supreme law of the land and The Bill of Rights AD 1789 - 91.

14. Judge Plese made an error when she did not protect the vulnerable adult Orville Moe's Civil Rights, and/or Civil Liberties, secured by the Bill of Rights, the IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX and XIVTH.

15. Judge Plese erred when she wouldn't allow me to put Ron Linders affidavit, and Aaron Lawells affidavit in the file when I named them in my lists of exhibits but forget to include them. They are paramount evidence, as to the willful and intentional withholding of evidence The Eleven Boxes of private personal records which caused the \$800,000⁰⁰ dollars of sanctions against said vulnerable adult.

Issues pertaining to assignments of error

1. Whether the judge abused her discretion in denying the petition for v.a.p order, March 11th 2011.
↳ Vulnerable Adult Protection (Financial Exploitation)
2. Whether the judge abused her discretion in denying my first motion for reconsideration for the v.a.p order, March 17th.
↳ Vulnerable Adult Protection
3. Whether the judge abused her discretion when she denied my right to object and when she denied me the right to enter evidence into the record by an offer of proof, on reconsideration, March 17th. I was forced to sit in the hallway.
4. Whether the judge abused her discretion when she did not accept Orville Moe's doctors' offer for her to call him, for details, which allowed the attorneys to finally exploit Orville.
CP-27-28-33
5. Whether the judge abused her discretion by making her own medical opinions and her own medical determinations when the court received no expert opinions contrary to Orville Moe's doctors' letters to her court.
(the record reflects no)
CP-99
6. Whether the judge abused her discretion when she denied my second motion for reconsideration for the v.a.p order, March 22nd.
Just flat ignored it.
7. Whether the judge abused her discretion when she did not

quash the bench warrant she issued may 6, AD 2010 when

she was informed that attorney Jerome Schulkin never once

applied for a protection order, attorney misconduct.

which caused the \$2000 per day Sanctions against
said the vulnerable adult. CP-83-84

8. Whether the judge abused her discretion by not stopping

the on going financial exploitation of Orville Moe. the withholding

of 11 Boxes of personal records, to this day kept in
secret storage for years now, causing the sanctions.

9. Whether the judge abused her discretion by not overturning

the two thousand dollar per day sanction placed against

Orville Moe when she found out that evidence was and still is

being withheld from the courts eyes, the 11 Boxes of records
in secret storage by the

10. Whether the judge abused her discretion of ignoring

Orville Moe's letter stating he is ready and willing to come

back to court and appear at all future hearings with his doctor

at his side. which helped cause CP-47
to go on and on. the sanctions

11. Whether the judge abused her discretion of not

sanctioning attorney Jerome Schulkin for attorney misconduct

by not applying for a protection order for his client Orville Moe

not even once. Similar situation at CP-82, 83
causing the sanctions against the vulnerable adult.

12. Whether the judge abused her discretion when she chose

not to protect Orville Moe's rights secured by the Washington
State Constitution. page 3, 4, 5

13. Whether the judge abused her discretion when she chose
to not protect Orville Moe's substantive rights and rights,
freedoms, and liberties protected by the Supreme Law of the
land and the Bill of Rights AD 1789 - 91.

14. Whether the Judge abused her
discretion when she failed to protect
the vulnerable adult Orville Moe's
Civil Rights and/or his Civil Liberties,
protected by Amendments IV, V, VI,
VII, VIII, IX, and XIVth.

Undisputed facts

1. Orville Leroy Moe is seventy four years old. Born ^{His} in Spokane in 1937.
2. Orville has had a heart attack due to the stress of this eight year old court case and that he now has a heart pacer installed. See Doctors Letters CP-26, 27, 28, 29
3. Orville is in fact an A.D.A qualified handicapped person. See Doctors Letters CP-26, 27, 28, 29, + page 18
4. Orville is a 14th amendment person with civil rights (that are being violated). see State + U.S. Constitutions page 3, 4, 5
5. Because of 1,2,and 3 above Orville is a vulnerable adult, as stated in rcw 74.34.020 sub.sec.(16)(a). see page 2 + 18
6. Orville is considered vulnerable as stated in case: Carlton vs. Vancouver Care LLC. 155 Wn.app. 151 (para.41 (2010). See argument 2 and page 13
7. Orville Leroy Moe has rights secured by the Washington State Constitution article 1 Declaration of Rights. pages 3 + 4
8. Terry - Lee is an interested living being with the right to appeal, see CP-210.

Orville Moe was allowed to go with Attorney Aaron Lowe to the location where the Racetrack records were stored to review them.

When they both checked and reviewed them, all 253 Boxes of race track records, they found that the Eleven Boxes of Personal Private records were not among them or with said 253 Boxes.

The said Eleven Boxes of records were obviously stored somewhere else, and to this day have been kept from view of the Superior Court and this court and from Orville + Deanne Moe's view.

which is with holding of material facts, willingly + intentionally.
which is also the primary cause of the sanctions, \$800,000⁰⁰ dollars now, against Orville Moe, the Vulnerable Adult.

Orville Moe could not answer many of the questions in the interrogatory's requested of him because the documents and answers to those questions are

in fact in the Eleven Boxes of records
willfully and intentionally withheld
from the Court(s) eyes.

In the last two months
said Attorney(s) are now attacking
the Moe family Partnership and its
property's and acquiring court orders
to seize and sell said property's
to pay the aquired sanctions against
Orville Moe.

I truly believe this is being done
to break the entire Moe family so
they have no funds to hire anyone
to help them overcome this huge
hostile Takeover, by fraud + concealment.

If there is no remedy in this
Court then that leaves me no other
avenue than to seek a higher opinion.

Terry Lee

Statement of Case

I, Terry - Lee, have been a friend of Orville Moes for some 44 years. CP-2

I called him around december 1st 2010 he said he wasnt doing too good, so i said ill be right over.

I met him in the post falls Idaho McDonalds restaurant where he commenced to telling me about a bench warrant being issued against him for which he really didnt underatand why. CP-2&3

For the next three months i was going over to see him at least five nights a week.

I spent three to four hours a night with him listening to his side of the eight year long ordeal of being sued by his nephew Troy Moe leading up to a chapter 11 bankruptcy then being forced off of the race track by the court trustee D.A. Davidson in a case thats now over eight years long with over 80,000 pages in the case file, then a bench warrant for something he didnt do. It was caused by his attorney Jerome Schulkin, who didn't file for a protection order when he had three oportunities to do so. Which handed Aaron Goforth

(for B. A. Davidson, Reciever) the golden opportunity to get \$2000.00 per day sanctions against said vulnerable adult

I had Orville Moe order a copy of the docket (65 pages deep) and a copy of the transcript of the may 6th 2010 hearing when the said bench warrant was issued.

Once he read all of that he said i didnt know that and i didnt know that a dozen times.

I told him that you have to read everything in your case or you really dont know whats going on.

So he called his attorney and he said "why didnt you tell me you were given three notices to file a protection order" so they yelled at each other for awhile. His attorney Jerome Schulkin informed him that he was quitting (january 10th 2011) and that he was filing bankruptcy and including Orville in the bankruptcy so he could not sue him for malpractice or inneffective counsel.

When I found out that his attorney was the one who caused the bench warrant I then decided to apply for a protection order

I had to apply for a protection order for my mother a year earlier against my brothers son for stealing 7,500.00 from her, so i was familiar with the process.

I filed for the temporary protection order on march 11th 2011 in the exparte court.

CP- 1 thru 14

The court decided Judge Plese would hear it. I waited for 45

minutes and she entered the court room and sat down she and I talked back and forth for about 35 minutes. She then denied my petition, so I went home and wrote up a motion for reconsideration and submitted it to the same court. They took it to the same Judge.

I was not allowed in her courtroom and was not allowed to object nor present my 15 articles of evidence. See Haines v. Kerner 404 U.S. 519 (1972). She sent a young man out to where I was sitting in the hallway. He had a notice of denial and he said she said I could appeal if i wanted to.

I went home and did a second motion for reconsideration, March 22nd A.D. 2011, with newly discovered evidence submitted with it.

She never responded to me on it.

CP - 73 thru 77

Judge Plese is related to Plese reality she has been involved in large real estate transactions which the attorney involved was Gesia P.S. which I consider a conflict of interest in this case.

So far to date I have spent no less than 1000 hours with Orville Moe discussing the whole eight year long ordeal. In my opinion this taking of Washinton Motor Sports LTD.'s 600 acres and the take over of Spokane raceway Park INC. is a very well thought out Hostal take over. Against an A.D.A qualified Handycapped Disabled Vulnerable Adult, one Orville Leroy Moe, his family and his investors.

There are three witnesses that heard the secret meetings in the county about acquiring spokane raceway park and there are three

witnesses that saw Orville Moe's 11 boxes of private personal records that were stored at the Spokane Raceway park office which have been willfully and intentionally withheld from the eyes of the Court(s) to this day.

Each and every statement in this brief pertains to the question of whether there has been Financial Exploitation of one Orville Leroy Moe, and now his wife Deonne and now his whole family is being attacked and all of their homes and autos are being taken for the benefit of others thru this hostile take over in progress.

The only time they will be satisfied is when Orville Moe is living in a Card Board Box under the I-90 Freeway bridge.

Argument

1. Orville Leroy Moe is in fact handicapped and disabled and is A.D.A qualified as such see 42 usc 12101 thru 12213 see 42 usc 12102 (2) (a) (b) (c), definition. see cp - 25 thru 39

2. Orville Leroy Moe is in fact a vulnerable adult, because of #1 above and because he qualifies under ~~Rcw~~ 74.34.010 thru 110, and read paragraph 41, Carlton vs. Vancouver Care LLC. 155 Wn app.151(2010). page 3

3. Orville Leroy Moe a vulnerable adult is in fact experiencing ^{CP-8} financial exploitation and has been for over eight years now by B.A. Davidson and his attorneys Reed & Gesia P.S. thru Aaron Goforth, by withholding of Eleven Boxes of private records. CP-5

4. Legal definition of financial exploitation is the illegal or improper use of the property, income, resources, or trust funds of the vulnerable adult by any person for any other persons profit or advantage. When you take a 65 million dollar property and sell it for 8 million someone is making one hell of a gain from Orville Moe's loss. CP-8

X his 18 investors

5. ^{Eleven} there were boxes of personal private records with Orville's name on said boxes mixed in with the ³250 boxes of race track records and to this day said ¹¹boxes of personal records have been hidden and withheld from the view of the court and from access to by Orville Moe and/or any of his attorneys to date, Cp-19 Rp-16.

6. Fact admitted by Aaron Goforth that Aaron Lowe attorney and Orville Moe went to the ^{storage location}~~race track~~ and reviewed the ³250 boxes of race track records and did not see or get the opportunity to view or review the 11 boxes of Orville Moe's personal records because they were hidden from view. see Rp - 16 may 18th 2011 hearing on phone with Joyce McCowe, Comm., *for this Appeals court.*

7. Judge Plese abused her discretion on may 6th AD 2011 when in court she read the letter from DR. James Moyer Bingham dated March 10, 2011, cp - 33, she said, "I don't feel there's any reason Orville Moe shouldn't be here today," when at the last paragraph of Dr. Bingham's letter he says, "I would be happy to discuss details with you" and Judge Plese elects

to not call said doctor and makes her own medical opinions
and medical determinations and issues a bench warrant for

Orville Moe (caused by his attorney's misconduct),
which then causes \$2000^{CP-99} per day sanctions

8. Financial exploitation has been very craftily implemented
against Orville Moe (and the stock holders of W.L.M., L.L.C.)

beginning the day D.A. Davidson (receiver for the bankruptcy
court) forced Orville Moe off of Spokane Raceway Park and
he himself ^(D.A. Davidson) took full control of the 600 plus acres and all

the improvements there on. When Orville Moe reluctantly
filed chapter 11 it was because he was fully assured that he
would stay in control until the reorganization (chapter 11)
was completed. If he would have known D.A. Davidson was
planning from the beginning to force him off his own property
he never would have filed chapter 11. When Troy Moe attacked
Orville with lawsuits because Orville would not allow Troy to
manage the race track, Troy hired Reed & Gesia, P.S., Reed &
Gesia saw a huge opportunity in a 60 million dollar property,
so when Orville was led into chapter 11, Reed & Gesia P.S.
recommended to the bankruptcy judge that the court appoint

no application for
protection orders
against the
said vulnerable
adult

their buddy and past partner D.A. Davidson as receiver. Once D.A. Davidson was appointed receiver of the property it was a done deal. The hostile takeover for the benefit of others was just a few motions & pleadings away.

What I'm saying is that no matter who gets in the way of this hostile takeover and financial exploitation of Orville Moe, his family and all of the investors ^{C.P.-S} in W.M.L., L.L.C. is said attorneys achieve gag orders and sanctions against them. This court will receive a request by the same attorneys to gag and sanction me so they can carry on with this hostile takeover and financial exploitation of those ^{for the benefit of others C.P.-S} mentioned. Notices of appeal are generally to be read liberally,

Davis & Locke 936 f 2d 1208 (11th cir 1991). Page 3

I would appreciate it, if this court (Appeals) would read the portions I've underlined and put in quotations in the above Davis & Locke case.

Conclusion

precise relief sought

1. Reverse the order denying vulnerable adult protection and remand this case with directions to issue an order of protection to stop D.A. Davidson receiver thru his attorneys Reed & Gesia P.S thru Aaron D. Goforth from financially exploiting Orville L Moe, by *there withholding evidence.*
11 Boxes of Records. CP-5

2. Order the superior court to Subpoena Duces Tecum the eleven boxes of personal private records now under the control of D.A. Davidson thru Reed & Gesia P.S. + Aaron Goforth.
CP-5

3. Order the Superior Court to overturn the ~~seven~~ ^{Eight} hundred thousand dollars plus sanctions against Orville Leroy Moe, *caused by* *their* for withholding the eleven boxes of private personal records _{CP-5} belonging to Orville and Deonne Moe while they continued to ask for the said records in their interrogations ^{ory} which is fraud upon the court and fraud upon the defendant.

4. Order the superior court to remove the sanctions against Orville Moe from suing D.A. Davidson and Reed & Gesia P.S

and Aaron Goforth attorney (front man) for said law firms and

allow Orville to sue for tort damages, for withholding the Eleven Boxes of private records which caused the sanctions.

5. Order the superior court to issue sanctions against said attorneys for an appropriate amount this court deems just.

6. Order up a grand jury investigation and allow Orville Moe the right to present all of his issues, claims, witnesses, evidence so the grand jury can decide if it should move forward on same.

7. Bar the opposing attorneys from gagging me and/or sanctioning me from bringing my newly discovered evidence forward on this matter.

So I'm looking into file a federal Court case for Civil Rights Violations against said vulnerable adult. I would like to thank this court for being patient with me and

listening and reading my testimony.

Date: March 23 AD 2012


In Solo Propria Natura

Terry - Lee
sul-juris / Terry - Lee

Affidavit in support of appeal brief


I, Terry - Lee, always as reflected in your 28 usc 1746-1 do hereby affirm that the statements above in said brief are the truth and are true, correct, certain and not misleading under the pains and penaltys of pergury under north american fundamental law by my *current* first hand knowledge. *as in your 28-USC-1746-1*

In Solo Propria Natura


sui-juris Terry - Lee

Date -AD 201.

End



Certificate of Service
for
Appeal Brief

I, Terry-Lee, always "In Solo Propria Natura" do hereby affirm that this Appeal Brief was served by hand on the Parties Listed below:

1. REED + GESIA P.S., Attn Aaron Gotzalk
222 N. Wall St. Suite 401
Spokane, Washington state

2. The Washington Appeals Courts Div. III
500 N. Cedar St.
Spokane, Washington State

3. Orville L. More
1616 W. Kennan
Spokane, Wash.

Date: 3-23, 2012

Terry Lee
Terry Lee

sui-juris, Terry-Lee