

RECEIVED
SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
10 NOV 18 AM 9:15

No. 200,761-9

BY RONALD [Signature] ENTER

In The
Supreme Court of the State of Washington

In re PAUL H. KING,
Attorney,

On Appeal from the Washington State Bar Association

**STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY
RAP 10.8**

PAUL H. KING, WSBA 7370
Post Office Box 3444
Seattle, Washington 98114

Counsel for Appellant

ORIGINAL

FILED AS
ATTACHMENT TO FMAJ

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY, RAP 10.8

In re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Scannell, (Sept. 9, 2010)

No. 200,744-9, _____ Wash. 2d. _____, _____ P. 3d. _____

On page 20 of the pdf draft of this opinion was this finding:

The hearing officers were adverse parties to Scannell only because he sued everyone who could enforce the ELC, which is not a ground for disqualification. Any ex parte contacts with the disciplinary counsel arose from the same suit.

This is referring to *Scannell v. Busby*, King County Superior Court No. 06-2-33100-1 SEA.

This is being submitted for the issue as to whether ex parte contacts occurred.

OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

To: Roger Knight; scottb@wsba.org
Subject: RE: In re King No. 200,761-9

Rec. 11-18-10

Please note that any pleading filed as an attachment to e-mail will be treated as the original. Therefore, if a filing is by e-mail attachment, it is not necessary to mail to the court the original of the document.

From: Roger Knight [<mailto:rogerwknight@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 4:31 AM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK; scottb@wsba.org
Cc: rogerwknight@hotmail.com
Subject: In re King No. 200,761-9

Please find attached, in pdf format, Mr. King's Statement of Additional Authority and Motion to Supplement Record.