COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION THREE
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

RECEIVED
MAR 21 2007
In the Office of the Clerk of Court
Washington Court of Appeals, Division Three
By

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Respondent,

v.

Tony L Strode
(your name)

Appellant.

No. 25423-2-III

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

80849-0

I, _____, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

WITNESS HAVING memerory's of Things THATS hapond TO Her, BUT NO memerory for sure of This TO be sure of, WITNESS ~~TH~~ WAS MISTAKEN when she said she started TO remember Around the Time of my mom's death and she claims she reported Then To Cindy Larson, my mother Died Nov 2004 cindy And Police claime the report TO cindy WAS ABOUT April 2005.

Additional Ground 2

witness claimed she didnt even know if IT IS even real or not, IS one BIG RESONABLE DOUBT. Then Jury wasnt supose TO have A guilty verdict.

CHARGING RAPE 1° when she only Claimed an ATEMPT. THAT she WAS NOT sure of Charging ATTEMTED Rape 1° of Child Claiming I tried TO get A B.J. she didnt claim Any Penis TO Any Part of Her Contact AT ALL

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

ALSO CONT - #1 #2

Date: 3-18-07

Signature: Tony Strode

Form 23

RECEIVED
MAR 21 2007
In the Office of the Clerk of Court
Washington Court of Appeals, Division Three
By

The fact that this is out rageous and a overcharge if, And I say If, Because I am not Guilty. But for a Person who might be guilty of something Like this, would be an overcharge, wile incarcarated, I find there are people in here that are guilty of there crimes and have worse natured crimes against them that have less time, so for a Jury to find a guilty vertic on a Claim of 2 attemps she is not sure of and a verbal where there is no sexual contact, that she is not sure if realy even happend, But yet she Has Perfect memerories of other abuse she claimed hapened to her, she knows they happend with no Doubt.

Then why do I get convicted and sentenced to 26½ years for Some Thing she is not sure of. And the stuff she is ~~sa~~ sure of about the other people the State does not even charge them.

So this shows wrongfull Conviction and predjudice of the Law against my self, so I ask that my Case Be Reconsidered and dismissised due to she herself Doubt it even hapend by saying she Didnot Remember

Tony Stools #2

And NOT even sure if WHAT is Building up in her head is Realy even Real, she didnt know.

How Could you let someone get Convicted on not sure dont know if it is even real or NOT DONT rememeber