

RECEIVED ^{SC}
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
Oct 22, 2012, 12:08 pm
BY RONALD R. CARPENTER
CLERK

SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

RECEIVED BY E-MAIL
[Signature]

VICKI LEE ANNE PARKER and
JAMES S. JOHNSON,

NO. 87823-4

Appellants,

AMENDED
STATEMENT OF
ADDITIONAL
AUTHORITIES

v.

KIM WYMAN, in her capacity as
Thurston County Auditor, and
CHRISTINE SCHALLER-KRADJAN,
MARIE CLARKE, and VICTOR
MINJARES,

Respondents.

And

MARIE C. CLARKE,

Appellant,

v.

KIM WYMAN, THURSTON COUTNY
AUDITOR; CHRISTINE SCHALLER-
KRADJAN, CANDIDATE FOR
THURSTON COUNTY SUPERIOR
COURT, POSITION 2,

Respondents.

COMES NOW the respondent Christine Schaller and submits the
following additional authorities to the Court pursuant to RAP 10.8:

- *State ex rel. Dwyer v. Twitchell*, 4 Wash. 715, 31 Pac. 19 (1892) (a judge of the superior court is a state officer for

Amended Statement of
Additional Authorities - 1

Talmadge/Fitzpatrick
18010 Southcenter Parkway
Tukwila, Washington 98188-4630
(206) 574-6661 (206) 575-1397 Fax

 ORIGIN

purposes of statutes pertaining to the election of state officers);

- *State ex rel. Edelstein v. Foley*, 6 Wn.2d 444, 107 P.2d 901 (1940) (for purposes of quo warranto under article IV, § 4, superior court judges are state officers);
- Article IV, § 8 (vacancy in judicial positions is addressed by this constitutional provision, rather than a statute; the Constitution provides that any judicial office is forfeited if its holder is absent *from the state* for more than 60 days);
- Laws of 1854, p. 310 (§ 1); Laws of 1881, (§ 1297) (elected probate judges not required to be residents of the county, but only that they have the qualifications of an elector);
- *Cedar County Committee v. Munro*, 134 Wn.2d 377, 384, 950 P.2d 446 (1998) (a voter is different than an elector; the latter is one who qualifies by reason of age and citizenship to be eligible to vote);
- Laws of 1854, p. 310-11 (probate judges had the authority to appoint sheriffs and coroners, and the county auditor was a clerk of the probate court, signifying that the probate court judges supervised the functions of county auditors);
- *Town of Tekoa v. Reilly*, 47 Wash. 202, 91 Pac. 769 (1907) (nothing in this case defines what repugnancy to the Constitution for purposes of article XXVII, § 2 means).

DATED this 22^d day of October, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,



Philip A. Talmadge, WSBA #6973
Talmadge/Fitzpatrick
18010 Southcenter Parkway
Tukwila, WA 98188
(206) 574-6661

Shawn Newman, WSBA #14193
2507 Crestline Drive NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4327
(360) 866-2322
Attorneys for Respondent Christine Schaller

