

RECEIVED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON

CLERK'S OFFICE

5/22/2017 10:02 am

RECEIVED ELECTRONICALLY

In the Supreme Court of the State of Washington

JOHN GARRETT SMITH

Plaintiff.

v.

State of Washington

Defendant

No. 93923-3

Petition for Mandatory ORDER OF
COMPLETE VITIATION OF CASE
ON ACCOUNT OF TREASON

Court of Appeals No. 47205-8-II
Clark County Superior No. 13-1-01035-6

Comes now John Garrett Smith, Attorney Pro Se, to Petition the Supreme Court for Legally Mandatory Immediate and Complete Case Dismissal on account of its unlawful basis upon ongoing Acts of Treason committed by the State of Washington in its fabrication, execution, obfuscation, enforcement and deliberation of incontrovertible criminal acts.

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS

2

3 1. Authority to Demand Vitiatio

4 2. Notice of Criminal Acts Mandating Affirmative Action

5 3. Commission and Misprision of Compounding Acts of Treason Mandating Immediate

6 Intervention and Dismissal

7 4. Mandatory, Immediate and Complete Vitiatio is the Only Lawful Resolution

8

9 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

10

11 Long v. Shorebank Development Corp., 182 F.3d @ 548, 7th Cir. Ill. (1999)

12 McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 US @ 316 (4 Wheat), 4 L.Ed. @ 579 (1819)

13 Pullman v. Allen, 466 US @ 522, 104 S.Ct. 1980, 80 L.Ed.2d (1984)

14 Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 US @ 232, 40 L.Ed.2d @ 90, S.Ct. @ 1683 (1974)

15 State of Connecticut v. Leach, 7 Conn. Rep. 452 (1829)

16 Sullivan v. Barnett, 139 F.3d 158, 3rd Cir. (1998)

17 USCS Title 18, sections 3, 242, 1961, 1962, 1964(b), 2382

18 US v. Will, 449 US @ 200, 216, 66 L.Ed.2d @ 392, 101 S.Ct. @ 471 (1980)

19

20 LAWS

21

22 United States Constitution, Amendments IV, XI, XIV

23

1 (1) AUTHORITY TO DEMAND VITIATION

2 "A void judgment, which includes judgment entered by a court which lacks jurisdiction
3 over the parties ... or on order procured by fraud, can be attacked at any time, in any court."
4 (Long v. Shorebank Development Corp.) This sans-jurisdiction AND fraudulent AND
5 Treasonous case is hereby attacked now by the Petitioner, John Garrett Smith, Attorney Pro Se
6 and Natural-Born Citizen of the United States of America.

7
8 (2) NOTICE OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY MANDATING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

9 In McCulloch v. Maryland, Chief Justice Marshall reiterated that the Federal Constitution
10 "shall be the Supreme Law of the Land" and that the judiciary of both State and Federal Courts
11 owe an "oath of fidelity to it."

12 "Any violation of secured privileges protected under the Constitution and binding the
13 State's judicial agents and officers ... under 'color of State law' is considered criminal activity
14 pursuant to the Laws of The United States of America" (June 25, 1948; USCS Title 18 sect.
15 242).

16 The "Oath of Office" contract mandates that judges are bound to uphold the
17 Constitution, the supreme law of the land, upon which the "State's Judiciary System" is required
18 to follow those provisions pursuant to the Law, codified under USCS Title 18, section 242.

19 The Supreme Court of the State of Washington is to hereby consider this filed petition as
20 a report of criminal activity pursuant to the law of June 25, 1948. The Court, now having
21 knowledge of 'criminal activity' perpetrated by the State of Washington's judiciary agents and
22 officers, has the duty and lawful authority pursuant to the "All Writs Act" to provide protections
23 for the Petitioner. Further, the Court is hereby beseeched by duty to direct the State Justice

1 Department to investigate the activities of the Washington State Judicial System for the
2 unauthorized, unconstitutional and unlawful pattern and practice to violate those secured and
3 protected rights afforded by the Constitution of The United States.

4 Indeed, the Court is hereby required by Law and by cogent petition to take affirmative
5 action, and neglecting to take action against criminal behavior can be viewed as a violation of
6 Law (USCS Title 18, section 3). Considering the extraordinary financial and social burdens
7 that Washington's systemic decadence places on the State and the Nation, it is anticipated that
8 steps toward the restoration of Law & Order in a rogue State will be viewed as positive
9 developments for that State and for America.

10 Furthermore, this notice to the Court of corrupt actions by the State's judiciary system
11 includes, but is not limited to, Washington's Superior Court of Clark County, and the
12 Washington State Bar Association and their active, registered members for criminal racketeering
13 activities against its citizens.

14 The Court has been previously and fully provided the abundant evidence of what has
15 become a pattern of flagrant abuse of secured rights as identified pursuant to USCS Title 18,
16 sections 1961 & 1962, specifically, and not limited to, influencing the State's judicial system ...
17 and interference with commerce ... the Federal Courts have the duty and authority, pursuant to
18 USCS Title 18, section 1964(b), to direct the Attorney General to initiate proceedings, and to
19 issue an "Order of the Court" as petitioned herein demanding the State of Washington to abstain
20 from further violation of the Constitution, specifically commencing with the "Release of the
21 Petitioner from Unlawful Imprisonment and Vitiating of this Fraudulent Case in its Entirety".
22

1 (3) COMMISSION AND MISPRISION OF COMPOUNDING ACTS OF TREASON
2 MANDATE IMMEDIATE INTERVENTION AND DISMISSAL

3 Additionally, this notice identifies the unconstitutional activity as acts of Treason by the
4 State as established in US v. Will:

5 "Whenever a judge acts where he/she does not have jurisdiction to act, the judge engages
6 in an act of treason."

7 The Court is required to take notice of any judge or attorney-at-law who commits, or does
8 not inform or report any act(s) of treason, as required by Law, and may be viewed as guilty of
9 misprision of Treason pursuant to USCS Title 18, section 2382.

10 Until this heinous case is completely vitiated and the Petitioner is released from illegal
11 incarceration, the crimes of Treason persist unabated, and all parties complicit to it, whether
12 actively or tacitly, continue to accrue liabilities for these serious crimes.

13 Specifically, to the detriment of any State judicial officer, including attorneys-at-law,
14 who are either alleged to have been personally involved in the Rights violations, or who had
15 knowledge of the same and took no action to prevent or aid in the prevention of same, and
16 thereby, "State judge [or BAR registered attorney] does NOT enjoy judicial immunity from
17 unconstitutional behavior" (Pullman v. Allen).

18 "In the willful and knowing, or merely allowing of the violations of a Citizen, secured
19 and guaranteed by the Constitution, the State's jurisdiction cannot claim immunity for damages
20 incurred and/or suffered by the Citizen. The 11th Amendment does NOT immunize" State
21 officials and judges operating with scienter of criminal acts. (Sullivan v. Barnett quoting Scheuer
22 v. Rhodes).

23 "A void process is no process. A person confined ... by virtue of a void warrant is

1 confined illegally." The prosecutor(s), judge(s), police and sheriff who executed the "pretend
2 warrant" and the jail/prison who holds him under it "are ALL liable for false imprisonment. This
3 is undoubted common law." (State of Connecticut v. Leach)

4 Treason and its misprision on account of the same nullity of this void ab initio case
5 inherently nullifies any offer of 11th Amendment indemnity, thereby subjecting ALL
6 perpetrators to the same grievous liabilities.

7
8 (4) MANDATORY IMMEDIATE & COMPLETE VITIATION IS THE ONLY LAWFUL
9 RESOLUTION

10 There is no question that numerous State officials and attorneys-at-law registered with the
11 Washington State Bar Association knowingly and willingly colluded, and are still colluding to
12 commit fraud in order to clear the path for Treason, all in the quest of obtaining a false
13 conviction intentionally crafted to sabotage, steal and destroy a life marked by strategic
14 Intellectual Property directed towards the betterment of mankind.

15 There is no question that a still-growing number of BAR registered attorneys and State
16 officials knowingly and willingly join in the deception and fraud that ARE
17 UNQUESTIONABLY ACTS OF TREASON on account of judicial collusion that perpetuates
18 the false, illegal and jurisdiction-less incarceration of an innocent man who was viciously
19 framed for a crime that never happened.

20 The Petitioner earnestly appeals to the Highest Court and to the Courts of Heaven, and
21 can only pray for and rely on the Courts' sense of honor and duty to act accordingly regarding
22 this Petition and the Notice of Treasonous Activity.

23 The State of Washington's judiciary system has willfully and knowingly entered an action

1 against the Petitioner in the absence of subject matter jurisdiction, thus violating those secured
2 rights guaranteed under the provisions of The Constitution of the United States of America
3 (1791). In that violation of a bound duty to uphold that Constitution, the State of Washington
4 failed their duty to safeguard those rights from infringement ... under color of State law, or
5 office, resulting in the unlawful restraint of Petitioner who has been forced to rely on a 'null and
6 void' order of the court.

7 Wherefore, the Petitioner now requires the Court to take action and grant petition for
8 'Order of Release and Vitiation' without unwanted further delay.

9
10 Respectfully Submitted on this 12th Day of May, 2017,

11 

12 Attorney Pro Se, DOC number 351176

13 Stafford Creek Corrections Center

14 191 Constantine Way

15 Aberdeen, WA 98520

16

17

18

19

20