

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

|                             |                                            |                                               |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| <b>Bill Number:</b> 2541 HB | <b>Title:</b> Involuntary treatment orders | <b>Agency:</b> 055-Admin Office of the Courts |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

| Account         | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 2015-17 | 2017-19 | 2019-21 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Counties        |         |         |         |         |         |
| Cities          |         |         |         |         |         |
| <b>Total \$</b> |         |         |         |         |         |

### Estimated Expenditures from:

**Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.**

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

|                                        |                     |                  |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Legislative Contact: Omeara Harrington | Phone: 360-786-7136 | Date: 01/21/2016 |
| Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis        | Phone: 360-704-4142 | Date: 01/27/2016 |
| Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan         | Phone: 360-357-2406 | Date: 01/27/2016 |
| OFM Review:                            | Phone:              | Date:            |

Request # 2541 HB-1

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### **II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts**

This bill would amend RCW 71.05.240 and RCW 71.05.320 to require the court to name the mental health service provider responsible for identifying the services the person will receive and must include a requirement that the person cooperate with the services planned by the mental health service provider.

### **II. B - Cash Receipts Impact**

No impact.

### **II. C - Expenditures**

Section 2 would amend RCW 71.05.240 and Section 4 would amend RCW 71.05.320 to require the court to name the mental health service provider responsible for identifying the services the person will receive and must include a requirement that the person cooperate with the services planned by the mental health service provider. The statutes currently state that an order for less restrictive alternative treatment must identify the services the person will receive. Based on input from the courts, the proposed legislation would require a second hearing for all of the cases involving the request for less restrictive alternatives.

Based on data received from DSHS, there are an average of 5,000 hearings statewide that could be affected by this legislation. For the purposes of this judicial impact note, if only 10% (a conservative number) of those hearings were affected, that would be an additional cost of \$94,243 (\$18,031 State; \$76,213 County).

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## **Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**