

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6383 SB	Title: Dependency petition reqs.	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact Lindsay Erickson	Phone: 786-7465	Date: 01/19/2016
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 3607045528	Date: 01/22/2016
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/22/2016
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 6383 SB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would clarify that a probation officer would not need to determine if a dependency petition is justifiable when the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) is the petitioner.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

RCW 13.34.040 would be amended (Section 1(2)) to remove a probation officer's verification requirement for petitions filed by DSHS.

No fiscal impact to the courts.

II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

No cash receipt impact.

II.C – Expenditures

No expenditure impact.