

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 6529 S SB	<b>Title:</b> Juvenile offenders reintegr.	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	--	---

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

**Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

**Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.**

**Estimated Expenditures from:**

COUNTY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
County FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
City FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 02/16/2016
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 02/19/2016
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/19/2016
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 6529 SSB-1

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The substitute bill does not change the Judicial impact.

The bill would amend RCW 13.40.308 to remove the fines for juveniles that are associated with the following RCWs: RCW 9A.56.070, RCW 9A.56.065, RCW 9A.56.068 and, RCW 9A.56.075.

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

This bill would remove the fines for juveniles that are associated with several RCWs. A three year analysis indicates an average of \$493,483 in fines ordered and \$34,925 paid. Because only a portion of ordered is paid, for the purposes of the fiscal impact there would be a potential of \$34,925 loss in revenue annually (-\$23,749 State; -\$11,176 County).

### II. C - Expenditures

No expenditure impact.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
<b>Total \$</b>					

Request # 6529 SSB-1

**Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**