

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1108 HB	Title: Substance trafficking/privcy	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact Edie Adams	Phone: 360-786-7180	Date: 01/19/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/24/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/24/2017
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 1108 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

New sections would be added to RCW 9.73 that would allow the attorney general or prosecuting attorney to apply to superior court for an order authorizing law enforcement to intercept wire, oral, or electronic communications to provide evidence of trafficking in controlled substances.

Based on input from judges, there will be minimal impact to the courts.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact