

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1416 HB	Title: Use of marijuana in public	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.

Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Peter Clodfelter	Phone: 360-786-7127	Date: 01/24/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/25/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/25/2017
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 01/25/2017

Request # 1416 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

RCW 69.50.445 would be amended to change the penalty for violation of that statute to a class 2 civil infraction from a class 3 civil infraction.

RCW 69.51A.060 would be amended to change the penalty for violation of that statute to a class 2 civil infraction from a class 3 civil infraction.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

During 2015 and 2016, there were an average of 293 charges for RCW 69.50.445. If the maximum amount (\$103) was ordered, the revenue would be \$30,179. There is no data for how much was fined and paid. Using the same assumption, as a class 2 penalty, if the maximum amount (\$257) was ordered, the total revenue would be \$75,301. The potential revenue increase using the maximum amounts would be \$45,122.

During 2015 and 2016, there were an average of 6 charges for RCW 69.51A.060. If the maximum amount (\$103) was ordered, the revenue would be \$618. There is no data for how much was fined and paid. Using the same assumption, as a class 2 penalty, if the maximum amount (\$257) was ordered, the total revenue would be \$1,542. The potential revenue increase using the maximum amounts would be \$924.

Total maximum additional revenue would be \$46,046.

II. C - Expenditures

There will be a minimal impact to the courts.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Request # 1416 HB-1

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact