

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1676 HB	Title: Service animals in training	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Audrey Frey	Phone: 360-786-7289	Date: 02/01/2017
Agency Preparation: Kai Matthews	Phone: 360-704-5545	Date: 02/03/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/03/2017
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 1676 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill amends RCW 9.91.170 to include service animals in training as a part of the definition of "service animal" and "guide dog". Amending this RCW's definition will expand the scope of penalties for interfering with the use of a service animal or guide dog to include service animals and guide dogs still in training.

AOC has reviewed the past three years of case filings under RCW 9.91.170 and found that only one case has been filed in that time frame. This bill will have no fiscal impact to the courts.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact