

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

| | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Bill Number: 5635 SB | Title: Retail theft | Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Legislative Contact: Aldo Melchiori | Phone: (360)786-7439 | Date: 02/03/2017 |
| Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis | Phone: 360-704-4142 | Date: 02/03/2017 |
| Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan | Phone: 360-357-2406 | Date: 02/03/2017 |
| OFM Review: Gwen Stamey | Phone: (360) 902-9810 | Date: 02/06/2017 |

Request # 5635 SB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

RCW 9A.56.360 would be amended to define the fact that if they are in possession of a device that could be used to overcome security systems, such as tag removers, that is considered retail theft.

No impact to the courts or AOC.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact