

NO. 84362-7

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

MATHEW and STEPHANIE McCLEARY, et al.,

Respondents,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Appellant.

**STATE OF WASHINGTON'S REPLY AND
ANSWER TO AMICI BRIEFS**

ROBERT W. FERGUSON
Attorney General

DAVID A. STOLIER, WSBA 24071
Senior Assistant Attorney General

ALAN D. COPSEY, WSBA 23305
Deputy Solicitor General

Office ID 91087
PO Box 40100-0100
Olympia, WA 98504-0100
360-753-6200

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	ARGUMENT	2
A.	With the Enactment of EHB 2242, the State Has Enacted Legislation That Amply Funds the State’s Program of Basic Education	2
B.	EHB 2242 Fully Implements the Funding Reform Legislation This Court Endorsed in 2012	3
C.	The Enactment of EHB 2242 Was the Product of Sustained and Extensive Legislative Consideration and Debate	5
D.	The Court Should Review the Allegations of Noncompliance as a Facial Challenge to ESHB 2242 Under the Standard the Court Set Out in 2012	8
E.	The Prototypical School Funding Model Is a Comprehensive Allocation Model That Must Be Assessed in Its Entirety.....	10
F.	The State’s Funding of Staff Compensation Significantly Increases Funding Beyond Line Items Listed in Budget Documents	11
G.	EHB 2242 Increases Total Funding For K-12 Education in Every School District in the State.....	13
H.	The 2017-19 Operating Budget Funds All Increases in Spending for the State’s Program of Basic Education Enacted Since the 2012 <i>McCleary</i> Decision and Carries Forward All Spending Increases Enacted in EHB 2242 as Maintenance Level Funding	17

I.	EHB 2242 Funds Market Rate Salaries for Educational Staff Engaged in the State’s Program of Basic Education.....	18
1.	All Other Challenges Regarding Compensation Are Disagreements with Policy Decisions, Not Constitutional Arguments.....	20
2.	The State Has Taken Meaningful Steps To Enhance Teacher Recruitment	21
3.	EHB 2242 Funds Additional Staff But Leaves the Staffing Mix to Local Decision-Makers.....	23
J.	EHB 2242 Provides Ample Funding for Special Education	25
1.	The State provides three tiers of special education funding.....	25
2.	Plaintiffs and Amici cannot succeed in a facial challenge to the State’s special education funding allocation	27
3.	Plaintiffs and Amici cannot succeed in a premature applied challenge to the State’s special education funding allocation.....	30
K.	Plaintiffs and Amici Cannot Create Their Own Constitutional Mandates	33
1.	Plaintiffs and Amici Cannot Claim Constitutional Noncompliance Based on Their Preferred Program of Basic Education.....	33
2.	Recommendations of Advocates and Workgroups Are Not Constitutional Mandates.....	33
L.	Capital Funding.....	35

M. No Individual Revenue Source Needs to Be Guaranteed in Perpetuity for State Funding to Be Regular and Dependable	36
N. The Court Should Dissolve the Order of Contempt, Relinquish Jurisdiction, and Dismiss This Appeal	38
1. The Legislature Enacted Legislation Before the 2018 Deadline That Fully Implements and Funds the State’s Program of Basic Education	38
2. The Court Should Dissolve the Order of Contempt	40
3. The Court Should Relinquish Jurisdiction	41
O. Plaintiff’s Request for Remedies Fails to Acknowledge or Address Their Constitutional and Practical Problems	42
III. CONCLUSION	44

APPENDIX

Appendix A : Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, <i>Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year</i> (Feb. 2017), http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf Table 34B: Certified Instructional Staff in All Programs
Appendix B: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, <i>Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year</i> (Feb. 2017), http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf Table 36B: Certified Administrative Staff in All Programs
Appendix C: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, <i>Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year</i> (Feb. 2017), http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

- Appendix D: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Tacoma School District, School
Year 2018-19, Not Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment
- Appendix E: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, “Analysis
of Excess General Fund Levies
Collectible in 2016” (Dec. 5, 2016),
<http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/LEV/1617/1061r.pdf>
Page 32
- Appendix F: Office of Program Research, Washington State House of
Representatives, “Estimated Impact for the Policies in the
2017-19 Biennial Budget and EHB 2242” (June 29, 2017),
[http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/hoK12
TaxPolicyAnalysis_0629.pdf](http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/hoK12TaxPolicyAnalysis_0629.pdf)
- Appendix G: Senate Committee Services, Washington State Senate,
“Estimated Net State and Local School District Funding
Changes Based on 2017-19 State Biennial Budget”
(June 29, 2017),
[http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/
soK12TotalFunding_0629.pdf](http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/soK12TotalFunding_0629.pdf) (Senate).
- Appendix H: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Chimacum School District,
School Year 2018-19,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment
- Appendix I: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Chimacum School District,
School Year 2019-20,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

Appendix J: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Chimacum School District,
School Year 2020-21,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>City of Seattle v. Evans</i> 184 Wn.2d 856, 366 P.3d 906 (2015), <i>cert. denied sub nom. Evans v. City of Seattle</i> ___ U.S. ___, 137 S. Ct. 474, 196 L. Ed. 2d 384 (2016).....	37
<i>Grant v. Spellman</i> 99 Wn.2d 815, 664 P.2d 1227 (1983).....	38
<i>McCleary v. State</i> 173 Wn.2d 477, 269 P.3d 277 (2012).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Sch. Dists.’ All. for Adequate Funding of Special Educ. v. State</i> 149 Wn. App. 241, 202 P.3d 990 (2009), <i>aff’d</i> , 170 Wn.2d 599, 244 P.3d 1 (2010)	25-28, 31-32

Constitutional Provisions

Const. art. VII, § 2(b).....	36
Const. art. VII, § 6	36
Const. art. VIII, § 1(e).....	36
Const. art. IX, § 1.....	1, 2, 8, 33, 37, 41, 44
Const. art. IX, § 3.....	36

Orders

Order, <i>McCleary v. State</i> , No. 84362-7 (Wash. Aug. 13, 2015).....	34, 41
Order, <i>McCleary v. State</i> , No. 84362-7 (Wash. July 14, 2016).....	38-39

Statutes

Laws of 2009, ch. 548 (ESHB 2261).....	1, 3, 4, 24, 27, 35, 42
Laws of 2010, ch. 236 (SHB 2776)	1, 4, 17, 23, 35, 42
Laws of 2015, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 38 (HB 2266)	24
Laws of 2015, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 4 (ESSB 6052)	18, 24, 32
Laws of 2016, ch. 233 (E2SSB 6455).....	22
Laws of 2016, ch. 3 (E2SSB 6195).....	6
Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1 (SSB 5883).....	18, 22, 25-26
Laws of 2017, 3d Spec. Sess., ch. 13 (EHB 2242)	<i>passim</i>
Laws of 2017, ch. 237 (ESHB 1115).....	22
Laws of 2017, ch. 6 (ESB 5023).....	14
RCW 28A.150.260.....	26
RCW 28A.150.390.....	25
RCW 28A.150.390(2)(b)	27
RCW 28A.150.390(3)(a)	26
RCW 28A.150.392.....	27
RCW 28A.525.....	36
RCW 84.52.0531	14

Regulations

WAC 392-140-600 through -685.....	27
-----------------------------------	----

Bills

ESHB 1843, 65th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2017).....	6
ESHB 2239, 64th Leg., 3d Sp. Sess. (Wash. 2015).....	5, 6
HB 2185, 65th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2017)	6
SB 5607, 65th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2017)	6
SB 6104, 64th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2015).....	5
SB 6109, 64th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2015).....	5, 6
SB 6130, 64th Leg., 2d Sp. Sess. (Wash. 2015)	5, 6

Other Authorities

<i>A Citizen's Guide to the Washington State Budget</i> (2016), http://leg.wa.gov/Senate/Committees/WM/Documents/ Publications/2016/2016%20CGTB_Final_website.pdf	10
<i>A Guide to the Washington State Budget Process</i> (May 2016), http://www.ofm.wa.gov/reports/budgetprocess.pdf	10
Allan Odden et al., <i>An Evidenced-Based Approach to School Finance Adequacy in Washington</i> (Sept. 11, 2006), http://www.k12.wa.us/QEC/pubdocs/EvidenceBased ReportFinal9-11-06_000.pdf	10
<i>Final Report of the Joint Task Force on Basic Education Finance</i> (Jan. 14, 2009), http://www.k12.wa.us/QEC/pubdocs/BasicEdFinance TaskForceFinalReport.pdf	6, 10
<i>Follow-up on Salary Spending by School Districts: Regional Differences in Additional Salary</i> (July 13, 2006), https://app.leg.wa.gov/CMD/Handler.ashx?MethodName= getdocumentcontent&documentId=iK0g9JICQ7A&att=false	7

http://k12.wa.us/Communications/PressReleases2016/ ComplaintAsFiled.pdf.....	7
http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/hoK12 TaxPolicyAnalysis_0629.pdf (Appendix F)	16
http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/soK12 TotalFunding_0629.pdf (Appendix G)	16
OSPI, <i>Analysis of Excess General Fund Levies Collectible in 2016</i> (Dec. 5, 2016), http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/LEV/1617/1061r.pdf (Appendix E).....	14
OSPI, <i>Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year</i> (Feb. 2017), http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf.....	13, 19
OSPI's Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool, http://www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp (Appendix D)	14, 16
Tables: http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/ps.asp (last visited Sept. 8, 2017) (Appendix A)	13

I. INTRODUCTION

The State has achieved compliance with article IX, section 1 of the Washington Constitution by implementing and fully funding the education reforms that this Court endorsed in 2012. The Legislature has increased funding for K-12 education from \$13.4 billion in the 2011-13 biennium to \$26.6 billion in the 2019-21 biennium—well beyond what enrollment and inflation would have required. Having obtained what they sought—full state funding of basic education, Plaintiffs now seek to move the target.

This Court and the Legislature have consistently understood that implementing the reforms adopted in ESHB 2261 (Laws of 2009, ch. 548) and SHB 2776 (Laws of 2010, ch. 236, § 2) would achieve full compliance with article IX, section 1. Perhaps because the State has now reached this goal, Plaintiffs and Amici now claim that these fully implemented and fully funded basic education reforms are inadequate. In their view, no funding allocation model could be constitutionally valid because any such model necessarily imposes constraints on local spending. Plaintiffs want reimbursement, not allocation.

Plaintiffs and the State agree on many principles. Constitutional rights matter. The Court should uphold the law. No one is above the law. But none of these principles leads to the conclusion that the State has failed to achieve constitutional compliance. Nor do they support Plaintiffs’

premise that the “actual cost” of basic education is defined by school districts’ expenditures and must be funded without constraint by the State. That is not the mandate of article IX, section 1.

The State’s funding of basic education is based on evidence the Legislature has gathered and reviewed over a course of years, incorporates information about current spending needs, and contains provisions designed to maintain adequacy over time. EHB 2242 (Laws of 2017, 3d Spec. Sess., ch. 13) phases in full state funding for the State’s program in a choreographed sequence that is fully complete by the 2019-20 school year. This legislation brings the State into compliance with article IX, section 1.

II. ARGUMENT

A. With the Enactment of EHB 2242, the State Has Enacted Legislation That Amply Funds the State’s Program of Basic Education

In the five years since 2012, the State has annually reported its progress in working to come into compliance with article IX, section 1. Each time, Plaintiffs have ignored the State’s progress, rejected its use of an allocation model, and accused the State of neglecting education. The State nevertheless continued its progress toward full implementation and funding. Now, with the enactment of EHB 2242, the State has achieved compliance, but Plaintiffs’ arguments have not changed.

But the posture of this case *has* changed. EHB 2242 fully implements and funds ESHB 2261—and it also does more. EHB 2242 enacts additional comprehensive funding reforms that qualitatively and quantitatively increase state support for basic education. It allocates funding to support more staff, provides state funding to pay market rate salaries and benefits for all staff providing basic education services, provides state funding that is fully sufficient to support the State’s program of basic education, and adds revenue sources to help pay for the additional funding. Qualitatively, these reforms add and fund *more instructional services* to the State’s program of basic education. Quantitatively, these reforms add *more salary funding* to fully fund salary costs for the State’s preexisting and new instructional services.

The comprehensive funding reforms enacted in EHB 2242 meet the constitutional standard this Court set out in 2012: they provide or are reasonably likely to provide fully sufficient state funding for the State’s program of basic education. *McCleary v. State*, 173 Wn.2d 477, 519, 269 P.3d 277 (2012).

B. EHB 2242 Fully Implements the Funding Reform Legislation This Court Endorsed in 2012

In its 2012 decision, the Court endorsed the reform legislation initiated by ESHB 2261 as an appropriate remedy, provided its reforms

were implemented. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 543-46.¹ ESHB 2261 accounts for actual costs through a prospective allocation model rather than through reimbursement of school district expenditures. The model uses an evidence-based approach to funding adequacy to identify which interventions benefit student achievement and then attaches a dollar figure to those interventions. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 542; *see also* 2014 Report to the Washington State Supreme Court by the Joint Select Committee on Article IX Litigation at 41-50 (Apr. 30, 2014) (2014 Report) (giving examples of evidence used to calibrate and update the model). And the State indeed does contend that, with the enactment of EHB 2242, it has determined the actual costs of providing the State’s program of basic education and is funding those costs.²

Plaintiffs and Amici nevertheless continue to argue as if the Court ordered the State to implement an unconstrained reimbursement model rather than the reformed allocation formulas embodied in ESHB 2261. Under their model, the State would be obligated to pay whatever amount of

¹ ESHB 2261 also was endorsed by “educators, school districts and by state and local officials,” including members of NEWS (a Plaintiff herein). CP 2935 (Trial Court’s Revised Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, FF 249); *see also* *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 543-44 (quoting Superintendent of Public Instruction).

² As the Court recognized in 2012, the prototypical school model is designed to determine and fund the actual costs of providing the State’s program of basic education. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 542. In prior years following the Court’s 2012 decision, the State has acknowledged that the model has not been fully funded, although individual parts of the model have been fully implemented according to the schedule enacted in SHB 2776. This year is different—EHB 2242 fully implements the model and fully funds the State’s program of basic education.

money each of the 295 independent school districts has expended. That is not a model for financial or educational accountability, and it has not been mandated by the Court.³

C. The Enactment of EHB 2242 Was the Product of Sustained and Extensive Legislative Consideration and Debate

EHB 2242 was not created out of whole cloth at the last minute, as Plaintiffs and Amici suggest.⁴ It is the product of a years-long legislative dialogue and deliberation, and its antecedents are readily identifiable. For example, several bills in 2015 included a salary regionalization component as part of a proposed new salary allocation model,⁵ at least one included both a hold harmless provision and required periodic labor market analyses as part of a new salary allocation model,⁶ and various bills proposed alternatives for more effectively distinguishing basic education (funded by the State) from enhancements outside the program of basic education

³ The State is unaware of any government funding program that does not cap or otherwise constrain reimbursement. A capped reimbursement program presumably would not satisfy Plaintiffs and Amici because it, like the prototypical school model, would not allow school districts use their own expenditures to set the level of state funding they would receive.

⁴ See Pls./Resp'ts' 2017 Post-Budget Filing at 14-15 (filed Aug. 30, 2017) (Pls.' Br.); Br. of Amicus Curiae Washington's Paramount Duty at 1, 10 (filed Aug. 30, 2017) (WPD Br.).

⁵ ESHB 2239, § 6, 64th Leg., 3d Sp. Sess. (Wash. 2015); SB 6130, § 306, 64th Leg., 2d Sp. Sess. (Wash. 2015); SB 6109, § 101, 64th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2015).

⁶ SB 6104, §§ 102, 105, 64th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2015).

(which may be funded through local levies)⁷ and improving local spending accountability and transparency.⁸ See *2015 Report to the Washington State Supreme Court by the Joint Select Committee on Article IX Litigation*, at 17-26, 31-34 (July 27, 2015) (*2015 Report*). Some elements of EHB 2242 can be traced back to the Joint Task Force on Basic Education Finance in 2009.⁹ All the main elements enacted in EHB 2242 were foreshadowed in E2SSB 6195 (Laws of 2016, ch. 3, § 2), enacted in 2016.

During the 2017 session, bills containing language and provisions foreshadowing EHB 2242 were introduced and received public hearings in both houses of the Legislature.¹⁰ The pieces of the final agreement were in full circulation in the Legislature for many, many weeks before the passage of EHB 2242. The final assembly of the pieces may have been worked out in the final days of the legislative session, but the pieces were publicly known well in advance. As was widely reported in the media, a key group of legislators met regularly and repeatedly throughout the session to work toward consensus.

⁷ ESHB 2239, § 5; SB 6130, § 401; SB 6109, § 102.

⁸ ESHB 2239, § 5; SB 6130, §§ 201-209; SB 6109, §§ 101(5), 201, 105(6).

⁹ *Final Report of the Joint Task Force on Basic Education Finance* (Jan. 14, 2009), <http://www.k12.wa.us/QEC/pubdocs/BasicEdFinanceTaskForceFinalReport.pdf> (see pages 15-16: moving away from a staff mix model; regionalization; and page 22: levy reform; local effort assistance redesign).

¹⁰ See, e.g., ESHB 1843, 65th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2017); HB 2185, 65th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2017); SB 5607, 65th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2017).

Going into the 2017 legislative session, the central issue left before the Legislature was how to allocate funding for staff compensation from regular and dependable state funds rather than local levies. Contrary to Plaintiffs' argument that local levies should not be addressed in this case,¹¹ levy reform is intertwined with staff compensation because school districts have responded to insufficient state funding for salaries as justification for using local levies to augment salaries.¹² Levy reform may not be constitutionally required but it is certainly permissible, within the Legislature's policymaking function, and entirely reasonable. And, as was made abundantly clear in the course of this litigation, the resort to local excess levies masked the State's under-funding for years. *See McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 536-37.¹³ Rather than being contrary to the Court's

¹¹ Pls.' Br. at 18-20.

¹² *See McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 536-37; *see also Follow-up on Salary Spending by School Districts: Regional Differences in Additional Salary* (July 13, 2006), <https://app.leg.wa.gov/CMD/Handler.ashx?MethodName=getdocumentcontent&documentId=iK0g9JICQ7A&att=false> (prepared by nonpartisan House and Senate staff for the Education Funding Task Force, showing estimated additional salary paid from local levies for certified instructional staff, classified administrative staff, and classified staff in the 2014-15 school year). Similar numbers were cited by the former Superintendent of Public Instruction in a complaint filed against several school districts in July 2016. *See* <http://k12.wa.us/Communications/PressReleases2016/ComplaintAsFiled.pdf>.

¹³ To help ensure against that situation arising in the future, the Legislature added new accountability and transparency measures to track sources of funding and amounts of expenditures for use by school districts, the State, and the public. *2017 Report to the Washington State Supreme Court by the Joint Select Committee on Article IX Litigation* (July 31, 2017) (*2017 Report*) at 62-64.

constitutional holding, the Legislature's policy decision to reform school levies is intended to promote the State's constitutional duty.

D. The Court Should Review the Allegations of Noncompliance as a Facial Challenge to ESHB 2242 Under the Standard the Court Set Out in 2012

As it would when any other newly enacted statute is challenged immediately upon enactment, the Court should review EHB 2242 as a facial challenge. *See* State of Washington's Memorandum Transmitting the Legislature's 2017 Post-Budget Report at 27-29 (July 31, 2017) (State's Br.). Instead of looking to press releases and media reports, as Plaintiffs and Amici do, the Court should look to the language of EHB 2242 and the operating budget that implements it, and to the separate spending projections prepared by nonpartisan legislative staff and by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, as discussed below. Unless some error is demonstrated in those projections (and none has been alleged, much less demonstrated, by Plaintiffs or Amici), those projections should be accepted as accurate. Any alleged failure of the State to meet those projections in the coming school years must be demonstrated in an applied challenge, and would rest on evidence that does not yet exist.

The Constitution does not require legislative perfection, even in the context of education funding. The standard for constitutional compliance with article IX, section 1 is that the legislation must be "reasonably likely"

to provide fully sufficient state funding for the State's program of basic education. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 519. Consequently, even if the formulas in EHB 2242 are imperfect (as Plaintiffs yearly claim them to be), potentially leading to some shortfalls in revenue or funding in the future, the formulas are not "etched in constitutional stone." *Id.* at 484. As this Court stated, "The legislature has an obligation to review the basic education program as the needs of students and the demands of society evolve." *Id.*

The Legislature shares the Court's expectation that the basic education program must be systematically reviewed and revised to ensure that it meets the needs of students and complies with its constitutional obligations. EHB 2242 includes provisions requiring regular review and updating to ensure that the model continues to meet the educational needs of students and amply funds basic education. *See, e.g.*, EHB 2242, § 104 (requiring regular periodic review and update of salary allocations), §§ 407, 408 (requiring the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) to conduct a review, make recommendations to the Legislature, and update its rules regarding special education funding).

The Legislature also recognizes its duty to respond to shortfalls in funding for enacted legislation. It fulfills that duty in each odd year by enacting a new biennial budget that provides for maintenance level

spending, and in each even year by enacting a supplemental budget that adjusts spending and revenue to assure funding for its enacted programs.¹⁴

E. The Prototypical School Funding Model Is a Comprehensive Allocation Model That Must Be Assessed in Its Entirety

Plaintiffs and Amici attempt to disassemble the funding model into discrete pieces and then argue that each piece is inadequately funded. In doing so they fundamentally misunderstand and mischaracterize the prototypical school model. As the Court recognized, the model is an evidence-based allocation model, with its genesis in the Picus and Odden report,¹⁵ on which the Basic Education Finance Task Force relied in making its recommendations to the Legislature.¹⁶ *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 542. Those recommendations provided the framework for the funding model enacted in ESHB 2261. *Id.*

The components of the funding model are set out to provide public transparency—they are not isolated, discretely funded components of basic education, and no single component is intended to stand alone. Because the

¹⁴ See *A Citizen's Guide to the Washington State Budget* at 15-16 (2016), http://leg.wa.gov/Senate/Committees/WM/Documents/Publications/2016/2016%20CGT_B_Final_website.pdf. The budget process also is summarized in the Office of Financial Management's *A Guide to the Washington State Budget Process* (May 2016), <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/reports/budgetprocess.pdf>, and in the *2014 Report* at pages 34-38.

¹⁵ Allan Odden et al., *An Evidenced-Based Approach to School Finance Adequacy in Washington* (Sept. 11, 2006), http://www.k12.wa.us/QEC/pubdocs/EvidenceBasedReportFinal9-11-06_000.pdf.

¹⁶ *Final Report of the Joint Task Force on Basic Education Finance* (Jan. 14, 2009), <http://www.k12.wa.us/QEC/pubdocs/BasicEdFinanceTaskForceFinalReport.pdf>.

model is an allocation model, not a prescriptive model, school districts have discretion in how they spend the state allocation. The model has been specifically designed to calculate the amount of state funding necessary to amply provide for the State’s program of basic education, while substantially preserving the ability of local school boards to decide how best to spend the state funding allocation to meet the needs of their students.

For example, the prototypical school model includes an allocation for the actual cost of materials, supplies, and operating costs (MSOC) on a per-student basis. *See* EHB 2242, § 402(8). But that allocation for MSOC does not limit or mandate the amount of money a school district must spend on MSOC—that decision is left to individual school districts, which may choose different priorities for spending state funds.¹⁷ Plaintiffs and Amici appear not to understand this built-in deference to local discretion.

F. The State’s Funding of Staff Compensation Significantly Increases Funding Beyond Line Items Listed in Budget Documents

Perhaps because of their misguided attempt to break the funding model into discrete “components,” Plaintiffs and Amici also fail utterly to

¹⁷ This error, among others, is reflected in the PowerPoint slide cited in Plaintiffs’ Brief at 25 n.79. The cited slide treats the MSOC allocation as if it were the only state funding source that could be used for these expenditures. Moreover, the slide itself is of questionable usefulness to Plaintiffs because it fails to specify the amount of the technology grants that were received, lists expenditures for utilities and insurance that are double the amounts listed in the comments, includes professional development as MSOC, and fails to identify the portions of each item that are not part of basic education.

comprehend the significance of the State's funding of all staffing compensation costs for basic education. The increase in State funding for staff compensation—more than \$5.3 billion, including benefits, over the next two biennia¹⁸—drives increased funding into nearly every part of the prototypical model, and to special education, bilingual education, the learning assistance program, and the highly capable program.¹⁹ Because funding levels for these programs are based on instructional time, and the State uses the salary schedules in the prototypical school funding model to allocate funding for these specialized forms of instruction,²⁰ the increases in state funding for these programs that flow from state funding for compensation are significant—and completely discounted or overlooked by Plaintiffs and Amici.

For example, funding for the learning assistance program provides additional hours of instruction for students not meeting academic standards. The State allocates funding for those additional hours of instruction by funding additional certificated instructional staff. By increasing the state salary allocation for each state-funded certificated instructional staff person, the State increases the allocation for the learning assistance program

¹⁸ *2017 Report* at 12-14, 27.

¹⁹ *Id.* at 28. Increased state funding for compensation also drives additional allocations for pupil transportation. *Id.*

²⁰ *Id.*

because it allocates funding for each staff person at a higher rate. In the 2016-17 school year the state allocated \$54,890 for each state-funded certificated instructional staff.²¹ With enactment of EHB 2242 and the 2017-19 operating budget, state allocations for certificated instructional staff are increased to \$72,694,²² a 32 percent increase that proportionally increases allocations to each of the State's basic education programs.

G. EHB 2242 Increases Total Funding For K-12 Education in Every School District in the State

Plaintiffs and Amicus Washington's Paramount Duty appear to believe—erroneously—that EHB 2242 reduces funding for education compared with prior law. They both cite a statement by Tacoma Public Schools as support.²³ That statement is wrong, for at least three reasons:

- The statement uses incorrect numbers for “old state funding.” It should start with maintenance level funding for 2018-19, which

²¹ OSPI, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>. See Table 34B, *Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs*, available separately at <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/ps.asp> (last visited Sept. 8, 2017). For the Court's convenience, a printout of that table is attached as Appendix A to this brief with the pertinent value circled (in the last row of the table).

²² 2017 Report at 22.

²³ Pls.' Br. at 11 n.41; WPD Br. at 10. By pointing out the errors in the statement, the State does not intend to levy criticism at Tacoma Public Schools. EHB 2242 is complex legislation that comprehensively revises the state funding model. It is to be expected that early estimates of its effects will contain errors. The State is disappointed, however, that Plaintiffs and Amici would make arguments to the Court based on a press release rather than confirming the real numbers using verifiable tools that are available through the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction.

is \$261,809,786 (rather than \$270,243,253) and use that number when applying inflation for subsequent years.²⁴

- The statement uses incorrect numbers for its levy amount. Its existing levy authority is \$86 million, not \$96 million.²⁵ In addition, the statement assumes the school district will be able to levy to the full extent of its authority—i.e., \$90 million, according to the assumptions listed below the table—with no reduction or levy failure. That is possible, but it is speculative.
- Applying the law as it existed before EHB 2242, the permissible levy amount would fall in 2019 by at least 4 percentage points. RCW 84.52.0531, which the statement does not acknowledge.²⁶ For simplicity, we have not included that additional reduction in our calculation.

When the correct numbers are used, applying the same assumptions as the statement did²⁷—even without including the additional drop off in local levy funding under the pre-EHB 2242 law—the actual funding per

²⁴ The correct number can be found by using OSPI's Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool, <http://www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp>. Open the tool, click on the third tab ("summary"), select "Tacoma School District" and "School Year 2018-19 at the indicated locations at the top of the spreadsheet, and then select "No" in response to "Use Caseload Forecasted Enrollment" (to match Tacoma's assumption of no enrollment growth). The correct value for state funding under the law before EHB 2242 is in the intersection of the row titled "Total State Funding" and the column titled "Maintenance." For the Court's convenience, a printout of that table is attached as Appendix D to this brief with the correct value circled.

²⁵ OSPI, *Analysis of Excess General Fund Levies Collectible in 2016*, at 32 (Dec. 5, 2016), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/LEV/1617/1061r.pdf> (see column "Certified Levy Amount"). For the Court's convenience, a printout of this page is attached as Appendix E with the correct value circled. The \$86 million figure aligns with the \$90 million estimate for subsequent years that Tacoma Public Schools lists in its assumptions.

²⁶ RCW 84.52.0531 was amended in the 2017 regular session to extend the higher levy rate by one year, to 2018, to accommodate the transition to full state funding of basic education. ESB 5023 (Laws of 2017, ch. 6, §§ 1, 2). Thereafter the rate drops by 4 percent and the levy base also is reduced, lowering the levy amount still further. *Id.* This was the law in effect prior to EHB 2242.

²⁷ Three percent inflation, no enrollment growth (28,543 students each year), local levy or local effort levy at \$90 million per year.

student FTE *increases* by \$635 in 2018-19 and \$793 in 20-21.²⁸ In other words, Tacoma Public Schools overstates the funding it would receive prior to EHB 2242. When the correct numbers are used, Tacoma Public Schools will receive *\$947 more per student* in 2020-21 than its statement reports. Tacoma Public Schools receives substantially more state funding and total funding under EHB 2242 than under prior law.²⁹

Indeed, every school district in the State is projected to receive more state funding under EHB 2242 than under prior law; almost every district

²⁸ Here is the corrected version of the table Tacoma Public Schools produced:

Old State Funding Formula:	2018-19 (no levy cliff)	2019-20 (no levy cliff)	2020-21 (no levy cliff)
State	\$ 261,809,786	\$ 265,115,728	\$ 269,676,762
Levy or Local Effort Levy	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 90,000,000	\$ 90,000,000
Total	\$ 347,809,786	\$ 355,115,728	\$ 359,676,762
Per Pupil	\$ 12,185	\$ 12,441	\$ 12,601
New State Funding Formula:	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
State	\$ 306,168,978	\$ 337,604,868	\$ 342,812,281
Levy or Local Effort Levy	\$ 59,760,663	\$ 37,301,757	\$ 39,489,748
Total	\$ 365,929,641	\$ 374,906,625	\$ 382,302,029
Per Pupil	\$ 12,820	\$ 13,135	\$ 13,394
Increase Per Pupil Under EHB 2242:	\$ 635	\$ 693	\$ 793

²⁹ Amicus Washington's Paramount Duty also cites public statements from Seattle Public Schools. WPD Br. at 11. Those statements do not contain any information about what assumptions are made. They may or may not contain errors similar to those in Tacoma Public Schools' statement, but should not be accepted as fact.

also receives more total funding.³⁰ This includes the Chimacum School District, which the McCleary children attended. Amicus Washington Paramount Duty contends Chimacum School District will lose a million dollars in 2019 under EHB 2242, compared with prior law.³¹ That contention demonstrates the risk of relying on a television interview rather than actual numbers. Using OSPI's Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool, Chimacum School District actually is projected to receive nearly *two million dollars more* in school year 2018-19 under EHB 2242 than it would have received under prior law, and that number rises to more than three million dollars in both 2019-20 and 2020-21.³²

³⁰ This statement can be verified by using OSPI's Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool, <http://www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp>, for each of the 295 school districts in the State. Admittedly, this is a somewhat tedious exercise. However, less detailed summary reports produced by nonpartisan staff in both the Senate and the House of Representatives confirm that most school districts receive substantially more state funding under EHB 2242 than they would have received under prior law; a few very small districts that already receive large state allocations (often exceeding \$30,000 per student) due to the small school district enhancement in the funding model, receive smaller increases (or are held harmless to avoid any reduction) in state funding. See http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/hoK12TaxPolicyAnalysis_0629.pdf (House); http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/soK12TotalFunding_0629.pdf (Senate). For the Court's convenience, printouts of these two reports are attached as Appendices F and G, respectively, with the relevant column headings circled.

³¹ WPD Br. at 19-20.

³² For the Court's convenience, printouts of the relevant tables are attached as Appendices H, I, and J with the pertinent value circled on each table. For school year 2018-19, OSPI estimated the increase in funding under EHB 2242 to be \$1,964,823. App. H. For school year 2019-20, the estimated increase is \$3,167,408. App. I. For school year 2020-21, the estimated increase is \$3,321,413. App. J. OSPI did not capture all revenue to school districts because it is not yet clear which districts will receive revenue from various grants.

H. The 2017-19 Operating Budget Funds All Increases in Spending for the State’s Program of Basic Education Enacted Since the 2012 *McCleary* Decision and Carries Forward All Spending Increases Enacted in EHB 2242 as Maintenance Level Funding

Plaintiffs seemingly have failed to learn the meaning of “maintenance level” funding, even though it was explained at length in the *2014 Report* at pages 35-36, and again in the State’s brief filed on June 17, 2016.³³ Consequently, they seek to discount budgetary increases in state funding for legislation enacted in prior legislative sessions as “treading water”—i.e., maintaining the status quo. Pls.’ Br. at 12. Maintenance level funding is not just the cost of maintaining the “status quo.” It is the estimated cost of paying for everything *already enacted into law*—including the cost of programs or enhancements that first take effect in the current biennium even though they were enacted previously, and including additional estimated costs to be incurred due to inflation and increased caseloads and enrollment.³⁴

One pertinent example is the cost for completing the K-3 class size reductions enacted in SHB 2776 (Laws of 2010, ch. 236, § 2). The 2015-17 operating budget funded the second increment and the 2017-19 operating

³³ State of Washington’s Reply Brief and Answer to Amicus Briefs Filed by Arc of Washington et al., Columbia Legal Services et al., Washington’s Paramount Duty, and the Superintendent of Public Instruction at 15-17 (June 17, 2016).

³⁴ *Id.*

budget funded the final increment (for the 2017-18 school year).³⁵ This funding is not “treading water”—it is paying for real changes in the program of basic education that were enacted previously but take effect in this biennium.

I. EHB 2242 Funds Market Rate Salaries for Educational Staff Engaged in the State’s Program of Basic Education

Plaintiffs complain that the State has insufficient data to support its compensation numbers and argue that the State has not taken into consideration what the districts are actually paying, as found in collective bargaining agreements. Pls.’ Br. at 37 n.118. Paradoxically, they also appear to claim that it doesn’t matter, because even if the evidence is sound, legislators could not have taken any of it into consideration because their vote was rushed on June 30, 2017. Pls.’ Br. at 35, 36, 37.³⁶

In its opening brief, the State explained that two separate consultants reviewed data and confirmed that what districts were actually paying was an accurate market rate. State’s Br. at 17-19. Although Plaintiffs apparently are unhappy with the results obtained by both consultants, they do not rebut the data or conclusions drawn by Dr. Taylor in her consulting work

³⁵ *2017 Report* at 43-44; see ESSB 6052, § 202(2) (Laws of 2015, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 4); SSB 5883, § 502(2) (Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1).

³⁶ This statement is unfounded. The State previously explained the multi-year genesis of the policies enacted in EHB 2242. See Argument Section C *supra* p.5.

supporting the Compensation Technical Working Group in 2012 or those produced by the Education Funding Task Force consultant in 2016.³⁷ Contrary to Plaintiffs' claims, the State has captured what districts already have been paying to derive an estimate of market rate. According to the 2016 data compiled by the consultant, districts paid an average salary across all staff of \$60,915, including supplemental pay. Comparison with preliminary data reported by districts to OSPI for school year 2016-17 yields a higher number of \$62,131.³⁸ Thus, *the State's allocation will be higher than both numbers* upon full implementation of the total compensation increases in the 2019-20 school year. The statewide average

³⁷ Pls.' Br. at 36 (refusing to identify Dr. Taylor by name or title, instead referring to her only as "a person" who submitted something to the work group).

³⁸ Districts submit personnel reports to OSPI that capture base salary and additional salary for average total salaries by employee type. OSPI reports those numbers publicly. The most recent report is the preliminary report from the 2016-17 school year. OSPI, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>. The current (2016-17) average across all three staff types can be derived from this OSPI Report but requires doing some mathematics, beginning with data from three tables in that report. For the Court's convenience, printouts of these tables are attached to this brief as Appendices A, B, and C, with the pertinent values circled.

Table 34B shows Certificated Instructional Staff (CIS). The summary for CIS lists an average total salary of \$68,047 with 68,298 FTE (last row of the table). *See* App. A.

Table 36B shows Certificated Administrative Staff (CAS). The summary for CAS shows an average total salary of \$118,674 with 4,794 FTE (last row of the table). *See* App. B.

Table 38B shows Classified Staff. The summary for Classified shows average total salary of \$45,422 with 41,339 FTE (last row of the table). *See* App. C.

Average total salary can be derived from the following formula: (CIS FTEs 68,047 x CIS avg salary \$68,298) + (CAS FTEs 4,794 x CAS avg salary \$118,674) + (CLS FTEs 41,339 x CLS avg salary \$45,422) = \$7,094,097,220. Dividing that amount by the sum of all staff FTEs of 114,180 yields average total salary for 2016-17 of \$62,131.

salary allocation for all staff is projected to be \$69,721 in the 2019-20 school year. *2017 Report* at 22 n.40 (cited in State's Br. at 19). Thus, the State has taken actual costs into consideration and funded an average salary that is several thousand dollars higher than staff currently receive. And this number includes just salaries; on top of this amount, the State also will be funding benefits, pensions, and other related costs. *See 2017 Report* at 27. Finally, after achieving market rate salary allocations, the State puts measures into law to build in cost of living increases and to ensure that it periodically reviews and rebases salary allocations. *2017 Report* at 25-26.³⁹

1. All Other Challenges Regarding Compensation Are Disagreements with Policy Decisions, Not Constitutional Arguments

Plaintiffs next suggest that school districts will not be able to pay high quality employees, even though no district will receive any less money for its employees under EHB 2242.⁴⁰ Their argument is really a collateral attack on the Legislature's policy decision to revamp levy authority and ensure basic education expenditures are not paid from local excess levies, consistent with reforming constitutional infirmities.

³⁹ Plaintiffs contend EHB 2242 sets a maximum salary of \$90,000. Pls.' Br. at 37. They are wrong. *2017 Report* at 22.

⁴⁰ Pls.' Br. at 34-38.

In response to Plaintiffs' successful argument at trial that over-reliance on local excess levies was a primary factor establishing state liability in this case, the only responsive principle of constitutional magnitude is that the State must provide enough state resources to ensure districts can deliver the basic education program defined by the Legislature. All other issues Plaintiffs raise concerning local excess levy policy are for the Legislature to determine, and arguments for or against any policy should be addressed in the legislative process.

As noted above, over-reliance on excess levies masked State underfunding for years so it is entirely reasonable and unsurprising that the Legislature would attempt to lessen the risk of falling into noncompliance again. Levy reform, increased accountability measures, and built-in review of various aspects of the funding model all contribute to that attempt. They also provide timely information about how well the funding model is meeting the needs of school districts and school children. It is curious that Plaintiffs and Amici would oppose such measures.

2. The State Has Taken Meaningful Steps To Enhance Teacher Recruitment

Plaintiffs reference a projected teacher shortage in an oblique attack on compensation levels. As the Legislature has reported to the Court, in recent years it has enacted measures to address and enhance teacher

recruitment. In 2015 it increased support for the Beginning Educator Support Team (BEST) grant program to support new teachers. *2015 Report* at 12. In 2016, it enacted E2SSB 6455 (Laws of 2016, ch. 233), implementing several strategies intended to address teacher recruitment, including financial aid programs; increasing teacher mentoring support; easing the path for advanced level, out-of-state teachers to be issued a professional certificate; making it easier for retired teachers to work as substitutes; expanding alternative routes to teacher certification; enhancing information dissemination and data collection from school districts about hiring; and establishing the TEACH pilot grant project. *2016 Report to the Washington State Supreme Court by the Joint Select Committee on Article IX Litigation* at 24 (May 18, 2016) (*2016 Report*); E2SSB 6455.⁴¹ In 2017, the Legislature created a new Paraeducator Board to establish statewide standards, training, and career development for paraeducators who provide instruction in programs designed to reduce the opportunity gap that places some groups of children at a relative educational disadvantage. ESHB 1115, § 1 (Laws of 2017, ch. 237).

⁴¹ Appropriations for these efforts and others can be found in the 2017-19 operating budget. SSB 5883, §§ 501(4)(b), 513(12).

More significantly, in 2017 the Legislature increased minimum mandatory salaries for beginning teachers going forward,⁴² and created school district compensation incentives for hard-to-staff positions such as science, technology, math, engineering, transitional bilingual and special education. *2017 Report* at 23 n.44; EHB 2242, § 103(2)(c)(v).

It is too soon to evaluate the cumulative impact of all these measures. But the Legislature has acted. There is nothing on the face of the legislation to suggest that the measures will not have the intended positive effect. The Court should not presume that these measures will fail.

3. EHB 2242 Funds Additional Staff But Leaves the Staffing Mix to Local Decision-Makers

To the extent Plaintiffs or Amici are dissatisfied with the staffing levels established in the State’s funding model, their dissatisfaction does not raise a constitutional issue. This Court’s 2012 decision did not declare any constitutional infirmity with the staffing levels set forth in SHB 2776. Instead, the Court turned to the evidence in the trial, stating that it “highlighted three major areas of underfunding: basic operational costs [now called MSOC]; student to/from transportation; and staff salaries or benefits.” *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 533. The Legislature fully funded

⁴² *2017 Report* at 21-22.

student transportation in fiscal year 2014-15⁴³ and MSOC the next year,⁴⁴ and it enacted legislation in 2017 that fully funds staff salaries and benefits by the 2019-20 school year.⁴⁵

The State is not arguing that overall staffing levels should remain fixed—indeed, EHB 2242 provides funding for additional staff beyond that provided for K-3 class size reduction. *2017 Report* at 37, 41. The State has increased staffing allocations in discrete areas. *2013 Report* at 15-16; *2014 Report* at 22-23. The State is arguing (1) that the Legislature has funded reduced K-3 class sizes consistent with ESHB 2261 (*2017 Report* at 43-44); (2) that the Legislature has stated its intent to address staffing ratios once it has completed its obligations under *McCleary* (Laws of 2015, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 38, § 1 (HB 2266); Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 13, §§ 904, 905 (EHB 2242)); (3) that objective evidence as to proper staffing ratios at the higher grades has not yet been established; and (4) that the Court has not identified any specific staffing ratio as constitutionally mandated.

⁴³ *2013 Report to the Washington State Supreme Court by the Joint Select Committee on Article LK Litigation* at 12-13 (Aug. 29, 2013) (*2013 Report*); see also *2014 Report* at 11-14 (explaining application of the pupil transportation funding formula); *2014 Report* at 46-50 (explaining relationship between fiscal years and school years when funding the pupil transportation expected cost model); *2016 Report* at 17 (full funding in 2015-17 biennial budget); *2017 Report* at 39-40 (full funding in 2017-19 and 2019-21 biennial budgets)

⁴⁴ *2015 Report* at 8; ESSB 6052, § 502(8); see *2016 Report* at 14 (full funding in 2015-17 biennial budget); EHB 2242, § 402(8) (full funding for 2017-19 biennium).

⁴⁵ *2017 Report* at 17-27; EHB 2242, §§ 101-104, 401, 501.

The argument that a school district hires more or different staff than is allocated under the prototypical funding model does not objectively demonstrate that the State funds inadequate staffing levels. The state apportionment formula is for allocation purposes, and school districts can and do make local choices about staffing that depart from the formula's assumptions. That is part of local control.

J. EHB 2242 Provides Ample Funding for Special Education

Plaintiffs and Amici cannot assert a new claim concerning the special education funding formula at this late stage of the remedial phase of *McCleary*. Even if they could, their arguments are insufficient to prove facial invalidity. And any attempt to bring an as applied challenge is premature.

1. The State provides three tiers of special education funding

The State provides special education funding on an excess cost basis. RCW 28A.150.390; SSB 5883, § 507(1). The special education excess cost funding allocation is designed to pay for the excess cost of the student's specially designed instruction and any special education services over and above the cost of the student's basic education. *Sch. Dists.' All. for Adequate Funding of Special Educ. v. State*, 149 Wn. App. 241, 249, 202

P.3d 990 (2009) (*Alliance I*), *aff'd*, 170 Wn.2d 599, 244 P.3d 1 (2010) (*Alliance II*). The State provides three tiers of special education funding.

The first tier is the basic education allocation, also referred to in the operating budget as general apportionment. School districts must ensure that special education students as a class receive their full share of the basic education general apportionment. Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1, § 507(1)(a); *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 250. The general apportionment/basic education allocation is derived from the prototypical school model in RCW 28A.150.260 and includes all the most recent enhancements to the prototypical model. It also provides the “base allocation” for the second tier of funding. RCW 28A.150.390(3)(a); Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1, § 507(4)(a).

The second tier is the special education excess cost allocation. To the extent school districts cannot provide an appropriate education for special education students through the basic education general apportionment, services shall be provided using the special education excess cost allocation. Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1, § 507(1)(a); *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 250. The excess cost allocation is derived by multiplying the base allocation by 0.9309 for each full-time equivalent student up to the new funded enrollment percentage of 13.5 percent of a district’s enrollment. Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1, § 507(5);

RCW 28A.150.390(2)(b). That amount of funding is added to the base allocation, thus nearly doubling the per-student allocation.

The third tier of funding comes from the special education Safety Net. The Safety Net is designed to provide more money to districts with legitimate special education costs that exceed the allocations provided through the first two tiers of special education funding. RCW 28A.150.392 (enacted as part of ESHB 2261, Laws of 2009, ch. 548, § 109). To be eligible for Safety Net funds, a district must demonstrate that all legitimate expenditures for special education exceed all available revenues from state and federal funding formulas. RCW 28A.150.392. The Safety Net committee may consider extraordinary high cost needs of one or more individual special education students or extraordinary costs associated with communities that draw a larger number of families with children in need of special education services. RCW 28A.150.392.⁴⁶

2. Plaintiffs and Amici cannot succeed in a facial challenge to the State's special education funding allocation

In the *Alliance* case, the plaintiffs (many of whom also are plaintiffs in *McCleary*) brought a claim seeking judgment that the special education

⁴⁶ The committee will award a district Safety Net funding only for direct special education and related services identified in an appropriate, properly prepared and formulated Individualized Education Program (IEP). Differences in program costs attributable to district philosophy, service delivery choice, or accounting practices are not a legitimate basis for Safety Net awards. See RCW 28A.150.392; *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 251; WAC 392-140-600 through -685.

funding system, including the excess cost allocation and the safety net, were unconstitutional because the system failed to provide sufficient funding, forcing the school districts to resort to local levy funds. *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 248. The plaintiffs attempted to prove underfunding by excluding the basic education allocation from their calculation of available revenues to match against expenditures. The trial court, the Court of Appeals, and this Court all ruled that the plaintiffs failed to make their case due to that fundamental flaw. *Alliance II*, 170 Wn.2d at 610-11.

Plaintiffs and Amici attempt to bring the same claims (with the same flaws), this time as a collateral attack on the State's actions to complete the remedies set forth in this Court's *McCleary* decision. To succeed on a facial challenge, Plaintiffs and Amici must prove there is no set of circumstances that would permit a conclusion that school districts receive sufficient funding to provide services to special education students. *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 263. Plaintiffs and Amici cannot meet that burden. Plainly, there are circumstances that would permit such a conclusion.

First, the State is injecting a large amount of money into education, including the \$5.3 billion in increased staff compensation in the next two biennia. The new state money for compensation, smaller class sizes, and more staffing in the prototypical model increases the basic education allocation, so it has a compounding effect on the special education excess

cost allocation. The allocation for each special education student will include a much larger basic education allocation plus 93 percent of that larger number for a much larger special education excess cost allocation. Added together, it means that the total available per student will increase significantly. Plaintiffs and Amici do not even attempt to account for the increases in basic education allocation and special education allocation money over the next four years.

Second, the allocation formula recognizes that some students may cost less and some students may cost more than the combined allocation the model provides. School districts receive the full basic education allocation for each student. Districts also receive the special education excess cost allocation for each special education student up to the new percentage of 13.5. If a student's needs do not cost the full allocated amount, that money is not withheld by or returned to the State. It is available to spend on other students. In short, the pool of money may be enough to cover the costs of all services dictated by individual educational programs (IEPs) even if the school district's actual population exceeds 13.5 percent. It depends on the individual IEPs and services provided.⁴⁷ If the services cost more, the Safety

⁴⁷ Amici also argue that the State should allocate additional staff for special education services. But districts are free to use the basic education allocation and special education allocation to staff as individual students' needs dictate. After all, special education funding is intended to serve students with Individualized Education Programs

Net process is available. In sum, there are clearly circumstances under which the statutes providing for basic education and special education can operate constitutionally. For similar reasons, there is no basis whatsoever to conclude, as Amici Arc of King County et al. do, that the State's funding statutes facially deny services to any children.⁴⁸

Finally, on its face EHB 2242 contains a remedial process for reviewing the Safety Net, assigning that review to OSPI. *See 2017 Report* at 30; State's Br. at 21; EHB 2242, § 408. To the extent there is evidence that the Safety Net requires adjustment, Plaintiffs and Amici would have the Court declare this process a failure before it begins.

3. Plaintiffs and Amici cannot succeed in a premature applied challenge to the State's special education funding allocation

To bring an as applied challenge to the funding statutes, the challenging party must demonstrate with evidence that all available revenues, including the basic education allocation, and the special education excess cost allocation to a district does not provide enough state money to pay for the services pursuant to properly prepared IEPs. Further, they must

(IEPs)—i.e., to tailor service to individual students based on their needs. A one-size-fits-all state-mandated staffing requirement would not promote individualized services.

⁴⁸ Amicus Curiae Memorandum of the Arc of King County et al. at 9 (filed Aug. 30, 2017) (Arc Br.).

show that the district has exhausted the Safety Net process and there is remaining unmet need. *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 248, 265.

In attempting to muster an as applied challenge, Plaintiffs and Amici reply primarily on newspaper articles reporting on unexamined allegations that there will be shortfalls in certain school districts. None of the articles or press releases purport to examine how the alleged shortfalls were determined. They do not discuss whether the state's increased salary allocations under EHB 2242 will replace special education salary costs that may formerly have been borne by levies. They do not specify whether the basic education allocation, including new funding and its effect on the excess cost allocation, is fully and properly accounted for. Even if these news reports were evidence, Amici's claim that none of the basic education allocation is available for special education services, Arc Br. at 11, already has been rejected by this Court in *Alliance II*, 170 Wn.2d. at 610.

Arc of King County et al. next cite a statement from an 2016 OSPI amicus brief stating that expenditures listed on school districts' F-196 Reports in 2014-15 showed school districts expended \$266 million more than they received from the State for special education. Arc of King County et al. then claim that the State is increasing spending for special education by only \$22.6 million, and conclude there must be a huge shortfall. Arc Br. at 13. The Court should reject both parts of this argument. The F-196

Reports were the subject of extensive argument in the *Alliance* case, with the court concluding that they were not competent evidence to prove underfunding. *Alliance I*, 149 Wn. App. at 257 (substantial evidence supports the trial court’s finding that the F-196 Reports do not demonstrate underfunding).

In the second part of their argument, Arc of King County et al. draw an erroneous conclusion from the *2017 Report*, from which they significantly understate state spending increases. The \$22.6 million figure is an amount attributable solely to raising the special excess cost allocation percentage from 12.7 percent to 13.5 percent. *2017 Report* at 13. Instead, as explained above, and in State’s Brief at page 20, there will be a much larger increase in special education spending due to the changes in the prototypical model. Even comparing the difference between appropriations for the special education excess cost in the biennial operating budgets shows an increase of \$266 million from 2015-17 to 2017-19 *before* factoring in the new compensation increases.⁴⁹ Amici’s premature attempt at an applied

⁴⁹ The 2017-19 operating budget appropriates \$2,000,069,000, excluding the federal appropriation, for special education excess cost. Laws of 2017, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 1, § 507. That compares to \$1,733,950,000 appropriated in the 2015-17 operating budget (again excluding the federal appropriation) for an increase of \$266,110,000. Laws of 2015, 3d Sp. Sess., ch. 4, § 507. The special education appropriations do not include policy level compensation increases in either biennial budget. Instead, appropriations for compensation are made in section 503.

challenge fails for lack of *any* evidence that the new funding resulting from 2017 legislation will result in a state funding shortfall.

K. Plaintiffs and Amici Cannot Create Their Own Constitutional Mandates

1. Plaintiffs and Amici Cannot Claim Constitutional Noncompliance Based on Their Preferred Program of Basic Education

Plaintiffs and Amici also continue to argue for constitutional noncompliance based on *their* measures of what should be done—not on measures established by this Court or the Legislature. The Washington Constitution does not confer on Plaintiffs or Amici the authority to determine either the State’s program of basic education or the measure of ample funding under article IX, section 1. It is for the *Legislature* to determine what constitutes the program of basic education and what constitutes “ample provision” for the State’s program of basic education. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 517-20. And it is for the *Court* to determine whether the Legislature’s provision is constitutionally sufficient. The Court’s determination here rests on its assessment of EHB 2242.

2. Recommendations of Advocates and Workgroups Are Not Constitutional Mandates

Plaintiffs and Amici National Association for the Advancement of Colored People et. al., attack the Learning Assistance Program and Transitional Bilingual Instruction Program on two bases. First, they argue

that new targeted enhancements and resources to each program are invalid precisely because they are targeted to the most needy within those populations. Pls.’ Br. at 31-32; Amici Br. at 12-14. But under that logic these programs could not exist. The argument proves too much and works against the very interests the Plaintiffs and Amici are trying to protect.

Second, the Amici argue that allocations for the programs are different from what various workgroups have recommended. Amici Br. at 9-11, 14-15. But there is no legal or constitutional requirement that the Legislature and Governor adopt any recommendation of any education advocacy group or legislative workgroup.⁵⁰ It is the Legislature that has the constitutional duty to provide the specific details of the constitutionally required education. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 517. It is the Legislature that determines whether and to what degree the reports it commissions will be implemented in legislation. A report is not law, and the recommendations of a report are not constitutional mandates.⁵¹

It also is inaccurate to say that the Legislature has disregarded reports and studies. See Pls.’ Br at 36-37. There is an important distinction

⁵⁰ See Amicus Brief of National Association for the Advancement of Colored People et al. at 8-16 (filed Aug. 30, 2017) (citing commendations).

⁵¹ The Court acknowledged that the State is not constitutionally bound to adopt recommendations by various workgroups, because they do not provide the only means of achieving compliance. Order at 7, *McCleary v. State*, No. 84362-7 (Wash. Aug. 13, 2015).

between the presentation of objective data in a report or study, and the recommendations proposed by the authors of a report or study. Recommendations often reflect an agenda that is too narrowly focused or skewed in perspective to be useful to the Legislature—which has duties extending far beyond those of a particular workgroup.⁵²

The State does not in any way discount the value of information received from advocates for education. It is appropriate for those advocates to propose new ideas and new programs—and, of course, new legislation. Indeed, our constitution designates a statewide elected official to be such an advocate. But no such proposal—even an excellent one advocated in the course of litigation—automatically becomes an element of the State’s program of basic education or establishes a constitutional requirement under article IX, section 1. Amendments to law should be accomplished by lobbying the Legislature, not the Court.

L. Capital Funding

The state allocation model and the budget, consistent with ESHB 2261 and SHSB 2776, includes resources sufficient to staff a teacher for

⁵² The Legislature is not bound by workgroup recommendations, but it would be incorrect to claim that it ignores such recommendations. As the Court noted, the revised prototypical school funding model enacted in ESHB 2261 was based on a recommendation by the Basic Education Finance Task Force, which in turn was based in large part on the Picus and Odden Study. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 504, 506. ESHB 2261 created the Quality Education Commission, whose initial report provided a basis for many of the provisions enacted in SHB 2776. *Id.* at 509.

every 17 students in grades K-3. As explained above, the formula is an allocation model that leaves actual staffing decisions to local districts. Plaintiffs maintain that funding for school construction must be included in the allocation model. Pls.’ Br. at 26 n.81. Their argument rests on their policy aspirations, not on the Constitution. In 2016, the State provided an overview of the shared responsibility model for capital facilities contemplated in article VII, section 2(b), article VIII, sections 1(e) and 6, and article IX, section 3 of the Washington Constitution. State’s Br. Responding to Order Dated July 14, 2016, at 19-21 (Aug. 22, 2016). Plaintiffs have not refuted or even responded to that constitutional analysis.

Funding for school facilities is ongoing through the capital budget and the School Construction Assistance Program. RCW 28A.525. That program is an allocation model separately authorized and distinct from the prototypical school funding model, and it also affords school districts substantial local decision-making in how to use the funds.

M. No Individual Revenue Source Needs to Be Guaranteed in Perpetuity for State Funding to Be Regular and Dependable

The Court need not address the argument of Amici Washington State Budget & Policy Center et al. (WBPC) that the state property tax enacted in EHB 2242 is not regular and dependable because it reestablishes an existing statutory limit on annual property tax revenue in 2022. The

Court does not resolve issues raised only by amici. *City of Seattle v. Evans*, 184 Wn.2d 856, 861 n.5, 366 P.3d 906 (2015) (citing *Citizens for Responsible Wildlife Mgmt. v. State*, 149 Wn.2d 622, 631, 71 P.3d 644 (2003)), *cert. denied sub nom. Evans v. City of Seattle*, ___ U.S. ___, 137 S. Ct. 474, 196 L. Ed. 2d 384 (2016).

But even if the Court were to address that issue, WBPC’s argument should be rejected because it rests on three false premises.

First, WBPC implicitly assumes the state property tax is the sole—or at least primary—revenue source for K-12 schools. It is neither. It is just one among many revenue streams that are placed in the State’s general fund, from which most K-12 school funding is drawn.

Second, WBPC explicitly assumes that the State is constitutionally obligated to “set aside” sufficient regular and dependable funding sources for basic education. WBPC Br. at 13-14. No such “set aside” provision is found in article IX, section 1, or in a decision of this Court. Rather, the State is obligated to provide “fully sufficient” “state-provided funding” for the State’s program of basic education. *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 527-28.

Third, WBPC assumes the Legislature will take no action in response if state revenue becomes insufficient to support the service levels enacted in EHB 2242. As explained above, the Legislature routinely provides additional funding in supplemental budgets where necessary to

support legislative enactments, and it adopts a new budget every biennium in which it adjusts revenues as necessary to support spending. The Court should assume the Legislature will do its job, not that it will allow public school funding to fall to inadequacy through inaction. *Grant v. Spellman*, 99 Wn.2d 815, 818-19, 664 P.2d 1227 (1983) (Court presumes Legislature will act with integrity and with a purpose to keep within constitutional limits).

N. The Court Should Dissolve the Order of Contempt, Relinquish Jurisdiction, and Dismiss This Appeal

1. The Legislature Enacted Legislation Before the 2018 Deadline That Fully Implements and Funds the State’s Program of Basic Education

In 2016, the Court specifically asked what remained to be done to achieve compliance. Order at 2, *McCleary v. State*, No. 84362-7 (Wash. July 14, 2016). The State listed three tasks: finish funding for K-3 class-size reductions; increase state funding to account for inflation, student enrollment, and other variables; and determine the cost and enact legislation to fully fund salaries needed for school districts to recruit and retain staff to implement the State’s statutory program of basic education.⁵³ EHB 2242 does all three.

⁵³ State of Washington’s Brief Responding to Order Dated July 1, 2016, at 2-3 (Aug. 22, 2016).

To help fund the expanded state obligations, EHB 2242 enacted a state property tax to replace school districts' dependence on local levies to fully fund basic education. To implement the transition to state funding, the Legislature had to address the overlap in school years (September through August), calendar years (January through December), and state fiscal years (July through June). Calendar year 2018 thus is a transition year, in which the new state sales tax comes into effect, allowing part but not all of the increase in state funding enacted in EHB 2242 to be implemented in the 2018-19 school year. By the 2019-20 school year, the transition is complete. The table in Appendix A of the *2017 Report* summarizes the carefully sequenced steps enacted to implement this transition to full state funding for basic education by the 2019-20 school year.

What is significant is that this entire sequence is now enacted into law with the enactment of EHB 2242. No further legislative action is necessary for these steps to occur, other than the adoption of a new biennial budget in 2019.

In its July 2016 Order, the Court included a footnote stating that the Legislature is not constitutionally prohibited from requiring itself to make future appropriations to implement legislation. Order at 2 n.1, *McCleary v. State*, No. 84362-7 (July 14, 2016). That is precisely what the 2017 Legislature did in enacting EHB 2242—it required itself to appropriate

funds necessary to finish implementing EHB 2242 in the 2019-21 budget. But as the Court also noted in that same footnote, the Legislature may not constitutionally make appropriations beyond the current biennium. *Id.* (citing Const. art. VIII, § 4). Plaintiffs and Amici treat this well-settled constitutional principle as an ad hoc excuse by the State for failing to do something that it clearly cannot.

EHB 2242 completes the implementation of the educational reforms endorsed by this Court in 2012 and provides for the necessary funding. Under our Constitution, that funding must be provided on a biennial basis.

2. The Court Should Dissolve the Order of Contempt

The Legislature did not “defy” the Court, as Plaintiffs assert.⁵⁴ The Legislature responded to the Court’s decision and orders, including the order finding the State in contempt, by fully funding the prototypical school model, revising the model to provide state-funded market rate salaries to all basic education staff, and eliminating the need for supplemental basic education funding through local levies to pay for basic education. In doing so, the Legislature has doubled state funding for basic education since 2012.

It is true that the Legislature did not establish a separate account into which the Court-ordered sanction would be placed. But under the Court’s

⁵⁴ Pls.’ Br. at 22.

order, the accumulated sanction in that account would have been allocated for the support of basic education.⁵⁵ Even had it been placed in a separate account, it would have been subsumed within the nearly \$3.8 billion increase in K-12 funding in the 2017-19 biennium over the prior biennium. Unlike a normal litigant who may improperly benefit from an unpaid sanction by retaining it, the Legislature appropriated the sanction dollars—which ultimately are taxpayer dollars collected to provide state-funded services—to the very use the Court directed. It kept nothing for itself. To demand further accounting of the sanction would place form over substance and would provide no benefit to K-12 education in Washington.

Because the Legislature has taken the actions necessary to achieve compliance with the 2012 decision and with article IX, section 1, no further purpose would be served by continuing to require a plan outlining actions to be taken. The order of contempt should be dissolved.

3. The Court Should Relinquish Jurisdiction

The 2017 Legislature did everything it could do within its constitutional power by enacting permanent legislation that requires funding. *See* State’s Br. at 32-33.

⁵⁵ Order at 9-10, *McCleary v. State*, No. 84362-7 (Wash. Aug. 13, 2015).

Retaining jurisdiction to ensure that the 2019 Legislature upholds the funding commitment made in EHB 2242 is a road without any end. On this logic, once jurisdiction is retained it could never end, since no current Legislature could ever guarantee action by a future Legislature. If the Court is to require a guarantee of action by a future Legislature as a condition of constitutionality, only permanent retention of jurisdiction would suffice, since only permanently retained jurisdiction could ensure that future Legislatures will meet their constitutional responsibilities. This is a recipe for judicial takeover of the legislative branch of government, and it should be sharply rejected. The time has come in this case for the Court to trust the commitment made by a co-equal branch of government, a commitment that is accompanied by unprecedented increases in funding for K-12 education over a course of years, and by a history of steadfast adherence to the deadlines committed to in ESHB 2261 and SHB 2776.

O. Plaintiff's Request for Remedies Fails to Acknowledge or Address Their Constitutional and Practical Problems

Plaintiffs propose the same remedies as they did a year ago.⁵⁶ They again ask the Court to prospectively invalidate or suspend tax preferences or “unconstitutionally funded school statutes” on September 1, 2018, if the Legislature does not “choose” to amply fund the State’s basic education

⁵⁶ See Pls./Resp’ts’ 2016 Post-Budget Filing at 48 (June 7, 2016).

program by the end of the 2018 regular legislative session.⁵⁷ The State identified the constitutional and practical problems with that proposal last year,⁵⁸ and Plaintiffs have offered nothing at all in response.

Because Plaintiffs do not appear to understand the distinction between positive rights and negative restrictions on government action, they misunderstand the options available to the Court. They disregard the Court's careful recognition that this case involves a “‘delicate exercise in constitutional interpretation’” that “test[s] the limits of judicial restraint and discretion.” *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 519 (quoting *Seattle Sch. Dist. 1 v. State*, 90 Wn.2d 476, 497, 585 P.2d 71 (1978)). The appropriate remedy is one that results in the enactment of legislation that “achieves or is reasonably likely to achieve ‘the constitutionally prescribed end’” by 2018, *McCleary*, 173 Wn.2d at 519, not one that turns a blind eye to the historic increase in state funding for K-12 education, including the assumption of compensation costs, or that punishes school children by closing schools.

The State is in compliance. No further remedy is necessary. The Court need not retain jurisdiction any longer. It is time for this case to end.

⁵⁷ Pls.’ Br. at 45-47.

⁵⁸ State of Washington’s Reply Brief and Answer to Amicus Briefs Filed by Arc of Washington et al., Columbia Legal Services et al., Washington’s Paramount Duty, and the Superintendent of Public Instruction at 21-27 (June 17, 2016).

III. CONCLUSION

The State has complied with its duty under article IX, section 1 to make ample provision for the education of all children residing within the State of Washington. The Court should dissolve its order of contempt against the State, relinquish jurisdiction, and terminate this appeal.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8th day of September 2017.

ROBERT W. FERGUSON
Attorney General

s/ David A. Stolier

DAVID A. STOLIER, WSBA 24071
Senior Assistant Attorney General

ALAN D. COPSEY, WSBA 23305
Deputy Solicitor General

Office ID 91087
PO Box 40100-0100
Olympia, WA 98504-0100
360-753-6200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I served a copy of the State Of Washington's Reply And
Answer To Amici Briefs, via electronic mail, upon the following:

Thomas Fitzgerald Ahearne : Christopher	ahearne@foster.com
Glenn Emch : Adrian Urquhart Winder :	emchc@foster.com
Kelly Ann Lennox : Lee R. Marchisio	winda@foster.com
Foster Pepper PLLC	lennk@foster.com
1111 3rd Avenue Suite 3400	marcl@foster.com
Seattle, WA 98101	

I certify under penalty of under the laws of the State of Washington that
the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 8th day of September 2017, at Olympia, Washington.

s/ Wendy R Scharber

WENDY R. SCHARBER
Legal Assistant

APPENDIX

- Appendix A : Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>
Table 34B: Certified Instructional Staff in All Programs
- Appendix B: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>
Table 36B: Certified Administrative Staff in All Programs
- Appendix C: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>
Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs
- Appendix D: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Tacoma School District, School
Year 2018-19, Not Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment
- Appendix E: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, “Analysis of Excess General Fund Levies Collectible in 2016” (Dec. 5, 2016),
<http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/LEV/1617/1061r.pdf>
Page 32
- Appendix F: Office of Program Research, Washington State House of Representatives, “Estimated Impact for the Policies in the 2017-19 Biennial Budget and EHB 2242” (June 29, 2017),
http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/hoK12TaxPolicyAnalysis_0629.pdf
- Appendix G: Senate Committee Services, Washington State Senate, “Estimated Net State and Local School District Funding Changes Based on 2017-19 State Biennial Budget” (June 29, 2017),
http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/soK12TotalFunding_0629.pdf (Senate).

Appendix H: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Chimacum School District,
School Year 2018-19,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

Appendix I: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Chimacum School District,
School Year 2019-20,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

Appendix J: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,
Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp
Printout for Chimacum School District,
School Year 2020-21,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

Appendix A

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>

Table 34B: Certified Instructional Staff in All Programs

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l	Total	Average	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~				
			Salary per Indiv.		LEAP 1 Mix Factor	Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
01109	Washtucna	13	1,901	11.53	1.60270	57,213	60,052	9,162	12,811	180.0
01122	Benge	2	1,042	1.96	1.75455	63,756	64,342	10,656	13,611	192.3
01147	Othello	239	551	233.92	1.47825	52,988	53,359	9,826	11,262	180.1
01158	Lind	17	2,752	16.60	1.37438	49,065	52,012	9,563	11,157	181.0
01160	Ritzville	24	3,852	23.33	1.61302	57,586	63,567	9,134	13,524	180.0
02250	Clarkston	163	8,049	160.04	1.60191	57,189	65,631	10,277	13,922	180.0
02420	Asotin-Anatone	42	1,500	40.80	1.58776	56,683	59,650	9,118	12,803	180.0
03017	Kennewick	1,154	9,598	1,091.09	1.53010	54,602	65,075	10,094	13,925	180.0
03050	Paterson	13	0	9.63	1.50209	54,198	55,610	8,530	11,841	180.0
03052	Kiona-Benton City	92	7,910	91.64	1.59996	57,119	57,119	9,250	11,889	180.0
03053	Finley	60	8,215	58.60	1.59306	56,873	66,363	10,097	13,333	180.0
03116	Prosser	174	7,359	169.95	1.59162	56,820	64,836	10,720	13,351	180.0
03400	Richland	802	12,517	757.51	1.54175	55,040	68,183	15,447	5,435	180.0
04019	Manson	50	3,323	46.82	1.48194	53,183	57,647	9,212	12,486	180.5
04069	Stehekin	1	9,959	1.00	1.88482	67,288	77,247	15,360	16,479	180.0
04127	Entiat	24	763	21.91	1.70459	60,854	62,748	9,328	13,502	180.0
04129	Lake Chelan	99	4,608	94.91	1.50860	54,943	61,706	9,377	13,266	180.0
04222	Cashmere	101	3,467	95.55	1.61277	57,576	63,231	10,356	13,494	180.0
04228	Cascade	88	889	87.13	1.53235	54,803	56,619	8,789	12,247	180.1
04246	Wenatchee	536	10,545	512.97	1.56278	55,791	67,447	9,297	14,357	180.0
05121	Port Angeles	259	10,678	250.49	1.56958	56,034	67,927	9,204	14,408	180.0
05313	Crescent	26	3,385	22.63	1.45493	51,947	57,181	8,540	12,180	180.0
05323	Sequim	189	5,944	180.31	1.56547	55,888	62,713	9,159	13,161	180.0
05401	Cape Flattery	41	8,475	39.53	1.51280	54,469	63,246	10,107	5,101	180.0
05402	Quillayute Valley	160	2,568	149.85	1.45175	50,194	53,374	4,589	7,070	180.0
06037	Vancouver	1,633	10,906	1,565.35	1.50017	53,556	64,939	9,344	13,612	180.0
06098	Hockinson	115	9,574	109.95	1.52435	54,419	64,592	9,309	13,902	180.0
06101	Lacenter	96	3,413	95.03	1.65569	59,108	62,690	9,348	14,099	180.0
06103	Green Mountain	10	3,182	9.73	1.46314	52,220	55,490	9,323	11,987	180.0
06112	Washougal	197	6,686	183.77	1.51554	54,109	61,494	9,223	13,324	180.0
06114	Evergreen (Clark)	1,770	10,898	1,699.81	1.56613	55,911	67,226	9,805	14,428	180.0
06117	Camas	436	12,345	407.99	1.59544	56,957	70,857	9,210	15,176	180.0
06119	Battle Ground	876	8,669	850.38	1.54266	55,073	64,224	9,234	13,459	180.0
06122	Ridgefield	134	8,099	131.31	1.54977	55,327	11,157	9,741	13,841	180.0
07002	Dayton	31	2,704	29.52	1.53913	54,947	58,391	8,885	12,634	180.0
07035	Starbuck	3	650	3.00	1.59868	57,073	57,890	9,890	9,977	181.0
08122	Longview	434	7,905	425.85	1.52506	54,445	63,163	9,325	14,280	180.0
08130	Toutle Lake	37	514	35.80	1.65013	58,910	61,282	9,359	13,354	180.0
08401	Castle Rock	76	4,622	75.90	1.47245	52,566	57,559	9,649	5,173	180.0
08402	Kalama	53	3,552	50.59	1.49861	53,501	58,239	9,165	12,497	180.0
08404	Woodland	145	1,495	141.41	1.48355	52,977	55,258	9,767	12,027	180.0
08458	Kelso	337	8,963	320.95	1.51258	53,997	63,637	9,177	13,732	180.0
09013	Orondo	14	2,131	11.99	1.45407	54,389	56,835	8,203	12,084	180.0
09075	Bridgeport	62	557	59.00	1.43675	51,292	51,981	8,790	11,346	180.0
09102	Palisades	3	0	3.00	1.25708	44,878	44,878	10,656	9,715	180.0
09206	Eastmont	388	9,597	363.33	1.54888	55,295	66,267	9,599	14,119	180.0
09207	Mansfield	13	1,015	12.00	1.43705	51,303	52,860	10,416	11,480	181.0
09209	Waterville	22	1,677	22.00	1.45430	51,918	54,036	9,360	11,903	180.0
10003	Keller	3	0	3.00	1.52794	54,547	56,519	11,731	11,985	180.0
10050	Curlew	17	546	16.30	1.56597	55,903	59,417	0	0	182.5

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31–49, 63, and 64.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l	Average	Average per 1.0 FTE					
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
10065	Orient	5	0	4.50	1.73148	61,799	65,576	8,839	11,478	180.0
10070	Inchelium	20	337	19.87	1.42508	50,877	51,861	10,608	11,446	180.0
10309	Republic	25	1,307	24.36	1.60463	57,286	59,837	0	12,927	180.0
11001	Pasco	1,233	9,563	1,161.91	1.44482	51,724	61,021	9,929	13,857	180.0
11051	North Franklin	140	4,409	137.64	1.42028	50,786	56,193	9,254	12,070	180.1
11054	Star	2	4,800	2.00	1.52775	54,541	59,341	10,656	13,627	180.0
11056	Kahlotus	11	4,347	9.50	1.58700	56,656	61,821	8,883	13,409	180.0
12110	Pomeroy	23	2,295	21.71	1.69457	60,715	64,959	10,127	13,844	180.0
13073	Wahluke	152	6,871	150.57	1.36537	48,756	56,078	8,616	12,017	180.0
13144	Quincy	192	6,299	188.61	1.45175	51,848	59,830	12,101	13,089	180.1
13146	Warden	67	3,248	64.81	1.37232	48,992	53,228	17,402	11,833	180.0
13151	Coulee-Hartline	18	1,077	15.19	1.55505	55,517	59,329	10,431	12,438	180.0
13156	Soap Lake	35	2,477	34.00	1.42180	50,758	54,896	9,626	11,863	180.0
13160	Royal	97	1,638	96.58	1.45378	51,895	53,626	9,511	11,505	180.0
13161	Moses Lake	525	10,811	518.53	1.56887	56,308	67,966	10,082	14,438	180.0
13165	Ephrata	156	6,712	154.67	1.57715	56,295	64,518	10,099	13,864	180.0
13167	Wilson Creek	14	3,322	13.12	1.66014	59,431	65,218	30,218	13,939	180.5
13301	Grand Coulee Dam	46	2,245	45.90	1.46767	52,568	55,743	9,153	11,935	180.0
14005	Aberdeen	230	8,076	225.45	1.57364	57,318	66,581	2,443	13,571	180.0
14028	Hoquiam	107	6,000	103.07	1.52493	55,380	62,683	10,149	13,058	180.0
14064	North Beach	41	4,564	40.06	1.47451	52,639	57,432	9,590	12,661	180.2
14065	McCleary	21	1,013	20.40	1.45945	52,102	53,218	10,576	11,774	180.0
14066	Montesano	83	4,557	81.50	1.63483	58,364	64,592	10,243	13,836	180.0
14068	Elma	92	5,577	88.11	1.61447	57,764	63,737	10,441	13,223	180.7
14077	Taholah	24	928	20.52	1.39205	50,433	51,445	9,566	11,120	180.0
14097	Lake Quinault	14	1,824	14.00	1.50094	53,549	56,914	9,360	12,418	180.0
14099	Cosmopolis	12	213	10.36	1.56283	55,790	56,250	6,815	11,919	180.0
14104	Satsop	5	602	3.52	1.70772	60,935	64,634	7,793	12,951	180.0
14117	Wishkah Valley	17	1,184	14.93	1.37545	49,103	53,641	9,395	11,527	180.0
14172	Ocosta	46	4,485	43.21	1.57587	56,258	62,998	9,667	13,075	180.0
14400	Oakville	19	3,631	18.58	1.43165	54,046	58,961	1,945	4,771	180.0
15201	Oak Harbor	356	13,003	349.76	1.52716	54,874	68,101	10,111	14,380	180.1
15204	Coupeville	63	15,564	62.03	1.58179	56,470	72,277	9,831	15,371	180.0
15206	South Whidbey	87	15,538	83.33	1.70468	60,857	77,960	10,004	16,424	180.0
16020	Queets-Clearwater	3	4,414	3.00	1.73388	61,899	66,313	9,492	14,114	180.0
16046	Brinnon	5	3,804	4.10	1.49742	53,445	58,522	7,876	11,928	180.0
16048	Quilcene	32	5,531	26.56	1.42230	50,859	60,408	8,697	12,514	180.7
16049	Chimacum	73	3,432	65.99	1.54803	55,265	59,540	8,872	12,522	180.0
16050	Port Townsend	85	7,935	78.70	1.62562	58,035	66,868	9,029	14,101	180.0
17001	Seattle	3,885	19,525	3,696.95	1.49490	53,290	73,768	9,150	16,339	180.0
17210	Federal Way	1,545	12,632	1,499.85	1.48324	52,952	66,213	9,108	13,752	180.0
17216	Enumclaw	248	12,730	241.59	1.62504	58,020	71,731	7,322	15,393	180.0
17400	Mercer Island	291	15,741	276.75	1.53730	54,889	71,449	9,291	15,466	180.0
17401	Highline	1,374	14,436	1,313.31	1.43518	51,636	66,829	8,659	15,413	180.1
17402	Vashon Island	108	11,227	98.98	1.52379	54,938	67,415	8,470	15,156	186.0
17403	Renton	1,015	14,418	994.07	1.48366	52,966	67,692	10,115	14,950	180.0
17404	Skykomish	11	10,497	10.60	1.46994	52,477	64,530	9,975	13,754	180.0
17405	Bellevue	1,773	14,174	1,318.57	1.48602	53,178	72,251	9,683	15,925	180.0
17406	Tukwila	198	19,307	191.08	1.47571	52,680	72,627	9,621	15,619	180.0
17407	Riverview	202	14,884	194.43	1.50109	53,589	69,979	9,309	14,781	180.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31-49, 63, and 64.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l Salary per Indiv.	Total FTE	Average LEAP 1 Mix Factor	Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
17408	Auburn	1,049	19,710	1,003.86	1.56841	55,589	76,192	10,411	6,338	180.0
17409	Tahoma	433	16,322	419.48	1.62619	58,328	75,136	8,965	15,752	180.0
17410	Snoqualmie Valley	419	15,389	398.96	1.48545	53,030	69,968	10,052	15,124	180.0
17411	Issaquah	1,219	13,662	1,158.38	1.48659	53,040	67,625	9,158	14,079	180.0
17412	Shoreline	616	20,348	561.92	1.56214	55,768	78,559	9,538	16,595	180.0
17414	Lake Washington	1,874	15,029	1,780.07	1.44126	51,371	67,098	9,320	14,327	180.0
17415	Kent	1,875	17,088	1,789.31	1.48768	53,090	71,335	10,608	16,387	180.1
17417	Northshore	1,350	17,571	1,269.79	1.54272	57,342	75,994	9,015	16,405	180.0
17902	Summit Sierra	11	0	11.00	1.19830	61,574	61,574	9,184	14,445	191.0
17903	Muckleshoot	59	15,485	59.00	1.46925	54,392	55,205	6,348	7,507	185.4
17906	Excel Public Chartr	11	0	11.00	1.11923	47,424	47,424	4,544	4,928	208.0
17908	Rainier Prep	14	0	14.00	1.21194	51,090	51,090	4,507	15,573	206.0
18100	Bremerton	373	9,725	365.73	1.48701	53,086	63,012	9,436	13,543	180.0
18303	Bainbridge	270	12,444	245.96	1.59489	56,939	71,040	8,948	14,970	180.0
18400	North Kitsap	391	10,644	377.20	1.59216	57,391	68,433	8,930	14,185	180.0
18401	Central Kitsap	709	14,938	690.10	1.62172	57,895	73,266	9,973	15,437	180.0
18402	South Kitsap	635	12,454	615.14	1.54682	55,263	68,756	9,294	14,462	185.3
18902	Suquamish	10	0	10.00	1.12209	54,696	0	7,723	7,541	209.4
19007	Damman	2	0	2.00	1.79020	67,191	65,686	9,360	13,650	185.0
19028	Easton	14	3,769	11.81	1.63017	58,197	65,117	8,885	13,539	180.0
19400	Thorp	16	5,646	14.24	1.48374	52,970	59,439	9,398	12,335	180.0
19401	Ellensburg	200	263	193.25	1.58608	56,617	57,203	8,815	12,166	180.0
19403	Kittitas	42	2,679	40.50	1.57438	56,288	60,039	11,972	12,727	180.0
19404	Cle Elum-Roslyn	59	1,865	56.80	1.56036	55,704	58,404	9,498	12,344	180.0
20094	Wishram	9	2,308	8.66	1.72647	61,636	64,034	12,693	12,718	180.0
20203	Bickleton	12	2,220	11.25	1.58944	56,743	62,445	10,021	13,426	180.0
20215	Centerville	5	1,431	5.00	1.70052	60,708	63,722	9,377	13,705	180.0
20400	Trout Lake	18	2,916	16.17	1.49807	53,481	58,275	9,926	12,568	182.0
20401	Glenwood	10	720	9.60	1.63820	58,484	62,245	8,105	13,409	180.0
20402	Klickitat	9	3,058	8.88	1.70208	60,765	65,707	8,999	13,070	180.0
20403	Roosevelt	2	624	2.00	1.04753	37,397	38,021	11,494	8,312	180.0
20404	Goldendale	62	4,482	58.83	1.56850	56,060	60,786	10,693	12,809	180.0
20405	White Salmon	74	3,159	69.66	1.61143	57,523	61,825	9,778	13,289	180.0
20406	Lyle	15	0	15.00	1.46339	52,243	52,582	14,639	11,000	180.0
21014	Napavine	50	4,287	47.86	1.57029	56,059	61,375	10,434	13,040	180.0
21036	Evaline	4	1,993	2.45	1.64720	58,805	66,140	7,641	13,524	180.0
21206	Mossyrock	33	4,253	33.00	1.52090	54,296	20,961	9,410	12,635	180.0
21214	Morton	23	2,342	19.65	1.47069	52,503	55,769	8,969	4,872	180.0
21226	Adna	38	2,201	36.84	1.61960	57,820	60,975	8,854	12,837	180.0
21232	Winlock	41	4,046	41.00	1.58356	56,533	16,294	13,430	13,232	180.0
21234	Boistfort	6	119	6.00	1.39834	49,921	50,123	9,745	10,909	180.0
21237	Toledo	45	3,347	43.04	1.61358	57,626	63,027	9,360	13,752	180.0
21300	Onalaska	48	3,506	48.00	1.51909	54,313	59,636	9,972	11,776	180.0
21301	Pe Ell	20	445	20.00	1.72616	61,624	63,404	9,383	13,732	180.0
21302	Chehalis	195	6,192	189.43	1.58771	56,711	64,288	9,693	13,589	180.0
21303	White Pass	28	2,122	25.96	1.55077	55,362	58,715	9,771	12,368	180.4
21401	Centralia	216	9,626	214.31	1.52219	55,235	64,936	9,999	13,680	180.0
22008	Sprague	12	2,008	9.31	1.47965	53,012	56,045	7,842	12,036	180.8
22009	Reardan	34	2,483	32.72	1.66574	59,464	62,646	16,646	13,420	180.0
22017	Almira	12	3,873	11.02	1.73100	61,806	64,041	9,595	12,070	180.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31-49, 63, and 64.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l	Total	Average	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~				
			Salary per Indiv.		LEAP 1 Mix Factor	Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
22073	Creston	13	614	12.47	1.57737	56,311	59,793	9,008	12,413	180.0
22105	Odessa	22	3,939	18.52	1.68044	59,981	71,312	9,247	15,068	180.0
22200	Wilbur	22	4,469	20.97	1.61502	57,659	66,164	9,360	14,051	180.0
22204	Harrington	13	3,273	12.41	1.60208	57,191	60,860	9,514	12,245	180.0
22207	Davenport	44	2,319	41.11	1.56364	55,820	58,486	9,199	12,623	180.0
23042	Southside	12	6,810	11.00	1.46462	52,582	59,635	8,960	12,980	180.0
23054	Grapeview	15	4,252	15.00	1.37069	48,840	53,808	9,095	9,820	180.0
23309	Shelton	290	7,491	283.83	1.55139	55,490	63,851	10,071	13,273	180.0
23311	Mary M. Knight	15	5,928	15.00	1.27320	45,453	52,102	7,213	10,700	180.0
23402	Pioneer	53	5,386	51.26	1.50075	53,577	60,924	9,226	12,928	180.0
23403	North Mason	138	6,494	135.51	1.50029	53,561	60,441	3,921	12,717	180.0
23404	Hood Canal	26	4,164	25.44	1.45990	52,261	56,711	10,285	12,578	180.6
24014	Nespelem	12	663	10.87	1.44910	51,734	53,102	9,315	11,621	180.0
24019	Omak	254	1,475	249.44	1.56992	56,005	57,828	8,857	12,077	180.1
24105	Okanogan	63	3,524	62.29	1.62347	58,140	64,909	9,422	13,891	180.0
24111	Brewster	67	1,330	61.89	1.48858	53,142	55,180	9,137	12,035	180.0
24122	Pateros	24	1,708	23.05	1.54633	55,288	57,965	8,890	12,477	180.0
24350	Methow Valley	46	2,617	40.81	1.56352	55,819	58,762	11,502	12,736	180.0
24404	Tonasket	76	3,712	72.49	1.51234	54,040	58,763	9,746	12,832	180.3
24410	Oroville	42	722	40.17	1.50833	53,872	57,410	10,182	12,971	180.0
25101	Ocean Beach	59	3,930	56.83	1.50236	53,635	57,843	9,344	12,896	180.0
25116	Raymond	42	1,921	42.00	1.47021	52,510	56,186	9,595	12,039	181.0
25118	South Bend	38	3,364	38.00	1.55529	55,524	60,336	9,908	5,306	180.0
25155	Naselle-Grays R.	31	5,652	27.53	1.55572	55,798	63,513	9,363	13,640	180.0
25160	Willapa Valley	26	4,068	23.00	1.51698	54,178	58,489	10,134	12,537	180.0
25200	North River	11	928	10.40	1.47299	52,586	54,597	10,455	11,639	180.0
26056	Newport	74	3,200	71.44	1.57841	56,350	61,006	8,595	12,772	180.0
26059	Cusick	19	228	16.84	1.65322	59,024	62,956	9,919	13,453	180.0
26070	Selkirk	22	2,674	20.61	1.51911	54,236	57,026	9,176	12,172	180.0
27001	Steilacoom Hist.	189	12,566	186.06	1.53243	54,708	68,161	9,268	14,410	180.0
27003	Puyallup	1,365	12,289	1,313.86	1.55151	56,468	68,993	7,364	14,541	180.0
27010	Tacoma	2,076	19,176	2,033.65	1.53409	54,092	73,881	9,248	7,404	180.0
27019	Carbonado	13	3,161	12.10	1.61406	57,616	61,730	9,272	13,244	183.0
27083	University Place	343	10,920	331.74	1.61188	57,544	24,846	8,981	14,772	180.0
27320	Sumner	596	14,110	582.77	1.55171	55,396	70,673	8,966	14,925	180.0
27343	Dieringer	89	11,255	86.38	1.68433	60,131	71,727	9,699	15,294	180.0
27344	Orting	138	9,460	135.40	1.51868	54,217	65,073	10,546	14,105	180.0
27400	Clover Park	848	9,028	846.59	1.49513	52,884	61,939	9,240	16,820	180.0
27401	Peninsula	566	11,859	546.20	1.59194	56,831	69,066	8,697	14,821	180.0
27402	Franklin Pierce	537	14,647	526.19	1.47703	52,730	68,439	9,250	14,798	180.0
27403	Bethel	1,163	12,366	1,142.22	1.50825	53,859	66,532	9,845	14,623	180.1
27404	Eatonville	115	6,439	106.26	1.54578	55,090	62,796	12,299	13,387	180.0
27416	White River	214	10,011	206.91	1.56391	55,832	66,173	9,247	14,247	180.0
27417	Fife	229	16,108	222.52	1.47669	53,123	53,254	9,220	10,531	180.0
27904	Green Dot	15	0	15.00	1.16848	55,874	55,874	7,514	6,691	211.0
27905	Summit Olympus	9	0	9.00	1.15084	58,396	58,396	7,195	13,602	191.0
27909	SOAR Academy	8	0	8.00	1.09947	48,731	48,731	3,216	4,824	206.8
28010	Shaw Island	1	17,936	1.00	1.20138	43,707	61,643	9,360	15,816	180.0
28137	Orcas Island	57	8,993	47.89	1.56878	56,003	67,659	9,022	14,437	180.0
28144	Lopez Island	24	4,343	20.46	1.48390	53,551	58,755	9,065	12,116	180.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31–49, 63, and 64.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l Salary per Indiv.	Total FTE	Average LEAP 1 Mix Factor	Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
28149	San Juan Island	59	13,397	53.66	1.55741	55,601	72,124	9,011	15,396	180.0
29011	Concrete	36	10,484	34.93	1.58428	56,559	67,425	9,053	14,495	180.0
29100	Burlington-Edison	250	17,133	239.42	1.54933	55,311	74,140	9,468	15,725	180.0
29101	Sedro-Woolley	314	11,563	300.48	1.51619	54,128	66,825	8,736	14,158	180.1
29103	Anacortes	174	12,217	166.28	1.62497	58,012	70,775	9,352	15,685	180.5
29311	La Conner	48	9,717	45.93	1.60803	57,406	68,839	9,277	14,584	180.0
29317	Conway	26	15,563	24.66	1.68471	60,144	77,809	9,519	16,498	180.0
29320	Mt Vernon	472	13,921	448.25	1.50898	53,858	68,474	9,277	14,567	180.0
30002	Skamania	5	1,421	4.60	1.49861	53,468	55,011	8,870	11,906	180.0
30029	Mount Pleasant	6	4,189	4.56	1.27046	45,354	50,868	10,625	10,905	180.0
30031	Mill A	3	654	2.50	1.35009	48,200	56,984	8,606	12,282	180.0
30303	Stevenson-Carson	54	5,068	51.30	1.55501	55,514	61,673	9,348	13,283	180.0
31002	Everett	1,198	25,008	1,163.34	1.59140	59,630	85,869	9,207	18,528	185.0
31004	Lake Stevens	501	20,168	475.21	1.57249	56,623	78,450	10,012	16,435	180.0
31006	Mukilteo	1,019	25,169	976.78	1.55740	56,244	83,056	10,076	17,675	180.3
31015	Edmonds	1,355	17,145	1,262.53	1.58141	56,462	75,102	9,758	16,286	180.0
31016	Arlington	320	22,616	310.63	1.61273	57,573	81,485	9,468	17,273	180.0
31025	Marysville	676	20,940	658.12	1.58480	58,676	80,971	10,242	17,270	180.0
31063	Index	4	797	2.43	1.58933	56,719	58,033	7,335	11,076	180.0
31103	Monroe	377	18,886	351.74	1.53577	54,827	75,069	9,451	15,727	180.0
31201	Snohomish	598	22,309	565.71	1.58056	56,380	80,725	11,150	17,282	180.0
31306	Lakewood	132	18,012	124.24	1.58041	56,421	76,539	9,391	16,393	180.0
31311	Sultan	118	15,934	117.24	1.56586	55,901	72,245	3,485	15,350	180.0
31330	Darrington	27	13,619	27.00	1.52841	54,564	69,398	11,232	14,773	180.0
31332	Granite Falls	120	17,168	117.51	1.55513	55,519	73,811	9,879	16,051	180.3
31401	Stanwood-Camano	278	18,109	260.84	1.64305	58,657	77,919	7,043	16,465	180.0
32081	Spokane	2,177	12,646	2,098.14	1.55367	55,469	68,937	10,067	15,127	180.0
32123	Orchard Prairie	7	667	6.61	1.37402	49,055	51,179	9,449	10,804	180.0
32312	Great Northern	4	3,308	3.50	1.39410	49,770	53,550	9,989	12,196	180.0
32325	Nine Mile Falls	99	4,089	94.52	1.61810	57,766	63,503	9,256	13,527	180.0
32326	Medical Lake	129	7,222	123.41	1.52416	54,430	61,974	7,833	12,954	180.6
32354	Mead	624	10,220	583.02	1.63158	58,247	69,922	8,796	14,766	180.0
32356	Central Valley	915	7,543	882.88	1.58764	56,679	65,244	2,174	14,640	180.0
32358	Freeman	56	2,250	52.31	1.61516	57,662	60,622	9,166	12,975	180.0
32360	Cheney	330	3,518	314.57	1.51240	53,916	57,626	9,001	12,208	180.0
32361	East Valley (Spo)	289	7,850	282.47	1.61519	57,662	66,727	9,933	14,214	180.0
32362	Liberty	31	1,608	30.80	1.55932	55,665	59,382	9,306	12,686	180.0
32363	West Valley (Spo)	261	2,445	250.20	1.53495	54,807	58,402	15,154	12,536	180.1
32414	Deer Park	150	6,401	144.73	1.55251	55,425	63,247	9,417	13,194	180.0
32416	Riverside	102	5,292	99.13	1.57429	56,202	62,420	9,149	12,556	180.0
32901	Spokane Intrnl Acad	18	256	15.20	1.12065	47,384	47,687	5,074	11,348	260.0
32907	PRIDE Prep	13	0	13.00	1.02357	47,851	47,851	6,670	10,712	238.0
33030	Onion Creek	4	4,060	3.46	1.71573	61,244	65,943	8,141	13,084	180.0
33036	Chewelah	53	5,994	51.02	1.61712	58,192	66,802	9,318	14,102	180.0
33049	Wellpinit	36	2,794	34.43	1.51828	54,394	60,259	9,373	13,100	180.7
33070	Valley	40	731	38.04	1.50967	54,211	55,106	8,851	11,426	181.5
33115	Colville	118	2,130	114.19	1.60995	57,485	60,783	9,198	13,043	180.0
33183	Loon Lake	13	1,054	9.75	1.47711	52,733	53,318	8,692	11,192	180.0
33202	Summit Valley	5	2,167	4.19	1.45313	51,894	54,477	8,103	11,882	180.0
33205	Evergreen (Ste)	3	1,333	2.00	1.50884	53,865	53,865	9,255	11,511	180.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31-49, 63, and 64.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l	Total	Average	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~				
			Salary per Indiv.		LEAP 1 Mix Factor	Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
33206	Columbia (Ste)	17	2,852	15.00	1.65870	59,212	64,261	9,468	13,776	180.0
33207	Mary Walker	34	2,314	32.97	1.63536	58,201	62,190	9,360	13,013	180.0
33211	Northport	20	1,074	17.98	1.46753	51,155	54,788	9,755	11,431	180.0
33212	Kettle Falls	51	1,244	49.20	1.58805	56,693	59,065	9,477	11,789	180.3
34002	Yelm	346	10,741	338.39	1.48760	53,106	64,091	10,700	13,814	180.0
34003	North Thurston	1,026	12,483	996.07	1.54926	55,351	68,567	9,204	14,554	180.0
34033	Tumwater	404	10,160	392.42	1.57240	56,135	67,066	9,329	14,161	180.0
34111	Olympia	653	7,631	614.36	1.59689	57,008	65,823	9,013	13,851	180.0
34307	Rainier	51	6,171	49.93	1.52790	54,546	61,197	9,630	13,372	180.0
34324	Griffin	40	7,712	37.52	1.62808	58,123	66,691	9,971	14,205	180.0
34401	Rochester	146	7,504	143.19	1.52466	54,430	62,953	10,258	13,647	180.0
34402	Tenino	75	5,141	73.06	1.49329	53,506	59,555	6,026	12,397	180.0
35200	Wahkiakum	33	1,596	26.01	1.66302	59,369	63,202	11,166	13,090	180.0
36101	Dixie	2	547	2.00	1.47542	52,672	53,219	4,008	11,466	180.0
36140	Walla Walla	385	7,036	374.71	1.60646	57,349	65,712	10,052	13,976	180.4
36250	College Place	92	3,996	90.07	1.46475	52,259	56,326	9,385	11,988	180.0
36300	Touchet	19	0	18.40	1.74003	62,119	63,487	9,810	12,563	180.0
36400	Columbia (Wal)	52	7,796	48.79	1.58153	56,462	64,611	11,813	13,796	180.0
36401	Waitsburg	21	4,370	20.10	1.61270	57,573	61,915	9,334	13,229	180.1
36402	Prescott	21	2,627	21.00	1.50854	53,855	58,084	10,248	12,175	180.0
37501	Bellingham	788	15,551	726.93	1.57660	56,283	73,188	9,353	15,511	180.1
37502	Ferndale	298	17,658	279.00	1.60892	57,439	76,666	9,126	16,406	180.0
37503	Blaine	149	12,193	139.60	1.58619	56,621	70,789	9,955	14,856	180.4
37504	Lynden	199	10,347	187.57	1.54869	55,288	66,262	9,133	14,215	180.0
37505	Meridian	102	11,962	93.28	1.53466	54,782	68,893	9,778	14,624	180.0
37506	Nooksack Valley	118	9,106	109.05	1.55193	55,404	65,757	9,247	14,043	180.0
37507	Mount Baker	136	13,828	131.04	1.49642	53,378	68,254	9,405	14,531	180.0
37903	Lummi	32	0	32.00	1.26484	48,809	48,809	10,532	6,066	185.0
38126	Lacrosse	15	1,537	12.98	1.54483	55,149	58,478	8,529	13,111	180.0
38264	Lamont	6	618	3.55	1.37762	49,135	50,459	5,844	10,998	180.0
38265	Tekoa	20	1,177	18.14	1.61608	57,694	60,073	9,103	12,777	180.0
38267	Pullman	160	5,881	154.00	1.51389	54,047	60,727	6,776	12,793	180.0
38300	Colfax	39	3,711	36.50	1.60067	57,144	63,120	9,969	13,366	180.0
38301	Palouse	17	1,618	15.59	1.59065	56,786	60,565	9,179	12,754	180.0
38302	Garfield	16	961	13.62	1.49288	53,296	57,226	8,778	12,285	180.0
38304	Steptoe	4	0	3.68	1.58005	56,409	65,579	9,360	13,960	180.0
38306	Colton	16	5,402	15.34	1.69329	60,451	66,315	8,720	14,263	182.0
38308	Endicott	14	234	12.62	1.50561	53,752	56,064	7,457	11,998	180.0
38320	Rosalia	19	5,893	17.85	1.61406	57,622	63,894	9,116	13,682	180.0
38322	St. John	18	1,339	16.08	1.48567	53,037	56,394	8,866	11,779	180.0
38324	Oakesdale	11	1,664	10.58	1.65835	59,191	63,404	9,482	12,658	180.0
39002	Union Gap	37	4,532	35.93	1.48950	53,175	58,231	9,291	12,360	180.0
39003	Naches Valley	84	4,932	82.82	1.55648	55,567	61,147	10,075	13,082	180.0
39007	Yakima	986	10,678	976.01	1.53212	54,696	65,471	10,656	13,951	180.0
39090	East Valley (Yak)	191	9,429	188.89	1.56094	55,725	65,214	9,899	13,825	180.0
39119	Selah	242	6,812	236.14	1.51669	54,146	61,310	10,687	13,073	180.0
39120	Mabton	58	1,921	57.97	1.43811	51,340	53,262	9,658	11,466	180.0
39200	Grandview	218	6,891	212.11	1.43662	51,288	59,002	9,820	5,016	180.0
39201	Sunnyside	408	6,009	406.09	1.50163	53,608	60,132	9,341	12,874	180.0
39202	Toppenish	235	4,771	233.63	1.45727	52,023	56,982	10,273	12,527	180.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31-49, 63, and 64.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 34B: Certificated Instructional Staff in All Programs

District Name	Individuals	Avg Add'l Salary per Indiv.	Total FTE	Average LEAP 1 Mix Factor	~~~~~ Base Salary	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~ Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
39203 Highland	80	3,823	78.39	1.53225	54,701	58,591	10,517	12,569	180.0
39204 Granger	91	3,632	89.81	1.48959	53,179	57,387	10,712	12,244	180.0
39205 Zillah	81	5,079	79.10	1.61283	57,578	64,634	10,107	13,729	181.2
39207 Wapato	206	608	204.99	1.45223	51,845	52,455	9,268	11,266	180.0
39208 West Valley (Yak)	293	8,287	288.60	1.55445	56,290	69,681	7,715	16,521	180.6
39209 Mount Adams	71	5,442	67.02	1.40952	50,372	54,434	17,323	11,500	180.0
State Summary	71,529	12,671	68,298.11	1.53295	54,890	68,047	9,591	13,948	180.2

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 31–49, 63, and 64.

Appendix B

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>

Table 36B: Certified Administrative Staff in All Programs

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l	Average	Average per 1.0 FTE					
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
01109	Washtucna	1	0	1.00	1.69557	90,000	90,000	8,587	19,062	230.0
01122	Benge	1	0	0.29	1.61913	57,165	60,372	10,656	12,759	205.0
01147	Othello	24	0	23.00	1.66903	100,638	100,638	10,071	20,859	210.1
01158	Lind	3	2,134	1.50	1.53002	103,179	106,020	8,016	22,533	205.0
01160	Ritzville	3	432	3.00	1.25689	98,483	98,915	7,104	20,876	226.0
02250	Clarkston	14	0	14.00	1.68755	102,174	102,377	12,148	21,729	228.6
02420	Asotin-Anatone	4	0	4.00	1.65587	99,925	99,925	9,360	21,174	222.5
03017	Kennewick	83	1,402	74.91	1.75855	105,470	107,388	11,203	22,280	251.4
03050	Paterson	3	4,533	1.00	1.69557	91,747	91,747	9,360	19,368	213.0
03052	Kiona-Benton City	10	750	9.23	1.39483	94,537	94,537	7,795	16,577	218.5
03053	Finley	6	1,647	6.00	1.61824	106,143	107,789	9,989	22,737	222.5
03116	Prosser	12	828	12.00	1.76002	101,756	102,584	28,355	21,189	220.7
03400	Richland	53	3,802	53.00	1.75408	114,197	118,047	19,130	8,582	217.2
04019	Manson	6	0	5.03	1.77670	106,374	105,674	8,817	22,453	220.3
04069	Stehekin	1	0	0.13	1.80472	80,000	80,000	0	6,528	180.0
04127	Entiat	6	2,250	2.18	1.65607	72,902	78,627	11,212	16,970	198.3
04129	Lake Chelan	9	4,833	7.50	1.46061	103,560	108,060	9,356	22,974	225.3
04222	Cashmere	11	6,253	8.85	1.73852	90,284	98,346	11,610	19,049	222.7
04228	Cascade	8	2,609	7.67	1.68500	100,154	102,877	7,866	21,683	217.4
04246	Wenatchee	37	7,820	36.74	1.76103	113,158	121,034	9,809	25,293	218.1
05121	Port Angeles	17	1,804	16.86	1.76695	112,087	113,906	9,360	23,596	260.0
05313	Crescent	3	1,703	1.96	1.63557	90,834	90,834	9,399	19,269	233.1
05323	Sequim	13	948	12.40	1.74029	109,335	110,293	10,593	23,107	225.2
05401	Cape Flattery	4	0	4.00	1.64641	99,600	100,493	9,531	8,217	240.8
05402	Quillayute Valley	7	617	6.50	1.71683	98,565	101,083	7,679	21,243	223.1
06037	Vancouver	99	6,426	96.62	1.76772	119,845	126,281	9,507	25,823	219.7
06098	Hockinson	8	0	8.00	1.69512	109,746	109,746	14,636	23,283	226.3
06101	Lacenter	9	0	9.00	1.59090	102,384	102,384	9,567	22,550	224.4
06103	Green Mountain	1	0	1.00	1.69557	97,514	97,514	13,405	20,907	260.0
06112	Washougal	15	3,469	15.00	1.70926	108,262	111,730	10,512	23,635	223.1
06114	Evergreen (Clark)	124	95	120.50	1.73752	116,628	116,732	11,070	24,483	249.3
06117	Camas	28	857	27.91	1.67114	121,031	122,133	9,905	25,524	245.2
06119	Battle Ground	54	1,829	54.00	1.76159	118,815	120,644	9,218	25,152	226.9
06122	Ridgefield	10	1,868	10.00	1.72936	116,579	20,086	9,861	24,863	260.0
07002	Dayton	3	0	3.00	1.54374	87,298	87,298	10,959	18,659	230.0
07035	Starbuck	1	4,684	0.57	1.43104	104,875	113,121	773	9,178	97.5
08122	Longview	28	6,421	28.00	1.79345	107,702	114,123	9,951	24,952	228.4
08130	Toutle Lake	5	3,690	3.00	1.82174	65,333	69,120	6,206	14,293	226.7
08401	Castle Rock	7	9,410	7.04	1.53286	97,038	106,395	8,282	8,687	229.9
08402	Kalama	4	1,625	4.00	1.78715	100,229	101,854	9,360	21,809	230.0
08404	Woodland	10	2,019	9.05	1.57455	107,415	109,031	11,658	23,083	259.6
08458	Kelso	23	13,378	22.80	1.74283	108,426	122,057	9,341	25,615	212.3
09013	Orondo	2	0	1.94	1.76937	94,391	94,633	10,649	20,204	235.8
09075	Bridgeport	5	1,600	5.00	1.73353	91,430	97,411	10,084	20,793	220.0
09206	Eastmont	25	11,571	25.00	1.80312	116,993	129,424	9,009	26,388	255.0
09207	Mansfield	3	667	2.00	1.84477	69,000	69,500	10,416	15,010	197.5
09209	Waterville	3	1,000	2.50	1.62137	91,875	95,072	7,482	17,686	215.0
10003	Keller	2	5,957	0.52	1.13919	74,806	86,322	7,488	7,140	208.0
10050	Curlew	2	0	2.00	1.65793	90,301	90,301	0	0	220.0
10065	Orient	1	15,300	0.00	0.00000	0	0	0	0	0.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11-25.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l	Total	Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary		FTE	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.
			per Indiv.		Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
10070	Inchelium	3	0	2.06	1.84242	89,813	81,259	9,082	15,558	230.6
10309	Republic	3	2,408	1.60	1.48259	97,719	97,719	0	21,041	231.9
11001	Pasco	83	5,071	73.87	1.69890	109,311	114,462	24,451	24,645	231.0
11051	North Franklin	13	2,427	12.26	1.62871	95,966	98,156	9,193	20,433	214.6
11054	Star	1	0	0.51	1.07961	25,200	48,932	0	9,858	237.0
11056	Kahlotus	2	1,071	0.81	1.88482	116,337	117,444	9,360	25,181	260.0
12110	Pomeroy	4	2,579	2.29	1.71947	80,906	88,485	20,607	18,861	120.5
13073	Wahluke	13	0	13.00	1.52523	93,851	93,851	9,151	19,891	218.5
13144	Quincy	16	3,563	15.13	1.75000	102,576	106,479	20,685	22,435	219.8
13146	Warden	7	256	7.00	1.63546	97,926	98,182	9,370	20,931	225.7
13151	Coulee-Hartline	2	2,235	1.90	1.84688	97,681	102,637	10,656	21,849	234.7
13156	Soap Lake	5	4,400	4.00	1.52818	84,089	89,642	11,122	19,416	217.5
13160	Royal	9	1,547	7.42	1.79538	105,240	106,012	10,443	22,381	225.3
13161	Moses Lake	31	1,467	31.00	1.83119	117,415	118,881	10,497	24,863	260.0
13165	Ephrata	12	11,488	11.50	1.82751	112,714	124,238	10,133	25,974	211.1
13167	Wilson Creek	3	869	2.02	1.38623	86,199	86,142	15,959	17,895	260.0
13301	Grand Coulee Dam	7	456	6.05	1.67727	84,250	84,375	9,387	18,102	219.4
14005	Aberdeen	17	308	16.16	1.84423	102,289	106,486	12,313	22,604	255.2
14028	Hoquiam	11	930	10.47	1.56333	99,526	101,577	9,674	21,053	225.2
14064	North Beach	6	4,286	5.75	1.74130	92,645	96,931	17,703	20,727	210.0
14065	McCleary	2	0	2.00	1.54803	81,500	81,500	10,314	17,457	195.0
14066	Montesano	6	0	6.00	1.71735	101,278	101,278	28,912	21,385	260.0
14068	Elma	11	0	9.85	1.69621	96,281	96,269	12,877	20,537	220.0
14077	Taholah	3	0	1.98	1.00000	100,974	100,974	7,596	20,704	237.8
14097	Lake Quinault	3	714	2.00	1.88482	95,572	97,536	7,308	20,817	229.0
14099	Cosmopolis	1	0	1.00	1.26526	104,854	104,854	18,179	20,472	260.0
14104	Satsop	1	10,000	0.00	0.00000	0	0	0	0	0.0
14117	Wishkah Valley	1	0	1.00	1.00000	116,430	119,790	0	726	260.0
14172	Ocosta	4	0	4.00	1.69332	106,304	106,304	10,168	22,456	227.8
14400	Oakville	3	2,377	2.00	1.45336	91,800	92,800	2,029	7,780	245.0
15201	Oak Harbor	21	6,190	20.00	1.74668	113,855	120,009	11,158	24,853	214.8
15204	Coupeville	4	21,807	4.00	1.59455	103,179	124,986	9,360	25,626	250.8
15206	South Whidbey	8	0	8.00	1.41381	107,038	107,038	11,235	19,978	230.0
16020	Queets-Clearwater	1	5,000	1.00	1.69557	73,398	78,398	2,038	16,703	260.0
16046	Brinnon	1	0	1.00	1.88482	92,400	92,400	8,587	19,567	210.0
16048	Quilcene	3	2,203	2.54	1.59012	104,071	103,996	6,966	21,965	229.7
16049	Chimacum	7	1,669	7.00	1.65603	100,220	101,889	9,360	21,415	218.9
16050	Port Townsend	8	3,196	6.83	1.69509	94,708	98,523	9,873	20,857	219.0
17001	Seattle	245	1,143	240.61	1.52769	125,723	126,565	10,032	26,347	259.3
17210	Federal Way	111	233	108.21	1.59750	121,463	121,702	8,869	24,726	260.0
17216	Enumclaw	19	9,530	19.00	1.78348	118,213	127,743	9,846	26,514	254.6
17400	Mercer Island	20	1,965	19.15	1.64416	135,059	137,111	11,316	27,881	260.0
17401	Highline	96	1,387	95.92	1.49077	131,716	133,104	8,715	25,117	260.0
17402	Vashon Island	8	4,222	7.96	1.52127	113,042	117,287	8,612	23,903	221.9
17403	Renton	74	2,165	72.98	1.66181	128,303	131,493	9,663	27,064	260.0
17404	Skykomish	3	1,963	1.00	1.26526	97,000	97,000	9,360	20,620	260.0
17405	Bellevue	89	2,818	82.79	1.71951	129,681	132,496	10,408	28,509	261.0
17406	Tukwila	22	2,479	21.26	1.58233	123,502	126,644	9,288	25,985	256.2
17407	Riverview	17	6,292	15.59	1.73134	128,010	136,358	8,972	24,887	256.4
17408	Auburn	67	7,084	67.20	1.75487	120,106	127,086	24,469	9,617	261.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11-25.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
17409	Tahoma	24	12,699	23.47	1.78883	125,268	139,317	13,290	27,380	256.6
17410	Snoqualmie Valley	30	5,209	26.00	1.66803	130,705	136,803	10,389	27,101	219.0
17411	Issaquah	60	3,701	60.00	1.72305	129,539	133,407	9,545	26,624	219.5
17412	Shoreline	39	3,140	36.08	1.71343	126,897	130,377	9,457	26,407	253.3
17414	Lake Washington	104	650	102.21	1.64162	128,206	128,867	10,992	26,096	261.0
17415	Kent	337	3,223	124.72	1.49443	130,343	134,124	12,005	29,514	257.6
17417	Northshore	74	1,271	72.80	1.73625	130,957	132,240	9,870	26,708	260.0
17902	Summit Sierra	2	0	2.00	1.24505	104,949	104,949	4,297	22,675	191.0
17903	Muckleshoot	4	0	4.00	1.28466	113,233	113,233	13,021	15,399	260.0
17906	Excel Public Chartr	1	0	0.00	0.00000	0	0	0	0	0.0
17908	Rainier Prep	2	0	2.00	1.47534	86,460	86,460	5,253	26,821	231.0
18100	Bremerton	21	2,517	21.00	1.71164	111,131	113,791	10,496	23,772	226.9
18303	Bainbridge	18	373	17.10	1.74865	124,916	125,309	8,923	25,832	258.6
18400	North Kitsap	29	2,171	28.50	1.75708	117,405	119,614	9,959	24,780	232.2
18401	Central Kitsap	41	10,741	40.50	1.72030	114,855	125,729	12,287	24,632	260.0
18402	South Kitsap	39	10,305	38.23	1.65795	108,591	119,260	10,963	23,884	260.0
18902	Suquamish	2	0	2.00	1.58570	92,005	0	7,954	12,557	209.4
19007	Damman	1	0	1.00	1.13919	18,000	18,000	0	1,377	260.0
19028	Easton	2	21,740	2.00	1.43102	103,069	124,809	9,360	24,394	188.0
19400	Thorp	2	0	2.00	1.39517	102,206	106,235	9,360	22,217	247.0
19401	Ellensburg	16	0	15.05	1.74454	101,733	101,733	10,656	21,468	260.0
19403	Kittitas	3	2,504	3.00	1.44184	88,385	91,796	28,416	19,250	218.3
19404	Cle Elum-Roslyn	7	2,732	7.00	1.56446	89,921	92,652	9,747	19,654	220.0
20094	Wishram	1	0	1.00	1.21224	88,916	88,916	9,421	19,103	220.0
20203	Bickleton	2	0	1.57	1.77084	120,625	120,625	27,602	24,446	227.4
20215	Centerville	2	1,890	0.38	1.43100	129,870	129,870	0	28,410	260.0
20400	Trout Lake	3	1,333	2.00	1.77358	70,000	70,000	15,664	15,078	238.0
20401	Glenwood	1	0	1.00	1.21224	91,824	96,644	9,304	21,031	261.0
20402	Klickitat	2	3,138	1.00	1.88482	106,143	111,143	11,205	23,109	260.0
20403	Roosevelt	1	0	0.42	1.22566	71,942	71,942	0	16,168	180.0
20404	Goldendale	6	544	5.40	1.78218	107,334	108,173	11,169	22,315	251.1
20405	White Salmon	7	5,786	6.80	1.60855	87,090	93,491	14,905	20,063	217.7
20406	Lyle	1	6,600	1.00	1.21224	135,000	141,600	17,178	28,724	261.0
21014	Napavine	4	750	3.60	1.64129	91,602	94,018	10,989	19,842	216.1
21036	Evaline	1	10,000	0.00	0.00000	0	0	0	0	0.0
21206	Mossyrock	3	0	3.00	1.75865	100,858	33,619	9,360	21,458	260.0
21214	Morton	3	600	3.00	1.39303	92,901	94,202	7,774	7,951	260.0
21226	Adna	3	722	2.42	1.74067	107,452	108,348	9,406	18,822	207.7
21232	Winlock	4	0	4.00	1.61912	92,500	23,556	9,332	19,606	219.7
21234	Boistfort	1	0	1.00	1.21224	90,000	90,000	1,642	19,196	260.0
21237	Toledo	4	120	3.96	1.45622	94,761	95,105	13,348	20,395	222.6
21300	Onalaska	5	400	5.00	1.50495	91,274	93,331	10,245	19,538	224.0
21301	Pe Ell	3	2,364	3.00	1.83142	77,920	80,284	22,641	17,452	225.0
21302	Chehalis	14	624	14.00	1.62606	111,440	112,064	12,551	23,500	220.4
21303	White Pass	4	0	3.54	1.31785	88,862	89,165	9,144	19,037	224.1
21401	Centralia	16	6,547	16.00	1.66834	109,070	115,617	9,422	24,497	229.6
22008	Sprague	2	1,180	1.53	1.83233	92,014	90,854	11,835	19,145	198.3
22009	Reardan	4	3,883	3.00	1.82174	104,251	104,251	12,631	22,313	230.0
22017	Almira	2	0	1.21	1.73126	102,509	130,887	12,821	27,683	246.1
22073	Creston	2	10,000	1.00	1.88482	94,132	95,615	30,268	20,266	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11-25.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
22105	Odessa	3	27,519	1.00	1.88482	90,000	90,000	9,360	19,088	224.0
22200	Wilbur	2	0	2.00	1.75359	99,991	99,991	6,396	21,177	232.5
22204	Harrington	3	1,033	1.00	1.62022	97,852	99,352	9,360	20,985	260.0
22207	Davenport	6	1,904	3.33	1.43434	94,694	97,735	9,423	20,698	228.0
23042	Southside	2	0	2.00	1.45390	76,527	76,527	9,177	16,465	260.0
23054	Grapeview	2	1,012	1.35	1.60497	104,032	105,531	0	3,176	189.3
23309	Shelton	21	602	21.00	1.75929	104,469	105,118	10,219	22,129	215.9
23311	Mary M. Knight	1	0	1.00	1.37458	118,486	118,486	0	25,404	260.0
23402	Pioneer	5	3,101	5.00	1.58751	97,813	100,914	7,815	21,296	220.0
23403	North Mason	12	3,448	11.40	1.68211	107,521	110,824	9,661	23,290	222.2
23404	Hood Canal	3	0	2.56	1.68377	103,408	101,484	10,890	21,520	228.7
24014	Nespelem	2	4,400	1.35	1.83617	104,837	115,090	6,954	19,690	260.0
24019	Omak	10	850	9.71	1.59458	97,585	98,504	9,383	21,206	260.0
24105	Okanogan	6	1,103	5.00	1.81132	111,877	112,805	13,008	23,846	223.0
24111	Brewster	8	5,394	6.50	1.81401	88,979	95,016	12,225	20,268	213.2
24122	Pateros	3	2,500	1.96	1.55469	88,264	89,491	9,478	19,122	192.4
24350	Methow Valley	5	2,592	3.77	1.78482	107,209	110,719	10,694	23,319	226.0
24404	Tonasket	7	2,194	6.48	1.55729	91,713	95,808	21,994	20,316	232.0
24410	Oroville	3	0	3.00	1.66249	88,667	88,667	20,782	19,287	223.3
25101	Ocean Beach	8	2,428	7.26	1.69483	95,456	100,397	9,998	21,764	215.4
25116	Raymond	3	2,280	3.00	1.61166	110,103	112,383	11,260	22,939	226.7
25118	South Bend	5	8,025	4.00	1.67638	96,659	107,368	10,633	8,795	222.5
25155	Naselle-Grays R.	4	2,692	3.00	1.53679	98,936	103,449	27,671	17,326	223.3
25160	Willapa Valley	3	5,867	3.00	1.85812	91,496	98,759	16,628	20,929	215.0
25200	North River	1	11,046	1.00	1.69557	100,403	111,449	9,756	22,360	260.0
26056	Newport	6	5,833	5.22	1.46774	92,711	106,009	11,262	22,324	242.4
26059	Cusick	6	1,667	2.78	1.58378	88,072	90,968	10,427	19,387	209.2
26070	Selkirk	4	524	2.60	1.88482	93,627	93,627	594	19,852	235.0
27001	Steilacoom Hist.	15	933	15.00	1.57199	110,498	111,431	9,191	22,993	224.0
27003	Puyallup	82	2,646	81.42	1.75039	118,994	121,658	8,267	23,797	260.0
27010	Tacoma	133	5,254	132.80	1.68490	125,559	129,700	9,349	11,702	260.0
27019	Carbonado	1	5,286	1.00	1.88482	93,656	98,942	12,392	21,171	260.0
27083	University Place	26	604	25.00	1.47704	114,185	40,616	9,553	23,529	260.0
27320	Sumner	43	12,466	41.91	1.76984	113,497	126,324	10,807	25,229	260.0
27343	Dieringer	5	4,350	5.00	1.53968	116,215	120,565	9,923	24,932	260.0
27344	Orting	11	4,744	10.96	1.68053	108,135	112,899	10,684	23,964	260.0
27400	Clover Park	65	1,113	65.00	1.64546	121,509	122,675	9,761	22,984	221.3
27401	Peninsula	37	6,227	36.46	1.76527	112,643	118,963	8,712	24,825	260.0
27402	Franklin Pierce	32	8,838	32.00	1.76264	118,990	127,827	9,538	26,368	260.0
27403	Bethel	93	6,619	91.16	1.68416	105,105	111,858	10,546	23,727	246.2
27404	Eatonville	11	10,439	11.26	1.57164	94,989	103,946	12,678	19,795	230.5
27416	White River	18	13,487	18.00	1.73706	110,261	123,895	11,314	25,785	247.8
27417	Fife	23	6,757	21.53	1.69401	103,306	103,831	9,257	21,173	254.6
27904	Green Dot	2	0	2.00	1.01346	89,500	89,500	6,166	8,648	260.0
27905	Summit Olympus	2	0	2.00	1.29891	106,744	106,744	6,985	23,036	191.0
27909	SOAR Academy	1	0	1.00	1.19891	70,000	70,000	4,196	6,805	260.0
28137	Orcas Island	5	595	3.92	1.47666	108,177	108,177	13,132	23,103	260.0
28144	Lopez Island	3	1,188	2.25	1.33705	91,407	93,180	27,036	20,050	251.1
28149	San Juan Island	4	0	4.00	1.60770	115,762	117,662	9,441	24,282	260.0
29011	Concrete	4	1,200	4.00	1.88482	100,765	101,965	9,759	21,766	229.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11-25.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
29100	Burlington-Edison	18	7,424	16.06	1.80209	114,394	122,055	10,807	24,675	254.2
29101	Sedro-Woolley	21	1,020	19.50	1.68551	120,661	120,663	10,143	24,941	227.5
29103	Anacortes	16	2,652	15.14	1.65061	111,735	115,682	9,345	24,020	241.7
29311	La Conner	4	2,700	4.00	1.46457	110,422	113,122	9,323	23,147	223.3
29317	Conway	2	1,250	2.00	1.75015	112,385	113,635	9,360	23,874	240.5
29320	Mt Vernon	29	41	29.00	1.80825	118,901	118,943	9,231	24,823	260.0
30029	Mount Pleasant	1	0	1.00	1.22566	42,714	42,714	515	8,747	95.0
30031	Mill A	1	20,000	0.00	0.00000	0	0	0	0	0.0
30303	Stevenson-Carson	5	2,397	5.00	1.59450	94,127	97,337	9,360	20,869	223.4
31002	Everett	76	1,954	75.05	1.73605	133,969	135,948	9,257	27,224	258.3
31004	Lake Stevens	30	2,974	28.41	1.73469	136,194	139,283	9,804	28,276	259.6
31006	Mukilteo	52	4,258	51.80	1.77193	140,216	144,490	9,924	28,949	229.9
31015	Edmonds	79	2,013	77.26	1.76494	128,647	130,712	9,686	27,130	260.0
31016	Arlington	29	414	27.94	1.61097	129,906	130,335	10,218	26,855	260.0
31025	Marysville	47	3,403	46.05	1.65570	127,020	130,537	9,610	27,025	260.0
31063	Index	1	0	0.42	1.88482	134,716	134,716	1,459	28,401	260.0
31103	Monroe	27	716	27.00	1.72523	132,489	133,243	10,122	27,036	244.3
31201	Snohomish	39	718	38.78	1.80150	134,118	134,868	10,034	27,630	260.0
31306	Lakewood	10	4,104	9.10	1.54974	116,936	126,794	9,436	26,700	254.7
31311	Sultan	11	1,343	11.00	1.60895	114,382	115,725	4,169	23,963	223.8
31330	Darrington	3	940	3.00	1.49095	99,667	101,821	16,297	21,595	224.7
31332	Granite Falls	9	3,464	8.50	1.65341	123,792	127,424	17,740	26,382	260.0
31401	Stanwood-Camano	19	717	19.00	1.80578	134,065	135,307	9,064	27,432	225.8
32081	Spokane	114	10,891	110.31	1.77084	107,724	118,980	12,398	24,457	254.6
32123	Orchard Prairie	1	0	0.38	1.26526	123,154	123,154	0	25,997	260.0
32312	Great Northern	1	0	0.36	1.69557	91,132	91,132	0	7,630	180.0
32325	Nine Mile Falls	14	1,510	7.80	1.78451	103,409	103,539	9,388	21,852	258.9
32326	Medical Lake	11	7,575	9.48	1.80260	102,304	112,442	8,238	23,526	213.1
32354	Mead	38	14,338	38.00	1.79514	110,693	125,031	10,274	28,529	251.4
32356	Central Valley	65	659	64.71	1.73035	107,342	108,411	1,923	24,977	223.0
32358	Freeman	6	9,824	5.00	1.80496	96,171	106,439	11,366	22,073	225.0
32360	Cheney	24	2,477	22.68	1.62915	95,466	98,033	9,117	20,554	226.7
32361	East Valley (Spo)	25	1,951	21.60	1.78356	116,828	118,723	10,125	24,551	251.9
32362	Liberty	3	4,991	2.00	1.79020	112,075	112,075	11,144	23,383	240.5
32363	West Valley (Spo)	18	16,112	17.54	1.82524	104,824	120,738	34,750	23,582	218.1
32414	Deer Park	11	7,266	11.00	1.72459	103,561	111,282	9,501	23,468	236.3
32416	Riverside	10	9,183	7.89	1.66113	104,894	117,042	8,821	23,500	227.6
32901	Spokane Intrnl Acad	2	0	2.00	1.21224	76,500	76,500	7,048	16,665	260.0
32907	PRIDE Prep	1	0	1.00	1.88482	127,500	127,500	4,677	26,813	260.0
33030	Onion Creek	1	0	0.19	1.69557	135,306	135,306	22	11,269	260.0
33036	Chewelah	8	4,739	3.91	1.59494	99,995	102,929	9,928	21,707	211.5
33049	Wellpinit	8	5,408	4.72	1.47080	92,724	98,175	9,791	20,279	257.9
33070	Valley	6	1,000	3.92	1.86410	100,971	101,334	10,863	21,524	240.9
33115	Colville	9	0	8.43	1.53768	101,242	103,098	9,542	21,770	215.9
33183	Loon Lake	1	0	1.00	1.57060	95,550	103,552	9,360	22,051	220.0
33202	Summit Valley	1	0	0.70	1.76934	96,429	96,429	9,360	20,840	260.0
33205	Evergreen (Ste)	1	0	0.30	1.66233	98,333	98,333	0	21,793	260.0
33206	Columbia (Ste)	3	1,000	2.00	1.48661	65,534	65,934	5,410	14,263	235.0
33207	Mary Walker	5	4,245	4.00	1.81748	89,210	94,078	9,360	19,855	210.5
33211	Northport	2	0	2.00	1.88482	89,716	89,716	12,780	18,985	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11-25.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
33212	Kettle Falls	7	2,338	4.90	1.77675	96,526	96,561	12,085	19,042	217.8
34002	Yelm	24	10,943	24.00	1.67679	107,231	118,174	16,493	24,716	216.9
34003	North Thurston	58	45	56.42	1.79552	117,158	117,224	9,423	24,509	253.6
34033	Tumwater	28	0	28.00	1.75591	112,746	112,746	9,894	23,692	243.6
34111	Olympia	47	1,270	43.35	1.72161	107,146	108,895	10,619	22,961	220.7
34307	Rainier	11	3,846	4.10	1.77592	89,287	95,368	8,858	20,505	219.0
34324	Griffin	4	0	3.40	1.82513	103,267	105,004	10,370	21,036	215.3
34401	Rochester	10	640	10.00	1.74156	111,779	112,795	9,602	23,838	217.9
34402	Tenino	7	3,418	7.00	1.17174	104,630	108,048	4,929	22,753	230.0
35200	Wahkiakum	3	0	2.53	1.85312	102,182	102,182	11,664	17,898	205.0
36101	Dixie	1	0	1.00	1.69557	25,000	25,000	0	5,320	52.0
36140	Walla Walla	24	125	22.73	1.81831	115,910	116,042	11,042	24,581	260.0
36250	College Place	8	7,051	8.00	1.70813	98,589	105,640	10,389	21,251	260.0
36300	Touchet	2	0	2.00	1.59610	88,070	91,820	8,993	20,661	240.0
36400	Columbia (Wal)	7	7,429	4.81	1.84561	109,278	121,390	32,503	25,179	221.7
36401	Waitsburg	2	7,967	1.90	1.88482	89,167	99,922	8,887	21,250	233.9
36402	Prescott	2	1,800	2.00	1.40726	93,500	95,300	13,303	20,403	235.0
37501	Bellingham	59	1,163	56.15	1.73304	124,067	125,028	9,628	25,759	244.7
37502	Ferndale	29	2,566	28.51	1.66353	106,303	108,082	9,357	21,188	260.0
37503	Blaine	14	882	9.56	1.75879	117,536	118,449	16,624	24,603	237.4
37504	Lynden	13	2,648	12.00	1.62500	108,660	111,575	9,241	23,545	228.1
37505	Meridian	10	6,278	9.75	1.70600	98,928	105,623	11,808	22,125	237.0
37506	Nooksack Valley	18	2,283	9.00	1.83786	118,999	123,661	10,560	25,258	224.4
37507	Mount Baker	9	4,948	9.00	1.47293	109,290	114,237	7,113	24,018	218.9
37903	Lummi	3	0	3.00	1.46033	83,041	83,041	10,967	8,839	185.0
38126	Lacrosse	3	1,667	2.29	1.58746	77,454	78,132	16,160	17,394	210.6
38264	Lamont	1	6,411	1.00	1.88482	82,815	89,226	16,611	18,842	200.0
38265	Tekoa	2	6,291	1.50	1.82897	97,480	106,769	9,360	22,570	260.0
38267	Pullman	12	1,260	11.93	1.67291	101,474	102,742	6,675	21,299	260.0
38300	Colfax	4	3,035	3.17	1.44213	92,897	96,993	9,941	20,579	221.0
38301	Palouse	2	0	2.00	1.75015	76,090	77,446	7,127	16,619	210.0
38302	Garfield	1	0	1.00	1.69557	97,500	97,500	9,273	20,703	250.0
38304	Steptoe	2	16,874	0.02	1.80472	228,826	228,826	0	19,130	260.0
38306	Colton	3	700	1.50	1.69557	98,034	98,434	22,785	21,169	225.0
38308	Endicott	2	0	1.50	1.75865	93,019	93,019	9,360	19,465	230.0
38320	Rosalia	2	0	1.37	1.70070	115,198	115,198	8,319	18,896	223.4
38322	St. John	2	0	1.50	1.69557	94,417	94,417	6,240	19,823	230.0
38324	Oakesdale	3	5,238	1.27	1.67051	108,834	114,793	9,360	23,713	242.8
39002	Union Gap	4	5,195	3.00	1.69948	97,218	102,508	11,138	21,725	220.7
39003	Naches Valley	9	9,455	8.00	1.77897	99,489	109,906	9,554	22,790	222.2
39007	Yakima	69	7,064	68.00	1.69505	104,317	111,485	12,936	22,910	219.2
39090	East Valley (Yak)	14	3,814	13.50	1.65610	105,789	110,381	28,062	22,806	225.8
39119	Selah	20	9,166	20.00	1.65500	104,168	113,333	11,491	23,565	223.2
39120	Mabton	6	0	6.00	1.52532	92,063	92,063	9,453	19,595	216.7
39200	Grandview	19	5,487	18.79	1.62545	102,424	109,136	14,296	9,001	223.9
39201	Sunnyside	27	37	27.00	1.74918	104,626	104,663	11,336	22,126	216.9
39202	Toppenish	21	9,578	20.25	1.64024	107,654	117,587	23,075	24,707	217.9
39203	Highland	7	1,414	7.00	1.50802	97,875	101,569	12,551	21,440	215.7
39204	Granger	9	8,073	9.00	1.67720	89,090	97,513	27,494	20,755	210.4
39205	Zillah	9	3,256	8.00	1.51867	106,165	111,623	16,164	23,214	224.7

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11-25.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 36B: Certificated Administrative Staff in All Programs

District Name	Individuals	Avg Add'l Salary per Indiv.	Total FTE	Average LEAP 1 Mix Factor	~~~~~ Base Salary	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE Total Salary	~~~~~ Insur. Ben.	~~~~~ Mand. Ben.	~~~~~ Days in 1.0 FTE
39207 Wapato	21	543	21.00	1.71620	106,133	106,676	26,393	22,554	229.5
39208 West Valley (Yak)	20	900	19.00	1.70454	112,047	115,091	8,671	23,250	229.7
39209 Mount Adams	8	2,000	7.20	1.44416	87,219	99,581	13,260	20,887	212.6
State Summary	5,257	3,549	4,794.10	1.68418	115,675	118,674	11,142	23,617	243.3

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 11–25.

Appendix C

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Preliminary School District Personnel Summary Reports 2016-17 School Year* (Feb. 2017), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/PER/1617/All.pdf>

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
01109	Washtucna	11	376	5.93		37,270	37,275	8,454	8,216	260.0
01122	Benge	5	0	1.44		39,351	39,317	14,788	7,730	260.0
01147	Othello	250	0	161.00		40,397	40,401	13,880	8,703	260.0
01158	Lind	22	0	14.33		38,814	38,813	12,224	8,282	260.0
01160	Ritzville	40	4,701	19.85		38,699	39,511	13,595	8,561	260.0
02250	Clarkston	231	943	122.86		39,380	39,425	12,417	8,988	260.0
02420	Asotin-Anatone	71	2,473	27.51		41,053	41,812	10,414	9,208	260.0
03017	Kennewick	1,165	542	655.42		38,273	38,277	12,682	8,830	260.0
03050	Paterson	16	0	8.32		38,372	38,020	10,743	8,318	260.0
03052	Kiona-Benton City	110	159	73.14		38,657	37,606	10,967	8,274	260.0
03053	Finley	76	906	40.26		37,151	37,340	12,967	8,819	260.0
03116	Prosser	205	313	127.08		37,748	38,815	12,857	7,519	260.0
03400	Richland	801	42	505.35		39,081	39,099	14,546	4,226	260.0
04019	Manson	78	733	34.05		38,682	39,417	13,106	8,680	260.0
04069	Stehekin	4	0	0.69		41,623	41,643	0	3,771	260.0
04127	Entiat	43	1,238	18.11		37,193	37,972	12,725	8,576	260.0
04129	Lake Chelan	143	1,654	63.24		40,222	41,049	11,937	9,036	260.0
04222	Cashmere	135	2,623	56.59		41,348	42,704	15,134	9,014	260.0
04228	Cascade	119	1,185	57.12		40,667	40,826	11,157	8,963	260.0
04246	Wenatchee	656	1,516	329.24		39,004	40,346	11,631	9,002	260.0
05121	Port Angeles	287	2,050	154.58		41,763	43,207	11,855	8,822	260.0
05313	Crescent	42	446	12.62		41,090	52,039	12,843	10,565	260.0
05323	Sequim	225	1,183	107.81		41,220	41,407	13,757	8,631	260.0
05401	Cape Flattery	61	1,371	34.27		41,691	43,050	11,732	4,206	260.0
05402	Quillayute Valley	133	1,988	74.39		37,391	39,803	11,200	8,304	260.0
06037	Vancouver	1,347	100	941.23		45,585	45,753	11,656	8,980	260.0
06098	Hockinson	103	689	61.15		42,591	42,714	11,972	9,310	260.0
06101	Lacenter	108	683	51.74		40,255	40,372	11,303	9,533	260.0
06103	Green Mountain	10	275	6.07		37,380	37,841	11,881	8,641	260.0
06112	Washougal	233	566	113.78		41,482	41,627	11,850	6,770	260.0
06114	Evergreen (Clark)	1,433	174	828.02		45,486	45,624	11,843	9,715	260.0
06117	Camas	499	1,237	250.64		47,716	48,257	11,262	10,501	260.0
06119	Battle Ground	698	635	418.19		43,676	43,875	11,021	9,462	260.0
06122	Ridgefield	131	1,612	52.92		41,956	7,313	10,574	8,738	260.0
07002	Dayton	52	1,960	19.16		37,138	37,731	11,115	8,708	260.0
07035	Starbuck	4	375	1.73		40,252	40,833	5,429	8,108	260.0
08122	Longview	541	1,075	285.22		39,143	39,456	11,854	9,485	260.0
08130	Toutle Lake	73	1,660	28.36		35,615	36,022	12,232	8,345	260.0
08401	Castle Rock	104	1,312	56.61		38,397	40,029	12,027	4,793	260.0
08402	Kalama	62	1,750	21.95		35,427	35,477	10,933	7,806	260.0
08404	Woodland	294	620	151.32		39,137	39,204	11,935	9,029	260.0
08458	Kelso	369	793	199.90		37,704	37,889	10,733	8,624	260.0
09013	Orondo	23	972	16.11		37,627	39,019	10,976	8,437	260.0
09075	Bridgeport	65	820	39.68		34,901	35,164	10,693	7,943	260.0
09102	Palisades	4	0	2.71		34,534	34,534	15,730	7,728	260.0
09206	Eastmont	402	1,720	215.84		43,388	44,583	11,920	9,553	260.0
09207	Mansfield	17	596	7.63		34,916	35,195	9,159	7,594	260.0
09209	Waterville	29	786	14.13		38,812	38,924	11,345	9,234	260.0
10003	Keller	9	0	4.94		31,261	31,271	10,911	6,981	260.0
10050	Curlew	34	1,995	14.03		33,597	34,763	0	0	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90–99.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

		Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
		Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name	Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
10065	Orient	10	920	4.70	36,451	38,052	8,033	6,768	260.0
10070	Inchelium	40	63	15.89	42,459	51,931	16,633	10,344	260.0
10309	Republic	47	1,421	16.25	35,845	36,076	0	7,701	260.0
11001	Pasco	1,000	0	647.93	38,995	39,282	11,857	10,169	260.0
11051	North Franklin	203	1,129	102.46	37,194	37,454	11,794	8,641	260.0
11054	Star	4	0	2.30	30,956	36,582	13,882	8,930	260.0
11056	Kahlotus	11	169	6.88	35,875	36,034	11,948	8,830	260.0
12110	Pomeroy	58	8,251	18.73	35,532	37,604	13,139	8,993	260.0
13073	Wahluke	138	65	103.37	36,866	36,957	11,124	7,721	260.0
13144	Quincy	284	1,685	132.41	37,467	38,001	13,164	8,714	260.0
13146	Warden	100	1,324	43.26	36,191	36,531	13,635	8,182	260.0
13151	Coulee-Hartline	38	1,909	13.57	36,435	36,616	11,633	8,223	260.0
13156	Soap Lake	48	1,155	23.37	40,716	40,777	13,294	9,182	260.0
13160	Royal	122	150	84.01	36,630	36,845	11,863	7,509	260.0
13161	Moses Lake	632	951	348.43	39,366	39,522	11,964	8,626	260.0
13165	Ephrata	223	1,494	97.45	41,020	41,494	13,096	9,242	260.0
13167	Wilson Creek	32	0	17.17	35,440	46,681	23,172	10,162	260.0
13301	Grand Coulee Dam	112	1,031	54.02	37,039	37,793	12,553	8,273	260.0
14005	Aberdeen	349	638	186.41	37,692	38,470	8,197	8,539	260.0
14028	Hoquiam	172	2,359	81.70	39,178	41,980	12,657	9,684	260.0
14064	North Beach	71	560	37.46	36,481	36,674	13,258	9,779	260.0
14065	McCleary	36	174	20.26	33,922	34,110	13,438	7,663	260.0
14066	Montesano	145	2,003	58.81	37,396	37,519	14,610	8,381	260.0
14068	Elma	137	1,212	72.15	37,160	38,284	11,559	9,226	260.0
14077	Taholah	27	621	15.71	43,442	43,735	13,544	9,856	260.0
14097	Lake Quinault	31	799	15.76	39,369	42,497	13,734	9,587	260.0
14099	Cosmopolis	12	0	6.82	35,551	37,426	12,730	8,369	260.0
14104	Satsop	6	0	3.18	35,761	35,767	11,586	7,493	260.0
14117	Wishkah Valley	25	2,158	9.14	38,652	42,783	13,680	9,234	260.0
14172	Ocosta	72	1,930	28.54	39,585	40,391	11,495	10,252	260.0
14400	Oakville	30	1,326	14.98	35,772	36,359	2,363	4,314	260.0
15201	Oak Harbor	400	1,152	233.57	41,760	43,353	14,021	8,944	260.0
15204	Coupeville	70	1,532	34.69	45,756	46,633	12,135	9,946	260.0
15206	South Whidbey	134	2,024	52.96	42,965	44,623	13,292	9,444	260.0
16020	Queets-Clearwater	8	0	4.94	38,799	38,798	11,968	8,151	260.0
16046	Brinnon	9	61	4.70	36,716	46,672	13,714	9,745	260.0
16048	Quilcene	47	70	23.40	36,599	53,535	14,057	11,335	260.0
16049	Chimacum	91	898	46.33	41,833	41,991	11,754	8,902	260.0
16050	Port Townsend	103	923	56.79	38,382	43,103	12,543	8,799	260.0
17001	Seattle	2,364	90	1,944.29	61,650	61,775	10,988	12,996	260.0
17210	Federal Way	1,291	628	748.27	48,111	48,562	11,389	10,698	260.0
17216	Enumclaw	340	969	184.83	45,763	46,083	10,099	10,560	260.0
17400	Mercer Island	216	1,572	139.42	52,319	55,871	12,285	11,943	260.0
17401	Highline	1,261	23	798.97	45,491	45,340	11,029	9,951	260.0
17402	Vashon Island	105	667	64.37	44,941	50,705	9,420	11,476	260.0
17403	Renton	935	1,230	647.25	47,691	49,589	12,624	10,558	260.0
17404	Skykomish	13	492	7.57	40,978	40,966	10,684	8,467	260.0
17405	Bellevue	1,332	381	775.13	51,993	52,759	12,012	11,004	260.0
17406	Tukwila	177	94	122.81	52,448	52,579	12,065	11,547	260.0
17407	Riverview	244	1,017	110.27	45,122	47,584	9,715	10,211	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90-99.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
17408	Auburn	910	160	616.27		47,657	47,818	19,119	5,412	260.0
17409	Tahoma	438	311	277.29		42,554	43,028	12,441	9,509	260.0
17410	Snoqualmie Valley	435	1,149	199.25		40,779	41,187	11,280	9,088	260.0
17411	Issaquah	1,056	289	658.56		49,772	49,972	11,044	10,721	260.0
17412	Shoreline	719	1,307	386.90		49,152	50,122	12,008	10,716	260.0
17414	Lake Washington	1,266	411	831.99		47,826	48,127	12,266	9,712	260.0
17415	Kent	1,596	327	1,036.36		46,915	47,376	13,100	11,116	260.0
17417	Northshore	1,052	281	692.65		52,376	52,806	10,402	14,477	260.0
17902	Summit Sierra	3	22,969	0.82		35,238	35,248	0	11,002	260.0
17903	Muckleshoot	1	0	1.00		89,467	89,467	10,288	12,167	260.0
17906	Excel Public Chartr	6	0	0.00		0	0	0	0	0.0
17908	Rainier Prep	5	40,646	0.79		35,606	35,620	0	0	260.0
18100	Bremerton	335	1,021	225.68		42,390	43,710	11,356	9,823	260.0
18303	Bainbridge	283	1,127	147.40		49,779	50,300	11,691	10,226	260.0
18400	North Kitsap	486	789	270.41		42,917	43,132	10,738	8,973	260.0
18401	Central Kitsap	762	1,692	487.54		43,968	46,386	11,648	9,517	260.0
18402	South Kitsap	617	1,598	347.02		41,820	43,045	12,358	9,063	260.0
18902	Suquamish	9	0	7.25		53,987	0	9,940	7,943	260.0
19007	Damman	5	0	1.92		37,682	37,685	7,123	5,863	260.0
19028	Easton	13	382	7.77		42,433	44,119	12,046	8,797	260.0
19400	Thorp	15	499	8.93		39,274	39,767	9,951	7,543	260.0
19401	Ellensburg	230	1,051	115.89		40,685	41,796	10,120	8,343	260.0
19403	Kittitas	57	1,218	26.83		35,532	35,738	14,961	7,267	260.0
19404	Cle Elum-Roslyn	74	873	39.37		39,134	39,364	13,849	7,908	260.0
20094	Wishram	11	1,080	8.38		39,496	40,915	11,834	9,433	260.0
20203	Bickleton	12	227	8.12		45,865	50,472	14,846	9,285	260.0
20215	Centerville	11	1,778	6.24		36,528	38,992	11,837	9,020	260.0
20400	Trout Lake	22	685	7.42		38,535	51,843	9,789	12,314	260.0
20401	Glenwood	22	1,844	8.36		42,580	43,124	10,647	10,115	260.0
20402	Klickitat	23	1,349	8.84		40,372	47,417	10,781	10,643	260.0
20403	Roosevelt	4	0	2.61		33,827	33,829	13,225	8,811	260.0
20404	Goldendale	91	964	45.80		38,803	39,398	10,846	7,884	260.0
20405	White Salmon	112	1,944	47.83		40,809	42,274	10,161	9,446	260.0
20406	Lyle	29	633	16.06		36,896	36,895	13,544	9,485	260.0
21014	Napavine	79	1,493	29.39		37,698	38,176	12,949	8,232	260.0
21036	Evaline	7	0	3.73		34,615	34,631	7,803	7,343	260.0
21206	Mossyrock	61	1,025	26.24		35,599	13,307	11,949	8,224	260.0
21214	Morton	36	373	17.29		40,130	40,241	12,068	5,745	260.0
21226	Adna	56	1,073	18.85		35,005	38,949	11,997	8,743	260.0
21232	Winlock	88	1,200	37.33		36,330	10,129	12,083	8,323	260.0
21234	Boistfort	11	45	6.95		33,320	33,322	11,322	7,869	260.0
21237	Toledo	74	1,673	29.64		34,169	34,389	11,720	7,949	260.0
21300	Onalaska	86	1,753	39.16		36,007	36,602	11,874	8,267	260.0
21301	Pe Ell	58	1,585	15.30		35,580	35,721	14,321	8,253	260.0
21302	Chehalis	277	1,170	135.22		39,016	39,430	11,636	8,367	260.0
21303	White Pass	60	977	26.36		34,265	34,501	12,041	7,875	260.0
21401	Centralia	306	313	174.01		39,376	39,932	11,763	9,030	260.0
22008	Sprague	14	429	7.73		40,917	41,700	11,997	8,028	260.0
22009	Reardan	56	52	30.74		35,694	35,792	12,745	7,928	260.0
22017	Almira	23	1,222	8.19		36,549	36,641	11,754	7,863	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90–99.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016-17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

		Avg Add'l		Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
		Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
22073	Creston	21	1,285	8.29	36,051	36,055	13,111	8,012	260.0
22105	Odessa	37	2,138	14.09	34,378	35,735	12,349	7,945	260.0
22200	Wilbur	38	1,774	15.29	38,398	42,488	14,195	9,145	260.0
22204	Harrington	14	159	9.12	39,445	39,696	11,011	8,109	260.0
22207	Davenport	49	0	28.55	38,080	39,871	12,743	8,608	260.0
23042	Southside	17	0	10.75	39,278	39,664	12,972	9,734	260.0
23054	Grapeview	17	953	8.38	41,490	42,831	6,054	5,781	260.0
23309	Shelton	372	1,138	214.08	39,802	41,444	12,531	9,177	260.0
23311	Mary M. Knight	24	1,564	10.73	40,491	42,123	7,834	10,467	260.0
23402	Pioneer	59	2,526	34.00	38,691	42,912	11,759	9,687	260.0
23403	North Mason	174	584	97.92	39,851	40,000	11,270	8,546	260.0
23404	Hood Canal	35	0	22.21	38,276	38,271	13,774	9,244	260.0
24014	Nespelem	21	1,069	14.57	38,623	39,349	11,514	9,045	260.0
24019	Omak	167	1,045	93.51	38,281	39,266	12,560	8,861	260.0
24105	Okanogan	137	2,012	57.09	37,580	38,924	12,002	8,485	260.0
24111	Brewster	87	170	51.70	35,930	44,462	12,182	9,921	260.0
24122	Pateros	33	1,020	19.07	34,447	34,957	9,605	7,327	260.0
24350	Methow Valley	62	778	31.50	39,845	39,966	16,171	8,971	260.0
24404	Tonasket	111	2,179	46.38	37,167	39,277	14,420	8,353	260.0
24410	Oroville	66	2,348	25.69	37,699	37,831	14,354	8,655	260.0
25101	Ocean Beach	92	619	48.02	37,522	37,701	12,641	8,484	260.0
25116	Raymond	69	1,693	35.04	38,355	39,366	11,481	8,956	260.0
25118	South Bend	80	1,976	37.10	38,479	40,332	12,702	9,266	260.0
25155	Naselle-Grays R.	54	2,055	18.24	35,695	36,664	13,751	8,334	260.0
25160	Willapa Valley	37	570	23.51	35,998	36,793	17,739	8,698	260.0
25200	North River	10	1,697	6.06	42,771	43,344	9,599	10,582	260.0
26056	Newport	121	1,680	50.50	35,086	36,052	10,603	7,726	260.0
26059	Cusick	33	0	17.00	34,262	36,449	13,723	7,819	260.0
26070	Selkirk	47	74	19.21	38,844	38,842	10,796	8,299	260.0
27001	Steilacoom Hist.	176	1,799	92.85	43,369	43,811	11,763	9,429	260.0
27003	Puyallup	1,199	359	724.70	48,626	48,759	7,722	11,453	260.0
27010	Tacoma	1,583	254	1,117.41	52,142	51,939	11,247	7,002	260.0
27019	Carbonado	16	1,277	8.23	39,560	40,986	16,392	9,128	260.0
27083	University Place	329	1,067	165.41	47,209	17,268	9,414	10,169	260.0
27320	Sumner	723	1,164	400.51	43,647	44,046	9,340	9,765	260.0
27343	Dieringer	112	445	71.77	43,676	44,367	12,072	9,601	260.0
27344	Orting	175	1,795	94.34	44,237	46,318	11,519	10,542	260.0
27400	Clover Park	737	176	483.02	49,982	50,077	12,320	11,402	260.0
27401	Peninsula	496	493	301.33	42,585	42,824	10,747	9,700	260.0
27402	Franklin Pierce	576	934	310.93	44,681	44,801	11,960	9,965	260.0
27403	Bethel	1,084	378	706.30	44,343	45,236	9,575	10,455	260.0
27404	Eatonville	186	1,611	85.02	38,432	40,011	18,734	9,290	260.0
27416	White River	236	314	153.40	43,533	44,021	12,158	10,114	260.0
27417	Fife	214	734	128.58	43,757	43,896	10,963	9,153	260.0
27904	Green Dot	5	0	4.25	49,859	49,848	6,868	3,915	260.0
27905	Summit Olympus	2	30,162	0.00	3,225,250	3,101,202	0	1,008,173	260.0
27909	SOAR Academy	3	0	2.49	49,897	50,022	5,618	5,130	260.0
28010	Shaw Island	4	0	1.18	54,124	54,113	7,492	8,262	260.0
28137	Orcas Island	55	608	31.22	43,969	47,901	12,984	10,270	260.0
28144	Lopez Island	36	913	15.30	40,399	41,259	13,067	8,581	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90-99.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

			Avg Add'l		Average	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~				
			Salary	Total	LEAP 1	Base	Total	Insur.	Mand.	Days in
District Name		Individuals	per Indiv.	FTE	Mix Factor	Salary	Salary	Ben.	Ben.	1.0 FTE
28149	San Juan Island	73	1,797	34.62		45,761	49,686	12,765	10,833	260.0
29011	Concrete	66	1,121	31.91		38,566	39,083	10,241	9,521	260.0
29100	Burlington-Edison	333	1,283	166.46		46,721	47,114	11,982	9,881	260.0
29101	Sedro-Woolley	386	1,183	206.66		45,802	46,788	11,022	10,013	260.0
29103	Anacortes	105	574	67.37		45,606	46,850	12,450	9,855	260.0
29311	La Conner	53	1,650	30.29		43,691	49,594	14,096	10,505	260.0
29317	Conway	38	604	17.97		42,959	47,237	12,947	9,757	260.0
29320	Mt Vernon	457	1,211	297.59		42,924	44,761	12,164	9,708	260.0
30002	Skamania	11	968	6.72		34,721	36,309	8,688	8,473	260.0
30029	Mount Pleasant	4	0	2.74		37,165	37,156	877	6,325	260.0
30031	Mill A	6	0	2.43		38,555	38,552	12,848	8,889	260.0
30303	Stevenson-Carson	99	1,966	45.62		37,530	39,260	13,665	9,324	260.0
31002	Everett	1,161	995	663.53		48,871	49,272	11,563	11,120	260.0
31004	Lake Stevens	533	2,055	281.18		48,090	50,376	13,639	11,113	260.0
31006	Mukilteo	915	914	471.83		51,061	51,434	12,211	11,634	260.0
31015	Edmonds	1,286	137	831.75		48,436	52,631	13,488	11,003	260.0
31016	Arlington	327	1,746	178.80		47,490	49,545	12,832	10,753	260.0
31025	Marysville	693	3,361	399.00		47,734	51,642	11,653	11,710	260.0
31063	Index	9	0	3.95		51,729	51,725	7,857	9,827	260.0
31103	Monroe	291	1,616	201.17		50,496	52,834	11,990	11,478	260.0
31201	Snohomish	635	1,163	301.32		50,773	51,048	13,866	11,734	260.0
31306	Lakewood	168	456	89.21		47,716	48,627	11,720	11,067	260.0
31311	Sultan	144	1,784	77.40		41,522	43,917	3,861	9,778	260.0
31330	Darrington	58	1,774	25.24		43,994	46,540	13,357	9,695	260.0
31332	Granite Falls	162	1,072	75.74		44,875	45,044	12,423	10,490	260.0
31401	Stanwood-Camano	281	1,285	169.69		48,149	50,289	12,838	11,077	260.0
32081	Spokane	1,914	1,275	1,104.58		44,604	45,895	11,269	9,529	260.0
32123	Orchard Prairie	4	676	1.83		35,064	49,052	9,819	9,414	260.0
32312	Great Northern	4	2,884	2.43		34,396	39,130	6,222	7,965	260.0
32325	Nine Mile Falls	109	1,358	47.46		39,995	40,270	11,840	8,662	260.0
32326	Medical Lake	140	1,193	73.60		39,549	40,339	10,048	8,032	260.0
32354	Mead	760	891	372.35		39,490	39,732	9,704	8,502	260.0
32356	Central Valley	939	848	489.48		36,945	36,956	3,122	8,378	260.0
32358	Freeman	87	1,071	36.95		38,146	38,464	11,655	7,911	260.0
32360	Cheney	366	822	195.77		35,985	36,260	11,567	7,714	260.0
32361	East Valley (Spo)	399	779	195.70		38,921	39,055	12,167	8,322	260.0
32362	Liberty	67	1,642	25.43		38,147	38,419	11,984	8,555	260.0
32363	West Valley (Spo)	290	1,026	163.74		38,100	39,011	13,950	8,235	260.0
32414	Deer Park	232	1,504	95.90		37,550	37,988	10,307	8,214	260.0
32416	Riverside	134	1,801	60.70		38,522	39,318	10,019	8,700	260.0
32901	Spokane Intrnl Acad	4	0	3.50		39,016	39,016	3,676	8,503	260.0
32907	PRIDE Prep	9	0	7.78		45,494	45,509	8,604	9,338	260.0
33030	Onion Creek	11	136	4.77		36,383	36,501	11,933	8,003	260.0
33036	Chewelah	91	2,049	38.61		40,670	41,613	10,175	8,981	260.0
33049	Wellpinit	56	1,211	31.32		40,119	41,113	12,217	9,232	260.0
33070	Valley	69	110	50.29		42,319	42,468	11,199	9,052	260.0
33115	Colville	174	1,698	70.03		38,500	39,182	10,265	8,489	260.0
33183	Loon Lake	15	0	7.40		34,569	34,573	10,018	7,149	260.0
33202	Summit Valley	7	0	3.25		35,309	35,309	7,200	7,978	260.0
33205	Evergreen (Ste)	7	0	3.11		30,953	30,956	12,380	7,226	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90–99.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

District Name		Individuals	Avg Add'l	Total	Average	Average per 1.0 FTE				
			Salary per Indiv.		FTE	LEAP 1 Mix Factor	Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.
33206	Columbia (Ste)	35	688	14.79		36,303	37,341	11,445	8,294	260.0
33207	Mary Walker	74	1,474	32.22		33,578	34,138	12,195	7,234	260.0
33211	Northport	35	0	17.23		36,680	38,326	13,297	8,266	260.0
33212	Kettle Falls	85	1,021	39.75		36,323	36,321	11,462	7,375	260.0
34002	Yelm	386	1,806	225.03		39,898	42,154	12,570	9,848	260.0
34003	North Thurston	1,037	373	589.55		44,474	44,819	10,739	10,156	260.0
34033	Tumwater	489	1,518	227.12		44,427	44,796	11,839	9,608	260.0
34111	Olympia	806	1,341	404.38		41,939	43,062	11,226	9,593	260.0
34307	Rainier	75	739	39.73		35,803	36,284	9,133	8,594	260.0
34324	Griffin	62	225	34.47		43,661	44,597	12,230	10,162	260.0
34401	Rochester	165	686	86.81		38,703	38,848	11,698	8,639	260.0
34402	Tenino	109	1,816	53.20		36,783	37,609	7,365	7,921	260.0
35200	Wahkiakum	51	1,602	19.01		35,958	36,085	13,104	8,563	260.0
36101	Dixie	8	1,027	4.43		36,510	38,369	12,724	9,458	260.0
36140	Walla Walla	544	1,485	286.57		39,159	40,143	12,105	8,557	260.0
36250	College Place	77	89	52.25		35,577	35,708	12,041	8,066	260.0
36300	Touchet	25	300	12.22		38,096	58,647	12,605	13,823	260.0
36400	Columbia (Wal)	74	1,267	37.06		38,456	39,263	16,768	8,944	260.0
36401	Waitsburg	35	2,443	14.18		39,768	41,894	10,629	9,516	260.0
36402	Prescott	24	8	15.90		38,622	38,639	13,038	9,169	260.0
37501	Bellingham	622	1,081	410.79		46,101	47,847	12,591	10,156	260.0
37502	Ferndale	374	1,510	198.84		40,844	42,821	12,332	9,116	260.0
37503	Blaine	174	2,459	86.50		45,594	48,261	14,693	9,939	260.0
37504	Lynden	203	908	118.01		39,161	40,728	11,690	9,251	260.0
37505	Meridian	170	2,115	68.82		43,074	45,146	12,951	9,520	260.0
37506	Nooksack Valley	167	2,206	77.97		41,216	43,616	13,416	9,733	260.0
37507	Mount Baker	204	1,126	97.79		40,040	42,437	11,689	9,615	260.0
37903	Lummi	13	0	9.83		46,303	46,281	12,269	6,827	260.0
38126	Lacrosse	17	245	9.08		40,981	41,642	10,546	8,532	260.0
38264	Lamont	7	143	2.71		43,673	43,690	15,747	8,983	260.0
38265	Tekoa	27	144	12.69		38,690	74,708	12,958	18,138	260.0
38267	Pullman	175	605	96.06		39,386	39,698	6,511	8,177	260.0
38300	Colfax	65	2,145	23.66		38,741	42,968	11,265	9,223	260.0
38301	Palouse	31	2,051	11.90		37,701	37,797	8,628	8,105	260.0
38302	Garfield	33	1,443	13.18		38,054	45,930	9,172	9,925	260.0
38304	Steptoe	5	0	2.98		44,215	44,216	8,726	10,008	260.0
38306	Colton	19	1,510	8.00		39,371	45,121	11,774	9,649	260.0
38308	Endicott	21	1,000	9.64		41,744	41,847	9,006	9,189	260.0
38320	Rosalia	28	338	13.75		37,422	37,604	13,958	8,551	260.0
38322	St. John	29	961	10.42		39,133	41,352	10,464	8,661	260.0
38324	Oakesdale	29	1,035	10.85		37,048	37,952	16,336	8,367	260.0
39002	Union Gap	41	1,515	28.98		40,727	42,368	14,579	8,709	260.0
39003	Naches Valley	97	647	51.49		39,878	44,754	13,324	9,174	260.0
39007	Yakima	881	888	639.18		39,713	40,939	13,732	8,397	260.0
39090	East Valley (Yak)	188	1,181	114.63		40,732	41,814	12,055	8,496	260.0
39119	Selah	219	472	145.96		37,262	37,927	13,056	7,759	260.0
39120	Mabton	60	1,476	35.65		40,853	41,321	11,192	8,517	260.0
39200	Grandview	227	828	136.04		40,033	40,535	13,164	3,908	260.0
39201	Sunnyside	434	430	299.68		42,387	42,433	12,080	8,703	260.0
39202	Toppenish	221	217	159.40		39,445	39,747	12,771	8,479	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90–99.

Washington State Superintendent of Public Instruction
School Apportionment and Financial Services
School District Personnel Summary Profiles - 2016–17 - Preliminary

Table 38B: Classified Staff in All Programs

District Name	Individuals	Avg Add'l Salary per Indiv.	Total FTE	Average LEAP 1 Mix Factor	~~~~~ Average per 1.0 FTE ~~~~~				
					Base Salary	Total Salary	Insur. Ben.	Mand. Ben.	Days in 1.0 FTE
39203 Highland	82	0	56.88		38,013	38,012	10,510	7,895	260.0
39204 Granger	119	840	71.88		40,053	40,406	13,919	8,359	260.0
39205 Zillah	83	1,488	44.28		41,619	41,669	13,865	8,473	260.0
39207 Wapato	194	9	140.52		41,147	41,160	12,836	8,522	260.0
39208 West Valley (Yak)	264	182	182.99		40,063	40,192	9,352	9,112	260.0
39209 Mount Adams	78	139	54.74		38,857	42,182	16,774	8,517	260.0
State Summary	70,940	854	41,339.32		44,812	45,422	11,750	9,707	260.0

See introduction for explanation of column headings, glossary for explanation of terms, and appendix for explanation of duty codes.
Includes duty roots 90–99.

Appendix D

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp

Printout for Tacoma School District, School Year 2018-19,
Not Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

District Level Comparison Budgets 2017

Does NOT include Initiative 1351

Tacoma School District	<-----[Select District here]
School Year 2018-19	<-----[Select School Year here]
Use Caseload Forecasted Enrollment? NO	<-----[Select YES/NO]

27010 - Tacoma School District

School Year 2018-19	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget
Basic Education Program Total						
Apportionment (without CTE & Skills Center) ¹	\$ 173,372,765	\$ 186,772,286	\$ 242,786,620	\$ 210,111,170	\$ 236,318,337	\$ 215,449,559
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC)	\$ 13,280,476	\$ 13,714,351	\$ 20,045,244	\$ 15,382,957	\$ 19,917,627	\$ 17,085,305
Bilingual (TBIP)	\$ 3,074,973	\$ 3,178,394	\$ 3,447,750	\$ 3,556,375	\$ 3,812,265	\$ 4,079,575
Highly Capable (HiCap)	\$ 287,492	\$ 287,285	\$ 636,569	\$ 321,449	\$ 345,651	\$ 754,859
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)	\$ 8,535,273	\$ 8,822,340	\$ 11,894,763	\$ 9,871,510	\$ 10,581,789	\$ 10,371,019
LAP Concentration	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,118,796
Special Education	\$ 25,339,287	\$ 27,094,596	\$ 30,556,692	\$ 30,462,423	\$ 34,276,498	\$ 31,572,283
Transportation	\$ 11,623,477	\$ 11,253,775	\$ -	\$ 12,259,567	\$ 12,930,023	\$ 12,211,955
Total Apportionment	\$ 235,513,743	\$ 251,123,027	\$ 309,367,638	\$ 281,965,450	\$ 318,182,189	\$ 296,643,351
Other Programs / Changes						
Homeless	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 73,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Housing Allowance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Teacher Retention	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Professional Learning Time	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,208,846	\$ 3,412,052	\$ 903,375
National Board Bonus ²	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,759,818)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local Effort Assistance (LEA)	\$ 10,143,484	\$ 10,686,760	\$ 3,812,403	\$ 11,939,493	\$ 12,487,200	\$ 7,881,855
Minimum Per Student Backfill ³	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Net State Costs not allocated by district ⁷						
Total Other	\$ 10,143,484	\$ 10,686,760	\$ 2,126,086	\$ 14,148,340	\$ 15,899,252	\$ 8,785,230
Total State Funding	\$ 245,657,228	\$ 261,809,786	\$ 311,493,723	\$ 296,113,790	\$ 334,081,441	\$ 305,428,581
Local Funding						
M&O Levy / Enrichment Levy ⁴	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 83,589,313	\$ 40,746,800	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 59,760,663
Total Local	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 83,589,313	\$ 40,746,800	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 86,000,000	\$ 59,760,663
Total State And Local Funding	\$ 331,657,228	\$ 345,399,100	\$ 352,240,523	\$ 382,113,790	\$ 420,081,441	\$ 365,189,244
New Money (variance to Maintenance)			\$ 6,841,424	\$ 36,714,690	\$ 74,682,342	\$ 19,790,144
Variance compared to current school year			\$ 20,583,296	\$ 50,456,562	\$ 88,424,214	\$ 33,532,016

Per Pupil Total State & Local Funding (does NOT include federal funds)

SY 2016-17 January Apportionment Enrollment	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget
Per Pupil Program Enhancement:						
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC) ⁵	\$ 62	\$ 211	\$ 500	\$ 232	\$ 423	\$ 239
Bilingual (TBIP) ⁶	\$ 892	\$ 922	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,032	\$ 1,106	\$ 1,183
Highly Capable (HiCap)	\$ 452	\$ 451	\$ 1,000	\$ 505	\$ 543	\$ 1,186
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)	\$ 485	\$ 501	\$ 2,000	\$ 561	\$ 601	\$ 880
Students Generating LAP Program Funds:	17,604.2	17,604.2	5,947.4	17,604.2	17,604.2	17,604.2
Special Education	\$ 6,219	\$ 6,650	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,477	\$ 8,413	\$ 7,749
Total State & Local Funding Per Pupil	\$ 11,655	\$ 12,138	\$ 12,378	\$ 13,428	\$ 14,762	\$ 12,833
Estimated Change in Funding Per Pupil			\$ 240	\$ 1,290	\$ 2,624	\$ 695
Per Pupil estimated change current school year			\$ 723	\$ 1,773	\$ 3,107	\$ 1,178

¹Total Includes Small Schools Hold Harmless for Senate Calculation & compensation increases in House & Maintenance

²National Board Bonus by district amounts are held constant and based on school year 2016-17 bonus totals; state total is included in Net State Costs line only

³Includes Federal Funds (all years) as well as local M&O dollars (for SY 2018-19 only) in calculation when determining student backfill amount

⁴Assumes max M&O Levy or Enrichment Levy available in budget language for illustration purposes, see notes tab for details on each budget.

⁵For Districts who have both CTE and SC students; per pupil amounts are added together

⁶Transitional Bilingual Program enrollment includes exited students

⁷Total includes items that cannot be estimated on a district by district basis, such as future changes in the number of National Board Certificated Teachers or changes in bus depreciation disbursements

Appendix E

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, “Analysis of Excess General Fund Levies Collectible in 2016” (Dec. 5, 2016), <http://www.k12.wa.us/safs/PUB/LEV/1617/1061r.pdf>

Analysis of Excess General Fund Levies Collectible in 2016

(In County-District Order)

County	School District	Property Valuation W/O Timber	Greater of 1/2 TAV ¹ or 80% Timber	Levy Valuation With Timber	Levy Rate \$/1000	Certified Levy Amount	2014-15 Resident FTE Students	Levy Valuation Per Student	Certified Levy Per Student
Lincoln Co. (cont.)									
22105	Odessa	229,083,449		229,083,449	3.04692	698,000	212.24	1,079,360	3,289
22200	Wilbur	173,875,004		173,875,004	3.92236	682,000	259.56	669,884	2,628
22204	Harrington	135,159,158		135,159,158	3.95830	535,000	90.50	1,493,471	5,912
22207	Davenport	254,916,286		254,916,286	4.10331	1,046,000	585.97	435,033	1,785
Mason Co.									
23042	Southside	195,349,765	631,359	195,981,124	3.41151	668,592	275.19	712,167	2,430
23054	Grapeview	653,105,269	2,582,584	655,687,853	1.05666	692,838	258.58	2,535,725	2,679
23309	Shelton	1,620,427,866	19,973,435	1,640,401,301	4.40746	7,230,000	3,600.85	455,559	2,008
23311	Mary M. Knight	131,823,756	16,052,074	147,875,830	4.50729	666,519	175.67	841,782	3,794
23402	Pioneer	1,251,778,985	5,755,471	1,257,534,456	2.29923	2,891,360	980.41	1,282,662	2,949
23403	North Mason	2,012,350,555	15,519,067	2,027,869,622	2.29518	4,654,330	1,969.71	1,029,527	2,363
23404	Hood Canal	1,001,535,014	53,892,246	1,055,427,260	1.56885	1,655,806	462.73	2,280,871	3,578
Okanogan Co.									
24014	Nespelem	13,950,345	169,277	14,119,622	2.40800	34,000	173.50	81,381	196
24019	Omak	628,210,483	1,838,444	630,048,927	3.41243	2,150,000	4,729.84	133,207	455
24105	Okanogan	291,683,778	877,875	292,561,653	3.14323	919,590	1,067.08	274,170	862
24111	Brewster	403,164,765	388,940	403,553,705	2.61550	1,055,494	957.21	421,594	1,103
24122	Pateros	193,453,621	789,974	194,243,595	3.41839	664,000	292.48	664,126	2,270
24350	Methow Valley	1,279,723,235	3,968,887	1,283,692,122	1.36326	1,750,000	592.13	2,167,923	2,955
24404	Tonasket	487,570,766	6,057,743	493,628,509	3.32234	1,640,000	1,098.54	449,350	1,493
24410	Oroville	571,596,494	1,069,818	572,666,312	2.61474	1,497,371	556.93	1,028,255	2,689
Pacific Co.									
25101	Ocean Beach	1,539,862,888	13,695,421	1,553,558,309	1.88861	2,934,068	975.39	1,592,756	3,008
25116	Raymond	185,333,695	22,314,788	207,648,483	4.14340	860,371	636.01	326,486	1,353
25118	South Bend	161,566,679	23,769,610	185,336,289	3.60965	669,000	562.34	329,580	1,190
25155	Naselle-Grays River	193,013,426	70,423,504	263,436,930	2.71412	715,000	324.88	810,875	2,201
25160	Willapa Valley	171,900,304	80,627,617	252,527,921	2.86899	724,500	333.40	757,432	2,173
25200	North River	32,198,959	30,937,227	63,136,186			36.49	1,730,233	
Pend Oreille Co.									
26056	Newport	776,194,307	10,868,773	787,063,080	2.10283	1,655,056	1,069.56	735,876	1,547
26059	Cusick	308,647,858	19,838,622	328,486,480	1.19640	393,000	263.09	1,248,571	1,494
26070	Selkirk	252,685,356	20,272,847	272,958,203	2.03694	556,000	237.79	1,147,896	2,338
Pierce Co.									
27001	Stellacoom Hist.	2,682,780,757	167,622	2,682,948,379	2.65566	7,125,000	2,930.24	915,607	2,432
27003	Puyallup	12,484,864,782	596,229	12,485,461,011	4.08475	51,000,000	21,522.06	580,124	2,370
27010	Tacoma	20,038,528,844		20,038,528,844	4.29173	86,000,000	28,436.57	704,675	3,024
27019	Carbonado	69,873,714	15,862,060	85,735,774	6.66000	571,000	234.45	365,689	2,435
27083	University Place	2,900,696,367	3,012	2,900,699,379	4.13571	11,996,452	5,417.53	535,428	2,214
27320	Sumner	5,981,023,785	967,039	5,981,990,824	3.51054	21,000,000	8,351.59	716,270	2,514
27343	Dieringer	1,560,489,467	218,215	1,560,707,682	3.62015	5,650,000	2,028.96	769,216	2,785
27344	Oting	1,098,975,430	4,638,796	1,103,614,226	3.82833	4,225,000	2,290.07	481,913	1,845
27400	Clover Park	4,919,980,928	8,374	4,919,989,302	4.30814	21,196,000	11,914.08	412,956	1,779
27401	Peninsula	10,152,441,218	4,993,869	10,157,435,087	2.29605	23,321,982	8,526.58	1,191,267	2,735

Appendix F

Office of Program Research, Washington State House of Representatives, “Estimated Impact for the Policies in the 2017-19 Biennial Budget and EHB 2242” (June 29, 2017),
http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/hoK12TaxPolicyAnalysis_0629.pdf

Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>	Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year										Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies	
	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 17-18	CY 2018-19	CY 2019-20	CY 2020-21
Aberdeen	92,800	94,500	96,200	97,900	\$ 80	\$ (180)	\$ (180)	\$ (190)	1,323,263	1,313,806	3,291,547	3,686,080
Adna	208,700	211,700	214,700	217,800	180	\$ 70	\$ 90	110	176,396	303,704	864,658	926,622
Almira	53,700	56,000	58,400	60,900	50	\$ -	\$ 10	20	43,007	-	-	-
Anacortes	320,000	325,200	330,500	335,900	280	\$ 280	\$ 310	340	712,189	3,124,103	5,968,444	6,427,111
Arlington	294,300	308,700	323,800	339,600	260	\$ (80)	\$ (20)	10	1,581,701	7,344,777	11,708,064	12,157,204
Asotin-Anatone	218,400	223,900	229,500	235,200	190	\$ (120)	\$ (90)	(60)	191,808	191,415	619,841	693,913
Auburn	282,400	296,200	310,700	325,900	250	\$ (110)	\$ (70)	(20)	5,548,147	24,163,622	36,810,686	38,157,226
Bainbridge	567,400	573,500	579,600	585,800	490	\$ 660	\$ 700	730	913,014	6,915,724	11,815,549	12,266,741
Battle Ground	289,900	304,100	319,000	334,600	250	\$ (30)	\$ 30	80	3,583,286	10,179,095	19,079,959	20,766,683
Bellevue	687,200	720,800	756,100	793,100	600	\$ 660	\$ 750	830	4,672,383	37,345,226	60,203,011	64,008,871
Bellingham	283,500	289,100	294,800	300,600	250	\$ 130	\$ 170	200	3,126,215	12,439,390	21,924,349	22,746,632
Benge	38,300	39,900	41,600	43,300	30	\$ 10	\$ 10	10	6,130	-	4,464	6,562
Bethel	229,700	240,900	252,700	265,100	200	\$ (160)	\$ (110)	(60)	6,091,694	10,159,394	22,368,281	24,694,719
Bickleton	58,000	59,500	61,100	62,700	50	\$ 50	\$ 60	60	33,036	97,908	306,761	316,446
Blaine	210,500	214,600	218,800	223,100	180	\$ 180	\$ 190	200	637,600	3,047,789	5,320,155	5,458,363
Boistfort	138,600	140,600	142,600	144,600	120	\$ 60	\$ 70	80	30,333	87,009	170,319	182,469
Bremerton	159,100	160,800	162,500	164,200	140	\$ (180)	\$ (170)	(170)	2,049,233	9,563,501	13,493,260	14,420,443
Brewster	85,400	85,100	84,800	84,500	70	\$ 10	\$ 20	30	441,358	812,459	1,642,509	1,756,051
Bridgeport	58,600	61,400	64,400	67,500	50	\$ 30	\$ 40	50	377,484	1,146,659	2,092,831	2,205,466
Brinnon	122,200	124,800	127,500	130,200	110	\$ 50	\$ 60	60	22,339	2,171	16,266	24,663
Burlington Edison	225,200	228,900	232,600	236,400	200	\$ (40)	\$ (20)	10	1,318,929	5,945,728	8,958,150	9,274,144
Camas	378,300	396,800	416,200	436,600	330	\$ 100	\$ 190	270	1,615,143	6,996,463	12,691,702	13,135,565
Cape Flattery	83,800	85,300	86,800	88,300	70	\$ (40)	\$ (40)	(40)	173,183	372,756	850,740	911,421
Carbonado	178,400	187,100	196,300	205,900	160	\$ (570)	\$ (540)	(520)	41,400	-	-	-
Cascade	263,400	269,000	274,800	280,700	230	\$ 250	\$ 270	290	469,717	1,203,047	2,583,134	2,690,621
Cashmere	208,800	213,300	217,900	222,600	180	\$ (200)	\$ (160)	(140)	572,282	373,111	1,372,901	1,541,032
Castle Rock	137,800	141,100	144,500	148,000	120	\$ (50)	\$ (50)	(30)	378,321	1,130,352	2,122,793	2,264,624
Centerville	99,300	101,900	104,600	107,400	90	\$ (10)	\$ (10)	(10)	25,187	-	-	-
Central Kitsap	243,200	245,800	248,400	251,100	210	\$ (210)	\$ (200)	(190)	3,283,366	15,737,327	24,480,798	26,225,434
Central Valley	199,700	209,500	219,800	230,600	170	\$ (70)	\$ (10)	20	3,652,177	3,977,536	12,858,971	14,440,875
Centralia	135,200	137,100	139,100	141,100	120	\$ (10)	\$ 20	40	1,349,035	2,509,913	5,367,380	5,802,127
Chehalis	165,700	168,100	170,500	172,900	140	\$ (70)	\$ (70)	(60)	902,649	1,322,090	3,242,427	3,549,263
Cheney	173,500	182,000	190,900	200,200	150	\$ 10	\$ 70	110	1,348,608	2,627,017	5,830,336	6,430,064
Chewelah	122,500	125,100	127,800	130,500	110	\$ 50	\$ 60	70	284,091	453,877	1,163,013	1,250,173
Chimacum	233,800	238,800	243,900	249,100	200	\$ 240	\$ 270	290	319,920	1,714,896	3,033,058	3,215,643
Clarkston	156,400	160,300	164,300	168,400	140	\$ (120)	\$ (90)	(70)	867,949	737,840	2,360,315	2,673,799

	Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>					Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year <i>For a single family residence with a median taxable value. Estimate includes impacts of both revised state and local enrichment (M&O) levy policies. All figures rounded to the nearest \$10.</i>					Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies <i>For each school district</i>				
	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21		CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21		SY 2017-18	SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21	
Cle Elum-Roslyn	196,500	201,000	205,600	210,300	\$	170	\$ 200	\$ 210	\$ 220		271,157	728,884	1,684,091	1,751,877	
Clover Park	220,700	231,500	242,800	254,700	\$	190	\$ (410)	\$ (370)	\$ (360)		4,713,750	11,910,887	19,915,104	21,747,786	
Colfax	134,900	142,500	150,500	159,000	\$	120	\$ -	\$ 30	\$ 50		179,774	105,975	510,983	584,095	
College Place	204,800	209,900	215,200	220,600	\$	180	\$ (150)	\$ (120)	\$ (110)		469,401	812,860	1,547,084	1,711,311	
Colton	153,000	161,600	170,700	180,300	\$	130	\$ (110)	\$ (80)	\$ (50)		52,796	-	-	-	
Columbia (Stev)	81,500	83,200	85,000	86,800	\$	70	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 90		74,678	2,451	207,175	218,569	
Columbia (Walla)	216,900	222,300	227,900	233,600	\$	190	\$ (60)	\$ (20)	\$ -		275,155	50,039	416,508	515,842	
Colville	142,700	145,700	148,800	152,000	\$	120	\$ (20)	\$ (10)	\$ 10		589,645	605,551	1,839,409	2,035,452	
Concrete	69,400	70,500	71,700	72,900	\$	60	\$ 20	\$ 30	\$ 50		189,598	409,132	864,897	991,873	
Conway	299,300	304,200	309,200	314,300	\$	260	\$ (240)	\$ (180)	\$ (130)		96,857	16,115	129,325	157,758	
Cosmopolis	138,200	140,700	143,200	145,800	\$	120	\$ (310)	\$ (350)	\$ (380)		43,938	-	-	-	
Coulee/Hartline	100,300	106,100	112,200	118,600	\$	90	\$ 20	\$ 40	\$ 50		76,366	78,939	281,086	310,804	
Coupeville	273,000	277,300	281,700	286,200	\$	240	\$ 280	\$ 300	\$ 310		241,218	1,480,978	2,617,467	2,718,842	
Crescent	191,000	194,400	197,800	201,300	\$	170	\$ 150	\$ 170	\$ 180		75,929	361,004	725,053	766,963	
Creston	156,000	162,600	169,500	176,700	\$	140	\$ (60)	\$ (40)	\$ (20)		43,015	-	-	13,056	
Curlew	68,600	69,400	70,200	71,000	\$	60	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 50		76,352	92,511	329,578	354,030	
Cusick	113,000	114,900	116,800	118,700	\$	100	\$ 100	\$ 110	\$ 120		99,980	132,897	450,134	468,509	
Damman	314,900	322,100	329,400	336,900	\$	270	\$ (110)	\$ (80)	\$ (40)		9,163	-	-	-	
Darrington	121,600	127,500	133,700	140,200	\$	110	\$ (140)	\$ (140)	\$ (160)		147,382	472,266	756,153	823,341	
Davenport	94,700	98,700	102,900	107,300	\$	80	\$ (160)	\$ (160)	\$ (160)		210,595	151,826	495,698	562,162	
Dayton	126,000	136,300	147,400	159,400	\$	110	\$ 120	\$ 140	\$ 170		160,433	400,516	890,273	956,900	
Deer Park	163,500	171,500	179,900	188,700	\$	140	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 70		682,892	2,102,193	4,355,032	4,624,916	
Dieringer	424,100	444,900	466,700	489,500	\$	370	\$ (20)	\$ 80	\$ 190		312,308	294,063	994,522	1,355,194	
Dixie	129,100	132,300	135,600	139,000	\$	110	\$ (110)	\$ (80)	\$ (70)		13,292	-	-	-	
East Valley	177,900	186,600	195,700	205,300	\$	150	\$ (20)	\$ 30	\$ 70		1,356,120	830,232	3,415,773	3,896,253	
East Valley (Yak)	167,200	171,000	174,900	178,800	\$	150	\$ (120)	\$ (80)	\$ (70)		1,095,732	1,542,824	3,791,317	4,166,626	
Eastmont	232,600	243,900	255,700	268,100	\$	200	\$ 30	\$ 70	\$ 120		2,121,319	3,643,379	8,328,596	8,995,532	
Easton	234,000	239,300	244,800	250,400	\$	200	\$ 140	\$ 160	\$ 180		55,489	15,532	161,658	182,096	
Eatonville	199,000	208,700	218,900	229,600	\$	170	\$ (70)	\$ (30)	\$ (10)		543,454	819,697	2,001,726	2,232,786	
Edmonds	366,700	384,600	403,400	423,100	\$	320	\$ 300	\$ 390	\$ 460		6,190,618	35,832,641	60,263,246	65,828,715	
Ellensburg	202,000	206,600	211,300	216,100	\$	180	\$ (50)	\$ (30)	\$ (10)		977,612	1,086,777	3,275,104	3,642,772	
Elma	129,300	131,600	134,000	136,400	\$	110	\$ (100)	\$ (110)	\$ (110)		574,977	527,415	1,490,031	1,652,699	
Endicott	51,600	54,500	57,600	60,800	\$	40	\$ (20)	\$ (10)	\$ -		43,915	-	88,807	107,834	
Entiat	152,300	155,600	158,900	162,300	\$	130	\$ (40)	\$ (20)	\$ (10)		133,578	-	152,385	190,024	
Enumclaw	313,300	328,600	344,700	361,600	\$	270	\$ (80)	\$ (40)	\$ (10)		1,149,083	3,234,952	5,825,703	6,408,771	
Ephrata	133,400	141,100	149,200	157,800	\$	120	\$ (290)	\$ (300)	\$ (290)		833,474	978,286	2,499,371	2,781,488	

Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>	Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year										Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies	
	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	For a single family residence with a median taxable value. Estimate includes impacts of both revised state and local enrichment (M&O) levy policies. All figures rounded to the nearest \$10.						For each school district	
					CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	SY 2017-18	SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21
Evaline	151,200	153,400	155,600	157,800	\$ 130	\$ 70	\$ 80	\$ 90	17,326	-	25,051	30,499
Everett	336,100	352,500	369,700	387,800	\$ 290	\$ 40	\$ 120	\$ 170	6,461,378	28,378,863	44,811,042	45,186,530
Evergreen (Clark)	244,000	255,900	268,400	281,500	\$ 210	\$ (100)	\$ (50)	-	9,410,254	21,245,615	40,488,129	43,937,036
Evergreen (Stev)	141,100	144,100	147,200	150,300	\$ 120	\$ 130	\$ 140	\$ 150	13,119	35,361	87,474	90,628
Federal Way	281,200	295,000	309,400	324,500	\$ 240	\$ (230)	\$ (190)	\$ (160)	7,744,840	30,969,661	46,858,278	50,511,531
Ferndale	255,800	260,800	265,900	271,100	\$ 220	\$ (70)	\$ (40)	\$ (10)	1,529,548	4,362,897	7,572,730	7,895,687
Fife	282,500	296,300	310,800	326,000	\$ 250	\$ 30	\$ 70	\$ 120	1,166,038	5,055,481	7,904,956	8,451,611
Finley	146,300	153,500	161,000	168,900	\$ 130	\$ (40)	\$ (20)	\$ 20	374,288	252,778	835,662	937,854
Franklin Pierce	197,900	207,600	217,800	228,500	\$ 170	\$ (280)	\$ (230)	\$ (200)	2,915,553	7,818,862	12,873,693	14,027,670
Freeman	252,100	264,400	277,300	290,900	\$ 220	\$ (80)	\$ (20)	\$ 20	232,168	220,546	789,253	891,147
Garfield	90,300	95,400	100,800	106,500	\$ 80	\$ (30)	\$ (10)	\$ 10	53,344	28,431	166,547	184,580
Glenwood	94,400	96,900	99,500	102,100	\$ 80	\$ 50	\$ 70	\$ 80	37,945	-	68,578	80,488
Goldendale	94,400	96,900	99,500	102,100	\$ 80	\$ 70	\$ 80	\$ 90	354,238	615,041	1,472,150	1,563,877
Grand Coulee Dam	111,300	117,700	124,500	131,600	\$ 100	\$ (210)	\$ (210)	\$ (210)	264,584	575,718	1,075,965	1,164,908
Grandview	121,900	124,700	127,500	130,400	\$ 110	\$ 80	\$ 100	\$ 110	1,524,980	5,121,779	9,248,323	9,731,770
Granger	106,500	108,900	111,400	113,900	\$ 90	\$ 60	\$ 80	\$ 90	693,929	1,652,029	3,229,128	3,423,737
Granite Falls	213,100	223,500	234,400	245,900	\$ 190	\$ (80)	\$ (40)	-	600,503	2,409,110	3,864,070	4,176,699
Grapeview	269,900	275,000	280,200	285,500	\$ 230	\$ 220	\$ 250	\$ 270	53,767	216,263	396,506	432,027
Great Northern	210,000	220,300	231,100	242,400	\$ 180	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 110	9,091	31,061	59,367	66,106
Green Mountain	224,300	235,300	246,800	258,900	\$ 190	\$ 50	\$ 100	\$ 160	39,717	45,540	136,903	178,660
Griffin	290,600	294,100	297,600	301,200	\$ 250	\$ 140	\$ 170	\$ 200	136,955	81,389	559,395	645,999
Harrington	53,500	55,800	58,200	60,700	\$ 50	\$ (50)	\$ (40)	\$ (20)	50,251	-	-	-
Highland	135,400	138,500	141,600	144,800	\$ 120	\$ (10)	\$ 10	\$ 40	468,737	744,554	1,724,461	1,862,810
Highline	276,500	290,000	304,200	319,100	\$ 240	\$ (100)	\$ (70)	\$ (50)	7,412,200	38,760,309	54,180,167	57,805,653
Hockinson	385,900	404,800	424,600	445,400	\$ 340	\$ 40	\$ 130	\$ 210	379,474	1,472,885	2,755,905	2,987,896
Hood Canal	121,700	124,000	126,300	128,700	\$ 110	\$ 40	\$ 60	\$ 60	101,449	58,139	148,151	201,603
Hoquiam	71,800	73,100	74,400	75,700	\$ 60	\$ (170)	\$ (170)	\$ (170)	594,491	909,464	1,926,643	2,137,733
Inchelium	52,900	53,500	54,100	54,700	\$ 50	\$ 40	\$ 50	\$ 50	83,602	258,553	529,892	561,533
Index	96,000	100,700	105,600	110,800	\$ 80	\$ (10)	-	\$ 10	13,673	46,127	79,964	87,268
Issaquah	640,800	672,200	705,100	739,600	\$ 560	\$ 800	\$ 910	\$ 970	3,889,691	41,692,001	68,411,048	71,725,141
Kahlotus	24,800	26,000	27,300	28,700	\$ 20	\$ 20	\$ 30	\$ 30	45,169	21,683	179,827	189,996
Kalama	185,300	189,800	194,400	199,100	\$ 160	\$ 170	\$ 210	\$ 230	230,988	757,998	1,725,067	1,904,576
Keller	68,600	69,400	70,200	71,000	\$ 60	\$ 60	\$ 70	\$ 70	15,229	-	34,324	38,374
Kelso	141,900	145,300	148,800	152,400	\$ 120	\$ (270)	\$ (250)	\$ (250)	1,688,643	3,150,114	6,340,379	6,933,126
Kennewick	185,500	194,600	204,100	214,100	\$ 160	\$ (60)	\$ (10)	\$ 20	6,608,560	12,415,551	26,512,472	28,709,250
Kent	324,000	339,900	356,500	373,900	\$ 280	\$ (90)	\$ (50)	\$ (10)	8,852,364	47,892,656	69,487,887	74,098,804

	Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>					Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year <i>For a single family residence with a median taxable value. Estimate includes impacts of both revised state and local enrichment (M&O) levy policies. All figures rounded to the nearest \$10.</i>					Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies <i>For each school district</i>						
	CY 18		CY 19		CY 20	CY 21	CY 18		CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	SY 2017-18		SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21	
Kettle Falls	117,000	119,500	122,000	124,600		\$	100	\$	(30)	\$	(10)	\$	10	278,145	595,680	1,316,040	1,417,633
Kiona Benton	140,100	147,000	154,200	161,700		\$	120	\$	(20)	\$	10	\$	40	548,437	384,154	1,408,388	1,557,372
Kittitas	172,000	175,900	179,900	184,000		\$	150	\$	110	\$	140	\$	170	230,547	392,128	993,111	1,128,802
Klickitat	64,900	66,600	68,400	70,200		\$	60	\$	30	\$	30	\$	40	42,020	-	32,919	43,552
La Conner	289,600	294,300	299,100	304,000		\$	250	\$	(50)	\$	(80)	\$	(120)	181,365	708,386	1,257,914	1,336,105
Lacenter	303,700	318,600	334,200	350,600		\$	260	\$	-	\$	60	\$	120	387,921	656,601	1,787,524	1,965,679
Lacrosse Joint	49,400	52,200	55,100	58,200		\$	40	\$	(50)	\$	(40)	\$	(30)	39,816	-	-	-
Lake Chelan	198,500	202,800	207,100	211,500		\$	170	\$	180	\$	200	\$	210	571,770	1,304,316	2,802,087	2,944,803
Lake Stevens	301,500	316,300	331,800	348,000		\$	260	\$	(20)	\$	40	\$	110	2,371,181	17,875,629	26,759,584	26,851,387
Lake Washington	594,500	623,600	654,100	686,100		\$	520	\$	670	\$	730	\$	790	6,253,065	65,280,136	102,259,347	105,924,220
Lakewood	270,700	283,900	297,800	312,400		\$	240	\$	70	\$	140	\$	200	662,258	3,506,236	5,635,292	5,980,453
Lamont	37,200	39,300	41,500	43,800		\$	30	\$	(30)	\$	(20)	\$	(20)	13,181	-	-	-
Liberty	176,300	184,900	193,900	203,400		\$	150	\$	100	\$	140	\$	170	137,597	254,323	696,403	792,622
Lind	58,900	61,300	63,800	66,400		\$	50	\$	10	\$	20	\$	30	85,474	246,754	488,146	539,916
Longview	151,500	155,100	158,800	162,600		\$	130	\$	(80)	\$	(60)	\$	(40)	2,286,595	3,651,466	7,717,768	8,532,645
Loon Lake	166,700	170,200	173,800	177,500		\$	140	\$	150	\$	170	\$	180	45,101	188,336	357,729	370,709
Lopez	385,300	392,900	400,600	408,500		\$	330	\$	300	\$	330	\$	360	74,801	458,608	763,305	813,657
Lyle	147,800	151,700	155,700	159,800		\$	130	\$	80	\$	100	\$	120	91,748	170,974	406,815	446,160
Lynden	267,700	273,000	278,400	283,900		\$	230	\$	(50)	\$	(10)	\$	20	908,074	3,073,729	5,520,094	5,920,316
Mabton	89,100	91,100	93,200	95,300		\$	80	\$	80	\$	90	\$	90	420,247	1,141,323	2,104,453	2,144,348
Mansfield	65,500	68,700	72,000	75,500		\$	60	\$	50	\$	70	\$	80	50,148	103,414	273,627	290,575
Manson	263,700	269,400	275,200	281,100		\$	230	\$	210	\$	250	\$	270	289,086	717,785	1,442,832	1,526,549
Mary M Knight	123,800	126,100	128,500	130,900		\$	110	\$	(70)	\$	(60)	\$	(60)	71,649	929,107	1,515,259	1,579,588
Mary Walker	71,400	72,900	74,500	76,100		\$	60	\$	70	\$	70	\$	80	254,467	173,946	658,543	717,037
Marysville	274,200	287,600	301,700	316,500		\$	240	\$	(100)	\$	(40)	\$	10	3,793,639	14,371,609	23,215,526	24,095,991
Mc Cleary	128,400	130,700	133,100	135,500		\$	110	\$	(140)	\$	(120)	\$	(110)	91,634	20,206	138,337	180,897
Mead	238,800	250,500	262,800	275,700		\$	210	\$	(90)	\$	(40)	\$	10	2,709,534	5,732,965	12,622,949	13,101,691
Medical Lake	175,800	184,400	193,400	202,900		\$	150	\$	100	\$	130	\$	160	544,934	1,772,157	3,669,408	3,881,131
Mercer Island	1,110,800	1,165,200	1,222,200	1,282,000		\$	970	\$	990	\$	1,140	\$	1,280	828,386	6,779,984	11,175,900	11,970,349
Meridian	285,000	290,600	296,300	302,100		\$	250	\$	(130)	\$	(70)	\$	(40)	440,040	1,441,268	2,583,123	2,812,038
Methow Valley	213,600	212,900	212,200	211,500		\$	190	\$	200	\$	220	\$	240	176,902	479,018	1,197,194	1,285,620
Mill A	179,500	179,300	179,100	178,900		\$	160	\$	160	\$	170	\$	180	11,153	73,455	131,628	135,182
Monroe	338,500	355,100	372,500	390,700		\$	290	\$	60	\$	130	\$	210	1,686,414	10,291,521	16,005,057	17,000,041
Montesano	154,400	157,200	160,000	162,900		\$	130	\$	(90)	\$	(60)	\$	(40)	423,120	305,054	1,249,260	1,407,984
Morton	106,100	107,600	109,100	110,700		\$	90	\$	-	\$	10	\$	20	136,041	223,424	462,720	499,152
Moses Lake	165,600	175,100	185,100	195,700		\$	140	\$	(260)	\$	(270)	\$	(290)	3,167,665	7,095,193	12,936,757	13,419,642

	Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>				Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year <i>For a single family residence with a median taxable value. Estimate includes impacts of both revised state and local enrichment (M&O) levy policies. All figures rounded to the nearest \$10.</i>				Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies <i>For each school district</i>			
	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	SY 2017-18	SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21
Mossyrock	111,700	113,300	114,900	116,500	\$ 100	\$ 40	\$ 50	\$ 60	198,239	370,099	834,722	897,609
Mount Adams	82,600	84,500	86,400	88,400	\$ 70	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 90	345,465	1,146,065	2,109,480	2,195,806
Mount Baker	141,300	144,100	146,900	149,800	\$ 120	\$ (50)	\$ (40)	\$ (40)	611,267	1,877,319	3,171,114	3,449,560
Mount Pleasant	246,500	246,300	246,100	245,900	\$ 210	\$ 220	\$ 230	\$ 240	13,662	137,791	218,770	225,055
Mt Vernon	210,400	213,800	217,300	220,800	\$ 180	\$ (200)	\$ (170)	\$ (150)	2,608,184	9,567,076	14,741,539	15,836,692
Mukilteo	330,000	346,100	363,000	380,800	\$ 290	\$ 180	\$ 250	\$ 320	5,543,929	32,591,641	49,338,868	50,851,167
Naches Valley	149,300	152,700	156,100	159,600	\$ 130	\$ (60)	\$ (30)	\$ (10)	408,795	461,218	1,250,559	1,406,015
Napavine	145,100	147,200	149,300	151,400	\$ 130	\$ (60)	\$ (60)	\$ (50)	255,025	543,939	1,242,156	1,328,541
Naselle Grays Riv	127,600	129,500	131,400	133,400	\$ 110	\$ -	\$ 30	\$ 50	121,606	64,232	345,075	385,848
Nespelem	28,400	28,300	28,200	28,100	\$ 20	\$ (10)	\$ (10)	\$ (20)	51,102	115,219	257,698	276,471
Newport	141,700	144,000	146,400	148,800	\$ 120	\$ 50	\$ 60	\$ 70	392,980	556,752	1,379,442	1,499,832
Nine Mile Falls	217,500	228,100	239,300	251,000	\$ 190	\$ (80)	\$ (30)	\$ -	375,496	185,818	985,579	1,149,985
Nooksack Valley	208,200	212,300	216,500	220,700	\$ 180	\$ (160)	\$ (120)	\$ (90)	562,077	1,523,553	2,758,747	3,003,814
North Beach	114,400	116,500	118,600	120,700	\$ 100	\$ 110	\$ 120	\$ 130	243,913	763,732	1,535,882	1,644,428
North Franklin	100,400	105,400	110,600	116,100	\$ 90	\$ 70	\$ 100	\$ 120	811,042	2,521,534	4,663,407	4,896,298
North Kitsap	277,600	280,600	283,600	286,600	\$ 240	\$ 20	\$ 60	\$ 60	1,692,750	7,614,023	12,134,011	13,120,436
North Mason	187,100	190,600	194,200	197,800	\$ 160	\$ 70	\$ 100	\$ 110	704,215	1,445,891	3,137,084	3,382,310
North River	135,400	137,400	139,500	141,600	\$ 120	\$ 120	\$ 130	\$ 140	40,496	176,920	377,921	389,428
North Thurston	215,200	217,800	220,400	223,000	\$ 190	\$ (40)	\$ -	\$ 20	4,467,919	12,078,567	22,676,791	23,597,467
Northport	103,600	105,800	108,100	110,400	\$ 90	\$ 100	\$ 100	\$ 110	77,451	238,149	514,091	524,707
Northshore	484,600	508,300	533,200	559,300	\$ 420	\$ 460	\$ 560	\$ 650	4,887,821	33,877,858	58,865,541	63,913,464
Oak Harbor	232,000	235,700	239,400	243,200	\$ 200	\$ 30	\$ 50	\$ 50	1,661,139	8,386,272	13,834,634	14,672,900
Oakesdale	82,800	87,500	92,400	97,600	\$ 70	\$ 30	\$ 40	\$ 60	51,265	-	49,806	69,751
Oakville	136,400	138,900	141,400	143,900	\$ 120	\$ (10)	\$ (10)	\$ (20)	76,374	130,558	336,163	367,787
Ocean Beach	100,800	102,300	103,800	105,300	\$ 90	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 90	368,071	948,227	1,919,281	1,971,943
Ocosta	93,900	95,600	97,300	99,100	\$ 80	\$ 20	\$ 40	\$ 50	239,245	222,772	667,904	752,452
Odessa	74,900	78,100	81,400	84,900	\$ 70	\$ (10)	\$ 10	\$ 30	87,047	-	186,450	220,639
Okanogan	85,300	85,000	84,700	84,400	\$ 70	\$ (80)	\$ (80)	\$ (80)	385,683	603,911	1,561,516	1,677,267
Olympia	254,300	257,300	260,400	263,500	\$ 220	\$ (10)	\$ 10	\$ 50	2,745,266	3,141,148	9,670,519	10,695,805
Omak	100,700	100,400	100,100	99,800	\$ 90	\$ (110)	\$ (120)	\$ (120)	1,038,009	6,402,382	11,567,703	12,120,639
Onalaska	124,700	126,500	128,300	130,100	\$ 110	\$ (10)	\$ (10)	\$ -	276,427	605,911	1,268,198	1,356,458
Onion Creek	81,100	82,800	84,600	86,400	\$ 70	\$ 30	\$ 40	\$ 50	21,501	-	25,806	30,685
Orcas	383,200	390,800	398,500	406,400	\$ 330	\$ 390	\$ 430	\$ 460	139,871	1,144,484	2,081,614	2,203,169
Orchard Prairie	276,900	290,400	304,600	319,500	\$ 240	\$ 260	\$ 290	\$ 320	15,713	86,003	167,219	173,289
Orient	100,000	101,200	102,400	103,600	\$ 90	\$ 90	\$ 100	\$ 100	17,626	64,666	164,010	167,543
Orondo	290,900	305,000	319,800	335,300	\$ 250	\$ (70)	\$ (50)	\$ (20)	77,172	-	-	10,124

Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>	Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year										Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies	
	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21
Oroville	92,700	92,400	92,100	91,800	\$ 80	\$ 10	\$ 20	\$ 20	218,591	339,749	744,213	796,960
Orting	233,300	244,700	256,700	269,300	\$ 200	\$ (130)	\$ (60)	\$ (10)	732,622	2,359,503	4,208,736	4,566,881
Othello	123,000	128,100	133,400	138,900	\$ 110	\$ 40	\$ 60	\$ 90	1,780,676	4,950,131	9,474,884	10,025,092
Palisades	73,600	77,200	80,900	84,800	\$ 60	\$ 20	\$ 30	\$ 30	15,466	72,620	114,015	119,817
Palouse	138,900	146,700	155,000	163,700	\$ 120	\$ (150)	\$ (110)	\$ (90)	63,846	-	63	25,233
Pasco	189,200	198,600	208,400	218,700	\$ 160	\$ (340)	\$ (280)	\$ (250)	6,726,170	15,982,157	28,718,115	31,026,193
Pateros	142,600	142,100	141,600	141,200	\$ 120	\$ (90)	\$ (70)	\$ (50)	121,354	102,325	325,619	364,809
Paterson	53,000	55,600	58,300	61,200	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 60	\$ 60	59,591	167,334	332,832	344,664
Pe Ell	110,400	112,000	113,600	115,200	\$ 100	\$ 10	\$ 20	\$ 20	124,425	-	186,303	217,026
Peninsula	354,500	371,800	390,000	409,100	\$ 310	\$ 320	\$ 390	\$ 460	2,272,425	11,149,443	20,885,199	22,822,198
Pioneer	158,100	161,100	164,100	167,200	\$ 140	\$ 40	\$ 60	\$ 70	230,923	218,331	584,035	700,573
Pomeroy	108,000	116,600	125,900	135,900	\$ 90	\$ 80	\$ 100	\$ 110	124,372	18,945	308,047	352,780
Port Angeles	162,600	165,500	168,400	171,400	\$ 140	\$ (80)	\$ (70)	\$ (50)	1,286,588	2,751,257	5,246,950	5,460,711
Port Townsend	236,600	241,700	246,900	252,200	\$ 210	\$ 230	\$ 260	\$ 280	359,538	1,208,212	2,504,646	2,686,843
Prescott	100,900	103,400	106,000	108,700	\$ 90	\$ 80	\$ 100	\$ 110	151,176	255,984	617,030	663,615
Prosser	156,200	163,800	171,800	180,200	\$ 140	\$ 30	\$ 70	\$ 110	1,084,201	961,546	3,063,972	3,367,339
Pullman	282,400	298,300	315,100	332,900	\$ 250	\$ 20	\$ 80	\$ 130	752,610	1,349,902	3,180,834	3,497,575
Puyallup	266,200	279,200	292,900	307,200	\$ 230	\$ (100)	\$ (40)	\$ 30	6,528,051	15,680,669	31,228,451	34,077,524
Queets-Clearwater	37,600	38,400	39,200	40,000	\$ 30	\$ 20	\$ 30	\$ 30	13,934	-	20,975	24,628
Quilcene	180,500	184,400	188,400	192,400	\$ 160	\$ 160	\$ 180	\$ 190	101,085	826,114	1,493,134	1,568,231
Quillayute Valley	113,300	115,300	117,300	119,400	\$ 100	\$ 100	\$ 110	\$ 120	630,651	4,387,429	7,603,708	8,047,122
Quinalt	108,700	110,700	112,700	114,700	\$ 90	\$ (100)	\$ (90)	\$ (90)	91,647	34,876	172,458	201,486
Quincy	160,300	169,500	179,200	189,500	\$ 140	\$ 130	\$ 170	\$ 190	1,306,789	3,335,616	6,509,453	7,179,011
Rainier	180,800	183,000	185,200	187,400	\$ 160	\$ (110)	\$ (70)	\$ (40)	267,127	393,213	913,712	1,013,249
Raymond	80,500	81,700	82,900	84,100	\$ 70	\$ (140)	\$ (140)	\$ (140)	198,475	456,675	895,536	969,622
Reardan	162,600	169,500	176,700	184,200	\$ 140	\$ -	\$ 40	\$ 80	173,436	-	247,727	339,661
Renton	340,600	357,300	374,800	393,100	\$ 300	\$ 250	\$ 280	\$ 340	5,276,680	32,120,979	48,535,521	51,075,909
Republic	71,800	72,700	73,600	74,500	\$ 60	\$ 50	\$ 60	\$ 60	121,480	185,481	568,767	607,372
Richland	212,000	222,400	233,300	244,700	\$ 180	\$ 20	\$ 60	\$ 100	3,327,375	10,984,914	20,858,145	21,563,841
Ridgefield	339,000	355,600	373,000	391,300	\$ 290	\$ 270	\$ 320	\$ 400	686,323	2,382,649	4,830,096	5,330,282
Ritzville	94,600	98,500	102,600	106,900	\$ 80	\$ (20)	\$ -	\$ 20	113,079	-	36,197	100,584
Riverside	175,100	183,700	192,700	202,100	\$ 150	\$ (80)	\$ (40)	\$ (10)	504,224	319,957	1,055,778	1,224,535
Riverview	448,600	470,500	493,500	517,600	\$ 390	\$ 300	\$ 380	\$ 440	711,887	5,571,625	8,882,921	9,426,833
Rochester	167,300	169,300	171,300	173,400	\$ 150	\$ (210)	\$ (190)	\$ (170)	667,752	1,084,135	2,371,761	2,643,866
Roosevelt	48,000	49,300	50,600	51,900	\$ 40	\$ 40	\$ 50	\$ 50	7,183	113,424	170,923	175,292
Rosalia	67,800	71,600	75,600	79,900	\$ 60	\$ 30	\$ 50	\$ 70	79,574	-	157,139	225,235

	Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>				Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year <i>For a single family residence with a median taxable value. Estimate includes impacts of both revised state and local enrichment (M&O) levy policies. All figures rounded to the nearest \$10.</i>								Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies <i>For each school district</i>			
	CY 18		CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18		CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	SY 2017-18	SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21		
Royal	75,400	79,700	84,300	89,100	\$	70	\$	60	\$	80	\$	703,751	2,156,926	4,037,803	4,118,312	
San Juan	393,700	401,500	409,400	417,500	\$	340	\$	400	\$	440	\$	238,576	1,320,964	2,359,145	2,498,774	
Satsop	144,000	146,600	149,200	151,900	\$	130	\$	60	\$	80	\$	20,416	-	20,823	26,820	
Seattle	529,300	555,200	582,400	610,900	\$	460	\$	420	\$	490	\$	13,953,224	80,803,513	127,780,419	138,149,964	
Sedro Woolley	195,100	198,300	201,500	204,800	\$	170	\$	(140)	\$	(120)	\$	1,424,401	4,347,418	7,449,579	8,051,079	
Selah	180,400	184,500	188,700	193,000	\$	160	\$	(190)	\$	(170)	\$	1,126,246	2,041,473	4,435,594	4,856,253	
Selkirk	109,200	111,000	112,800	114,700	\$	90	\$	70	\$	90	\$	94,881	201,500	510,197	569,668	
Sequim	224,400	228,400	232,500	236,600	\$	200	\$	210	\$	220	\$	876,703	3,215,724	6,079,258	6,314,811	
Shaw	520,500	530,800	541,300	552,000	\$	450	\$	480	\$	520	\$	4,663	76,245	113,863	116,971	
Shelton	140,900	143,500	146,200	148,900	\$	120	\$	(180)	\$	(150)	\$	1,712,626	2,998,078	6,242,255	6,794,172	
Shoreline	426,100	446,900	468,800	491,700	\$	370	\$	280	\$	380	\$	2,372,677	17,598,683	27,710,207	28,754,643	
Skamania	191,700	191,500	191,300	191,100	\$	170	\$	170	\$	180	\$	24,240	70,853	160,359	165,341	
Skykomish	150,700	158,100	165,800	173,900	\$	130	\$	(40)	\$	(40)	\$	40,057	281,845	423,215	443,463	
Snohomish	382,300	401,000	420,600	441,200	\$	330	\$	50	\$	140	\$	2,446,393	17,514,520	26,374,387	26,649,125	
Snoqualmie Valley	485,300	509,000	533,900	560,000	\$	420	\$	430	\$	490	\$	1,353,254	12,860,075	20,347,997	21,498,184	
Soap Lake	98,700	104,400	110,400	116,700	\$	90	\$	(180)	\$	(190)	\$	200,558	434,227	778,081	844,295	
South Bend	84,800	86,100	87,400	88,700	\$	70	\$	(110)	\$	(100)	\$	241,496	394,159	908,662	979,471	
South Kitsap	223,600	226,000	228,400	230,800	\$	190	\$	(150)	\$	(110)	\$	2,817,062	16,153,661	24,040,563	25,690,551	
South Whidbey	320,600	325,700	330,800	336,000	\$	280	\$	320	\$	350	\$	376,941	2,511,196	4,295,726	4,352,199	
Southside	175,800	179,100	182,500	185,900	\$	150	\$	(70)	\$	(50)	\$	51,019	-	44,687	74,917	
Spokane	144,800	151,900	159,300	167,100	\$	130	\$	(80)	\$	(60)	\$	10,435,537	23,847,417	43,397,210	45,150,610	
Sprague	51,700	53,900	56,200	58,600	\$	40	\$	(10)	\$	-	\$	41,480	45,004	157,143	175,073	
St John	87,800	92,700	97,900	103,400	\$	80	\$	20	\$	40	\$	64,024	74,761	263,129	298,016	
Stanwood-Camano	311,800	327,100	343,100	359,900	\$	270	\$	230	\$	290	\$	1,271,845	6,704,964	11,437,503	11,899,240	
Star	38,500	40,400	42,400	44,500	\$	30	\$	40	\$	40	\$	5,909	34,404	77,030	78,986	
Starbuck	43,800	47,400	51,300	55,500	\$	40	\$	40	\$	50	\$	9,489	36,465	99,941	102,785	
Stehekin	164,700	168,200	171,800	175,500	\$	140	\$	150	\$	160	\$	4,973	-	29,784	28,660	
Steilacoom Hist.	287,900	302,000	316,800	332,300	\$	250	\$	110	\$	190	\$	781,494	2,346,601	4,739,223	5,205,759	
Steptoe	70,700	74,700	78,900	83,300	\$	60	\$	10	\$	30	\$	10,328	-	36,357	45,463	
Stevenson-Carson	162,600	162,400	162,200	162,000	\$	140	\$	150	\$	150	\$	291,287	797,668	1,772,407	1,865,724	
Sultan	199,000	208,700	218,900	229,600	\$	170	\$	(120)	\$	(80)	\$	676,245	3,150,324	4,749,545	5,078,147	
Summit Valley	120,700	123,300	125,900	128,600	\$	100	\$	110	\$	120	\$	22,825	45,422	109,306	113,315	
Sumner	278,100	291,700	306,000	321,000	\$	240	\$	60	\$	130	\$	2,422,811	10,715,075	18,118,408	19,434,785	
Sunnyside	110,200	112,700	115,200	117,800	\$	100	\$	60	\$	80	\$	2,814,421	7,391,506	14,663,821	15,513,327	
Tacoma	213,500	223,900	234,900	246,400	\$	190	\$	(220)	\$	(170)	\$	10,186,724	18,794,147	28,580,198	33,051,631	
Taholah	48,300	49,200	50,100	51,000	\$	40	\$	(300)	\$	(290)	\$	70,623	160,902	338,322	366,169	

Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value <i>Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio</i>	Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year										Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies	
	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	SY 2017-18	SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21
Tahoma	389,300	408,300	428,300	449,300	\$ 340	\$ (30)	\$ 40	100	1,747,778	9,533,177	15,668,928	16,846,040
Tekoa	87,900	92,900	98,100	103,600	\$ 80	\$ (130)	\$ (110)	(90)	84,505	-	103,578	127,958
Tenino	172,200	174,300	176,400	178,500	\$ 150	\$ (40)	\$ (10)	10	354,974	629,909	1,354,594	1,502,677
Thorp	174,000	178,000	182,100	186,300	\$ 150	\$ 60	\$ 80	100	54,393	141,866	330,656	359,790
Toledo	143,200	145,200	147,300	149,400	\$ 120	\$ 10	\$ 20	40	249,577	430,272	1,078,068	1,158,055
Tonasket	65,400	65,200	65,000	64,800	\$ 60	\$ (70)	\$ (70)	(60)	410,320	830,149	1,688,521	1,817,392
Toppenish	106,800	109,200	111,700	114,200	\$ 90	\$ 70	\$ 90	100	1,798,955	5,607,985	10,260,099	10,808,303
Touchet	159,800	163,800	167,900	172,100	\$ 140	\$ (70)	\$ (40)	(50)	95,986	-	-	-
Toutle Lake	155,200	158,900	162,700	166,600	\$ 130	\$ (120)	\$ (100)	(90)	207,455	88,895	547,350	612,146
Trout Lake	259,200	266,000	273,000	280,200	\$ 230	\$ 60	\$ 110	140	49,108	92,232	308,211	339,003
Tukwila	235,000	246,500	258,600	271,300	\$ 200	\$ (110)	\$ (90)	(70)	1,152,221	3,909,636	5,088,684	5,607,768
Tumwater	225,600	228,300	231,000	233,800	\$ 200	\$ (50)	\$ (40)	(10)	2,027,785	3,054,982	7,793,070	8,521,684
Union Gap	80,800	82,600	84,500	86,400	\$ 70	\$ 40	\$ 60	80	260,599	324,102	818,804	903,279
University Place	317,800	333,300	349,600	366,700	\$ 280	\$ (300)	\$ (220)	(140)	1,570,749	2,362,194	5,293,410	5,981,688
Valley	90,600	92,500	94,500	96,500	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 90	100	125,138	778,102	1,575,882	1,643,123
Vancouver	251,600	263,900	276,800	290,300	\$ 220	\$ 10	\$ 80	130	7,547,972	21,568,793	38,507,319	41,895,366
Vashon Island	455,700	478,000	501,400	525,900	\$ 400	\$ 530	\$ 580	630	353,583	2,411,698	4,189,654	4,331,480
Wahkiakum	172,700	179,900	187,400	195,200	\$ 150	\$ 30	\$ 50	80	161,497	-	257,007	316,205
Wahluke	132,000	139,600	147,600	156,100	\$ 110	\$ 30	\$ 60	90	1,029,813	3,464,181	5,953,174	6,293,005
Waitsburg	139,900	143,400	147,000	150,700	\$ 120	\$ (70)	\$ (40)	(20)	114,205	14,027	235,391	271,758
Walla Walla	183,500	188,100	192,800	197,600	\$ 160	\$ (170)	\$ (130)	(120)	2,048,862	1,349,857	4,801,277	5,494,611
Wapato	93,600	95,700	97,900	100,100	\$ 80	\$ 70	\$ 90	100	1,442,528	4,265,241	7,914,444	8,349,547
Warden	93,200	98,500	104,200	110,200	\$ 80	\$ -	\$ 20	50	352,559	1,137,093	1,928,833	2,055,734
Washougal	272,000	285,300	299,300	313,900	\$ 240	\$ 40	\$ 100	160	925,382	1,665,848	3,883,581	4,260,431
Washtucna	38,700	40,300	42,000	43,700	\$ 30	\$ (10)	\$ -	10	39,836	-	54,696	69,362
Waterville	97,700	102,400	107,400	112,600	\$ 80	\$ (130)	\$ (100)	(90)	98,726	125,462	257,383	297,197
Wellpinit	55,500	56,700	57,900	59,100	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 60	60	165,607	200,508	558,892	557,028
Wenatchee	203,600	208,000	212,500	217,100	\$ 180	\$ (100)	\$ (70)	(50)	3,013,268	7,125,027	13,076,797	13,481,288
West Valley (Spo)	162,500	170,500	178,800	187,500	\$ 140	\$ (190)	\$ (140)	(120)	1,170,316	1,385,309	3,217,566	3,673,557
West Valley (Yak)	190,900	195,200	199,600	204,100	\$ 170	\$ (40)	\$ (20)	10	1,649,990	5,295,741	9,709,691	9,954,852
White Pass	100,100	101,500	103,000	104,500	\$ 90	\$ 90	\$ 90	90	171,320	416,223	874,350	880,541
White River	255,300	267,800	280,900	294,600	\$ 220	\$ (30)	\$ 20	70	1,056,485	2,878,162	5,526,221	6,011,589
White Salmon	233,700	239,900	246,200	252,700	\$ 200	\$ 80	\$ 120	170	435,477	170,043	1,079,208	1,279,339
Wilbur	93,600	97,600	101,700	106,000	\$ 80	\$ (60)	\$ (40)	(10)	92,088	-	171,645	205,200
Willapa Valley	111,600	113,300	115,000	116,700	\$ 100	\$ 10	\$ 40	80	116,738	130,565	389,197	470,343
Wilson Creek	63,700	67,400	71,300	75,400	\$ 60	\$ (40)	\$ (30)	(10)	72,189	-	97,409	115,280

Estimated Median Taxable Single Family Residence Value
Adjusted for the Indicated Ratio

Estimated Difference in Tax Due, comparing tax rates before and after enactment of EHB 2242 for each year
For a single family residence with a median taxable value. Estimate includes impacts of both revised state and local enrichment (M&O) levy policies. All figures rounded to the nearest \$10.

Estimated Net Impact of New Basic Education Compensation and Funding Allocation, Local Enrichment Levy and Local Effort Assistance Policies
For each school district

	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	CY 18	CY 19	CY 20	CY 21	SY 2017-18	SY 2018-19	SY 2019-20	SY 2020-21	
Winlock	120,700	122,400	124,100	125,900	\$	100	\$	(40)	\$	284,805	493,940	1,126,643	1,204,425
Wishkah Valley	159,200	162,100	165,000	168,000	\$	140	\$	(220)	\$	60,825	130,765	268,417	297,173
Wishram	76,900	78,900	81,000	83,100	\$	70	\$	80	\$	50,482	-	178,753	186,714
Woodland	192,800	197,400	202,100	207,000	\$	170	\$	40	\$	680,348	2,133,785	4,216,035	4,484,950
Yakima	119,200	121,900	124,700	127,500	\$	100	\$	(40)	\$	7,601,892	13,463,558	28,328,572	30,301,203
Yelm	169,100	171,100	173,100	175,200	\$	150	\$	(130)	\$	1,703,669	3,774,820	7,616,956	8,314,773
Zillah	160,300	163,900	167,600	171,400	\$	140	\$	140	\$	431,609	836,647	2,125,699	2,269,720

Please note the following:

- These school tax rates will differ from those shown on an individual property tax bill because the school taxes on the tax bill will also include any school district capital, bond, vehicle and technology levies that voters have approved in the school district. These are limited to the local enrichment (maintenance and operation, M&O) levy.
- The M&O levy estimates are based on a specific set of assumptions regarding items such as caseload, inflation, and school district M&O levy certification. A different set of assumptions would result in different estimates.
- These state tax rates will differ from those shown on an individual property tax bill in that the indicated ratio is applied to the tax rate rather than the home value. These estimates apply the adjustments to the single family home value.
- The state levy rate estimates are based on the Department of Revenue June 2017 State Levy Forecast.
- School district estimates for maintenance level are limited to estimated state basic education allocations, local effort assistance payments, and maintenance and operation levies.
- School district M&O and local effort assistance payments estimated at current law include the impact of enactment of ESB 5023, delaying the levy cliff by one calendar year.
- School district estimates for current law and the estimated impact of the policy changes assume caseload forecast and inflation estimates as of March 2017.
- The aggregate state property tax rate is not subject to the levy revenue growth limit between CY 18-21. Beginning with taxes due in CY 22 and thereafter, the revenue growth limit applies to both state levies
- School district policy impacts do not include the estimated impact of increased funding school districts may receive as the result of changes in grant program funding amounts, and other items that can not be estimated at the school district level. Examples of items not included in these estimates are: increased allocations under the BEST program, anticipated growth in the number of National Board certified teachers, and pupil transportation formula adjustments.
- The indicated ratio is a measurement of how closely a particular county's assessed values compare to market values. The Department of Revenue implements an annual study of property in each of the state's 39 counties. The Department uses the ratio study to ensure the state levy rates are applied equitably across the state. To estimate the impact of a change to the state property tax, the ratio can either be applied to property value or the state levy rate, but not both, to avoid double counting the impact of the ratio. The Office of Program Research table entitled "State and Local Enrichment Property Tax Levy Impact of House Bill No. 2242 (fully funding the program of basic education)" provides the state levy rate, adjusted for the indicated ratio. The calculation in this table uses the median taxable single family residence value, adjusted for the indicated ratio and the unadjusted state tax rate.
- The median table single family residence value calculation excluded all exempt value. Parcels included must have an improvement and have a taxable value greater than \$0. (Data source: Department of Revenue)
- All tax due figures have been rounded to the nearest \$10. For example, \$578 would be rounded to \$580.

Appendix G

Senate Committee Services, Washington State Senate, “Estimated Net State and Local School District Funding Changes Based on 2017-19 State Biennial Budget” (June 29, 2017), http://leap.leg.wa.gov/leap/Budget/Detail/2017/soK12TotalFunding_0629.pdf (Senate).

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Est. 2016-17	Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML				Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)				Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact				% Chg 2020-21	% Chg 2020-21 To 2020-21 ML
	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	To 2016-17	To 2020-21
Aberdeen	\$34,019,952	\$39,324,864	\$39,588,629	\$40,408,438	\$40,408,438	\$1,313,806	\$3,291,547	\$3,686,080	\$3,686,080	\$40,638,670	\$42,880,176	\$44,094,517	\$44,094,517	30%	9%
Adna	\$6,113,216	\$6,629,388	\$6,720,944	\$6,867,385	\$6,867,385	\$303,704	\$864,658	\$926,622	\$926,622	\$6,933,092	\$7,585,602	\$7,794,008	\$7,794,008	27%	13%
Almira	\$2,204,563	\$2,280,343	\$2,296,039	\$2,329,777	\$2,329,777	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,280,343	\$2,296,039	\$2,329,777	\$2,329,777	6%	0%
Anacortes	\$29,444,896	\$31,510,591	\$31,633,265	\$32,263,664	\$32,263,664	\$3,124,103	\$5,968,444	\$6,427,111	\$6,427,111	\$34,634,694	\$37,601,709	\$38,690,775	\$38,690,775	31%	20%
Arlington	\$58,348,538	\$61,716,862	\$61,323,365	\$62,586,622	\$62,586,622	\$7,344,777	\$11,708,064	\$12,157,204	\$12,157,204	\$69,061,639	\$73,031,429	\$74,743,826	\$74,743,826	28%	19%
Asotin-Anatone	\$6,677,359	\$7,060,276	\$7,012,090	\$7,160,582	\$7,160,582	\$191,415	\$619,841	\$693,913	\$693,913	\$7,251,690	\$7,631,931	\$7,854,495	\$7,854,495	18%	10%
Auburn	\$177,508,797	\$185,313,472	\$184,262,191	\$188,028,723	\$188,028,723	\$24,163,622	\$36,810,686	\$38,157,226	\$38,157,226	\$209,477,094	\$221,072,877	\$226,185,949	\$226,185,949	27%	20%
Bainbridge	\$39,383,490	\$41,561,599	\$41,477,952	\$42,330,899	\$42,330,899	\$6,915,724	\$11,815,549	\$12,266,741	\$12,266,741	\$48,477,323	\$53,293,501	\$54,597,640	\$54,597,640	39%	29%
Battle Ground	\$142,486,312	\$150,466,905	\$150,432,992	\$153,374,300	\$153,374,300	\$10,179,095	\$19,079,959	\$20,766,683	\$20,766,683	\$160,646,000	\$169,512,951	\$174,140,982	\$174,140,982	22%	14%
Bellevue	\$223,818,855	\$235,350,150	\$233,256,858	\$237,622,969	\$237,622,969	\$37,345,226	\$60,203,011	\$64,008,871	\$64,008,871	\$272,695,376	\$293,459,868	\$301,631,840	\$301,631,840	35%	27%
Bellingham	\$122,725,188	\$128,940,730	\$127,912,076	\$130,527,603	\$130,527,603	\$12,439,390	\$21,924,349	\$22,746,632	\$22,746,632	\$141,380,120	\$149,836,424	\$153,274,234	\$153,274,234	25%	17%
Benge	\$406,064	\$425,587	\$429,396	\$435,683	\$435,683	\$0	\$4,464	\$6,562	\$6,562	\$425,587	\$433,860	\$442,245	\$442,245	9%	2%
Bethel	\$209,467,544	\$220,367,143	\$217,417,170	\$221,864,939	\$221,864,939	\$10,159,394	\$22,368,281	\$24,694,719	\$24,694,719	\$230,526,537	\$239,785,451	\$246,559,659	\$246,559,659	18%	11%
Bickleton	\$2,031,824	\$2,122,619	\$2,156,058	\$2,190,359	\$2,190,359	\$97,908	\$306,761	\$316,446	\$316,446	\$2,220,526	\$2,462,819	\$2,506,805	\$2,506,805	23%	14%
Blaine	\$24,416,898	\$25,951,192	\$25,705,776	\$26,228,462	\$26,228,462	\$3,047,789	\$5,320,155	\$5,458,363	\$5,458,363	\$28,998,981	\$31,025,931	\$31,686,824	\$31,686,824	30%	21%
Boisfort	\$1,175,439	\$1,265,172	\$1,278,776	\$1,296,829	\$1,296,829	\$87,009	\$170,319	\$182,469	\$182,469	\$1,352,180	\$1,449,095	\$1,479,297	\$1,479,297	26%	14%
Bremerton	\$57,237,832	\$59,968,534	\$59,876,677	\$61,094,100	\$61,094,100	\$9,563,501	\$13,493,260	\$14,420,443	\$14,420,443	\$69,532,036	\$73,369,937	\$75,514,543	\$75,514,543	32%	24%
Brewster	\$9,927,988	\$10,517,140	\$10,649,742	\$10,873,170	\$10,873,170	\$812,459	\$1,642,509	\$1,756,051	\$1,756,051	\$11,329,599	\$12,292,220	\$12,629,220	\$12,629,220	27%	16%
Bridgeport	\$8,059,559	\$8,572,854	\$8,653,678	\$8,849,452	\$8,849,452	\$1,146,659	\$2,092,831	\$2,205,466	\$2,205,466	\$9,719,513	\$10,746,509	\$11,054,918	\$11,054,918	37%	25%
Brinnon	\$885,383	\$894,990	\$894,826	\$905,630	\$905,630	\$2,171	\$16,266	\$24,663	\$24,663	\$897,161	\$911,092	\$930,292	\$930,292	5%	3%
Burlington Edison	\$41,214,527	\$43,383,277	\$42,888,897	\$43,763,984	\$43,763,984	\$5,945,728	\$8,958,150	\$9,274,144	\$9,274,144	\$49,329,005	\$51,847,047	\$53,038,127	\$53,038,127	29%	21%
Camas	\$68,122,597	\$73,883,689	\$75,022,242	\$76,613,519	\$76,613,519	\$6,996,463	\$12,691,702	\$13,135,565	\$13,135,565	\$80,880,152	\$87,713,944	\$89,749,084	\$89,749,084	32%	17%
Cape Flattery	\$6,162,887	\$6,452,566	\$6,509,836	\$6,633,083	\$6,633,083	\$372,756	\$850,740	\$911,421	\$911,421	\$6,825,322	\$7,360,576	\$7,544,504	\$7,544,504	22%	14%
Carbonado	\$2,269,746	\$2,412,750	\$2,401,931	\$2,443,209	\$2,443,209	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,412,750	\$2,401,931	\$2,443,209	\$2,443,209	8%	0%
Cascade	\$14,008,403	\$14,924,888	\$15,168,173	\$15,472,734	\$15,472,734	\$1,203,047	\$2,583,134	\$2,690,621	\$2,690,621	\$16,127,935	\$17,751,307	\$18,163,355	\$18,163,355	30%	17%
Cashmere	\$15,427,633	\$16,459,992	\$16,709,805	\$17,096,916	\$17,096,916	\$373,111	\$1,372,901	\$1,541,032	\$1,541,032	\$16,833,103	\$18,082,706	\$18,637,948	\$18,637,948	21%	9%
Castle Rock	\$12,668,167	\$13,358,591	\$13,577,555	\$13,878,377	\$13,878,377	\$1,130,352	\$2,122,793	\$2,264,624	\$2,264,624	\$14,488,943	\$15,700,348	\$16,143,001	\$16,143,001	27%	16%
Centerville	\$1,194,621	\$1,275,345	\$1,284,823	\$1,300,187	\$1,300,187	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,275,345	\$1,284,823	\$1,300,187	\$1,300,187	9%	0%
Central Kitsap	\$116,763,442	\$125,420,903	\$126,220,554	\$128,864,153	\$128,864,153	\$15,737,327	\$24,480,798	\$26,225,434	\$26,225,434	\$141,158,230	\$150,701,351	\$155,089,587	\$155,089,587	33%	20%
Central Valley	\$142,166,196	\$152,034,243	\$152,823,393	\$156,006,434	\$156,006,434	\$3,977,536	\$12,858,971	\$14,440,875	\$14,440,875	\$156,011,780	\$165,682,363	\$170,447,309	\$170,447,309	20%	9%
Centralia	\$39,263,242	\$41,455,184	\$41,948,836	\$42,841,814	\$42,841,814	\$2,509,913	\$5,367,380	\$5,802,127	\$5,802,127	\$43,965,097	\$47,316,216	\$48,643,941	\$48,643,941	24%	14%
Chehalis	\$32,264,593	\$34,018,822	\$34,439,036	\$35,118,235	\$35,118,235	\$1,322,090	\$3,242,427	\$3,549,263	\$3,549,263	\$35,340,912	\$37,681,463	\$38,667,498	\$38,667,498	20%	10%
Cheney	\$46,944,331	\$50,227,800	\$50,584,837	\$51,657,538	\$51,657,538	\$2,627,017	\$5,830,336	\$6,430,064	\$6,430,064	\$52,854,818	\$56,415,172	\$58,087,602	\$58,087,602	24%	12%
Chewelah	\$8,137,465	\$8,643,751	\$8,777,301	\$8,972,487	\$8,972,487	\$453,877	\$1,163,013	\$1,250,173	\$1,250,173	\$9,097,628	\$9,940,314	\$10,222,660	\$10,222,660	26%	14%
Chimacum	\$12,192,910	\$12,606,992	\$12,432,022	\$12,679,739	\$12,679,739	\$1,714,896	\$3,033,058	\$3,215,643	\$3,215,643	\$14,321,887	\$15,465,080	\$15,895,382	\$15,895,382	30%	25%
Clarkston	\$28,241,694	\$29,916,045	\$30,185,470	\$30,817,935	\$30,817,935	\$737,840	\$2,360,315	\$2,673,799	\$2,673,799	\$30,653,885	\$32,545,785	\$33,491,734	\$33,491,734	19%	9%
Cle Elum-Roslyn	\$9,281,974	\$9,970,944	\$10,070,763	\$10,275,769	\$10,275,769	\$728,884	\$1,684,091	\$1,751,877	\$1,751,877	\$10,699,828	\$11,754,854	\$12,027,646	\$12,027,646	30%	17%
Clover Park	\$145,516,810	\$155,936,679	\$156,950,119	\$160,116,513	\$160,116,513	\$11,910,887	\$19,915,104	\$21,747,786	\$21,747,786	\$167,847,566	\$176,865,223	\$181,864,299	\$181,864,299	25%	14%
Colfax	\$6,341,749	\$7,019,976	\$7,049,118	\$7,187,403	\$7,187,403	\$105,975	\$510,983	\$584,095	\$584,095	\$7,125,951	\$7,560,101	\$7,771,497	\$7,771,497	23%	8%
College Place	\$13,604,353	\$14,450,235	\$14,720,877	\$15,035,449	\$15,035,449	\$812,860	\$1,547,084	\$1,711,311	\$1,711,311	\$15,263,095	\$16,267,961	\$16,746,760	\$16,746,760	23%	11%
Colton	\$2,571,522	\$2,791,559	\$2,811,692	\$2,859,500	\$2,859,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,791,559	\$2,811,692	\$2,859,500	\$2,859,500	11%	0%
Columbia (Stev)	\$2,571,742	\$2,775,752	\$2,827,615	\$2,879,736	\$2,879,736	\$2,451	\$207,175	\$218,569	\$218,569	\$2,730,066	\$2,982,927	\$3,045,881	\$3,045,881	18%	8%
Columbia (Walla)	\$9,177,942	\$9,645,109	\$9,699,907	\$9,879,736	\$9,879,736	\$50,039	\$416,508	\$515,842	\$515,842	\$9,695,148	\$10,116,415	\$10,395,579	\$10,395,579	13%	5%
Colville	\$19,223,217	\$20,490,373	\$20,734,772	\$21,168,494	\$21,168,494	\$605,551	\$1,839,409	\$2,035,452	\$2,035,452	\$21,095,923	\$22,574,181	\$23,203,946	\$23,203,946	21%	10%

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Est. 2016-17	Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML				Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)				Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact				% Chg 2020-21 To 2016-17	% Chg 2020-21 To 2020-21 ML
	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	To 2016-17	To 2020-21 ML
Concrete	\$6,797,869	\$7,116,921	\$7,044,797	\$7,176,663	\$409,132	\$864,897	\$991,873	\$7,526,053	\$7,909,695	\$8,168,536	\$7,526,053	\$7,909,695	\$8,168,536	20%	14%
Conway	\$4,812,472	\$5,264,538	\$5,296,690	\$5,396,486	\$16,115	\$129,325	\$157,758	\$5,280,653	\$5,426,016	\$5,554,244	\$5,280,653	\$5,426,016	\$5,554,244	15%	3%
Cosmopolis	\$2,152,180	\$2,243,418	\$2,211,881	\$2,244,968	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,243,418	\$2,211,881	\$2,244,968	\$2,243,418	\$2,211,881	\$2,244,968	4%	0%
Coulee/Hartline	\$3,015,811	\$3,172,041	\$3,224,233	\$3,283,959	\$78,939	\$281,086	\$310,804	\$3,250,980	\$3,505,319	\$3,594,763	\$3,250,980	\$3,505,319	\$3,594,763	19%	9%
Coupeville	\$9,693,267	\$10,449,017	\$10,410,695	\$10,637,299	\$1,480,978	\$2,617,467	\$2,718,842	\$11,929,995	\$13,028,162	\$13,356,141	\$11,929,995	\$13,028,162	\$13,356,141	38%	26%
Crescent	\$3,684,768	\$3,908,755	\$3,986,636	\$4,069,124	\$361,004	\$725,053	\$766,963	\$4,269,759	\$4,711,689	\$4,836,087	\$4,269,759	\$4,711,689	\$4,836,087	31%	19%
Creston	\$2,299,565	\$2,427,978	\$2,460,603	\$2,494,073	\$0	\$0	\$13,056	\$2,427,978	\$2,460,603	\$2,507,128	\$2,427,978	\$2,460,603	\$2,507,128	9%	1%
Curlew	\$2,637,853	\$2,770,880	\$2,801,432	\$2,857,404	\$92,511	\$329,578	\$354,030	\$2,863,391	\$3,131,011	\$3,211,434	\$2,863,391	\$3,131,011	\$3,211,434	22%	12%
Cusick	\$3,022,202	\$3,227,460	\$3,297,322	\$3,370,000	\$132,897	\$450,134	\$468,509	\$3,360,356	\$3,747,455	\$3,838,508	\$3,360,356	\$3,747,455	\$3,838,508	27%	14%
Damman	\$677,850	\$686,487	\$678,995	\$687,428	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$686,487	\$678,995	\$687,428	\$686,487	\$678,995	\$687,428	1%	0%
Darrington	\$5,418,125	\$5,535,193	\$5,473,466	\$5,572,864	\$472,266	\$756,153	\$823,341	\$6,007,459	\$6,229,619	\$6,396,205	\$6,007,459	\$6,229,619	\$6,396,205	18%	15%
Davenport	\$6,760,367	\$7,182,969	\$7,267,206	\$7,411,111	\$151,826	\$495,698	\$562,162	\$7,334,795	\$7,762,904	\$7,973,273	\$7,334,795	\$7,762,904	\$7,973,273	18%	8%
Dayton	\$5,423,908	\$5,598,973	\$5,555,685	\$5,659,192	\$400,516	\$890,273	\$956,900	\$5,999,489	\$6,445,958	\$6,616,092	\$5,999,489	\$6,445,958	\$6,616,092	22%	17%
Deer Park	\$23,560,147	\$24,990,185	\$25,322,072	\$25,896,690	\$2,102,193	\$4,355,032	\$4,624,916	\$27,092,378	\$29,677,104	\$30,521,605	\$27,092,378	\$29,677,104	\$30,521,605	30%	18%
Dieringer	\$17,864,382	\$19,063,963	\$18,676,836	\$19,014,108	\$294,063	\$994,522	\$1,355,194	\$19,358,026	\$19,671,358	\$20,369,302	\$19,358,026	\$19,671,358	\$20,369,302	14%	7%
Dixie	\$661,126	\$676,014	\$683,133	\$690,434	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$676,014	\$683,133	\$690,434	\$676,014	\$683,133	\$690,434	4%	0%
East Valley	\$49,161,551	\$51,062,438	\$50,559,072	\$51,564,830	\$830,232	\$3,415,773	\$3,896,253	\$51,892,670	\$53,974,845	\$55,461,083	\$51,892,670	\$53,974,845	\$55,461,083	13%	8%
East Valley (Yak)	\$32,549,049	\$34,726,447	\$35,225,180	\$35,992,977	\$1,542,824	\$3,791,317	\$4,166,626	\$36,269,271	\$39,016,497	\$40,159,603	\$36,269,271	\$39,016,497	\$40,159,603	23%	12%
Eastmont	\$59,654,662	\$63,523,164	\$64,615,769	\$66,048,909	\$3,643,379	\$8,328,596	\$8,995,532	\$67,166,544	\$72,944,365	\$75,044,440	\$67,166,544	\$72,944,365	\$75,044,440	26%	14%
Easton	\$2,323,504	\$2,455,951	\$2,499,149	\$2,544,603	\$15,532	\$161,658	\$182,096	\$2,471,484	\$2,660,807	\$2,726,699	\$2,471,484	\$2,660,807	\$2,726,699	17%	7%
Eatonville	\$20,643,984	\$21,809,217	\$21,597,875	\$22,030,846	\$819,697	\$2,001,726	\$2,232,786	\$22,628,914	\$23,599,602	\$24,263,632	\$22,628,914	\$23,599,602	\$24,263,632	18%	10%
Edmonds	\$231,379,987	\$243,459,513	\$240,724,823	\$245,706,057	\$35,832,641	\$60,263,246	\$65,828,715	\$279,292,154	\$300,988,069	\$311,534,772	\$279,292,154	\$300,988,069	\$311,534,772	35%	27%
Ellensburg	\$34,909,420	\$37,311,036	\$37,406,065	\$38,187,031	\$1,086,777	\$3,275,104	\$3,642,772	\$38,397,813	\$40,681,169	\$41,829,804	\$38,397,813	\$40,681,169	\$41,829,804	20%	10%
Elma	\$16,266,965	\$16,828,298	\$16,603,051	\$16,948,716	\$527,415	\$1,490,031	\$1,652,699	\$17,355,713	\$18,093,082	\$18,601,415	\$17,355,713	\$18,093,082	\$18,601,415	14%	10%
Endicott	\$2,257,045	\$2,381,934	\$2,399,549	\$2,432,260	\$0	\$88,807	\$107,834	\$2,381,934	\$2,488,356	\$2,540,093	\$2,381,934	\$2,488,356	\$2,540,093	13%	4%
Entiat	\$4,264,199	\$4,528,130	\$4,601,114	\$4,698,532	\$0	\$152,385	\$190,024	\$4,528,130	\$4,753,499	\$4,888,556	\$4,528,130	\$4,753,499	\$4,888,556	15%	4%
Enumclaw	\$43,989,800	\$46,881,000	\$46,940,959	\$47,888,975	\$3,234,952	\$5,825,703	\$6,408,771	\$50,115,952	\$52,766,662	\$54,297,746	\$50,115,952	\$52,766,662	\$54,297,746	23%	13%
Ephrata	\$25,150,227	\$26,589,155	\$26,883,567	\$27,457,472	\$978,286	\$2,499,371	\$2,781,488	\$27,567,442	\$29,382,938	\$30,238,960	\$27,567,442	\$29,382,938	\$30,238,960	20%	10%
Evaline	\$592,989	\$642,205	\$652,230	\$662,521	\$0	\$25,051	\$30,499	\$642,205	\$677,281	\$693,021	\$642,205	\$677,281	\$693,021	17%	5%
Everett	\$224,956,488	\$238,890,304	\$237,952,593	\$242,775,292	\$28,378,863	\$44,811,042	\$45,186,530	\$267,269,167	\$282,763,635	\$287,961,822	\$267,269,167	\$282,763,635	\$287,961,822	28%	19%
Evergreen (Clark)	\$283,228,003	\$300,462,992	\$303,057,850	\$309,353,941	\$21,245,615	\$40,488,129	\$43,937,036	\$321,708,607	\$343,545,978	\$353,290,976	\$321,708,607	\$343,545,978	\$353,290,976	25%	14%
Evergreen (Stev)	\$442,434	\$462,656	\$471,665	\$480,390	\$35,361	\$87,474	\$90,628	\$498,017	\$559,138	\$571,018	\$498,017	\$559,138	\$571,018	29%	19%
Federal Way	\$258,775,197	\$268,490,293	\$267,029,311	\$272,211,997	\$30,969,661	\$46,858,278	\$50,511,531	\$299,459,954	\$313,887,589	\$322,723,529	\$299,459,954	\$313,887,589	\$322,723,529	25%	19%
Ferndale	\$52,278,349	\$54,238,803	\$53,369,728	\$54,504,300	\$4,362,897	\$7,572,730	\$7,895,687	\$58,601,701	\$60,942,458	\$62,399,986	\$58,601,701	\$60,942,458	\$62,399,986	19%	14%
Fife	\$37,842,650	\$40,239,586	\$40,367,771	\$41,187,503	\$5,055,481	\$7,904,956	\$8,451,611	\$45,295,068	\$48,272,726	\$49,639,113	\$45,295,068	\$48,272,726	\$49,639,113	31%	21%
Finley	\$10,771,328	\$11,233,701	\$11,293,094	\$11,513,159	\$252,778	\$835,662	\$937,854	\$11,486,479	\$12,128,755	\$12,451,013	\$11,486,479	\$12,128,755	\$12,451,013	16%	8%
Franklin Pierce	\$87,369,071	\$90,422,942	\$89,512,754	\$91,328,051	\$7,818,862	\$12,873,693	\$14,027,670	\$98,241,805	\$102,386,447	\$105,355,721	\$98,241,805	\$102,386,447	\$105,355,721	21%	15%
Freeman	\$9,010,082	\$9,738,740	\$9,873,030	\$10,076,175	\$220,546	\$789,253	\$891,147	\$9,959,286	\$10,662,283	\$10,967,322	\$9,959,286	\$10,662,283	\$10,967,322	22%	9%
Garfield	\$2,297,240	\$2,459,009	\$2,477,638	\$2,519,657	\$28,431	\$166,547	\$184,580	\$2,487,440	\$2,644,185	\$2,704,237	\$2,487,440	\$2,644,185	\$2,704,237	18%	7%
Glenwood	\$1,966,590	\$2,026,382	\$2,036,778	\$2,068,121	\$0	\$68,578	\$80,488	\$2,026,382	\$2,105,356	\$2,148,609	\$2,026,382	\$2,105,356	\$2,148,609	9%	4%
Goldendale	\$10,168,106	\$10,560,446	\$10,514,520	\$10,736,287	\$615,041	\$1,472,150	\$1,563,877	\$11,175,487	\$11,986,669	\$12,300,163	\$11,175,487	\$11,986,669	\$12,300,163	21%	15%
Grand Coulee Dar	\$7,866,395	\$8,258,955	\$8,366,519	\$8,535,035	\$575,718	\$1,075,965	\$1,164,908	\$8,834,673	\$9,442,484	\$9,699,943	\$8,834,673	\$9,442,484	\$9,699,943	23%	14%
Grandview	\$35,765,336	\$37,927,689	\$38,269,159	\$39,148,739	\$5,121,779	\$9,248,323	\$9,731,770	\$43,049,468	\$47,517,482	\$48,880,509	\$43,049,468	\$47,517,482	\$48,880,509	37%	25%
Granger	\$15,294,036	\$16,155,017	\$16,310,950	\$16,673,428	\$1,652,029	\$3,229,128	\$3,423,737	\$17,807,046	\$19,540,079	\$20,097,164	\$17,807,046	\$19,540,079	\$20,097,164	31%	21%

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Est. 2016-17			Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML			Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)			Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact			% Chg 2020-21	% Chg 2020-21 To 2020-21 ML
	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	To 2016-17
Granite Falls	\$21,730,260	\$22,834,895	\$22,534,107	\$23,009,191	\$2,409,110	\$3,864,070	\$4,176,699	\$25,244,005	\$26,398,177	\$27,185,891	\$25,244,005	\$26,398,177	\$27,185,891	25%
Grapeview	\$2,312,733	\$2,473,290	\$2,476,283	\$2,520,795	\$216,263	\$396,506	\$432,027	\$2,689,553	\$2,872,788	\$2,952,822	\$2,689,553	\$2,872,788	\$2,952,822	28%
Great Northern	\$639,598	\$665,366	\$673,074	\$681,676	\$31,061	\$59,367	\$66,106	\$696,427	\$732,441	\$747,782	\$696,427	\$732,441	\$747,782	17%
Green Mountain	\$1,756,074	\$1,959,870	\$1,993,152	\$2,029,786	\$45,540	\$136,903	\$178,660	\$2,005,410	\$2,130,054	\$2,208,447	\$2,005,410	\$2,130,054	\$2,208,447	26%
Griffin	\$7,638,250	\$8,143,393	\$8,081,083	\$8,235,210	\$81,389	\$559,395	\$645,999	\$8,224,781	\$8,640,478	\$8,881,209	\$8,224,781	\$8,640,478	\$8,881,209	16%
Harrington	\$2,464,237	\$2,649,959	\$2,680,740	\$2,726,158	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,649,959	\$2,680,740	\$2,726,158	\$2,649,959	\$2,680,740	\$2,726,158	11%
Highland	\$12,682,090	\$13,391,452	\$13,554,045	\$13,842,298	\$744,554	\$1,724,461	\$1,862,810	\$14,136,007	\$15,278,506	\$15,705,107	\$14,136,007	\$15,278,506	\$15,705,107	24%
Highline	\$219,583,606	\$227,784,101	\$224,179,042	\$228,693,689	\$38,760,309	\$54,180,167	\$57,805,653	\$266,544,410	\$278,359,210	\$286,499,342	\$266,544,410	\$278,359,210	\$286,499,342	30%
Hockinson	\$18,510,351	\$19,727,064	\$19,622,390	\$20,014,034	\$1,472,885	\$2,755,905	\$2,987,896	\$21,199,950	\$22,378,295	\$23,001,930	\$21,199,950	\$22,378,295	\$23,001,930	24%
Hood Canal	\$4,459,175	\$4,545,589	\$4,450,566	\$4,525,342	\$58,139	\$148,151	\$201,603	\$4,603,728	\$4,598,717	\$4,726,944	\$4,603,728	\$4,598,717	\$4,726,944	6%
Hoquiam	\$17,210,778	\$18,162,623	\$18,129,187	\$18,521,058	\$909,464	\$1,926,643	\$2,137,733	\$19,072,087	\$20,055,829	\$20,658,790	\$19,072,087	\$20,055,829	\$20,658,790	20%
Inchelium	\$2,892,495	\$3,008,962	\$3,034,572	\$3,093,490	\$258,553	\$529,892	\$561,533	\$3,267,514	\$3,564,464	\$3,655,023	\$3,267,514	\$3,564,464	\$3,655,023	26%
Index	\$885,757	\$901,908	\$908,312	\$918,327	\$46,127	\$79,964	\$87,268	\$948,035	\$988,276	\$1,005,596	\$948,035	\$988,276	\$1,005,596	14%
Issaquah	\$196,033,392	\$208,023,500	\$206,660,266	\$210,939,448	\$41,692,001	\$68,411,048	\$71,725,141	\$249,715,502	\$275,071,314	\$282,664,588	\$249,715,502	\$275,071,314	\$282,664,588	44%
Kahlotus	\$1,862,273	\$1,945,823	\$1,978,091	\$2,009,346	\$21,683	\$179,827	\$189,996	\$1,967,506	\$2,157,918	\$2,199,342	\$1,967,506	\$2,157,918	\$2,199,342	18%
Kalama	\$9,221,069	\$9,940,105	\$10,021,339	\$10,223,672	\$757,998	\$1,725,067	\$1,904,576	\$10,698,103	\$11,746,406	\$12,128,247	\$10,698,103	\$11,746,406	\$12,128,247	32%
Keller	\$569,762	\$602,859	\$613,176	\$623,026	\$0	\$34,324	\$38,374	\$602,859	\$647,501	\$661,401	\$602,859	\$647,501	\$661,401	16%
Kelso	\$51,912,989	\$55,020,659	\$55,766,578	\$56,949,047	\$3,150,114	\$6,340,379	\$6,933,126	\$58,170,773	\$62,106,957	\$63,882,173	\$58,170,773	\$62,106,957	\$63,882,173	23%
Kennewick	\$185,242,880	\$197,687,414	\$200,309,872	\$204,700,659	\$12,415,551	\$26,512,472	\$28,709,250	\$210,102,965	\$226,822,344	\$233,409,909	\$210,102,965	\$226,822,344	\$233,409,909	26%
Kent	\$306,549,565	\$318,522,365	\$314,517,639	\$320,670,006	\$47,892,656	\$69,487,887	\$74,098,804	\$366,415,021	\$384,005,526	\$394,768,810	\$366,415,021	\$384,005,526	\$394,768,810	22%
Kettle Falls	\$9,579,139	\$10,193,344	\$10,335,282	\$10,563,151	\$595,680	\$1,316,040	\$1,417,633	\$10,789,023	\$11,651,322	\$11,980,785	\$10,789,023	\$11,651,322	\$11,980,785	25%
Kiona Benton	\$16,706,374	\$17,373,380	\$17,594,721	\$17,952,982	\$384,154	\$1,408,388	\$1,557,372	\$17,757,534	\$19,003,109	\$19,510,354	\$17,757,534	\$19,003,109	\$19,510,354	17%
Kittitas	\$7,188,337	\$7,669,525	\$7,783,618	\$7,932,601	\$392,128	\$993,111	\$1,128,802	\$8,061,653	\$8,776,729	\$9,061,403	\$8,061,653	\$8,776,729	\$9,061,403	26%
Klickitat	\$2,397,389	\$2,448,263	\$2,456,886	\$2,489,481	\$0	\$32,919	\$43,552	\$2,448,263	\$2,489,805	\$2,533,032	\$2,448,263	\$2,489,805	\$2,533,032	6%
La Conner	\$6,777,661	\$6,919,381	\$7,030,183	\$7,173,913	\$708,386	\$1,257,914	\$1,336,105	\$7,627,768	\$8,288,097	\$8,510,018	\$7,627,768	\$8,288,097	\$8,510,018	26%
Lacenter	\$17,307,578	\$18,746,163	\$19,028,818	\$19,418,944	\$656,601	\$1,787,524	\$1,965,679	\$19,402,764	\$20,816,342	\$21,384,623	\$19,402,764	\$20,816,342	\$21,384,623	24%
Lacrosse Joint	\$2,294,884	\$2,396,341	\$2,404,775	\$2,435,155	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,396,341	\$2,404,775	\$2,435,155	\$2,396,341	\$2,404,775	\$2,435,155	6%
Lake Chelan	\$16,265,251	\$17,291,903	\$17,614,428	\$17,968,004	\$1,304,316	\$2,802,087	\$2,944,803	\$18,596,219	\$20,416,515	\$20,912,806	\$18,596,219	\$20,416,515	\$20,912,806	29%
Lake Stevens	\$85,350,339	\$92,937,291	\$94,391,768	\$96,522,251	\$17,875,629	\$26,759,584	\$26,851,387	\$110,812,921	\$121,151,352	\$123,373,638	\$110,812,921	\$121,151,352	\$123,373,638	45%
Lake Washington	\$284,677,863	\$305,591,056	\$306,540,206	\$312,870,510	\$65,280,136	\$102,259,347	\$105,924,220	\$370,871,192	\$408,799,552	\$418,794,729	\$370,871,192	\$408,799,552	\$418,794,729	47%
Lakewood	\$24,415,482	\$25,959,872	\$25,787,449	\$26,347,393	\$3,506,236	\$5,635,292	\$5,980,453	\$29,466,108	\$31,422,742	\$32,327,847	\$29,466,108	\$31,422,742	\$32,327,847	32%
Lamont	\$713,133	\$752,670	\$754,737	\$763,691	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$752,670	\$754,737	\$763,691	\$752,670	\$754,737	\$763,691	7%
Liberty	\$5,623,031	\$5,901,137	\$5,857,524	\$5,968,212	\$254,323	\$696,403	\$792,622	\$6,155,460	\$6,553,927	\$6,760,834	\$6,155,460	\$6,553,927	\$6,760,834	20%
Lind	\$3,666,944	\$3,871,953	\$3,872,560	\$3,932,979	\$246,754	\$488,146	\$539,916	\$4,118,707	\$4,360,706	\$4,472,895	\$4,118,707	\$4,360,706	\$4,472,895	22%
Longview	\$73,592,864	\$76,808,188	\$77,229,474	\$78,783,833	\$3,651,466	\$7,717,768	\$8,532,645	\$80,459,654	\$84,947,242	\$87,316,478	\$80,459,654	\$84,947,242	\$87,316,478	19%
Loon Lake	\$1,666,107	\$1,796,243	\$1,835,522	\$1,877,188	\$188,336	\$357,729	\$370,709	\$1,984,579	\$2,193,251	\$2,247,897	\$1,984,579	\$2,193,251	\$2,247,897	35%
Lopez	\$3,800,142	\$3,973,304	\$3,962,063	\$4,028,258	\$458,608	\$763,305	\$813,657	\$4,431,912	\$4,725,368	\$4,841,915	\$4,431,912	\$4,725,368	\$4,841,915	27%
Lyle	\$3,479,578	\$3,719,045	\$3,773,246	\$3,840,162	\$170,974	\$406,815	\$446,160	\$3,890,019	\$4,180,061	\$4,286,323	\$3,890,019	\$4,180,061	\$4,286,323	23%
Lynden	\$31,691,196	\$34,527,450	\$35,103,088	\$35,872,573	\$3,073,729	\$5,520,094	\$5,920,316	\$37,601,179	\$40,623,182	\$41,792,890	\$37,601,179	\$40,623,182	\$41,792,890	32%
Mabton	\$9,442,882	\$9,991,477	\$10,041,643	\$10,253,812	\$1,141,323	\$2,104,453	\$2,144,348	\$11,132,800	\$12,146,096	\$12,398,160	\$11,132,800	\$12,146,096	\$12,398,160	31%
Mansfield	\$2,048,928	\$2,154,835	\$2,174,631	\$2,211,767	\$103,414	\$237,627	\$290,575	\$2,258,249	\$2,448,258	\$2,502,342	\$2,258,249	\$2,448,258	\$2,502,342	22%
Manson	\$8,173,736	\$8,647,613	\$8,798,065	\$8,963,476	\$717,785	\$1,442,832	\$1,526,549	\$9,365,398	\$10,240,897	\$10,490,025	\$9,365,398	\$10,240,897	\$10,490,025	28%
Mary M Knight	\$2,766,487	\$2,967,417	\$3,000,582	\$3,120,940	\$929,107	\$1,515,259	\$1,579,588	\$3,896,524	\$4,515,841	\$4,700,528	\$3,896,524	\$4,515,841	\$4,700,528	70%
Mary Walker	\$5,554,600	\$6,064,028	\$6,174,537	\$6,307,807	\$173,946	\$658,543	\$717,037	\$6,237,974	\$6,833,080	\$7,024,844	\$6,237,974	\$6,833,080	\$7,024,844	26%

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Est. 2016-17				Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML				Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)				Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact				% Chg 2020-21 To 2016-17	% Chg 2020-21 To 2020-21 ML
	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2020-21	2019-20	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2020-21	2019-20	2020-21	2019-20	2020-21	To 2016-17	To 2020-21 ML
Manysville	\$124,937,443	\$131,204,696	\$129,989,174	\$132,692,519	\$129,989,174	\$131,204,696	\$132,692,519	\$129,989,174	\$132,692,519	\$23,215,526	\$14,371,609	\$24,095,991	\$153,204,700	\$156,788,510	\$153,204,700	\$156,788,510	25%	18%
Mc Cleary	\$3,549,564	\$3,853,175	\$3,883,975	\$3,964,379	\$3,883,975	\$3,853,175	\$3,964,379	\$3,883,975	\$3,964,379	\$138,337	\$20,206	\$180,897	\$4,022,312	\$4,145,276	\$4,022,312	\$4,145,276	17%	5%
Mead	\$102,574,599	\$107,849,334	\$107,152,999	\$109,432,614	\$107,152,999	\$107,849,334	\$109,432,614	\$107,152,999	\$109,432,614	\$12,622,949	\$5,732,965	\$13,101,691	\$119,775,948	\$122,534,305	\$119,775,948	\$122,534,305	19%	12%
Medical Lake	\$18,053,012	\$19,393,969	\$19,637,938	\$20,076,872	\$19,637,938	\$19,393,969	\$20,076,872	\$19,637,938	\$20,076,872	\$3,669,408	\$1,772,157	\$3,881,131	\$23,307,346	\$23,958,002	\$23,307,346	\$23,958,002	33%	19%
Mercer Island	\$46,794,915	\$48,936,257	\$48,402,516	\$49,330,303	\$48,402,516	\$48,936,257	\$49,330,303	\$48,402,516	\$49,330,303	\$11,175,900	\$6,779,984	\$11,970,349	\$59,578,416	\$61,300,652	\$59,578,416	\$61,300,652	31%	24%
Meridian	\$18,037,684	\$19,094,188	\$18,923,312	\$19,311,404	\$18,923,312	\$19,094,188	\$19,311,404	\$18,923,312	\$19,311,404	\$2,583,123	\$1,441,268	\$2,812,038	\$21,506,435	\$22,123,442	\$21,506,435	\$22,123,442	23%	15%
Methow Valley	\$7,079,612	\$7,488,836	\$7,476,570	\$7,621,900	\$7,476,570	\$7,488,836	\$7,621,900	\$7,476,570	\$7,621,900	\$1,197,194	\$479,018	\$1,285,620	\$8,673,763	\$8,907,520	\$8,673,763	\$8,907,520	26%	17%
Mill A	\$585,059	\$602,248	\$610,129	\$618,215	\$610,129	\$602,248	\$618,215	\$610,129	\$618,215	\$131,628	\$73,455	\$135,182	\$741,757	\$753,396	\$741,757	\$753,396	29%	22%
Monroe	\$70,355,218	\$73,639,008	\$73,152,874	\$74,678,802	\$73,152,874	\$73,639,008	\$74,678,802	\$73,152,874	\$74,678,802	\$16,005,057	\$10,291,521	\$17,000,041	\$89,157,931	\$91,678,843	\$89,157,931	\$91,678,843	30%	23%
Montesano	\$13,975,541	\$15,145,680	\$15,212,513	\$15,546,514	\$15,212,513	\$15,145,680	\$15,546,514	\$15,212,513	\$15,546,514	\$1,249,260	\$305,054	\$1,407,984	\$16,461,772	\$16,954,498	\$16,461,772	\$16,954,498	21%	9%
Morton	\$3,862,962	\$4,093,769	\$4,161,972	\$4,245,396	\$4,161,972	\$4,093,769	\$4,245,396	\$4,161,972	\$4,245,396	\$462,720	\$223,424	\$499,152	\$4,624,692	\$4,744,547	\$4,624,692	\$4,744,547	23%	12%
Moses Lake	\$92,295,549	\$97,162,175	\$96,950,122	\$99,006,494	\$96,950,122	\$97,162,175	\$99,006,494	\$96,950,122	\$99,006,494	\$12,936,757	\$7,095,193	\$13,419,642	\$109,886,880	\$112,426,137	\$109,886,880	\$112,426,137	22%	14%
Mossyrock	\$5,909,910	\$6,251,555	\$6,362,736	\$6,493,462	\$6,362,736	\$6,251,555	\$6,493,462	\$6,362,736	\$6,493,462	\$834,722	\$370,099	\$897,609	\$7,197,457	\$7,391,071	\$7,197,457	\$7,391,071	25%	14%
Mount Adams	\$8,622,049	\$9,363,590	\$9,563,085	\$9,782,140	\$9,563,085	\$9,363,590	\$9,782,140	\$9,563,085	\$9,782,140	\$2,109,480	\$1,146,065	\$2,195,806	\$11,672,565	\$11,977,945	\$11,672,565	\$11,977,945	39%	22%
Mount Baker	\$21,560,450	\$22,399,742	\$22,088,509	\$22,528,130	\$22,088,509	\$22,399,742	\$22,528,130	\$22,088,509	\$22,528,130	\$3,171,114	\$1,877,319	\$3,449,560	\$25,259,622	\$25,977,691	\$25,259,622	\$25,977,691	20%	15%
Mount Pleasant	\$636,154	\$614,271	\$625,286	\$636,604	\$625,286	\$614,271	\$636,604	\$625,286	\$636,604	\$137,791	\$137,791	\$225,055	\$844,057	\$861,659	\$844,057	\$861,659	35%	35%
Mt Vernon	\$76,292,672	\$79,339,091	\$78,865,797	\$80,458,883	\$78,865,797	\$79,339,091	\$80,458,883	\$78,865,797	\$80,458,883	\$14,741,539	\$9,567,076	\$15,836,692	\$93,607,336	\$96,295,575	\$93,607,336	\$96,295,575	26%	20%
Mukilteo	\$171,215,424	\$178,796,942	\$177,807,309	\$181,522,905	\$177,807,309	\$178,796,942	\$181,522,905	\$177,807,309	\$181,522,905	\$49,338,868	\$32,591,641	\$50,851,167	\$227,146,177	\$232,374,072	\$227,146,177	\$232,374,072	36%	28%
Naches Valley	\$14,277,487	\$15,001,248	\$14,992,754	\$15,288,685	\$14,992,754	\$15,001,248	\$15,288,685	\$14,992,754	\$15,288,685	\$1,250,559	\$461,218	\$1,406,015	\$16,243,314	\$16,694,700	\$16,243,314	\$16,694,700	17%	9%
Napavine	\$8,026,857	\$8,524,203	\$8,625,760	\$8,816,066	\$8,625,760	\$8,524,203	\$8,816,066	\$8,625,760	\$8,816,066	\$1,242,156	\$543,939	\$1,328,541	\$9,867,915	\$10,144,607	\$9,867,915	\$10,144,607	26%	15%
Naselle Grays Riv	\$5,260,300	\$5,543,277	\$5,607,639	\$5,705,579	\$5,607,639	\$5,543,277	\$5,705,579	\$5,607,639	\$5,705,579	\$345,075	\$64,232	\$385,848	\$5,952,713	\$6,091,427	\$5,952,713	\$6,091,427	16%	7%
Nespelem	\$1,530,254	\$1,618,012	\$1,616,683	\$1,652,298	\$1,616,683	\$1,618,012	\$1,652,298	\$1,616,683	\$1,652,298	\$257,698	\$115,219	\$276,471	\$1,874,382	\$1,928,768	\$1,874,382	\$1,928,768	26%	17%
Newport	\$11,068,251	\$11,811,921	\$11,992,611	\$12,251,609	\$11,992,611	\$11,811,921	\$12,251,609	\$11,992,611	\$12,251,609	\$1,379,442	\$556,752	\$1,499,832	\$13,372,052	\$13,751,441	\$13,372,052	\$13,751,441	24%	12%
Nine Mile Falls	\$15,449,544	\$16,505,870	\$16,497,111	\$16,822,767	\$16,497,111	\$16,505,870	\$16,822,767	\$16,497,111	\$16,822,767	\$985,579	\$185,818	\$1,149,985	\$17,482,690	\$17,972,752	\$17,482,690	\$17,972,752	16%	7%
Nooksack Valley	\$18,773,056	\$19,646,637	\$19,574,456	\$19,987,289	\$19,574,456	\$19,646,637	\$19,987,289	\$19,574,456	\$19,987,289	\$2,758,747	\$1,523,553	\$3,003,814	\$22,333,203	\$22,991,103	\$22,333,203	\$22,991,103	22%	15%
North Beach	\$7,750,523	\$8,149,385	\$8,169,546	\$8,326,341	\$8,169,546	\$8,149,385	\$8,326,341	\$8,169,546	\$8,326,341	\$2,535,882	\$763,732	\$1,644,428	\$9,705,427	\$9,970,769	\$9,705,427	\$9,970,769	29%	20%
North Franklin	\$21,445,088	\$22,833,373	\$23,140,977	\$23,648,324	\$23,140,977	\$22,833,373	\$23,648,324	\$23,140,977	\$23,648,324	\$4,663,407	\$2,521,534	\$4,896,298	\$27,804,384	\$28,544,621	\$27,804,384	\$28,544,621	33%	21%
North Kitsap	\$66,783,116	\$69,912,105	\$69,380,055	\$70,752,255	\$69,380,055	\$69,912,105	\$70,752,255	\$69,380,055	\$70,752,255	\$12,134,011	\$7,614,023	\$13,120,436	\$81,514,066	\$83,872,691	\$81,514,066	\$83,872,691	26%	19%
North Mason	\$22,722,329	\$24,204,935	\$24,697,683	\$25,218,892	\$24,697,683	\$24,204,935	\$25,218,892	\$24,697,683	\$25,218,892	\$3,137,084	\$1,445,891	\$3,382,310	\$27,834,768	\$28,601,202	\$27,834,768	\$28,601,202	26%	13%
North River	\$1,666,144	\$1,732,431	\$1,762,645	\$1,793,631	\$1,762,645	\$1,732,431	\$1,793,631	\$1,762,645	\$1,793,631	\$377,921	\$176,920	\$389,428	\$2,140,566	\$2,183,059	\$2,140,566	\$2,183,059	31%	22%
North Thurston	\$156,407,719	\$165,778,339	\$164,077,222	\$167,503,002	\$164,077,222	\$165,778,339	\$167,503,002	\$164,077,222	\$167,503,002	\$22,676,791	\$12,078,567	\$23,597,467	\$186,754,013	\$191,100,469	\$186,754,013	\$191,100,469	22%	14%
Northport	\$2,933,008	\$3,096,001	\$3,147,224	\$3,211,587	\$3,147,224	\$3,096,001	\$3,211,587	\$3,147,224	\$3,211,587	\$514,091	\$238,149	\$524,707	\$3,661,315	\$3,736,293	\$3,661,315	\$3,736,293	27%	16%
Northshore	\$217,921,981	\$235,261,431	\$237,256,014	\$242,207,363	\$237,256,014	\$235,261,431	\$242,207,363	\$237,256,014	\$242,207,363	\$58,865,541	\$33,877,858	\$63,913,464	\$296,121,556	\$306,120,827	\$296,121,556	\$306,120,827	40%	26%
Oak Harbor	\$57,338,437	\$62,264,131	\$63,172,318	\$64,559,567	\$63,172,318	\$62,264,131	\$64,559,567	\$63,172,318	\$64,559,567	\$13,834,634	\$8,386,272	\$14,672,900	\$77,006,952	\$79,232,467	\$77,006,952	\$79,232,467	38%	23%
Oakesdale	\$2,512,832	\$2,663,677	\$2,603,429	\$2,643,377	\$2,603,429	\$2,512,832	\$2,643,377	\$2,603,429	\$2,643,377	\$49,806	\$0	\$69,751	\$2,653,236	\$2,713,128	\$2,653,236	\$2,713,128	8%	3%
Oakville	\$2,795,681	\$2,956,748	\$2,968,764	\$3,029,586	\$2,968,764	\$2,956,748	\$3,029,586	\$2,968,764	\$3,029,586	\$336,163	\$130,558	\$367,787	\$3,304,927	\$3,397,373	\$3,304,927	\$3,397,373	22%	12%
Ocean Beach	\$12,428,691	\$12,924,029	\$12,832,033	\$13,078,940	\$12,832,033	\$12,924,029	\$13,078,940	\$12,832,033	\$13,078,940	\$1,919,281	\$948,227	\$1,971,943	\$14,751,315	\$15,050,884	\$14,751,315	\$15,050,884	21%	15%
Ocosta	\$7,373,014	\$7,777,020	\$7,821,663	\$7,968,013	\$7,821,663	\$7,777,020	\$7,968,013	\$7,821,663	\$7,968,013	\$667,904	\$222,772	\$752,452	\$8,489,567	\$8,720,465	\$8,489,567	\$8,720,465	18%	9%
Odessa	\$3,445,175	\$3,677,861	\$3,731,828	\$3,802,281	\$3,731,828	\$3,445,175	\$3,802,281	\$3,731,828	\$3,802,281	\$186,450	\$0	\$220,639	\$3,918,278	\$4,022,920	\$3,918,278	\$4,022,920	17%	6%
Okanogan	\$11,079,971	\$11,737,500	\$11,876,941	\$12,147,358	\$11,876,941	\$11,079,971	\$12,147,358	\$11,876,941	\$12,147,358	\$1,561,516	\$603,911	\$1,677,267	\$13,438,457	\$13,824,625	\$13,438,457	\$13,824,625	25%	14%
Olympia	\$103,281,639	\$109,891,432	\$109,004,445	\$111,311,627	\$109,004,445	\$103,281,639	\$111,311,627	\$109,004,445	\$111,311,627	\$9,670,519	\$3,141,148	\$10,695,805	\$118,674,964	\$122,007,432	\$118,674,964	\$122,007,432	18%	10%
Omak	\$44,474,039	\$47,035,788	\$47,566,622	\$48,760,516	\$47,566,622	\$44,474,039	\$48,760,516	\$47,566,622	\$48,760,516	\$11,567,703	\$6,402,382	\$12,120,639	\$59,134,326	\$60,881,155	\$59,134,326	\$60,881,155	37%	25%
Onalaska	\$8,054,051	\$8,518,961	\$8,654,506	\$8,838,694	\$8,654,506	\$8,054,051	\$8,838,694	\$8,654,506	\$8,838,694	\$1,268,198	\$605,911	\$1,356,458	\$9,922,704	\$10,195,152	\$9,922,704	\$10,195,152	27%	15%
Onion Creek	\$781,797	\$799,714	\$803,292	\$815,499	\$803,292	\$781,797	\$815,499	\$803,292	\$815,499	\$25,806	\$0	\$30,685	\$829,098	\$846,185	\$829,098	\$846,185	8%	4%

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Est. 2016-17	Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML				Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)				Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact				% Chg 2020-21 To 2016-17	% Chg 2020-21 To 2020-21 ML
	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	To 2016-17	To 2020-21 ML
Orcas	\$8,279,028	\$8,611,789	\$8,614,038	\$8,782,424	\$8,782,424	\$1,144,484	\$2,081,614	\$2,203,169	\$2,203,169	\$9,756,273	\$10,695,652	\$10,985,593	\$10,985,593	33%	25%
Orchard Prairie	\$778,658	\$853,629	\$866,386	\$879,905	\$879,905	\$86,003	\$167,219	\$173,289	\$173,289	\$939,632	\$1,033,605	\$1,053,194	\$1,053,194	35%	20%
Orient	\$917,887	\$1,009,930	\$1,023,340	\$1,038,851	\$1,038,851	\$64,666	\$164,010	\$167,543	\$167,543	\$1,074,596	\$1,187,351	\$1,206,394	\$1,206,394	31%	16%
Orondo	\$2,680,070	\$2,858,144	\$2,905,335	\$2,956,984	\$2,956,984	\$0	\$0	\$10,124	\$10,124	\$2,858,144	\$2,905,335	\$2,967,108	\$2,967,108	11%	0%
Oroville	\$6,367,280	\$6,754,336	\$6,865,530	\$7,002,576	\$7,002,576	\$339,749	\$744,213	\$796,960	\$796,960	\$7,094,085	\$7,609,743	\$7,799,536	\$7,799,536	22%	11%
Orting	\$25,365,179	\$27,571,754	\$27,864,112	\$28,449,357	\$28,449,357	\$2,359,503	\$4,208,736	\$4,566,881	\$4,566,881	\$29,931,257	\$32,072,848	\$33,016,238	\$33,016,238	30%	16%
Othello	\$41,736,017	\$44,586,768	\$45,161,704	\$46,221,367	\$46,221,367	\$4,950,131	\$9,474,884	\$10,025,092	\$10,025,092	\$49,536,898	\$54,636,588	\$56,246,459	\$56,246,459	35%	22%
Palisades	\$509,138	\$524,857	\$532,583	\$540,890	\$540,890	\$72,620	\$114,015	\$119,817	\$119,817	\$597,477	\$646,598	\$660,707	\$660,707	30%	22%
Palouse	\$2,733,878	\$2,997,768	\$3,032,767	\$3,088,721	\$3,088,721	\$0	\$63	\$25,233	\$25,233	\$2,997,768	\$3,032,831	\$3,113,954	\$3,113,954	14%	1%
Pasco	\$184,326,145	\$197,070,991	\$199,329,450	\$203,526,055	\$203,526,055	\$15,982,157	\$28,718,115	\$31,026,193	\$31,026,193	\$213,053,148	\$228,047,565	\$234,552,248	\$234,552,248	27%	15%
Pateros	\$4,070,758	\$4,290,048	\$4,345,667	\$4,430,669	\$4,430,669	\$102,325	\$325,619	\$364,809	\$364,809	\$4,392,372	\$4,671,286	\$4,795,479	\$4,795,479	18%	8%
Paterson	\$1,625,206	\$1,759,634	\$1,794,020	\$1,830,504	\$1,830,504	\$167,334	\$332,832	\$344,664	\$344,664	\$1,926,967	\$2,126,852	\$2,175,167	\$2,175,167	34%	19%
Pe Ell	\$3,805,505	\$4,028,230	\$4,079,187	\$4,161,095	\$4,161,095	\$0	\$186,303	\$217,026	\$217,026	\$4,028,230	\$4,265,489	\$4,378,121	\$4,378,121	15%	5%
Peninsula	\$92,956,145	\$98,169,684	\$97,881,939	\$99,936,106	\$99,936,106	\$11,149,443	\$20,885,199	\$22,822,198	\$22,822,198	\$109,319,127	\$118,767,138	\$122,758,305	\$122,758,305	32%	23%
Pioneer	\$8,884,182	\$9,305,309	\$9,350,213	\$9,517,714	\$9,517,714	\$218,331	\$584,035	\$700,573	\$700,573	\$9,523,640	\$9,934,248	\$10,218,287	\$10,218,287	15%	7%
Pomeroy	\$4,284,405	\$4,463,826	\$4,483,958	\$4,567,382	\$4,567,382	\$18,945	\$308,047	\$352,780	\$352,780	\$4,482,772	\$4,792,005	\$4,920,162	\$4,920,162	15%	8%
Port Angeles	\$40,737,080	\$42,826,363	\$43,100,937	\$44,001,004	\$44,001,004	\$2,751,257	\$5,246,950	\$5,460,711	\$5,460,711	\$45,577,620	\$48,347,886	\$49,461,715	\$49,461,715	21%	12%
Port Townsend	\$13,138,348	\$13,638,144	\$13,517,216	\$13,792,063	\$13,792,063	\$1,208,212	\$2,504,646	\$2,686,843	\$2,686,843	\$14,846,356	\$16,021,862	\$16,478,905	\$16,478,905	25%	19%
Prescott	\$4,022,664	\$4,259,161	\$4,329,393	\$4,410,673	\$4,410,673	\$255,984	\$617,030	\$663,615	\$663,615	\$4,515,145	\$4,946,422	\$5,074,288	\$5,074,288	26%	15%
Prosser	\$30,075,039	\$31,760,088	\$32,195,501	\$32,878,058	\$32,878,058	\$961,546	\$3,063,972	\$3,367,339	\$3,367,339	\$32,721,634	\$35,259,473	\$36,245,396	\$36,245,396	21%	10%
Pullman	\$26,390,343	\$29,623,177	\$30,133,992	\$30,783,519	\$30,783,519	\$1,349,902	\$3,180,834	\$3,497,575	\$3,497,575	\$30,973,080	\$33,314,826	\$34,281,094	\$34,281,094	30%	11%
Puyallup	\$244,282,038	\$258,566,744	\$256,420,330	\$261,672,387	\$261,672,387	\$15,680,669	\$31,228,451	\$34,077,524	\$34,077,524	\$274,247,412	\$287,648,781	\$295,749,911	\$295,749,911	21%	13%
Queets-Clearwater	\$645,209	\$668,240	\$674,491	\$684,317	\$684,317	\$0	\$20,975	\$24,628	\$24,628	\$668,240	\$695,466	\$708,945	\$708,945	10%	4%
Quilcene	\$5,567,966	\$5,892,796	\$6,009,199	\$6,147,994	\$6,147,994	\$826,114	\$1,493,134	\$1,568,231	\$1,568,231	\$6,718,910	\$7,502,333	\$7,716,226	\$7,716,226	39%	26%
Quillayute Valley	\$26,574,266	\$28,124,855	\$28,584,266	\$29,241,919	\$29,241,919	\$4,387,429	\$7,603,708	\$8,047,122	\$8,047,122	\$32,512,284	\$36,187,974	\$37,289,041	\$37,289,041	40%	28%
Quinalt	\$2,986,702	\$3,151,795	\$3,151,252	\$3,205,279	\$3,205,279	\$34,876	\$172,458	\$201,486	\$201,486	\$3,186,671	\$3,323,710	\$3,406,766	\$3,406,766	14%	6%
Quincy	\$32,337,330	\$34,396,241	\$34,954,001	\$35,649,886	\$35,649,886	\$3,335,616	\$6,509,453	\$7,179,011	\$7,179,011	\$37,731,857	\$41,463,454	\$42,828,897	\$42,828,897	32%	20%
Rainier	\$8,438,833	\$8,813,681	\$8,789,242	\$8,970,317	\$8,970,317	\$393,213	\$913,712	\$1,013,249	\$1,013,249	\$9,206,893	\$9,702,955	\$9,983,566	\$9,983,566	18%	11%
Raymond	\$6,832,297	\$7,184,767	\$7,241,320	\$7,385,636	\$7,385,636	\$456,675	\$895,536	\$969,622	\$969,622	\$7,641,443	\$8,136,856	\$8,355,258	\$8,355,258	22%	13%
Reardan	\$6,318,873	\$6,899,286	\$7,013,984	\$7,157,026	\$7,157,026	\$0	\$247,727	\$339,661	\$339,661	\$6,899,286	\$7,261,711	\$7,496,688	\$7,496,688	19%	5%
Renton	\$175,406,548	\$183,758,320	\$182,398,313	\$186,008,852	\$186,008,852	\$32,120,979	\$48,535,521	\$51,075,909	\$51,075,909	\$215,879,299	\$230,933,833	\$237,084,761	\$237,084,761	35%	27%
Republic	\$3,933,033	\$4,200,368	\$4,273,830	\$4,367,603	\$4,367,603	\$185,481	\$568,767	\$607,372	\$607,372	\$4,385,850	\$4,842,598	\$4,974,975	\$4,974,975	26%	14%
Richland	\$133,848,052	\$142,355,474	\$143,704,264	\$146,647,772	\$146,647,772	\$10,984,914	\$20,858,145	\$21,563,841	\$21,563,841	\$153,340,387	\$164,562,408	\$168,211,613	\$168,211,613	26%	15%
Ridgefield	\$25,054,854	\$27,740,878	\$27,921,629	\$28,531,931	\$28,531,931	\$2,382,649	\$4,830,096	\$5,330,282	\$5,330,282	\$30,123,526	\$32,751,725	\$33,862,214	\$33,862,214	35%	19%
Ritzville	\$4,043,757	\$4,444,102	\$4,497,693	\$4,579,807	\$4,579,807	\$0	\$36,197	\$100,584	\$100,584	\$4,444,102	\$4,533,889	\$4,680,391	\$4,680,391	16%	2%
Riverside	\$16,267,712	\$17,103,265	\$17,252,735	\$17,579,907	\$17,579,907	\$319,957	\$1,055,778	\$1,224,535	\$1,224,535	\$17,423,222	\$18,308,513	\$18,804,442	\$18,804,442	16%	7%
Riverview	\$33,887,433	\$35,741,592	\$35,526,713	\$36,255,763	\$36,255,763	\$5,571,625	\$8,882,921	\$9,426,833	\$9,426,833	\$41,313,217	\$44,409,634	\$45,682,596	\$45,682,596	35%	26%
Rochester	\$23,751,927	\$25,021,848	\$25,178,682	\$25,689,614	\$25,689,614	\$1,084,135	\$2,371,761	\$2,643,866	\$2,643,866	\$26,105,984	\$27,550,443	\$28,333,479	\$28,333,479	19%	10%
Roosevelt	\$436,919	\$445,582	\$452,410	\$459,420	\$459,420	\$113,424	\$170,923	\$175,292	\$175,292	\$559,006	\$623,333	\$634,711	\$634,711	45%	38%
Rosalia	\$3,143,527	\$3,321,937	\$3,324,136	\$3,379,551	\$3,379,551	\$0	\$157,139	\$225,235	\$225,235	\$3,321,937	\$3,481,275	\$3,604,786	\$3,604,786	15%	7%
Royal	\$16,926,063	\$17,967,430	\$18,210,239	\$18,622,722	\$18,622,722	\$2,156,926	\$4,037,803	\$4,118,312	\$4,118,312	\$20,124,356	\$22,248,042	\$22,741,034	\$22,741,034	34%	22%
San Juan	\$8,548,463	\$9,042,286	\$8,981,924	\$9,166,506	\$9,166,506	\$1,320,964	\$2,359,145	\$2,498,774	\$2,498,774	\$10,363,250	\$11,341,069	\$11,665,280	\$11,665,280	36%	27%
Satsop	\$758,356	\$847,546	\$848,772	\$861,574	\$861,574	\$0	\$20,823	\$26,820	\$26,820	\$847,546	\$869,595	\$888,395	\$888,395	17%	3%
Seattle	\$646,431,709	\$676,117,255	\$669,218,451	\$681,282,665	\$681,282,665	\$80,803,513	\$127,780,419	\$138,149,964	\$138,149,964	\$756,920,769	\$796,998,871	\$819,432,630	\$819,432,630	27%	20%

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML					Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)					Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact					% Chg 2020-21 To 2016-17	% Chg 2020-21 To 2020-21 ML
	Est. 2016-17	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	To 2016-17	ML	
Sedro Woolley	\$47,707,601	\$49,994,891	\$49,575,129	\$49,575,129	\$50,587,968	\$4,347,418	\$7,449,579	\$8,051,079	\$54,342,309	\$57,024,708	\$58,639,047	\$54,342,309	\$57,024,708	\$58,639,047	23%	16%	
Selah	\$38,674,791	\$40,913,064	\$41,418,757	\$41,418,757	\$42,272,892	\$2,041,473	\$4,435,594	\$4,856,253	\$42,954,538	\$45,854,351	\$47,129,144	\$42,954,538	\$45,854,351	\$47,129,144	22%	11%	
Selkirk	\$3,608,333	\$3,836,860	\$3,891,880	\$3,891,880	\$3,966,475	\$201,500	\$510,197	\$569,668	\$4,038,359	\$4,402,078	\$4,536,142	\$4,038,359	\$4,402,078	\$4,536,142	26%	14%	
Sequim	\$28,294,313	\$30,433,573	\$31,042,282	\$31,042,282	\$31,721,563	\$3,215,724	\$6,079,258	\$6,314,811	\$33,649,297	\$37,121,541	\$38,036,374	\$33,649,297	\$37,121,541	\$38,036,374	34%	20%	
Shaw	\$234,427	\$243,392	\$247,726	\$247,726	\$252,173	\$76,245	\$113,863	\$116,971	\$319,637	\$361,589	\$369,145	\$319,637	\$361,589	\$369,145	57%	46%	
Shelton	\$46,730,612	\$48,972,640	\$48,979,877	\$48,979,877	\$50,030,669	\$2,998,078	\$6,242,255	\$6,794,172	\$51,970,717	\$55,222,132	\$56,824,841	\$51,970,717	\$55,222,132	\$56,824,841	22%	14%	
Shoreline	\$99,293,206	\$106,770,811	\$107,407,021	\$107,407,021	\$109,574,707	\$17,598,683	\$27,710,207	\$28,754,643	\$124,369,494	\$135,117,228	\$138,329,350	\$124,369,494	\$135,117,228	\$138,329,350	39%	26%	
Skamania	\$890,777	\$954,198	\$968,475	\$968,475	\$983,144	\$70,853	\$160,359	\$165,341	\$1,025,050	\$1,128,834	\$1,148,485	\$1,025,050	\$1,128,834	\$1,148,485	29%	17%	
Skykomish	\$1,878,727	\$1,954,761	\$1,986,388	\$1,986,388	\$2,017,121	\$281,845	\$423,215	\$443,463	\$2,236,606	\$2,409,602	\$2,460,584	\$2,236,606	\$2,409,602	\$2,460,584	31%	22%	
Snohomish	\$106,604,148	\$112,189,777	\$111,501,606	\$111,501,606	\$113,807,483	\$17,514,520	\$26,374,387	\$26,649,125	\$129,704,298	\$137,875,992	\$140,456,608	\$129,704,298	\$137,875,992	\$140,456,608	32%	23%	
Snoqualmie Valley	\$68,920,835	\$73,389,157	\$72,968,085	\$72,968,085	\$74,495,455	\$12,860,075	\$20,347,997	\$21,498,184	\$86,249,233	\$93,316,082	\$95,993,639	\$86,249,233	\$93,316,082	\$95,993,639	39%	29%	
Soap Lake	\$5,991,040	\$6,271,023	\$6,337,732	\$6,337,732	\$6,456,206	\$434,227	\$778,081	\$844,295	\$6,705,250	\$7,115,814	\$7,300,502	\$6,705,250	\$7,115,814	\$7,300,502	22%	13%	
South Bend	\$7,389,533	\$7,787,917	\$7,880,947	\$7,880,947	\$8,033,675	\$394,159	\$908,662	\$979,471	\$8,182,076	\$8,789,609	\$9,013,147	\$8,182,076	\$8,789,609	\$9,013,147	22%	12%	
South Kitsap	\$103,348,141	\$109,350,928	\$109,378,664	\$109,378,664	\$111,670,295	\$16,153,661	\$24,040,563	\$25,690,551	\$125,504,589	\$133,419,227	\$137,360,846	\$125,504,589	\$133,419,227	\$137,360,846	33%	23%	
South Whidbey	\$14,920,489	\$15,700,283	\$15,583,230	\$15,583,230	\$15,908,358	\$2,511,196	\$4,295,726	\$4,352,199	\$18,211,479	\$19,878,956	\$20,260,557	\$18,211,479	\$19,878,956	\$20,260,557	36%	27%	
Southside	\$2,219,565	\$2,439,900	\$2,402,164	\$2,402,164	\$2,452,108	\$0	\$44,687	\$74,917	\$2,439,900	\$2,446,851	\$2,527,026	\$2,439,900	\$2,446,851	\$2,527,026	14%	3%	
Spokane	\$341,558,600	\$357,803,010	\$355,511,610	\$355,511,610	\$362,559,088	\$23,847,417	\$43,397,210	\$45,150,610	\$381,650,427	\$398,908,820	\$407,709,698	\$381,650,427	\$398,908,820	\$407,709,698	19%	12%	
Sprague	\$1,832,408	\$1,927,261	\$1,927,979	\$1,927,979	\$1,956,428	\$45,004	\$157,143	\$175,073	\$1,952,265	\$2,085,122	\$2,131,501	\$1,952,265	\$2,085,122	\$2,131,501	16%	9%	
St John	\$2,696,825	\$2,976,453	\$3,017,652	\$3,017,652	\$3,070,614	\$74,761	\$263,129	\$298,016	\$3,051,214	\$3,280,781	\$3,368,630	\$3,051,214	\$3,280,781	\$3,368,630	25%	10%	
Stanwood-Caman	\$47,455,425	\$50,613,677	\$50,289,972	\$50,289,972	\$51,363,369	\$6,704,964	\$11,437,503	\$11,899,240	\$57,318,642	\$61,727,475	\$63,262,609	\$57,318,642	\$61,727,475	\$63,262,609	33%	23%	
Star	\$365,428	\$377,377	\$383,334	\$383,334	\$389,439	\$34,404	\$77,030	\$78,986	\$411,780	\$460,364	\$468,426	\$411,780	\$460,364	\$468,426	28%	20%	
Starbuck	\$511,732	\$530,741	\$540,321	\$540,321	\$550,142	\$36,465	\$99,941	\$102,785	\$567,206	\$640,262	\$652,927	\$567,206	\$640,262	\$652,927	28%	19%	
Stehekin	\$275,353	\$286,416	\$291,354	\$291,354	\$296,411	\$0	\$29,784	\$28,660	\$286,416	\$321,138	\$325,071	\$286,416	\$321,138	\$325,071	18%	10%	
Stellacoom Hist.	\$33,032,166	\$35,561,898	\$35,952,627	\$35,952,627	\$36,653,283	\$2,346,601	\$4,739,223	\$5,205,759	\$37,908,499	\$40,691,850	\$41,859,042	\$37,908,499	\$40,691,850	\$41,859,042	27%	14%	
Steptoe	\$675,693	\$712,270	\$718,390	\$718,390	\$728,817	\$0	\$36,357	\$45,463	\$712,270	\$754,748	\$774,280	\$712,270	\$754,748	\$774,280	15%	6%	
Stevenson-Carson	\$8,332,648	\$8,978,956	\$9,182,732	\$9,182,732	\$9,410,947	\$797,668	\$1,772,407	\$1,865,724	\$9,776,624	\$10,955,139	\$11,276,671	\$9,776,624	\$10,955,139	\$11,276,671	35%	20%	
Sultan	\$21,985,654	\$22,807,614	\$22,583,511	\$22,583,511	\$23,051,160	\$3,150,324	\$4,749,545	\$5,078,147	\$25,957,938	\$27,333,056	\$28,129,307	\$25,957,938	\$27,333,056	\$28,129,307	28%	22%	
Summit Valley	\$730,099	\$788,812	\$795,823	\$795,823	\$808,306	\$45,422	\$109,306	\$113,315	\$834,235	\$905,129	\$921,620	\$834,235	\$905,129	\$921,620	26%	14%	
Sumner	\$97,948,564	\$103,994,636	\$103,561,281	\$103,561,281	\$105,679,443	\$10,715,075	\$18,118,408	\$19,434,785	\$114,709,710	\$121,679,690	\$125,114,228	\$114,709,710	\$121,679,690	\$125,114,228	28%	18%	
Sunnyside	\$66,908,405	\$71,120,154	\$71,765,271	\$71,765,271	\$73,410,570	\$7,391,506	\$14,663,821	\$15,513,327	\$78,511,660	\$86,429,092	\$88,923,897	\$78,511,660	\$86,429,092	\$88,923,897	33%	21%	
Tacoma	\$346,438,631	\$366,625,135	\$367,168,353	\$367,168,353	\$373,790,224	\$18,794,147	\$28,580,198	\$33,051,631	\$385,419,282	\$395,748,551	\$406,841,855	\$385,419,282	\$395,748,551	\$406,841,855	17%	9%	
Taholah	\$2,576,561	\$2,679,277	\$2,686,155	\$2,686,155	\$2,735,811	\$160,902	\$338,322	\$366,169	\$2,840,179	\$3,024,477	\$3,101,980	\$2,840,179	\$3,024,477	\$3,101,980	20%	13%	
Tahoma	\$81,273,189	\$87,337,579	\$88,080,859	\$88,080,859	\$89,910,725	\$9,533,177	\$15,668,928	\$16,846,040	\$96,870,756	\$103,749,786	\$106,756,765	\$96,870,756	\$103,749,786	\$106,756,765	31%	19%	
Tekoa	\$3,364,007	\$3,547,831	\$3,573,089	\$3,573,089	\$3,632,862	\$0	\$103,578	\$127,958	\$3,547,831	\$3,676,668	\$3,760,821	\$3,547,831	\$3,676,668	\$3,760,821	12%	4%	
Tenino	\$12,480,176	\$13,043,813	\$12,928,492	\$12,928,492	\$13,197,453	\$629,909	\$1,354,594	\$1,502,677	\$13,673,722	\$14,283,086	\$14,700,130	\$13,673,722	\$14,283,086	\$14,700,130	18%	11%	
Thorp	\$2,562,188	\$2,648,961	\$2,639,068	\$2,639,068	\$2,686,662	\$141,866	\$330,656	\$359,790	\$2,790,827	\$2,969,724	\$3,046,452	\$2,790,827	\$2,969,724	\$3,046,452	19%	13%	
Toledo	\$7,781,357	\$8,241,264	\$8,368,734	\$8,368,734	\$8,551,067	\$430,272	\$1,078,068	\$1,158,055	\$8,671,536	\$9,446,801	\$9,709,122	\$8,671,536	\$9,446,801	\$9,709,122	25%	14%	
Tonasket	\$11,924,514	\$12,662,335	\$12,825,658	\$12,825,658	\$13,103,386	\$830,149	\$1,688,521	\$1,817,392	\$13,492,484	\$14,514,179	\$14,920,779	\$13,492,484	\$14,514,179	\$14,920,779	25%	14%	
Toppenish	\$40,354,803	\$42,645,925	\$43,070,551	\$43,070,551	\$44,073,180	\$5,607,985	\$10,260,099	\$10,808,303	\$48,253,910	\$53,330,650	\$54,881,483	\$48,253,910	\$53,330,650	\$54,881,483	36%	25%	
Touchet	\$3,498,900	\$3,642,042	\$3,660,996	\$3,660,996	\$3,722,775	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,642,042	\$3,660,996	\$3,722,775	\$3,642,042	\$3,660,996	\$3,722,775	6%	0%	
Toutle Lake	\$6,827,936	\$7,399,175	\$7,521,106	\$7,521,106	\$7,684,145	\$88,895	\$547,350	\$612,146	\$7,488,070	\$8,068,456	\$8,296,292	\$7,488,070	\$8,068,456	\$8,296,292	22%	8%	
Trout Lake	\$2,988,121	\$3,214,809	\$3,254,609	\$3,254,609	\$3,316,847	\$92,232	\$308,211	\$339,003	\$3,307,041	\$3,562,820	\$3,655,850	\$3,307					

ESTIMATED NET STATE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING CHANGES BASED ON 2017-19 STATE BIENNIAL BUDGET*

Assuming \$1.50 Local School District Tax Rate, \$1,500 Per Pupil Minimum, and \$2,500 Per Pupil Maximum

School Year	Est. 2016-17	Estimated Total State, Local Levy & LEA Funding - ML				Net Policy Change (State, Local Levy, LEA)				Estimated Total Funding With Policy Impact				% Chg 2020-21	% Chg 2020-21 To 2016-17
	2016-17	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2020-21	To 2016-17	To 2016-17	
Union Gap	\$6,820,839	\$7,263,391	\$7,343,527	\$7,498,706	\$324,102	\$818,804	\$903,279	\$8,162,331	\$7,587,494	\$8,162,331	\$8,401,985	23%	23%		
University Place Valley	\$57,447,970	\$61,242,382	\$62,037,431	\$63,323,560	\$2,362,194	\$5,293,410	\$5,981,688	\$67,330,841	\$63,604,576	\$67,330,841	\$69,305,248	21%	21%		
Vancouver	\$7,628,069	\$8,159,721	\$8,304,488	\$8,478,127	\$778,102	\$1,575,882	\$1,643,123	\$9,880,371	\$8,937,823	\$9,880,371	\$10,121,250	33%	33%		
Vashon Island	\$244,904,126	\$260,063,808	\$262,882,468	\$268,232,870	\$21,568,793	\$38,507,319	\$41,895,366	\$301,389,786	\$281,632,600	\$301,389,786	\$310,128,235	27%	27%		
Wahkiakum	\$16,597,777	\$17,490,924	\$17,441,145	\$17,781,507	\$2,411,698	\$4,189,654	\$4,331,480	\$21,630,799	\$19,902,622	\$21,630,799	\$22,112,986	33%	33%		
Wahluke	\$5,673,184	\$6,017,931	\$6,108,199	\$6,224,119	\$0	\$257,007	\$316,205	\$6,365,206	\$6,017,931	\$6,365,206	\$6,540,324	15%	15%		
Waitsburg	\$24,288,114	\$25,802,446	\$26,087,872	\$26,657,345	\$3,464,181	\$5,953,174	\$6,293,005	\$32,041,045	\$29,266,627	\$32,041,045	\$32,950,350	36%	36%		
Walla Walla	\$3,645,022	\$3,855,990	\$3,908,699	\$3,989,258	\$14,027	\$235,391	\$271,758	\$4,144,090	\$3,870,017	\$4,144,090	\$4,261,017	17%	17%		
Wapato	\$63,702,860	\$67,429,022	\$67,612,445	\$69,002,065	\$1,349,857	\$4,801,277	\$5,494,611	\$72,413,722	\$68,778,880	\$72,413,722	\$74,496,676	17%	17%		
Wapato	\$32,580,846	\$34,548,238	\$34,852,043	\$35,638,605	\$4,265,241	\$7,914,444	\$8,349,547	\$42,766,487	\$38,813,479	\$42,766,487	\$43,988,153	35%	35%		
Warden	\$9,800,248	\$10,394,855	\$10,524,606	\$10,749,605	\$1,137,093	\$1,928,833	\$2,055,734	\$12,453,439	\$11,531,948	\$12,453,439	\$12,805,338	31%	31%		
Washougal	\$34,259,248	\$36,564,695	\$36,904,624	\$37,635,411	\$1,665,848	\$3,883,581	\$4,260,431	\$40,788,205	\$38,230,542	\$40,788,205	\$41,895,842	22%	22%		
Washtucna	\$2,010,560	\$2,070,326	\$2,081,933	\$2,113,162	\$0	\$54,696	\$69,362	\$2,136,629	\$2,070,326	\$2,136,629	\$2,182,524	9%	9%		
Waterville	\$3,590,021	\$3,764,078	\$3,820,885	\$3,894,047	\$125,462	\$257,383	\$297,197	\$4,078,268	\$3,889,541	\$4,078,268	\$4,191,244	17%	17%		
Wellpinit	\$5,185,946	\$5,500,005	\$5,556,504	\$5,662,148	\$200,508	\$558,892	\$557,028	\$6,115,397	\$5,700,513	\$6,115,397	\$6,219,176	20%	20%		
Wenatchee	\$84,020,339	\$89,394,051	\$90,081,323	\$91,970,580	\$7,125,027	\$13,076,797	\$13,481,288	\$103,158,120	\$96,519,078	\$103,158,120	\$105,451,868	15%	15%		
West Valley (Spo)	\$40,330,446	\$42,354,207	\$42,499,450	\$43,340,711	\$1,385,309	\$3,217,566	\$3,673,557	\$45,717,016	\$43,739,516	\$45,717,016	\$47,014,268	17%	17%		
West Valley (Yak)	\$50,274,441	\$54,232,343	\$55,066,492	\$56,297,268	\$5,295,741	\$9,709,691	\$9,954,852	\$64,776,183	\$59,528,084	\$64,776,183	\$66,252,119	32%	32%		
White Pass	\$4,780,195	\$5,068,160	\$5,164,008	\$5,271,744	\$416,223	\$874,350	\$880,541	\$6,038,358	\$5,484,383	\$6,038,358	\$6,152,285	29%	29%		
White River	\$38,875,831	\$41,253,363	\$40,838,649	\$41,685,038	\$2,878,162	\$5,526,221	\$6,011,589	\$46,364,871	\$44,131,525	\$46,364,871	\$47,696,627	23%	23%		
White Salmon	\$13,720,833	\$14,749,335	\$15,003,495	\$15,330,120	\$170,043	\$1,079,208	\$1,279,339	\$16,082,703	\$14,919,377	\$16,082,703	\$16,609,459	21%	21%		
Wilbur	\$3,738,950	\$3,948,829	\$3,934,867	\$4,006,483	\$0	\$171,645	\$205,200	\$4,106,511	\$3,948,829	\$4,106,511	\$4,211,683	13%	13%		
Willapa Valley	\$4,149,803	\$4,386,910	\$4,442,561	\$4,531,425	\$130,565	\$389,197	\$470,343	\$5,001,768	\$4,517,475	\$4,831,759	\$5,001,768	21%	21%		
Wilson Creek	\$2,723,267	\$2,834,852	\$2,861,022	\$2,912,311	\$0	\$97,409	\$115,280	\$2,958,432	\$2,834,852	\$2,958,432	\$3,027,591	11%	11%		
Winlock	\$7,219,173	\$7,642,187	\$7,715,812	\$7,886,897	\$493,940	\$1,126,643	\$1,204,425	\$9,091,322	\$8,136,127	\$8,842,455	\$9,091,322	26%	26%		
Wishkah Valley	\$2,448,672	\$2,551,057	\$2,528,030	\$2,574,518	\$130,765	\$268,417	\$297,173	\$2,796,447	\$2,681,822	\$2,796,447	\$2,871,691	17%	17%		
Wishram	\$1,779,616	\$1,854,088	\$1,886,549	\$1,919,839	\$0	\$178,753	\$186,714	\$2,065,302	\$1,854,088	\$2,065,302	\$2,106,553	18%	18%		
Woodland	\$23,810,536	\$25,374,293	\$25,840,629	\$26,419,159	\$2,133,785	\$4,216,035	\$4,484,950	\$30,056,665	\$27,508,079	\$30,056,665	\$30,904,109	30%	30%		
Yakima	\$166,873,245	\$177,024,766	\$178,407,781	\$182,456,077	\$13,463,558	\$28,328,572	\$30,301,203	\$206,736,353	\$190,488,324	\$206,736,353	\$212,757,280	27%	27%		
Yelm	\$57,197,853	\$61,023,229	\$61,158,603	\$62,458,686	\$3,774,820	\$7,616,956	\$8,314,773	\$68,775,558	\$64,798,049	\$68,775,558	\$70,773,459	24%	24%		
Zillah	\$12,215,716	\$12,994,112	\$13,144,793	\$13,455,286	\$836,647	\$2,125,699	\$2,269,720	\$15,270,492	\$13,830,758	\$15,270,492	\$15,725,006	29%	29%		

Please note the following:

*The following estimates are based on a specific set of assumptions regarding items such as caseload, inflation, and school district M&O levy certification. A different set of assumptions would result in different estimates.

*School district estimates for maintenance level are limited to estimated state basic education allocations, local effort assistance payments, and maintenance and operation levies.

*School district M&O and local effort assistance payments estimated at current law include the impact of enactment of ESB 5023, delaying the levy cliff by one calendar year.

*School district estimates for current law and the estimated impact of the policy changes assume caseload forecast and inflation estimates as of March 2017.

*School district policy impacts do not include the estimated impact of increased funding school districts may receive as the result of changes in grant program funding amounts, and other items that can not be estimated at the school district level. Examples of items not included in these estimates are: increased allocations under the BEST program, anticipated growth in the number of National Board certified teachers, and pupil transportation formula adjustments.

*For districts showing a net policy change loss, a hold harmless has been applied.

Appendix H

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp

Printout for Chimacum School District, School Year 2018-19,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

District Level Comparison Budgets 2017

Does NOT include Initiative 1351

Chimacum School District School Year 2018-19 Use Caseload Forecasted Enrollment? ☒ YES	<----- (Select District here) <----- (Select School Year here) <----- (Select YES/NO)
--	---

16049 - Chimacum School District

School Year 2018-19	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget
Basic Education Program Total						
Apportionment (without CTE & Skills Center) ¹		\$ 7,031,980	\$ 10,460,860	\$ 7,926,026	\$ 8,870,143	\$ 8,104,176
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC)		\$ 373,582	\$ 546,972	\$ 419,706	\$ 541,539	\$ 466,179
Bilingual (TBIP)		\$ 16,438	\$ 17,845	\$ 18,243	\$ 19,719	\$ 23,036
Highly Capable (HiCap)		\$ 10,827	\$ 23,806	\$ 12,016	\$ 12,988	\$ 28,197
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)		\$ 278,808	\$ 295,848	\$ 309,433	\$ 334,466	\$ 325,091
LAP Concentration		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 175,999
Special Education		\$ 936,859	\$ 1,073,032	\$ 1,054,227	\$ 1,182,126	\$ 1,081,385
Transportation		\$ 771,704	\$ -	\$ 948,455	\$ 1,066,276	\$ 940,088
Total Apportionment		\$ 9,420,196	\$ 12,418,364	\$ 10,688,106	\$ 12,027,258	\$ 11,144,151
Other Programs / Changes						
Homeless		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Housing Allowance		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Teacher Retention		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Professional Learning Time		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 73,316	\$ 128,092	\$ 29,698
National Board Bonus ²		\$ -	\$ (37,741)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local Effort Assistance (LEA)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Minimum Per Student Backfill ³		\$ -	\$ 361,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Net State Costs not allocated by district ⁷						
Total Other		\$ -	\$ 323,420	\$ 73,316	\$ 128,092	\$ 29,698
Total State Funding		\$ 9,420,196	\$ 12,741,784	\$ 10,761,423	\$ 12,155,350	\$ 11,173,848
Local Funding						
M&O Levy / Enrichment Levy ⁴		\$ 2,802,353	\$ 1,504,767	\$ 3,157,882	\$ 3,129,797	\$ 3,013,524
Total Local		\$ 2,802,353	\$ 1,504,767	\$ 3,157,882	\$ 3,129,797	\$ 3,013,524
Total State And Local Funding		\$ 12,222,549	\$ 14,246,551	\$ 13,919,304	\$ 15,285,147	\$ 14,187,372
New Money (variance to Maintenance)			\$ 2,024,002	\$ 1,696,756	\$ 3,062,598	\$ 1,964,823
Variance compared to current school year						

Per Pupil Total State & Local Funding (does NOT include federal funds)

Enrollment: includes Caseload Forecast (if total SY 2016-17 enroll > 100)	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget
Per Pupil Program Enhancement:						
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC) ⁵		\$ 212	\$ 500	\$ 232	\$ 437	\$ 239
Bilingual (TBIP) ⁶		\$ 921	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,022	\$ 1,105	\$ 1,291
Highly Capable (HiCap)		\$ 455	\$ 1,000	\$ 505	\$ 545	\$ 1,184
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)		\$ 505	\$ 2,000	\$ 561	\$ 606	\$ 908
Students Generating LAP Program Funds:		551.8	147.9	551.8	551.8	551.8
Special Education		\$ 6,548	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,369	\$ 8,263	\$ 7,558
Total State & Local Funding Per Pupil		\$ 11,326	\$ 13,202	\$ 12,899	\$ 14,363	\$ 13,331
Estimated Change in Funding Per Pupil			\$ 1,876	\$ 1,572	\$ 3,037	\$ 2,005
Per Pupil estimated change current school year						

¹Total Includes Small Schools Hold Harmless for Senate Calculation & compensation increases in House & Maintenance

²National Board Bonus by district amounts are held constant and based on school year 2016-17 bonus totals; state total is included in Net State Costs line only

³Includes Federal Funds (all years) as well as local M&O dollars (for SY 2018-19 only) in calculation when determining student backfill amount

⁴Assumes max M&O Levy or Enrichment Levy available in budget language for illustration purposes, see notes tab for details on each budget.

⁵For Districts who have both CTE and SC students; per pupil amounts are added together

⁶Transitional Bilingual Program enrollment includes exited students

⁷Total includes items that cannot be estimated on a district by district basis, such as future changes in the number of National Board Certificated Teachers or changes in bus depreciation disbursements

Appendix I

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp

Printout for Chimacum School District, School Year 2019-20,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

District Level Comparison Budgets 2017

Does NOT include Initiative 1351

Chimacum School District School Year 2019-20 Use Caseload Forecasted Enrollment? ☒ YES	<-----[Select District here] <-----[Select School Year here] <-----[Select YES/NO]
--	--

16049 - Chimacum School District

School Year 2019-20	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget
Basic Education Program Total						
Apportionment (without CTE & Skills Center) ¹		\$ 7,196,538	\$ 10,330,804	\$ 8,823,841	\$ 9,110,044	\$ 9,069,664
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC)		\$ 382,294	\$ 606,871	\$ 465,648	\$ 611,108	\$ 520,685
Bilingual (TBIP)		\$ 16,746	\$ 18,187	\$ 19,956	\$ 20,043	\$ 25,864
Highly Capable (HiCap)		\$ 11,110	\$ 24,355	\$ 16,406	\$ 13,260	\$ 31,737
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)		\$ 285,483	\$ 302,671	\$ 411,307	\$ 340,213	\$ 365,387
LAP Concentration		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 197,841
Special Education		\$ 958,843	\$ 1,097,778	\$ 1,172,768	\$ 1,214,176	\$ 1,209,982
Transportation		\$ 785,007	\$ -	\$ 1,092,679	\$ 1,078,939	\$ 1,100,740
Total Apportionment		\$ 9,636,021	\$ 12,380,665	\$ 12,002,606	\$ 12,387,782	\$ 12,521,900
Other Programs / Changes						
Homeless		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Housing Allowance		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Teacher Retention		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Professional Learning Time		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 169,025	\$ 262,447	\$ 66,596
National Board Bonus ²		\$ -	\$ (37,741)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local Effort Assistance (LEA)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Minimum Per Student Backfill ³		\$ -	\$ 361,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Net State Costs not allocated by district ⁷						
Total Other		\$ -	\$ 323,420	\$ 169,025	\$ 262,447	\$ 66,596
Total State Funding		\$ 9,636,021	\$ 12,704,086	\$ 12,171,631	\$ 12,650,229	\$ 12,588,496
Local Funding						
M&O Levy / Enrichment Levy ⁴		\$ 2,457,668	\$ 679,760	\$ 3,014,434	\$ 2,887,420	\$ 2,672,601
Total Local		\$ 2,457,668	\$ 679,760	\$ 3,014,434	\$ 2,887,420	\$ 2,672,601
Total State And Local Funding		\$ 12,093,689	\$ 13,383,846	\$ 15,186,066	\$ 15,537,649	\$ 15,261,097
New Money (variance to Maintenance)			\$ 1,290,157	\$ 3,092,377	\$ 3,443,960	\$ 3,167,408
Variance compared to current school year						

Per Pupil Total State & Local Funding (does NOT include federal funds)

Enrollment: includes Caseload Forecast (if total SY 2016-17 enroll > 100)	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget
Per Pupil Program Enhancement:						
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC) ⁵		\$ 216	\$ 509	\$ 167	\$ 417	\$ 262
Bilingual (TBIP) ⁶		\$ 937	\$ 1,018	\$ 1,117	\$ 1,122	\$ 1,448
Highly Capable (HiCap)		\$ 464	\$ 1,018	\$ 686	\$ 554	\$ 1,327
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)		\$ 515	\$ 2,036	\$ 742	\$ 613	\$ 1,016
Students Generating LAP Program Funds:		554.6	148.7	554.6	554.6	554.6
Special Education		\$ 6,669	\$ 7,635	\$ 8,157	\$ 8,445	\$ 8,415
Total State & Local Funding Per Pupil		\$ 11,207	\$ 12,402	\$ 14,072	\$ 14,528	\$ 14,269
Estimated Change in Funding Per Pupil			\$ 1,196	\$ 2,866	\$ 3,321	\$ 3,063
Per Pupil estimated change current school year						

¹Total Includes Small Schools Hold Harmless for Senate Calculation & compensation increases in House & Maintenance

²National Board Bonus by district amounts are held constant and based on school year 2016-17 bonus totals; state total is included in Net State Costs line only

³Includes Federal Funds (all years) as well as local M&O dollars (for SY 2018-19 only) in calculation when determining student backfill amount

⁴Assumes max M&O Levy or Enrichment Levy available in budget language for illustration purposes, see notes tab for details on each budget.

⁵For Districts who have both CTE and SC students; per pupil amounts are added together

⁶Transitional Bilingual Program enrollment includes exited students

⁷Total includes items that cannot be estimated on a district by district basis, such as future changes in the number of National Board Certificated Teachers or changes in bus depreciation disbursements

Appendix J

Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Multi-Year Budget Comparison Tool,
www.k12.wa.us/SAFS/17budprp.asp

Printout for Chimacum School District, School Year 2020-21,
Using Caseload Forecasted Enrollment

District Level Comparison Budgets 2017

Does NOT include Initiative 1351

Chimacum School District				<-----[Select District here]			
School Year 2020-21				<-----[Select School Year here]			
Use Caseload Forecasted Enrollment?		YES		<-----[Select YES/NO]			
16049 - Chimacum School District							
School Year 2020-21	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget	
Basic Education Program Total							
Apportionment (without CTE & Skills Center) ¹		\$ 7,395,578	\$ 10,621,954	\$ 9,183,000	\$ 9,366,237	\$ 9,308,826	
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC)		\$ 393,016	\$ 623,974	\$ 483,753	\$ 646,149	\$ 534,510	
Bilingual (TBIP)		\$ 17,060	\$ 18,571	\$ 20,338	\$ 20,506	\$ 26,510	
Highly Capable (HiCap)		\$ 11,401	\$ 25,042	\$ 20,142	\$ 13,160	\$ 32,588	
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)		\$ 293,381	\$ 311,201	\$ 496,025	\$ 350,487	\$ 374,814	
LAP Concentration		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 202,967	
Special Education		\$ 985,461	\$ 1,128,716	\$ 1,219,568	\$ 1,248,390	\$ 1,241,811	
Transportation		\$ 798,590	\$ -	\$ 1,123,223	\$ 1,091,601	\$ 1,115,963	
Total Apportionment		\$ 9,894,487	\$ 12,729,458	\$ 12,546,048	\$ 12,736,531	\$ 12,837,989	
Other Programs / Changes							
Homeless		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Housing Allowance		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Teacher Retention		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Professional Learning Time		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 266,795	\$ 404,398	\$ 102,703	
National Board Bonus ²		\$ -	\$ (37,741)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Local Effort Assistance (LEA)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Minimum Per Student Backfill ³		\$ -	\$ 361,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Net State Costs not allocated by district ⁷							
Total Other		\$ -	\$ 323,420	\$ 266,795	\$ 404,398	\$ 102,703	
Total State Funding		\$ 9,894,487	\$ 13,052,878	\$ 12,812,843	\$ 13,140,929	\$ 12,940,692	
Local Funding							
M&O Levy / Enrichment Levy ⁴		\$ 2,446,919	\$ 1,314,009	\$ 2,934,383	\$ 2,715,170	\$ 2,722,126	
Total Local		\$ 2,446,919	\$ 1,314,009	\$ 2,934,383	\$ 2,715,170	\$ 2,722,126	
Total State And Local Funding		\$ 12,341,406	\$ 14,366,887	\$ 15,747,225	\$ 15,856,099	\$ 15,662,819	
New Money (variance to Maintenance)			\$ 2,025,482	\$ 3,405,820	\$ 3,514,693	\$ 3,321,413	
Variance compared to current school year							
Per Pupil Total State & Local Funding (does NOT include federal funds)							
Enrollment: includes Caseload Forecast (if total SY 2016-17 enroll > 100)	SY 2016-17	Maintenance	Senate	House	OSPI	Enacted Budget	
Per Pupil Program Enhancement:							
Career & Technical & Skills Center (CTE & SC) ⁵		\$ 220	\$ 519	\$ 82	\$ 424	\$ 266	
Bilingual (TBIP) ⁶		\$ 953	\$ 1,037	\$ 1,136	\$ 1,145	\$ 1,481	
Highly Capable (HiCap)		\$ 472	\$ 1,037	\$ 834	\$ 545	\$ 1,350	
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)		\$ 524	\$ 2,075	\$ 886	\$ 626	\$ 1,033	
Students Generating LAP Program Funds:		559.6	150.0	559.6	559.6	559.6	
Special Education		\$ 6,793	\$ 7,780	\$ 8,406	\$ 8,605	\$ 8,560	
Total State & Local Funding Per Pupil		\$ 11,436	\$ 13,313	\$ 14,593	\$ 14,693	\$ 14,514	
Estimated Change in Funding Per Pupil			\$ 1,877	\$ 3,156	\$ 3,257	\$ 3,078	
Per Pupil estimated change current school year							

¹Total Includes Small Schools Hold Harmless for Senate Calculation & compensation increases in House & Maintenance

²National Board Bonus by district amounts are held constant and based on school year 2016-17 bonus totals; state total is included in Net State Costs line only

³Includes Federal Funds (all years) as well as local M&O dollars (for SY 2018-19 only) in calculation when determining student backfill amount

⁴Assumes max M&O Levy or Enrichment Levy available in budget language for illustration purposes, see notes tab for details on each budget.

⁵For Districts who have both CTE and SC students; per pupil amounts are added together

⁶Transitional Bilingual Program enrollment includes exited students

⁷Total includes items that cannot be estimated on a district by district basis, such as future changes in the number of National Board Certificated Teachers or changes in bus depreciation disbursements

SOLICITOR GENERAL OFFICE

September 08, 2017 - 4:20 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court
Appellate Court Case Number: 84362-7
Appellate Court Case Title: MATHEW & STEPHANIE MCCLEARY ET AL VS STATE OF WASHINGTON
Superior Court Case Number: 07-2-02323-2

The following documents have been uploaded:

- 843627_Answer_Reply_20170908161821SC710800_2589.pdf
This File Contains:
Answer/Reply - Other
The Original File Name was 170908StatesReplyAnsToAmBrfsAndAppendix.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- EduLitigation@ATG.WA.GOV
- KARSdroit@aol.com
- Valerie.kathrynrussellselk@gmail.com
- adrian.winder@foster.com
- ahearne@foster.com
- buzz@pfrwa.com
- canderson@perkinscoie.com
- cdainsberg@ij.org
- cdejulio@perkinscoie.com
- chris.emch@foster.com
- cindy.bourne@pacificlawgroup.com
- cjones@joneslegalgroup.net
- daves@atg.wa.gov
- david.keenan@kingcounty.gov
- dawn.taylor@pacificlawgroup.com
- dscaramastra@gsblaw.com
- emaffeo@pseofwa.org
- gabrielle.thompson@klgates.com
- grace.yuan@klgates.com
- gwiens@mickesotoole.com
- hcassubhai@spiroharrison.com
- hstrasberg@comcast.net
- hstrasberg@me.com
- jamie.lisagor@pacificlawgroup.com
- jasonmackay@hotmail.com
- jmackay@pseofwa.org
- john.bjorkman@klgates.com
- kathleen@pfrwa.com
- kathy@johnstongeorge.com
- litdocket@foster.com
- mary.vancleve@columbialegal.org
- matthew.segal@pacificlawgroup.com

- mbindas@ij.org
- michael.althausen@columbialegal.org
- paul.lawrence@pacificallawgroup.com
- rmckenna@orrick.com
- sarahadunne@yahoo.com
- scot@johnstongeorge.com
- sea_wa_appellatefilings@orrick.com
- spencer.coates@foster.com
- summerstinson@gmail.com
- talner@aclu-wa.org
- vhughes@perkinscoie.com
- wbcollins@comcast.net
- wendyo@atg.wa.gov

Comments:

State of Washington's Reply Brief and Answer To Amici Briefs with Appendix

Sender Name: Kristin Jensen - Email: kristinj@atg.wa.gov

Filing on Behalf of: Alan D. Copsey - Email: alanc@atg.wa.gov (Alternate Email: AlanC@atg.wa.gov)

Address:

PO Box 40100

1125 Washington St SE

Olympia, WA, 98504-0100

Phone: (360) 753-4111

Note: The Filing Id is 20170908161821SC710800