Supreme Court Work Group on WSBA Structure

| | regulatory to | Adopt a Hybrid Model: Split Regulatory PLUS Improvement of Profession Functions from Others | Convert to Keller OPT-IN | Keller Calculation Rule: require Keller deduction to be calculated expansively or an independent audit of the "political" speech determinations | Revise GR12 to clearly articulate state policy and specify Court's active supervision | Explicitly address Ct's role in RPC revision's to reduce antitrust liability |
|---|---------------|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| First Amendment | | | | | | |
| Compelled speech concerns? Mitigation? | | | | | | |
| Compelled association concerns? | | | | | | |
| Mitigation? | | | | | | |
| Antitrust | | | | | | |
| Anticompetitive practices liability potential? Market actors? | | | | | | |
| State action doctrine defensibility (Midcal | | | | | | |
| two prongs state policy/active | | | | | | |
| supervision?) | | | | | | |
| Legislative change required? | | | | | | |
| Rules change(s) required? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Financial considerations? | | | | | | |
| Consequences for ATJ? | | | | | | |
| Consequences for Minority and Justice? | | | | | | |
| Consequences for sections? | | | | | | |
| Protects the public? | | | | | | |
| Improves the profession? | | | | | | |
| Does this option alone address First Amendment | | | | | | |
| and antitrust issues? | | | | | | |
| Degree this option is forward thinking (serving the future needs of the public/profession)? | | | | | | |
| Considerations in favor of this option? | | | | | | |
| Considerations against this option? | | | | | | |
| If considerations above conflict, is there a | | | | | | |
| strategic way to harmonize them? | | | | | | |
| Caveats/cautions for this option? | | | | | | |
| Work Group recommend/not recommend this option? | | | | | | |