

OPTIONS

	PROS	CONS	RECOMMENDATION, IF ANY
WAIT AND SEE	Avoid potentially unnecessary disruption if USSCt does not apply <i>Janus</i> to mandatory bar associations. Maintain predictability for WSBA employees and contractual obligees. Other?	Cede initiative to court if lawsuit filed. Possible increased risk that any remedy includes retroactive repayment of bar dues. <i>The Supreme Court, 2017 Term-Comment: Compelled Subsidies and the First Amendment</i> , 132 Harv.L.Rev. 171 (2018) Other?	
PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS UNDER <i>Janus</i> and <i>North Carolina Dental Examiners</i>	Gives WASCt, bar members, legislature, opportunity to assess and discuss changes, evaluate legal position. Other?		
NEBRASKA MODEL	Maintains association that has been part of Washington for 80+years and existing WSBA activities, though funded by optional dues. Other?	Uncertainty whether this satisfies <i>Janus</i> restriction on compelled association. Other?	
SPLIT REGULATORY, PUBLIC PROTECTION, AND QUALITY MEASURES FROM ASSOCIATION	Does not leave bar members and staff in "limbo". Other?	End to association that has been part of Washington for 80+ years. Other?	