BOARD FOR JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON
COURTS

MEETING PACKET

FRIDAY, JUNE 18, 2010
9:30 A.M.

AOC SEATAC OFFICE
SEATAC, WASHINGTON

Board for Judicial Administration Membership

VOTING MEMBERS:

Chief Justice Barbara Madsen, Chair
Supreme Court

Judge Michael Lambo, Member Chair
District and Municipal Court Judges' Association
Kirkland Municipal Court

Judge Marlin J. Appelwick
Court of Appeals, Division I

Judge Rebecca M. Baker
Superior Court Judges' Association
Ferry/Stevens/Pend Oreille Superior Courts

Judge C. C. Bridgewater
Court of Appeals, Division II

Judge Ronald Culpepper
Superior Court Judges' Association
Pierce County Superior Court

Judge Kevin Ringus
District and Municipal Court Judges' Association
Fife Municipal Court

Judge Susan Dubuisson
District and Municipal Court Judges' Association
Thurston County District Court

Judge Deborah Fleck
Superior Court Judges' Association
King County Superior Court

Judge Jack Nevin
District and Municipal Court Judges' Association
Pierce County District Court

Justice Susan Owens
Supreme Court

Judge Stephen Brown, President
District and Municipal Court Judges' Association
Grays Harbor County District Court

Judge Stephen Warning, President
Superior Court Judges' Association
Cowlitz County Superior Court

Judge Chris Wickham
Superior Court Judges' Association
Thurston County Superior Court

NON-VOTING MEMBERS:

Judge Gregory Tripp, President-Elect
District and Municipal Court Judges' Association
Spokane County District Court

Mr. Jeff Hall
State Court Administrator

Judge Laura Inveen, President-Elect
Superior Court Judges' Association
King County Superior Court

Ms. Paula Littlewood, Executive Director
Washington State Bar Association

Mr. Salvador Mungia, President
Washington State Bar Association

Judge Dennis Sweeney, Presiding Chief Judge
Court of Appeals, Division III

Mr. Steven G. Toole, President-Elect
Washington State Bar Association

Board for Judicial Administration

June 18, 2010
9:30 a.m. – Noon
AOC SeaTac Office
SeaTac, Washington

Agenda

1. Call to Order	Judge Marlin Appelwick	
2. Welcome and Introductions	Judge Marlin Appelwick	
Action Items		
3. May 21, 2010 Meeting Minutes **Action: Motion to approve the minutes of the May 21 meeting**	Judge Marlin Appelwick	Tab 1
4. BJA Best Practices Committee Nominations **Action: Motion to appoint Judge Christine Quinn-Brintnall, Judge Jean Rietschel, Judge Jerry Roach, and Judge Julie Spector to the BJA Best Practices Committee**	Ms. Mellani McAleenan	Tab 2
5. BJA Court Security Committee Nominations **Action: Motion to appoint Mr. Ron Miles to the BJA Court Security Committee**	Ms. Mellani McAleenan	Tab 3
6. Proposed Revision to Public Trust and Confidence Committee Mission Statement **Action: Motion to approve the revision to the Public Trust and Confidence Committee Vision, Mission and Goals Statement**	Justice Mary Fairhurst	Tab 4
7. Public Trust and Confidence Committee Project to Add a Fifth Day to the Legislative Scholars Program **Action: Motion to approve the Public Trust and Confidence Committee Project to add a fifth day to the Legislative Scholars Program**	Justice Mary Fairhurst	Tab 5
Reports and Information		
8. State Budget Forecast	Mr. Jeff Hall	
9. Whistleblower Work Group	Mr. Jeff Hall	Tab 6
10. Trial Court Operations Funding	Judge Deborah Fleck	Tab 7
11. Access to Justice Board	Mr. M. Wayne Blair	

12. Washington State Bar Association	Mr. Salvador Mungia Ms. Paula Littlewood	
13. Reports from the Courts		
Supreme Court	Justice Susan Owens	
Court of Appeals	Judge Teresa Kulik	
Superior Courts	Judge Stephen Warning	
Courts of Limited Jurisdiction	Judge Stephen Brown	
14. Association Reports		
County Clerks	Ms. Barb Miner	
Superior Court Administrators	Ms. Delilah George	
District and Municipal Court Administrators	Ms. Peggy Bednared	
Juvenile Court Administrators	Ms. Sharon Paradis	
15. Administrative Office of the Courts	Mr. Jeff Hall	
16. Other Business Next meeting: July 16 Beginning at 9:30 a.m. at the AOC SeaTac Office, SeaTac	Judge Marlin Appelwick	

TAB 1

Board for Judicial Administration
Meeting Minutes

May 21, 2010
AOC SeaTac Office
SeaTac, Washington

Members Present: Chief Justice Barbara Madsen, Chair; Judge Michael Lambo, Member-Chair; Judge Marlin Appelwick; Judge Rebecca Baker; Judge Stephen Brown; Judge Ronald Culpepper; Judge Sara Derr; Judge Susan Dubuisson; Judge Deborah Fleck; Mr. Jeff Hall; Ms. Paula Littlewood; Judge Jack Nevin; Justice Susan Owens; Judge Glenn Phillips; Judge Christine Quinn-Brintnall; Judge Dennis Sweeney; Judge Stephen Warning; and Judge Christopher Wickham

Guests Present: Mr. Jim Bamberger, Mr. M. Wayne Blair, Ms. Jeri Cusimano, Justice Mary Fairhurst, Ms. Delilah George, Mr. John King, Ms. Barb Miner, Ms. Joanne Moore, Ms. Sharon Paradis, and Mr. Craig Salins

Staff Present: Ms. Margaret Fisher, Ms. Beth Flynn, Ms. Shannon Hinchcliffe, Mr. Dirk Marler, Ms. Mellani McAleenan, Mr. Ramsey Radwan, and Mr. Chris Ruhl

The meeting was called to order by Judge Lambo.

April 16, 2010 Meeting Minutes

It was moved by Judge Dubuisson and second by Judge Wickham to approve the April 16, 2010 meeting minutes as written. The motion carried.

BJA Best Practice Committee Nominations

It was moved by Judge Phillips and seconded by Judge Culpepper to appoint Ms. Holli Spanski, Ms. Tina Marusich, and Judge Michael Lambo to the Best Practices Committee. The motion carried.

BJA Court Security Committee

It was moved by Judge Appelwick and seconded by Judge Culpepper to appoint Ms. Sonya Kraski, Judge Debra Hayes, Justice James Johnson and Captain Rick Rochleau to the BJA Court Security Committee. The motion carried.

Justice in Jeopardy Implementation Committee Nominations

It was moved by Judge Phillips and seconded by Judge Baker to appoint Ms. Yvonne Pettus, Mr. Stanley Bastian and Judge Thomas McPhee to the Justice in Jeopardy Implementation Committee. The motion carried.

Public Financing of Supreme Court Campaigns

Chief Justice Madsen stated that after the legislative session she met with members of Washington Public Campaigns regarding public financing of Supreme Court campaigns. She explained to them the importance of filing fees for the court system and the position of the Supreme Court regarding filing fees.

Mr. King gave some background on this issue and what has propelled this movement so far. In 22 states that have contested elections, about half are cited with some detail regarding abuses, huge expenditures and hardball media advertisements. National groups are also putting a lot of money into the races. Washington Public Campaigns thinks public financing will help good candidates without a lot of money.

Mr. Salins said he envisions and expects a bill addressing public financing of Supreme Court elections during the next legislative session. He is seeking input and suggestions from the BJA regarding the bill language. Everything in the 2010 bill is open for re-examination.

Washington Public Campaigns would like to send a survey for feedback on future legislation to the Bar Association and judicial associations along with some background information. Mr. Salins will send the materials to Ms. McAleenan who will distribute the materials to the judicial associations. It was requested that responder information be deleted from the survey for privacy reasons.

Kitsap County Court Interpreter Issue

Justice Owens reported that the city backed off the business licensing requirement for court interpreters so this is no longer an issue.

There was some discussion regarding whether or not this issue might come up in other cities in the future but it was decided to drop this issue for now and bring it up in the future as needed.

Boards and Commissions Bill HB 2617

Mr. Radwan explained that HB 2617 puts restrictions on boards and commissions effective July 1. AOC is looking at a recommendation that will exempt the main boards and commissions from the restrictions in HB 2617. The recommendation will go to Mr. Hall and the Supreme Court for approval. The committees that fall under the main boards and commissions can apply for an exception to the bill.

All of the JISC groups will be exempted because they are not funded by the state general fund.

Mr. Radwan will send a list of the committees that will need to request an exemption to the judicial association presidents.

Pro Tem Funds for Association Presidents

Chief Justice Madsen had a discussion with past judicial association presidents a month or so ago about the expense that hits the county for the association presidents. There is a budget for judge pro tem reimbursement within the AOC. There is capacity in the AOC budget until June 30, 2011 to reimburse president-judges for their pro tem costs. The AOC will reexamine the capacity to fund association presidents for pro tem costs at the end of the 2011 session and will request a supplemental budget request in 2012 to fund pro tem costs if necessary.

Judicial Stabilization Trust Account

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), the Office of Public Defense (OPD) and the Office of Civil Legal Aid (OCLA) all pull funds out of the Judicial Stabilization Trust Account (JSTA). The account is funded by filing fees that were put into place to backfill state budget cuts. There is no fee split between the state and counties. The JSTA will sunset in 2011. This issue is before the BJA for discussion. Does the BJA want to allow the sunset to occur? The decision does not need to be made today.

There was discussion about continuing the filing fees but including a split with the counties. If the split takes place, there will be a $5.3 million loss in the account. If the fees sunset, it will cause a $10 million loss to AOC, OCLA and OPD. The funding would need to be replaced if the split occurs or if the fees sunset.

There was discussion about some counties gaining more from the JSTA funding than from their share of the county/state fee split. That impact needs to be considered in rural counties.

Ms. McAleenan will work with everyone impacted by the JSTA funds to schedule a meeting and come back to the BJA with a recommendation in the future.

BJA Public Trust and Confidence Committee

Justice Fairhurst reported on the Public Trust and Confidence Committee's 2009 projects:

- District and municipal court pro se handouts which will be e-mailed to all court administrators for their use. The handouts assist pro se litigants understand and make their way through court proceedings.
- A model court tours template which is designed to help court staff save time when contacted by a school or organization that wants a court tour.

- Judicial speakers bureau brochure. The template is for Washington courts to use for judicial speakers who are requested by local organizations and groups. If it is approved by the BJA it will be distributed to courts electronically.

The Public Trust and Confidence Committee is asking for the BJA's approval to distribute the documents listed above.

It was moved by Judge Phillips and seconded by Justice Owens to approve the three 2009 Public Trust and Confidence Committee projects. The motion carried.

Justice Fairhurst reported that the Public Trust and Confidence Committee's 2010 projects are:

- Adding a fifth day to the legislative scholars program. The additional day will model the law school for legislators curriculum.
- Creating a public education campaign including online products addressing the role of courts. It will be similar to the children's handbook activity but it will be geared toward adults.
- Creating a senior law day program. It will be public education about the courts but targeting seniors. The Committee is not sure what this is going to look like yet.
- Updating and revitalizing the Committee's mission statement. A draft of the statement was including in the meeting materials. The Committee has not had time to discuss the statement but it is being brought to the BJA to hear concerns.
- The iCivics Program uses online video games and lesson plans to target middle school students. The Committee is dedicating their volunteer efforts to this project. This project is being worked on in 14 other states in addition to Washington. Teachers and students really enjoy it. The Committee plans to work with social studies teachers, through the principals and the PTA.

Chief Justice Madsen extended her appreciation to the Committee for working on all of the 2009 and 2010 projects and thanked the members for all their hard work.

Municipal Court Judges Bill

There was some discussion on the municipal court judges bill regarding action that will be needed for the next legislative session. Ms. McAleenan asked the judicial associations to take a look at HB 6686 and let her know of any amendments that need to be made prior to next session. Having certain judges contact their legislators might work well next session. Ms. McAleenan will draft a template letter for the judges to give their legislators.

Mr. Hall reported that there is a lot of very strong editorial board interest in the subject matter. There has been talk about pre-filing the bill but making sure there are a lot of sponsors. The bill language needs to be completed early in order to get a large number of sponsors. Mr. Hall stated he will also engage the Governor on this issue.

Recognition of Outgoing BJA Members

Judge Lambo recognized outgoing Board members Judge Quinn-Brintnall, Judge Phillips and Judge Derr for their outstanding service and hard work. They each received a signed Temple of Justice print.

Access to Justice Board

Mr. Blair reminded everyone about the Access to Justice Conference in Wenatchee June 4-6.

Washington State Bar Association

Ms. Littlewood reported that the Board of Governors will be electing a new president-elect and selecting a governor-at-large in June.

The Uniform Bar Exam decision will be made soon and information will be posted on their Web site.

The Board of Governors will be taking up a resolution on Arizona and a moratorium on travel to Arizona.

The Board of Governors adopted their revised Bylaws at their April meeting and the portion that impacts judges will not be implemented until 2012. They are still working on how to treat administrative law judges.

Reports from the Courts

Supreme Court: Justice Owens reported that the Supreme Court is back in session. They heard oral arguments at Big Bend Community College earlier this week and some of them will be attending the swearing-in ceremonies of the new Court of Appeals judges and the DMCJA Spring Conference.

Court of Appeals: Judge Sweeney stated the Court of Appeals spent time trying to strategize on their budget. Every one of the divisions is discussing furloughs or active reductions.

Superior Court Judge: Judge Warning reported that the SCJA Long-range Planning meeting is coming up in a few weeks. They are moving forward on a risk assessment tool for all courts to use in bail decisions. Their bylaws revision is a work in progress.

Courts of Limited Jurisdiction: Judge Phillips said he was glad to be part of this Board and enjoyed serving as the President of the DMCJA.

Association Reports

County Clerks: Their Annual Conference will be held in June and they will be electing a new Board.

District and Municipal Court Administrators: Ms. Cusimano is retiring and said she has appreciated being part of the BJA.

Juvenile Court Administrators: Their Spring Conference will be held in June and they are working on their legislative agenda.

Superior Court Administrators: They met in Cle Elum last month for their Spring Conference. Their association hosted three regional trainings around the state and Ms. Yvonne Pettus spoke regarding communicating in a diverse world.

Administrative Office of the Courts

Mr. Hall reported that there is some transition in the agency's Information Services Division. Mr. Gregg Richmond resigned and Ms. Vonnie Diseth was hired as his replacement. She previously worked at the Washington State Department of Transportation as the IT Deputy Director of the Office of Information Technology.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

TAB 2

Board for Judicial Administration
Nomination Form for BJA Committee Appointment

BJA Committee: Best Practices
(i.e. Best Practices, Court Security, Justice in Jeopardy, Long-Range Planning, and Public Trust and Confidence)

Nominee Name: Judge Christine Quinn-Brintnall

Nominated By: Court of Appeals
(i.e. SCJA, DMCJA, etc.)

Term Begin Date: June 2010

Term End Date: June 2012

Has the nominee served on this subcommittee in the past? Yes [x] No [ ]

If yes, how many terms have been served and dates of terms: One term June 2008 – June 2010

Additional information you would like the BJA to be aware of regarding the nominee:

Please send completed form to:

Beth Flynn
Administrative Office of the Courts
PO Box 41174
Olympia, WA 98504-1174
beth.flynn@courts.wa.gov

Board for Judicial Administration
Nomination Form for BJA Committee Appointment

BJA Committee: Best Practices Committee
(i.e. Best Practices, Court Security, Justice in Jeopardy, Long-Range Planning, and Public Trust and Confidence)

Nominee Name: Jean Rietschel

Nominated By: SCJA
(i.e. SCJA, DMCJA, etc.)

Term Begin Date: June 2010

Term End Date: June 2012

Has the nominee served on this subcommittee in the past? Yes [x] No [ ]

If yes, how many terms have been served and dates of terms:

Additional information you would like the BJA to be aware of regarding the nominee:

Judge Rietschel is moving from a CLJ court to Superior. She has been serving on the BPC as a DMCJA representative (for one year). Judge Rietschel is willing to fill the SCJA spot being vacated by Judge Eric Lucas (completing his second term).

Please send completed form to:

Beth Flynn
Administrative Office of the Courts
PO Box 41174
Olympia, WA 98504-1174
beth.flynn@courts.wa.gov

Board for Judicial Administration
Nomination Form for BJA Committee Appointment

BJA Committee: Best Practices
(i.e. Best Practices, Court Security, Justice in Jeopardy, Long-Range Planning, and Public Trust and Confidence)

Nominee Name: Jerry Roach

Nominated By: DMCJA
(i.e. SCJA, DMCJA, etc.)

Term Begin Date: 6/2010

Term End Date: 6/2011

Has the nominee served on this subcommittee in the past? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, how many terms have been served and dates of terms:

Additional information you would like the BJA to be aware of regarding the nominee:

Judge Roach will complete the balance of Judge Rietschel's 2-year term on Best Practices.

Please send completed form to:

Beth Flynn
Administrative Office of the Courts
PO Box 41174
Olympia, WA 98504-1174
beth.flynn@courts.wa.gov

Board for Judicial Administration
Nomination Form for BJA Committee Appointment

BJA Committee: Best Practices
(i.e. Best Practices, Court Security, Justice in Jeopardy, Long-Range Planning, and Public Trust and Confidence)

Nominee Name: Julie Spector

Nominated By: SCJA
(i.e. SCJA, DMCJA, etc.)

Term Begin Date: 7/2010

Term End Date: 6/2012

Has the nominee served on this subcommittee in the past? Yes [X] No [ ]

If yes, how many terms have been served and dates of terms: Judge Spector began serving on the committee in 2005. She has served two full terms as Chair:
June 2006 – June 2008
June 2008 – June 2010

Additional information you would like the BJA to be aware of regarding the nominee:

Please send completed form to:

Beth Flynn
Administrative Office of the Courts
PO Box 41174
Olympia, WA 98504-1174
beth.flynn@courts.wa.gov

TAB 3

Board for Judicial Administration
Nomination Form for BJA Committee Appointment

BJA Committee: Courthouse Security
(i.e. Best Practices, Court Security, Justice in Jeopardy, Long-Range Planning, and Public Trust and Confidence)

Nominee Name: Ron Miles

Nominated By: AWSCA
(i.e. SCJA, DMCJA, etc.)

Term Begin Date: 7/1/2010

Term End Date: 6/30/2012

Has the nominee served on this subcommittee in the past? Yes [ ] No [X]

If yes, how many terms have been served and dates of terms:

Additional information you would like the BJA to be aware of regarding the nominee:

Please send completed form to:

Beth Flynn
Administrative Office of the Courts
PO Box 41174
Olympia, WA 98504-1174
beth.flynn@courts.wa.gov

TAB 4

Board of Judicial Administration's Public Trust and Confidence Committee

Current Mission Statement

To achieve the highest level of public trust in the judicial system by:

- Assessing and re-assessing public opinion, concern and level of trust in the judicial system while developing strategies to address them.
- Making recommendations to the Board for Judicial Administration regarding the need for legislative changes, or changes to court rules and procedures including those that reduce court complexity, cost, and delay while ensuring that the courts demographically reflect the communities they serve.
- Identifying existing activities throughout the state aimed at achieving trust and confidence in the courts, while coordinating with the Council on Public Legal *Education, Access to Justice Board and other entities working to improve the system.*

Proposed Vision, Mission and Goals Statement

Vision

To achieve the highest possible level of public trust and confidence in the Washington judicial system

Mission

To enhance the public's level of trust and confidence in the Washington judicial system by developing strategies to increase that trust and confidence.

Goals:

- To identify areas where public trust and confidence is lacking and to prioritize these needs for consideration by the committee.
- To develop and disseminate tools and resources to address those needs.
- To encourage and monitor use of tools and resources developed by the committee.
- To catalog past, current and potential Public Trust and Confidence Committee activities promoting public trust and confidence in the judicial system.
- To collaborate with other individuals and groups working to improve public trust and confidence.

Adding a 5th day to the Legislative Scholars Program offered by the Washington State Legislature. For the last five years, the Washington State Legislature has offered this four-day program for teachers to help them more accurately teach about the state Legislature and legislation in classrooms. This proposed Public Trust and Confidence Committee project would add a day to the existing event to highlight how the courts interact with legislation, to help social studies teachers themselves understand and then teach about the intersection of the judicial and legislative branches. The Legislative Scholars Program takes place this summer from July 12-15 at noon. The proposed Public Trust and Confidence Committee project would add four hours on the afternoon of July 15 and four hours of the morning of July 16 to focus on the judicial branch's intersection with the legislative branch.

TAB 6

RATIONALE UNDERLYING THE POLICY DRAFT
DEVELOPED BY THE JUDICIAL WHISTLEBLOWER WORKGROUP
June 14, 2010

Background:

- The State Whistleblower Act (Chapter 42.40 RCW) is ambiguous as to how it applies to the judiciary.
    - The act applies generally to state agencies or departments, but these terms are sometimes interpreted as including judicial entities, and sometimes not, depending on the particular context. *See, e.g., Graham v. State Bar Ass'n*, 86 Wn.2d 624, 626, 548 P.2d 310 (1976).
    - One section of the act specifically recognizes that the Supreme Court may adopt a policy specifying how the act applies to the judiciary, RCW 42.40.910, but a judicial policy has not been adopted.
    - **Without a policy, it is not clear as to whether whistleblower complaints against a person within the judiciary can be heard by the State Auditor's Office.**
    - Many judicial employees at the state level receive annual notices about the procedures and remedies under the Act.
    - **There is a wide-spread concern within the judiciary that the State Auditor's Office should not have jurisdiction over all judicial whistleblower complaints, especially those that are filed against a judicial officer.**
    - Yet, without a judicial policy, there are significant arguments that such complaints could indeed be heard by the State Auditor's Office, especially given the wide-spread annual distribution of Whistleblower Act materials to judicial employees.
- In mid-2007, discussions began within AOC, with consultation with a few Supreme Court justices, as to whether the judiciary should adopt a whistleblower policy. Such a policy would make clear whether and how the Act applies to the judiciary and it would provide employees with a clear set of procedures.

Early Options Considered:

- After some initial research and further discussions of available options (including creation of various new judicial entities for resolving judicial whistleblower complaints), three basic approaches were identified for further discussion, as they represented a wide range of potential positions:
    - Alternative 1 – The State Act would fully apply to whistleblower complaints against any person within the judiciary, including judges; all such complaints would be addressed by the State Auditor.
    - Alternative 2 – The same as Alternative 1, although the standards for what constitutes a meritorious complaint would be narrowed.

- Alternative 3 – The State Act would partially apply: Complaints filed against judicial officers would be heard by the Judicial Conduct Commission, while complaints filed against judicial employees would be addressed by the State Auditor.
- Early on, all three of these alternatives were focused to apply to only the appellate courts and the state-level judicial entities, and not to the trial courts.

- The three basic approaches were then discussed with the various interested judicial entities (the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, the AOC, the CJC, the Office of Civil Legal Aid, the Office of Public Defense, and the State Law Library) as to what direction seemed best.

One Early Approach was Drafted for Further Review:

- As these discussions progressed, the greatest support was expressed for Alternative 3 (CJC jurisdiction for complaints against judicial officers; State Auditor jurisdiction for complaints against employees).
  - The benefits of Alternative 3 were felt to be that it does not involve creation of new entities or procedures, it keeps complaints against judicial officers within the judicial realm, and it makes use of the expertise of the two entities (CJC and State Auditor) that already investigate these types of issues.
- A work group was then formed to work further on drafting this approach. The work group included Justice Owens, representatives from AOC, and representatives of the state-level judicial entities (OCLA, CJC, and OPD). The work group completed a new draft, which was presented for discussion at the 2008 Appellate Judges' Spring Conference.

Concerns Raised at the 2008 Spring Appellate Conference:

- At the conference, several attendees expressed separation-of-power concerns about allowing another branch of government to hear *any* whistleblower complaint filed against those in the judiciary, whether filed against judges or employees.
  - No consensus was reached at conference on this point. Rather, the work group was asked to consider this question further.

Revisions Drafted to Address Separation-of-Power Concerns:

- The work group reconvened, expanding to include Judge Leach and Justice Stephens (replacing Justice Owens).
- The work group discussed the concerns that were raised at spring conference. The work group noted that there is no existing judicial entity that has authority to hear whistleblower complaints against non-judge employees of the judiciary (the Commission on Judicial Conduct has authority over non-judge employees' ethical violations, but the Commission's director believes it would take a constitutional amendment to confer jurisdiction to hear other whistleblower complaints against these employees). The work group believed that it would not be a good use of resources to create a new entity for this purpose, given how rarely judicial whistleblower complaints arise. Instead, the work group concluded that the separation-of-power concerns could be addressed in other ways.

- Accordingly, the work group chose to retain the basic approach of the previous draft, but to add new provisions addressing the separation-of-powers concerns:
    - The new proposal includes a savings clause specifying that the policy should not be construed as changing judicial immunity principles. The new savings clause also states that the policy leaves unchanged the general court rules on financial and performance audits. See new section 6 ("Savings Clause").
    - The new proposal expressly reserves the right of the Supreme Court to re-assert exclusive jurisdiction over any whistleblower complaint "involving allegations about fundamental judicial functions that should not be subject to another branch of government's oversight". See new section 7 ("Reservation of Rights").
    - The new proposal also makes several smaller wording changes for greater clarity.

Further Revisions to Address Procedures for Retaliation Claims.

- The work group has also added a section that protects judicial whistleblowers from workplace reprisal or retaliation.
- The new section sets forth the procedures for filing and addressing these retaliation claims. The claims would be addressed under RCW 42.40.050 and Chapter 49.60 RCW, under which the Human Rights Commission (HRC) investigates claims and negotiates settlements and an administrative law judge adjudicates claims and imposes remedies. The work group believes that this is a good process and that judicial whistleblowers should have access to it, just as other whistleblowers do.
- The new section states that the administrative law judge's (ALJ) authority to impose remedies is limited in one regard – the ALJ cannot impose any sanctions against a judicial officer, as only the Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC) has this authority. Accordingly, if a judicial officer is the subject of a whistleblower's reprisal or retaliation claim, the administrative law judge could award the whistleblower applicable remedies under RCW 49.60.250 that serve to compensate a wronged whistleblower (e.g., restoration of benefits or back pay), but not those that serve to sanction a judicial officer (e.g., suspension or reprimand). Remedies awarded would not be compensated by individual judicial officers, but by their employing entity.
- The work group considered, but did not adopt, several other ways to address these claims. The first thought was to have the CJC hear retaliation claims that are brought against a judicial officer, but the CJC does not have authority to provide compensation to a wronged whistleblower, such as restoration of benefits and back pay. The work group also considered having the retaliation claims addressed in superior court, or by ALJs outside of the HRC process, or by independent arbitrators, but concluded that none of these options were better than the existing HRC process.

Input is Now Being Requested on the New Proposal:

- The work group now requests further input from the state-level entities that would be covered by the policy.

PROPOSED SUPREME COURT ORDER FOR

ADOPTING A WHISTLEBLOWER POLICY FOR CERTAIN STATE-LEVEL JUDICIAL ENTITIES

Drafted by the Whistleblower Work Group
(Justice Debra Stephens, Judge J. Robert Leach, Jeff Hall, Reiko Callner, Joanne Moore, Jim Bamberger, Dirk Marler, & Jane Beaulieu)

June 14, 2010

WHEREAS, the State Employee Whistleblower Protection Act ("Whistleblower Act"), Chapter 42.40 RCW, provides a process for the filing and resolution of whistleblower complaints filed against officers and employees of the state government;

WHEREAS, the Whistleblower Act provides that the Supreme Court is to adopt a policy regarding the applicability of Chapter 42.40 RCW to the state's judicial branch;

WHEREAS, even without this statutory authority, the judicial branch has independent authority under the separation of powers doctrine to adopt personnel policies;

WHEREAS, adoption of a judicial policy will further enhance public trust and confidence in the integrity of the judiciary;

WHEREAS, adoption of a judicial whistleblower policy will clarify the procedures that apply when a whistleblower complaint is filed against a judicial officer or employee of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, or statewide judicial agencies listed in section 1 below; and

WHEREAS, separation of powers and judicial independence principles dictate that the Commission on Judicial Conduct, and not the State Auditor's Office, be the entity that investigates and addresses allegations of improper governmental action that are brought against judicial officers.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Judicial Policy. A whistleblower policy is hereby adopted for the following judicial entities:

a. The Supreme Court;
b. The Court of Appeals;
c. The Administrative Office of the Courts;
d. The Office of Civil Legal Aid;
e. The Office of Public Defense;

f. The Washington State Law Library; and
g. The Commission on Judicial Conduct.

2. Applicability of State Whistleblower Act. The Whistleblower Act applies to the judicial entities identified in section 1 as follows:

a. *Complaints Against Judicial Officers.* Jurisdiction for whistleblower complaints filed against judicial officers of courts identified in section 1 rests exclusively with the Commission on Judicial Conduct, which shall evaluate complaints under the standards of the Code of Judicial Conduct. The Commission has authority to investigate complaints, decide the merits of the allegations, and impose appropriate sanctions and remedies. The procedures governing these complaints shall be as set forth in the Whistleblower Act and in the Commission's own rules and procedures. Where there is a conflict between the Whistleblower Act and the Commission's rules or procedures, the Commission's rules and procedures shall control.

b. *Complaints Against Employees.* Whistleblower complaints against employees of section 1 entities who are not judicial officers shall be filed with the Washington State Auditor's Office in accordance with the procedures set forth in RCW 42.40.040. Such complaints shall be governed in all respects by the provisions of Chapter 42.40 RCW, except that reports from the State Auditor that would otherwise be submitted to the Governor or Legislature under Chapter 42.40 RCW are to be submitted instead to the Supreme Court's Chief Justice.

c. *Exemption for Commission on Judicial Conduct's Confidential Investigations.* Jurisdiction for complaints filed against Commission on Judicial Conduct members, employees, and contractors relating to the conduct of its confidential investigations shall rest with the Commission on Judicial Conduct, to be conducted in accordance with its own Rules of Procedures and Policies. Other whistleblower complaints against Commission members, employees, and contractors shall be handled in accordance with the procedures set forth in subsection 2(b) above.

3. Claims of Workplace Reprisal or Retaliatory Action.

a. *Protection.* Whistleblowers who file a complaint under this policy are protected from workplace reprisal or retaliatory action.

b. *Applicability of Statutory Procedures and Remedies.* Except as set forth in subsection (3)(c), a whistleblower's claim of workplace reprisal or retaliatory action is to be governed by RCW 42.40.050 of the Whistleblower Act. The statute provides that the remedies for reprisal or retaliatory action are those available under Chapter 49.60 RCW. Chapter 49.60 RCW in turn authorizes the Human Rights Commission to investigate claims and negotiate settlements and authorizes an

administrative law judge to adjudicate claims and impose statutory remedies.

c. *Limitation of Remedies Against a Judicial Officer.* The Human Rights Commission and administrative law judges are not authorized to impose sanctions against a judicial officer. Under the Washington State Constitution, the authority to sanction judicial officers rests exclusively with the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Accordingly, if a judicial officer is the subject of a whistleblower's reprisal or retaliation claim, the administrative law judge may award the whistleblower applicable remedies under RCW 49.60.250 that serve to compensate a wronged whistleblower (e.g., restoration of benefits or back pay), but not those that serve to sanction a judicial officer (e.g., suspension or reprimand). Remedies awarded will not be compensated by individual judicial officers, but by their employing entity.

4. Information about Whistleblower Policy. The Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC") is responsible for informing, and periodically reminding, the employees and judicial officers of the entities covered by section 1 above as to the procedures and protections against retaliation that are available under this policy. Detailed information shall be made easily accessible on the AOC's web-sites and any web sites maintained by the entities listed in section 1 above. General information shall be displayed in workplace areas in all entities listed in section 1 above. Reminder notices shall be sent, at least annually, to each of the employees and judicial officers of the entities covered by section 1.

5. Definitions. For purposes of this policy, the following terms shall have the following definitions:

a. The term "judicial officer" means a judge or court commissioner, as well as any other magistrates, special masters, or referees for whom the Code of Judicial Conduct applies. See the Code of Judicial Conduct's introductory section entitled "Application of the Code of Judicial Conduct."

b. The term "employee" means a state judicial branch employee other than a judicial officer.

6. Savings clause. This policy shall not be construed to affect judicial immunity. Nothing in this policy affects the operation of GR 5 or GR 32.

7. Reservation of rights. The Supreme Court reserves the right to invoke the separation of powers doctrine and re-assert exclusive jurisdiction over any complaint involving allegations about fundamental judicial functions that should not be subject to oversight by another branch of government.

(Insert lines for date and signatures.)

TAB 7

2009-2011 Administrative Office of the Courts Budget Reduction Allocation Pass Through Programs Post 2010 Supplemental

Final Budget						
Pass Through Funds	2009-2011 Budget	09-11 Final Base Budget	2009 Final Reduction	2010 Final Reduction	Final Adjusted Budget	Percent Reduction
Guardian Ad Litem (SB 5470)	$700,000	$700,000	($400,000)	($4,499)	$295,501	-57.79%
Family & Juvenile Crt Improvement	$1,600,000	$1,600,000	($309,061)	($19,360)	$1,271,579	-20.53%
CASA	$7,800,000	$7,800,000	($1,241,889)	($98,351)	$6,459,760	-17.18%
Becca	$16,506,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	N/A
Truancy	$3,600,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	N/A
Interpreter Services	$1,560,000	$1,560,000	($301,335)	($18,831)	$1,239,834	-20.52%
Legal Financial Obligations	$3,453,194	$3,453,194	($667,030)	($40,029)	$2,746,135	-20.48%
Direct Pass Through Sub-Total	**$35,219,194**	**$15,113,194**	**($2,919,316)**	**($181,070)**	**$12,012,808**	-20.51%

NOTE: The reduction to GAL, FJCIP & CASA has not yet been determined. For presentation purposes the total reduction of $122,210 was proportionately allocated among the three.

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Adams County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$18,557	$45,068	$45,068	$108,693
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$3,384	$0	$3,384
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$18,557**	**$48,452**	**$45,068**	**$112,077**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$12,723	$55,711	$57,128	$125,562
Total OPD Funding	**$12,723**	**$55,711**	**$57,128**	**$125,562**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$47,146	$47,146	$47,146	$141,438
Total Local Funding	**$47,146**	**$47,146**	**$47,146**	**$141,438**
Total JIJ Funding	**$78,426**	**$151,309**	**$149,342**	**$379,077**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Asotin County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$18,346	$45,682	$45,682	$109,710
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$11,020	$0	$0	$11,020
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$20,937	$0	$20,937
Total AOC Funding	**$29,366**	**$66,619**	**$45,682**	**$141,667**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$13,717	$60,852	$59,350	$133,919
Total OPD Funding	**$13,717**	**$60,852**	**$59,350**	**$133,919**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$51,260	$51,260	$51,260	$153,780
Total Local Funding	**$51,260**	**$51,260**	**$51,260**	**$153,780**
Total JIJ Funding	**$94,343**	**$178,731**	**$156,292**	**$429,366**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Asotin-Garfield County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$100,183	$83,062	$183,245
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$49,934	$49,934
Total AOC Funding	**$0**	**$100,183**	**$132,996**	**$233,179**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Revenue Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
Total JIJ Funding	**$0**	**$100,183**	**$132,996**	**$233,179**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Columbia County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$8,176	$22,787	$22,787	$53,750
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$129,234	$129,234
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$8,176**	**$22,787**	**$152,021**	**$182,984**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$5,838	$24,840	$24,674	$55,352
Total OPD Funding	**$5,838**	**$24,840**	**$24,674**	**$55,352**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$12,918	$12,918	$12,918	$38,754
Total Local Funding	**$12,918**	**$12,918**	**$12,918**	**$38,754**
Total JIJ Funding	**$26,932**	**$60,545**	**$189,613**	**$277,090**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Garfield County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$5,420	$13,186	$13,186	$31,792
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$5,420**	**$13,186**	**$13,186**	**$31,792**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$4,741	$21,806	$22,468	$49,015
Total JIJ Funding	**$4,741**	**$21,806**	**$22,468**	**$49,015**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$7,702	$7,702	$7,702	$23,106
Total Local Funding	**$7,702**	**$7,702**	**$7,702**	**$23,106**

Total JIJ Funding	$17,863	$42,694	$43,356	$103,913

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Benton County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$63,262	$153,591	$153,591	$370,444
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$64,186	$68,680	$132,866
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$63,262**	**$217,777**	**$222,271**	**$503,310**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$451,394	$451,394	$451,394	$1,354,182
Total Local Funding	**$451,394**	**$451,394**	**$451,394**	**$1,354,182**
Total JIJ Funding	**$514,656**	**$669,171**	**$673,665**	**$1,857,492**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Benton-Franklin County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$39,851	$38,178	$78,029
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$53,947	$237,570	$210,184	$501,701
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$53,947**	**$277,421**	**$248,362**	**$579,730**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$870,256	$1,292,142	$1,284,577	$3,446,975
Total OPD Funding	**$870,256**	**$1,292,142**	**$1,284,577**	**$3,446,975**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
Total JIJ Funding	**$924,203**	**$1,569,563**	**$1,532,939**	**$4,026,705**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Franklin County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$49,184	$75,448	$124,632
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$21,088**	**$100,380**	**$126,644**	**$248,112**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$27,441	$130,382	$128,160	$285,983
Total OPD Funding	**$27,441**	**$130,382**	**$128,160**	**$285,983**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$175,324	$175,324	$175,324	$525,972
Total Local Funding	**$175,324**	**$175,324**	**$175,324**	**$525,972**

Total JIJ Funding	$223,853	$406,086	$430,128	$1,060,067

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Chelan County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$102,394	$102,394	$246,964
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$23,258	$23,408	$46,666
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$32,065	$123,043	$0	$155,108
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$22,371	$38,650	$61,021
Total AOC Funding	**$74,241**	**$271,066**	**$164,452**	**$509,759**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$37,425	$514,512	$569,307	$1,121,244
Total OPD Funding	**$37,425**	**$514,512**	**$569,307**	**$1,121,244**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$226,688	$226,688	$226,688	$680,064
Total Local Funding	**$226,688**	**$226,688**	**$226,688**	**$680,064**
Total JIJ Funding	**$338,354**	**$1,012,266**	**$960,447**	**$2,311,067**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Chelan-Douglas County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$32,115	$135,598	$167,713
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$0**	**$32,115**	**$135,598**	**$167,713**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2007**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2007**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
Total JIJ Funding	**$0**	**$32,115**	**$135,598**	**$167,713**

Prepared by AOC

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Douglas County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$42,361	$37,298	$79,659
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$10,000	$0	$0	$10,000
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$31,088**	**$93,557**	**$88,494**	**$213,139**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$17,467	$0	$0	$17,467
Total OPD Funding	**$17,467**	**$0**	**$0**	**$17,467**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$74,330	$74,330	$74,330	$222,990
Total Local Funding	**$74,330**	**$74,330**	**$74,330**	**$222,990**
Total JIJ Funding	**$122,885**	**$167,887**	**$162,824**	**$453,596**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Clallam County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$33,741	$81,914	$81,914	$197,569
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$20,716	$175,705	$143,294	$339,715
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$54,457**	**$257,619**	**$225,208**	**$537,284**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$164,819	$662,652	$667,027	$1,494,498
Total OPD Funding	**$164,819**	**$662,652**	**$667,027**	**$1,494,498**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$169,728	$169,728	$169,728	$509,184
Total Local Funding	**$169,728**	**$169,728**	**$169,728**	**$509,184**
Total JIJ Funding	**$389,004**	**$1,089,999**	**$1,061,963**	**$2,540,966**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Clark County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$126,527	$307,181	$307,181	$740,889
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$145,377	$109,974	$255,351
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$102,346	$371,894	$303,936	$778,176
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$228,873**	**$824,452**	**$721,091**	**$1,774,416**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$689,308	$2,613,381	$2,623,127	$5,925,816
Total OPD Funding	**$689,308**	**$2,613,381**	**$2,623,127**	**$5,925,816**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$964,758	$964,758	$964,758	$2,894,274
Total Local Funding	**$964,758**	**$964,758**	**$964,758**	**$2,894,274**
Total JIJ Funding	**$1,882,939**	**$4,402,591**	**$4,308,976**	**$10,594,506**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Cowlitz County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$114,790	$114,790	$271,756
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$5,200	$0	$5,200
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$55,664	$228,210	$186,950	$470,824
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$48,010	$142,468	$190,478
Total AOC Funding	**$97,840**	**$396,210**	**$444,208**	**$938,258**
Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$914,633	$1,036,117	$1,021,881	$2,972,631
Total OPD Funding	**$914,633**	**$1,036,117**	**$1,021,881**	**$2,972,631**
JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	**2005-07**	**2007-09**	**2009-11**	**TOTAL**
	$302,896	$302,896	$302,896	$908,688
Total Local Funding	**$302,896**	**$302,896**	**$302,896**	**$908,688**
Total JIJ Funding	**$1,315,369**	**$1,735,223**	**$1,768,985**	**$4,819,577**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Ferry County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$7,592	$18,431	$18,431	$44,454
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$52,910	$41,530	$94,440
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$7,592**	**$71,341**	**$59,961**	**$138,894**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$7,198	$305,328	$582,376	$894,902
Total OPD funding	**$7,198**	**$305,328**	**$582,376**	**$894,902**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$12,526	$12,526	$12,526	$37,578
Total Local Funding	**$12,526**	**$12,526**	**$12,526**	**$37,578**

Total JIJ Funding	$27,316	$389,195	$654,863	$1,071,374

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Pend Oreille County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$14,847	$32,577	$32,577	$80,001
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$43,430	$41,530	$84,960
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$14,847**	**$76,007**	**$74,107**	**$164,961**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$532,394	$707,778	$475,703	$1,715,875
Total OPD Funding	**$532,394**	**$707,778**	**$475,703**	**$1,715,875**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$30,818	$30,818	$30,818	$92,454
Total Local Funding	**$30,818**	**$30,818**	**$30,818**	**$92,454**

Total JIJ Funding	$578,059	$814,603	$580,628	$1,973,290

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Stevens County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$6,905	$137,933	$119,838	$264,676
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$27,993**	**$189,129**	**$171,034**	**$388,156**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$19,556	$83,601	$84,168	$187,325
Total OPD Funding	**$19,556**	**$83,601**	**$84,168**	**$187,325**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$93,734	$93,734	$93,734	$281,202
Total Local Funding	**$93,734**	**$93,734**	**$93,734**	**$281,202**

Total JIJ Funding	$141,283	$366,464	$348,936	$856,683

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Steven Tri County

Stevens/Pend Oreille/Ferry Counties

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$20,716	$0	$0	$20,716
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$20,716**	**$0**	**$0**	**$20,716**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Fund gin	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Total JIJ Funding	$20,716	$0	$0	$20,716

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Grant County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$102,394	$102,394	$246,964
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$12,326	$0	$12,326
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$31,871	$164,842	$147,068	$343,781
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$74,047**	**$279,562**	**$249,462**	**$603,071**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$407,748	$911,236	$794,513	$2,113,497
Total OPD Funding	**$407,748**	**$911,236**	**$794,513**	**$2,113,497**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$284,882	$284,882	$284,882	$854,646
Total Local Funding	**$284,882**	**$284,882**	**$284,882**	**$854,646**

Total JIJ Funding	$766,677	$1,475,680	$1,328,857	$3,571,214

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Grays Harbor County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$102,394	$102,394	$246,964
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$55,404	$211,264	$176,148	$442,816
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$97,580**	**$313,658**	**$278,542**	**$689,780**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$418,292	$963,770	$1,050,614	$2,432,676
Total OPD Funding	**$418,292**	**$963,770**	**$1,050,614**	**$2,432,676**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$264,822	$264,822	$264,822	$794,466
Total Local Funding	**$264,822**	**$264,822**	**$264,822**	**$794,466**

Total JIJ Funding	$780,694	$1,542,250	$1,593,978	$3,916,922

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Island County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$446	$0	$446
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$23,305	$146,052	$118,062	$287,419
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$21,911	$39,796	$61,707
Total AOC Funding	**$44,393**	**$219,605**	**$209,054**	**$473,052**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$25,616	$115,990	$117,500	$259,106
Total OPD Funding	**$25,616**	**$115,990**	**$117,500**	**$259,106**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$157,286	$157,286	$157,286	$471,858
Total Local Funding	**$157,286**	**$157,286**	**$157,286**	**$471,858**

Total JIJ Funding	$227,295	$492,881	$483,840	$1,204,016

Prepared by AOC

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

San Juan County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$16,238	$39,420	$39,420	$95,078
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$9,973	$18,238	$41,530	$69,741
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$26,211**	**$57,658**	**$80,950**	**$164,819**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$8,328	$37,279	$37,070	$82,677
Total OPD Funding	**$8,328**	**$37,279**	**$37,070**	**$82,677**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$31,156	$31,156	$31,156	$93,468
Total Local Funding	**$31,156**	**$31,156**	**$31,156**	**$93,468**

Total JIJ Funding	**$65,695**	**$126,093**	**$149,176**	**$340,964**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Jefferson County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$500	$0	$500
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$10,854	$48,776	$41,530	$101,160
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$23,272	$104,014	$127,286
Total AOC Funding	**$31,942**	**$123,744**	**$196,740**	**$352,426**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$13,146	$164,673	$212,141	$389,960
Total OPD Funding	**$13,146**	**$164,673**	**$212,141**	**$389,960**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$76,780	$76,780	$76,780	$230,340
Total Local Funding	**$76,780**	**$76,780**	**$76,780**	**$230,340**

Total JIJ Funding	**$121,868**	**$365,197**	**$485,661**	**$972,726**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Kalispel Tribe

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$50,000	$41,530	$91,530
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$0**	**$50,000**	**$41,530**	**$91,530**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Total JIJ Funding	$0	$50,000	$41,530	$91,530

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

King County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$609,686	$1,782,803	$1,782,803	$4,175,292
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$379,181	$328,954	$708,135
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$300,796	$1,126,158	$860,670	$2,287,624
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$30,215	$154,968	$185,183
Total AOC Funding	**$910,482**	**$3,318,357**	**$3,127,395**	**$7,356,234**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$753,603	$2,899,279	$2,915,720	$6,568,602
Total OPD Funding	**$753,603**	**$2,899,279**	**$2,915,720**	**$6,568,602**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$4,380,382	$4,380,382	$4,380,382	$13,141,146
Total Local Funding	**$4,380,382**	**$4,380,382**	**$4,380,382**	**$13,141,146**

Total JIJ Funding	$6,044,467	$10,598,018	$10,423,497	$27,065,982

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Kitsap County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$90,907	$253,424	$253,424	$597,755
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$33,936	$29,832	$63,768
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$75,516	$301,473	$241,558	$618,547
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$78,450	$146,276	$224,726
Total AOC Funding	**$166,423**	**$667,283**	**$671,090**	**$1,504,796**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$645,406	$1,833,115	$1,840,755	$4,319,276
Total OPD Funding	**$645,406**	**$1,833,115**	**$1,840,755**	**$4,319,276**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$653,034	$653,034	$653,034	$1,959,102
Total Local Funding	**$653,034**	**$653,034**	**$653,034**	**$1,959,102**

Total JIJ Funding	$1,464,863	$3,153,432	$3,164,879	$7,783,174

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Kittitas County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$25,212	$71,301	$71,301	$167,814
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$10,358	$100,000	$83,062	$193,420
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$35,570**	**$171,301**	**$154,363**	**$361,234**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$177,367	$406,348	$397,963	$981,679
Total OPD Funding	**$177,367**	**$406,348**	**$397,963**	**$981,679**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$133,672	$133,672	$133,672	$401,016
Total Local Funding	**$133,672**	**$133,672**	**$133,672**	**$401,016**

Total JIJ Funding	$346,609	$711,321	$685,998	$1,743,929

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Klickitat County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$16,386	$35,811	$35,811	$88,008
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$10,000	$100,000	$83,062	$193,062
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$26,386**	**$135,811**	**$118,873**	**$281,070**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$13,264	$183,257	$206,642	$403,163
Total OPD Funding	**$13,264**	**$183,257**	**$206,642**	**$403,163**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$57,572	$57,572	$57,572	$172,716
Total Local Funding	**$57,572**	**$57,572**	**$57,572**	**$172,716**

Total JIJ Funding	$97,222	$376,640	$383,087	$856,949

Prepared by AOC

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Skamania County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$24,282	$24,282	$48,564
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$9,230	$28,042	$41,530	$78,802
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$9,230**	**$52,324**	**$65,812**	**$127,366**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$8,837	$71,425	$78,230	$158,492
Total OPD Funding	**$8,837**	**$71,425**	**$78,230**	**$158,492**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$33,350	$33,350	$33,350	$100,050
Total Local Funding	**$33,350**	**$33,350**	**$33,350**	**$100,050**

Total JIJ Funding	$51,417	$157,099	$177,392	$385,908

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Lewis County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$102,394	$102,394	$246,964
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$28,435	$205,632	$154,540	$388,607
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$70,611**	**$308,026**	**$256,934**	**$635,571**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$43,729	$192,279	$196,166	$432,174
Total OPD Funding	**$43,729**	**$192,279**	**$196,166**	**$432,174**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$226,954	$226,954	$226,954	$680,862
Total Local Funding	**$226,954**	**$226,954**	**$226,954**	**$680,862**

Total JIJ Funding	$341,294	$727,259	$680,054	$1,748,607

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Lincoln County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$15,605	$44,549	$44,549	$104,703
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$15,605**	**$44,549**	**$44,549**	**$104,703**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$6,989	$32,164	$32,194	$71,347
Total OPD Funding	**$6,989**	**$32,164**	**$32,194**	**$71,347**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$518,992	$518,992	$518,992	$1,556,976
Total Local Funding	**$518,992**	**$518,992**	**$518,992**	**$1,556,976**

Total JIJ Funding	$541,586	$595,705	$595,735	$1,733,026

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Mason County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$15,469	$0	$15,469
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$12,164	$135,957	$126,792	$274,913
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$33,252**	**$202,622**	**$177,988**	**$413,862**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$27,716	$542,291	$656,110	$1,226,117
Total OPD Funding	**$27,716**	**$542,291**	**$656,110**	**$1,226,117**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$160,070	$160,070	$160,070	$480,210
Total Local Funding	**$160,070**	**$160,070**	**$160,070**	**$480,210**

Total JIJ Funding	$221,038	$904,983	$994,168	$2,120,189

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Okanogan County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$102,394	$102,394	$246,964
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$47,843	$45,312	$93,155
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$12,356	$100,000	$83,062	$195,418
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$54,532**	**$250,237**	**$230,768**	**$535,537**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$21,026	$92,912	$92,218	$206,156
Total OPD Funding	**$21,026**	**$92,912**	**$92,218**	**$206,156**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$110,818	$110,818	$110,818	$332,454
Total Local Funding	**$110,818**	**$110,818**	**$110,818**	**$332,454**

Total JIJ Funding	$186,376	$453,967	$433,804	$1,074,147

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Pacific County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$17,963	$35,676	$35,676	$89,315
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$17,963	$2,478	$20,441
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$17,963**	**$53,639**	**$38,154**	**$109,756**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$179,508	$285,028	$503,768	$968,304
Total OPD Funding	**$179,508**	**$285,028**	**$503,768**	**$968,304**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$63,162	$63,162	$63,162	$189,486
Total Local Funding	**$63,162**	**$63,162**	**$63,162**	**$189,486**

Total JIJ Funding	$260,633	$401,829	$605,084	$1,267,546

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Wahkiakum County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$6,824	$20,480	$20,480	$47,784
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$6,824**	**$20,480**	**$20,480**	**$47,784**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$5,646	$26,052	$26,948	$58,646
Total OPD Funding	**$5,646**	**$26,052**	**$26,948**	**$58,646**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$15,384	$15,384	$15,384	$46,152
Total Local Funding	**$15,384**	**$15,384**	**$15,384**	**$46,152**

Total JIJ Funding	$27,854	$61,916	$62,812	$152,582

Prepared by AOC

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Pierce County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$227,584	$584,047	$584,047	$1,395,678
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$291,377	$216,320	$507,697
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$175,210	$661,894	$535,538	$1,372,642
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$72,790	$154,968	$227,758
Total AOC Funding	**$402,794**	**$1,610,108**	**$1,490,873**	**$3,503,775**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$1,248,424	$2,615,866	$2,674,918	$6,539,208
Total OPD Funding	**$1,248,424**	**$2,615,866**	**$2,674,918**	**$6,539,208**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$1,922,980	$1,922,980	$1,922,980	$5,768,940
Total Local Funding	**$1,922,980**	**$1,922,980**	**$1,922,980**	**$5,768,940**

Total JIJ Funding	**$3,574,198**	**$6,148,954**	**$6,088,771**	**$15,811,923**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Skagit County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$37,299	$71,352	$71,352	$180,003
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$34,814	$31,726	$66,540
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$0	$0	$0
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$37,299**	**$106,166**	**$103,078**	**$246,543**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$844,105	$1,355,982	$1,394,649	$3,594,736
Total OPD Funding	**$844,105**	**$1,355,982**	**$1,394,649**	**$3,594,736**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$335,158	$335,158	$335,158	$1,005,474
Total Local Funding	**$335,158**	**$335,158**	**$335,158**	**$1,005,474**

Total JIJ Funding	$1,216,562	$1,797,306	$1,832,885	$4,846,753

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Snohomish County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$213,934	$572,198	$572,198	$1,358,330
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$182,156	$160,708	$342,864
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$220,534	$654,106	$543,530	$1,418,170
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$65,076	$134,186	$199,262
Total AOC Funding	**$434,468**	**$1,473,536**	**$1,410,622**	**$3,318,626**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$1,610,438	$5,219,702	$5,376,010	$12,206,150
Total OPD Funding	**$1,610,438**	**$5,219,702**	**$5,376,010**	**$12,206,150**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$1,689,936	$1,689,936	$1,689,936	$5,069,808
Total Local Funding	**$1,689,936**	**$1,689,936**	**$1,689,936**	**$5,069,808**

Total JIJ Funding	$3,734,842	$8,383,174	$8,476,568	$20,594,584

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Spokane County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$189,790	$448,376	$448,376	$1,086,542
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$180,820	$618,368	$525,400	$1,324,588
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$46,344	$104,872	$151,216
Total AOC Funding	**$370,610**	**$1,113,088**	**$1,078,648**	**$2,562,346**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$1,310,730	$4,683,539	$4,668,816	$10,663,085
Total OPD Funding	**$1,310,730**	**$4,683,539**	**$4,668,816**	**$10,663,085**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$1,264,980	$1,264,980	$1,264,980	$3,794,940
Total Local Funding	**$1,264,980**	**$1,264,980**	**$1,264,980**	**$3,794,940**

Total JIJ Funding	$2,946,320	$7,061,607	$7,012,444	$17,020,371

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Spokane Tribe of Indians

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$5,422	$90,140	$83,062	$178,624
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$5,422**	**$90,140**	**$83,062**	**$178,624**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Total JIJ Funding	$5,422	$90,140	$83,062	$178,624

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Thurston County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$54,797	$189,714	$189,714	$434,225
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$55,664	$217,528	$189,170	$462,362
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$23,655	$110,400	$134,055
Total AOC Funding	**$110,461**	**$430,897**	**$489,284**	**$1,030,642**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$298,703	$1,481,582	$1,341,375	$3,121,660
Total OPD Funding	**$298,703**	**$1,481,582**	**$1,341,375**	**$3,121,660**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$587,360	$587,360	$587,360	$1,762,080
Total Local Funding	**$587,360**	**$587,360**	**$587,360**	**$1,762,080**

Total JIJ Funding	$996,524	$2,499,839	$2,418,019	$5,914,382

Prepared by AOC

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Walla Walla County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$23,938	$61,436	$61,436	$146,810
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$29,347	$169,026	$0	$198,373
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$53,285**	**$230,462**	**$61,436**	**$345,183**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$30,878	$116,990	$116,406	$264,274
Total OPD Funding	**$30,878**	**$116,990**	**$116,406**	**$264,274**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$192,222	$192,222	$192,222	$576,666
Total Local Funding	**$192,222**	**$192,222**	**$192,222**	**$576,666**

Total JIJ Funding	$276,385	$539,674	$370,064	$1,186,123

Prepared by AOC

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Whatcom County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$42,176	$102,394	$102,394	$246,964
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$72,651	$137,448	$210,099
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$42,176**	**$175,045**	**$239,842**	**$457,063**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$309,421	$647,406	$375,278	$1,332,105
Total OPD Funding	**$309,421**	**$647,406**	**$375,278**	**$1,332,105**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$431,996	$431,996	$431,996	$1,295,988
Total Local Funding	**$431,996**	**$431,996**	**$431,996**	**$1,295,988**

Total JIJ Funding	$783,593	$1,254,447	$1,047,116	$3,085,156

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Whitman County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$21,088	$51,196	$51,196	$123,480
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$9,235	$49,659	$41,530	$100,424
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$30,323**	**$100,855**	**$92,726**	**$223,904**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$18,034	$80,566	$79,312	$177,912
Total OPD Funding	**$18,034**	**$80,566**	**$79,312**	**$177,912**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$80,180	$80,180	$80,180	$240,540
Total Local Funding	**$80,180**	**$80,180**	**$80,180**	**$240,540**

Total JIJ Funding	$128,537	$261,601	$252,218	$642,356

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Yakima County

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$114,521	$307,181	$307,181	$728,883
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$110,148	$90,352	$200,500
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$98,821	$400,578	$316,070	$815,469
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$213,342**	**$817,907**	**$713,603**	**$1,744,852**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$1,043,351	$2,224,331	$2,269,532	$5,537,214
Total OPD Funding	**$1,043,351**	**$2,224,331**	**$2,269,532**	**$5,537,214**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$624,336	$624,336	$624,336	$1,873,008
Total Local Funding	**$624,336**	**$624,336**	**$624,336**	**$1,873,008**

Total JIJ Funding	$1,881,029	$3,666,574	$3,607,471	$9,155,074

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Yakima Confederated Nation

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$0	$0	$0	$0
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$0	$0	$0
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$0	$74,493	$83,062	$157,555
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total AOC Funding	**$0**	**$74,493**	**$83,062**	**$157,555**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total OPD Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Local Funding	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Total JIJ Funding	**$0**	**$74,493**	**$83,062**	**$157,555**

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Washington State Totals

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - AOC	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
District and Qualifying Municipal Court Judges' Salaries	$2,400,000	$6,349,993	$6,349,993	$15,099,986
Language Assistance Plans (LAP)	$0	$1,498,960	$1,258,668	$2,757,628
CASA (2007 Expansion)	$1,678,694	$7,247,870	$6,090,110	$15,016,674
Family & Juvenile Court Improvement	$0	$453,031	$1,180,532	$1,633,563
Total AOC Funding	**$4,078,694**	**$15,549,854**	**$14,879,303**	**$34,507,851**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OPD	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Appellate/Parents Representation/Indigent Defense	$12,787,821	$34,692,165	$35,054,794	$82,534,781
Total OPD Funding	**$12,787,821**	**$34,692,165**	**$35,054,794**	**$82,534,781**

Justice in Jeopardy (JIJ) Initiative Funding - OCLA	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
Civil Legal Aid	$3,624,000	$8,368,000	$8,368,000	$20,360,000
Total OCLA Funding	**$3,624,000**	**$8,368,000**	**$8,368,000**	**$20,360,000**

JIJ Filing Fee Increase - Local Revenue Estimate	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11	TOTAL
	$16,918,686	$16,918,686	$16,918,686	$50,756,058
Total Local Funding	**$16,918,686**	**$16,918,686**	**$16,918,686**	**$50,756,058**

Total JIJ Funding	$37,409,201	$75,528,705	$75,220,783	$188,158,690

Justice in Jeopardy Funding Matrix

2005-07 through 2007-09 Actuals & 2009-11 Funding Estimates

Office of Civil Legal Aid

Counties	2005-07 Funding/FTEs	2007-09 Funding/FTEs	2009-11 Funding/FTEs	Total OCLA
Ferry, Stevens, Pend Oreille	$0	$520,000/2.0	$520,000/2.0	$1,040,000
Spokane, Lincoln, Whitman	$520,000/1.50	$390,000/1.5	$390,000/1.5	$1,300,000
Walla Walla, Columbia, Garfield, Asotin	$780,000/3.0	$520,000/3.0	$'520,000/3.0	$1,820,000
Okanogan	$0	$260,000/1.0	$260,000/1.0	$520,000
Chelan, Douglas/Grant, Adams	$260,000/1.0	$260,000/2.0	$260,000/2.0	$780,000
Benton, Franklin	$0	$520,000/2.0	$520,000/2.0	$1,040,000
Kittitas, Yakima	$260,000/1.0	$390,000/2.5	$390,000/2.5	$1,040,000
Clark, Skamania, Klickitat	$0	$0	$0	$0
Whatcom, Skagit, San Juan, Island	$104,000/.80	$208,000/.80	$208,000/.80	$624,000
Snohomish	$0	$260,000/1.0	$260,000/1.0	$520,000
King incl. King County CLEAR	$530,000/2.0	$1,530,000/2.0	$1,530,000/2.0	$3,590,000
Pierce	$130,000	$130,000	$130,000	$390,000
Thurston, Mason, Lewis	$130,000/1.0	$260,000/1.0	$260,000/1.0	$780,000
Cowlitz, Wahkiakum	$0	$780,000/3.0	$780,000/3.0	$1,560,000
Kitsap	$0	$0	$0	$0
Grays Harbor, Pacific	$0	$520,000/2.0	$520,000/2.0	$1,040,000
Clallam, Jefferson	$0	$520,000/2.0	$520,000/2.0	$1,040,000
Statewide	$910,000/4.0	$1,300,000/4.0	$1,300,000/4.0	$3,510,000
Total OCLA JIJ Funding	**$3,624,000/14.3**	**$8,368,000**	**$8,368,000**	**$20,360,000**

Biennial amounts include supplemental appropriations