

## Faulk, Camilla

---

**From:** Glenda Voller [gvoller\_seattle@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 30, 2008 10:14 AM  
**To:** Faulk, Camilla  
**Subject:** General Rule 23 comments

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23  
( c ) Certified Professional Guardian Board.  
(1) Establishment.  
( i ) Membership

Lines 25 and 26 ought be removed; No more than one-third of the Board membership shall be practicing professional guardians. This is too restrictive to the livelihood of the board. I don't think it possible to predict the future as to the personnel that will be available to participate on the Board. I would prefer that option to be open so as to avoid hurdles for naming board members in the future.

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23  
( c ) Certified Professional Guardian Board.  
( 2 ) Duties and Powers.  
( ii ) Standards of Practice.

This section ought establish the maximum value of an estate that a new CPG can expect to be appointed for when the CPG is establishing their client business. But also considering the CPGs experience and exposure to managing estates. Setting a dollar value for the estate with number of years experience in financial management are key elements to determine how fitting it is for a new CPG to be appointed on a case when it is of a CPGs first appointments.

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23  
( c ) Certified Professional Board.  
( 2 ) Duties and Powers.  
( ix ) Investigation.

This section ought name "who" the Board may give authority to so as to conduct an investigation of the conduct of a professional guardian. That is assuming the Board may delegate some aspects of the investigation.

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23  
( d ) Certification Requirements.  
( 1 ) Individual Certification

( iii ) Have no felony or misdemeanor convictions involving moral turpitude.

Lines 45 and 46 use the term moral and that word should be deleted and changed to professional ethics. The use of professional ethics is a term that is used in professional settings and this would provide consistency. The term moral almost has a bad stigma to it.

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23  
( d ) Certification Requirements.  
( 1 ) Individual Certification.

( iv ) On this issue of minimum education required; tho hotly debated, by guardians, I will agree that a higher level of education is a reasonable expectation as this profession advances into the future. Tho not a guarantee, that higher education can attempt to reduce corrupt or wayward guardians, higher education requirement can serve as a protection barrier for the profession as a whole.

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23  
( d ) Certification Requirements.

( 8 ) Disclosure (ii) delete the words moral turpitude and use professional ethics.  
Same reasoning as above in ( d ) ( 1 ) ( iii ).

RE: Comments on Proposed Amendment to General Rule 23

( d ) ( 8 ) ( i ) ( ii ) ( iii ) ( iv ) ( v ) ( vi ) ( vii ) all sections ought list a time limit of seven ( 7 ) years from date of application. Seven years being the standard, common practice for time limits on matters such as these.

Respectfully Submitted  
Glenda A. Voller, CPG  
Montlake Guardianship & Trustee Services, LLC  
P.O. Box 2225  
Seattle, WA 98111  
1926 East Calhoun Street  
Seattle, WA 98112  
206-682-2176

Interim Secretary,  
Washington State Association of Professional Guardians