

Faulk, Camilla

From: Pam Privette [jpprivette@earthlink.net]
Sent: Saturday, April 26, 2008 5:29 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: Comments on Proposed GR 23 changes

Comments on GR 23 proposed changes:

1. I disagree using the credit history of a candidate. Though many on the Board may feel comfortable judging the credit background, I would ask that this not be a heavy weighing factor. A candidate may prove any negative activity was due to lifestyle issues such as death of a spouse or child, divorce, health changes, identity theft, etc. To use this information, the Board should use such reports to open dialogue in assessing the applicant, not as a "key" factor.
2. The bankruptcy disclosure should be only for the same period the credit reporting agencies keep record of it.
3. Why such a small representation from the very people for whom the Board is interested in? CPG's offer broad exposure based on their demographics, tenure and expertise. Thus their participation on the Board should be embraced with strong representation.
4. Though I agree with the proposed standards of the education/experience criteria, I would suggest the Board put flexibility in their language to discern the application of an exceptional candidate. There are plenty of areas in guardianships that would embrace quality specializations that may not necessarily meet the experience/education criteria proposed in this rule.

Respectfully submitted,

Pam Privette CPG #9714
Sound Guardianship LLC
PO Box 11695
Olympia, WA 98508
360-866-1063