

Faulk, Camilla

From: Victoria Barr [victoria.barr@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 4:49 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: GR 23 comment on limiting number of CPGs on CPG board

I oppose the limitation on the number of CPGs on the CPG board. Most professional governing bodies are comprised entirely, or primarily, of members of the profession. Why not so for CPGs? Furthermore The board should not just have CPGs, it should have CPGs who have had substantial experience in actual practice. As for the attorneys on the board, there should be attorneys who have actually represented CPGs and understand the nature and pitfalls of the work of CPGs. I think the composition of the board would benefit more from flexibility in appointments rather than from strict numerical quotas, but if there are to be numerical quotas I would certainly prefer to see the board have a majority, rather than a minority, of its members be experienced CPGs.

Victoria Barr, Attorney at Law (and non-practicing CPG)
Tel. 206.696.5858
Fax 206.938.5858
victoria.barr@comcast.net