



WASHINGTON
COURTS

Superior Court Judges' Association

April 9, 2010

Justice Charles Johnson
Washington State Supreme Court
P.O. Box 40929
Olympia, WA 98504

Re: Proposed Changes to CrR 3.1 (d) (4)

Dear Justice Johnson,

The Superior Court Judges' Association (SCJA) opposes the proposed changes to CrR 3.1 (d) (4) published for comment by the Supreme Court.

The proposed rule would impose direct responsibility on the judiciary for determining whether counsel meets the Standards for Indigent Defense Services and whether counsel has the "proficiency, ability and commitment" necessary for the specific proceedings. We disagree with the submission stated on the General Rule 9 coversheet that this role is a "judicial function". Please note that our colleagues in the District and Municipal Court Judges' Association also opposed the published rule amendment.

The SCJA feels the court should be able to rely on state and county authorities that have processes in place to hire competent attorneys. Those processes should ensure courts that the attorneys are qualified to take appointments by meeting whatever minimum requirements apply.

The proposed rule change creates the potential for significant conflict between the judicial branch, on the one hand, and the executive and legislative branches, on the other hand. While the judicial branch would be responsible for assessing the qualifications of the defense attorney, the other branches of government would have the actual responsibility for hiring and firing employees or contracting for public defense services. The legislature already requires each city and county to adopt standards for the delivery of public defense services. (RCW 10.101.030.) The hiring or contracting public agency is in a much better position than the court to investigate the qualifications of its defense attorneys and monitor adherence to the public defense standards that the legislature requires be adopted by the public agency. The public agency may feel required to challenge each and every ruling by a court that an attorney may not proceed on a case, since the court's ruling would be a challenge to how the other two branches of government

Tari S. Eitzen, President (09-10)
Spokane County Superior Court
1116 W Broadway Ave
Spokane, WA 99260
(509) 477-5790 FAX: (509) 477-5714

Stephen Warning, President-Elect (09-10)
Cowlitz County Superior Court
312 SW 1st Ave, 2nd Fl
Kelso, WA 98626-1739
(360) 577-3085

Richard F. McDermott, Immed. Past Pres. (08-09)
King County Superior Court, Maleng Justice Center
401 4th Avenue N, Room 2D
Kent, WA 98032-4429
(206) 296-9115 FAX: (206) 205-2585

John O. Linde, Secretary (09-10)
San Juan County Superior Court
350 Court St, #7
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-7901
(360) 378-2399 FAX: (360) 249-6381

Chris Washington, Treasurer (09-10)
King County Superior Court
516 Third Avenue, Room C-203
Seattle, WA 98104-2361
(206) 296-9111 FAX: (206) 296-0986

Patricia Clark, District One Trustee (09-12)
King County Superior Court
516 Third Ave, Room C-203
Seattle, WA 98104-2361
(206) 296-9190 FAX: (206) 296-0986

Brian Gain, District One Trustee (07-10)
King County Superior Court, Maleng Justice Center
401 4th Avenue N, Room 2D
Kent, WA 98032-4429
(206) 296-9170 FAX: (206) 205-2585

Russell Hartman, District Two Trustee (08-11)
Kitsap County Superior Court
614 Division St, MS 24
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4683
(360) 337-7140 Fax: (360) 337-4673

Larry McKeeman, District Three Trustee (09-12)
Snohomish County Superior Courts
3000 Rockefeller Ave, MS 502
Everett, WA 98201-4046
(425) 388-3435 FAX: (425) 388-3498

Christine Pomeroy, District Four Trustee (09-12)
Thurston County Superior Court
2000 Lakeridge Dr SW, Bldg 2
Olympia, WA 98502
(360) 709-3232 FAX: (360) 754-4060

Craig Matheson, District Five Trustee (08-11)
Benton County Superior Court
7122 W Okanogan Pl, Bldg A
Kennewick, WA 99336-2359
(509) 736-3071 FAX: (509) 736-3057

Gregory D. Sypolt, District Six Trustee (07-10)
Spokane County Superior Court
1116 W Broadway Avenue
Spokane, WA 99260-0350
(509) 477-6373 FAX: (509) 477-5790

Letter to Justice Johnson
CrR 3.1
Page 2

are performing their statutory obligations

If adopted, the proposed rule change may also make it difficult for courts to rule on motions alleging ineffective assistance of counsel, since the court will have already approved the "proficiency, ability and commitment" of the attorney at the beginning of the case.

Thank you for your consideration of the SCJA's comments on this proposed rule change.

Very truly yours,

Tari Eitzen
President-Judge
Superior Court Judges' Association

cc: SCJA Board of Trustees
Judge Salvatore Cozza
Camilla Faulk
Regina McDougall