

## Foster, Denise

---

**From:** Knox, Kathy [kknox@spokanecity.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 27, 2013 12:06 PM  
**To:** Foster, Denise  
**Subject:** Comment to Proposed Rule Change to GR 31(I) & to ALRJ 9

The proposed change to GR 31(I) jeopardizes people's sometimes highly sensitive personal information. The deletion of ARLJ 9 without any protection is a problem. Although the public has a right to know, individual members of the public have a right of privacy that must be protected. Documents like treatment records, evaluations, and progress notes, like a probation officer's notes, anything containing social security numbers, names and addresses should be protected, and anything that might lead to the person's victimization as to identity theft or disclosure of delays of a personal nature. ARLJ 9 should not be repealed, but should be replaced by something that has the same protections.

Please try to have a rule that strikes a balance between the right to know and rights of privacy. No one in a criminal case at any level of the court can be deemed to think to request sealing of records. There must be some protections.

Kathy Knox  
City of Spokane Public Defender's Office  
[kknox@spokanecity.org](mailto:kknox@spokanecity.org)