

**Tracy, Mary**

---

**From:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK  
**Sent:** Monday, December 01, 2014 8:14 AM  
**To:** Tracy, Mary  
**Subject:** FW: Comment to LLLT RPCs

And another..

*Kris Triboulet*  
Receptionist/Secretary  
Washington State Supreme Court  
[Kristine.triboulet@courts.wa.gov](mailto:Kristine.triboulet@courts.wa.gov)  
360-357-2077

**From:** Priscilla Selden [mailto:pseldenrp@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 29, 2014 1:28 PM  
**To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK  
**Subject:** Comment to LLLT RPCs

I am a student in the first class of Limited License Legal Technicians. I am submitting the following comment to the proposed LLLT RPCs.

RPC 1.0B(h). It would be helpful to come up with some finality in the terminology describing the relationship between the LLLT and clients. (Note: the term "client" is assumed universally in the LLLT RPCs, a carryover from the Lawyer RPCs.) I am not certain how to describe my relationship with clients to them, or to others: do I represent them? Or am I a service provider? Is there another more accurate term?

The RPC 1.0B (h) definition of representation: **"'represent' when used in connection with the provision of legal assistance by an LLLT denotes limited legal assistance as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client";** and LLLT RPC 4.2, .3 comment 3: "an LLLT **does not represent** a client in court proceedings...but provides limited legal assistance to a pro se client," provide one example of the confusion. Another, RPC 1.5(b): "The scope of the **representation** and the basis or rate of the fee...shall be communicated...before commencing the representation." RPC 1.16 is entitled **"Declining or Terminating Representation."** But, RPC 4.2, .3, comment 3 states: "The client of an LLLT is an **unrepresented** person for purposes of Lawyer *[sic]* RPC 4.2 and 4.3." (All emphasis added.) I'm suggesting it would be helpful to LLLTs and the public to come up with a consistent, understandable term for the relationship, and appreciate your efforts in that direction.

Perhaps “representation” is most suitable. Or “limited scope representation” /”represents in a limited scope,” as suggested in the Preamble (2), (8), to the rules. In any case, it would be helpful to settle on a term.

Thank you.

*- Priscilla Selden, RP*