

Tracy, Mary

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 8:20 AM
To: Tracy, Mary
Subject: FW: CR 28(d) (e), CR 30(b)(1)

Forwarding.

From: Caryn Winters [mailto:caryn.winters@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 19, 2016 5:13 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: CR 28(d) (e), CR 30(b)(1)

Honored Members of the Supreme Court:

As a certified court reporter and firm owner in Washington state, I am writing to urge you to adopt CR 28(d), (e), and CR 30(b)(1) for the reasons outlined in the GR 9 cover pages.

I believe the adoption of CR 28(d) will provide quick remedies for violations of equal terms outlined in CR 28(c) that are regularly being engaged in by nationwide firms here in the state of Washington.

I believe the passage of CR 28(e) will prevent court reporting firms from making changes to transcripts after the original transcript has been completed. It will prevent unethical and unscrupulous "stretching" of transcripts by reducing the characters per line established in RAP 9.2 and WAC 308-14-135 guidelines in order to create a longer transcript and charge higher fees for same to the consumers of our product.

I think the adoption of CR 30(b)(1) requiring transparency and notification within the deposition notice to disclose the existence of any known contractual relationships between the noticing party, its counselor, a third party paying to record the noticed deposition and the person, court reporting firm, consortium, or other organization providing a court reporter for the noticed deposition is necessary to ensure all parties are aware of what could be considered a violation of CR 28(c), in that a court reporting firm that has a long-term contract with one of the parties is not a disinterested person under CR 28(c).

Thank you very much for publishing these proposed rules. I look forward to hearing of their adoption.

Sincerely,

Caryn E. Winters, RPR, CRR (WA #2496), CSR (ID #237)
Spokane Reporting Service, Inc.