Other (name and relation	ionship to this case):			
ne Court makes the following	ng findings of fact and conclusions of law:			
Notice and Personal Jurise	diction			
	ve notice of this case were served with the Summons and			
Presumed Parent (if any) because Washington by signing a Pater	The court can decide this case for the Birth Mother , Acknowledged Father and Presumed Parent (if any) because they each agreed to personal jurisdiction in Washington by signing a <i>Paternity Acknowledgment</i> or <i>Denial of Paternity</i> that was filed with the State Registrar of Vital Statistics.			
☐ Alleged Parent (if any) – (F	☐ Alleged Parent (if any) – (Respondent's name): is an Alleged Parent. S/he did not sign a Paternity Acknowledgment or Denial.			
☐ The court has person jubecause s/he (check all was personally served lives in this state no lived in this state with lived in this state and did or said somethin had sex in this state signed an agreement court can decide his other (specify):	urisdiction (authority to make decisions) over this person Il that apply): red in this state. ow.			
☐ except (na	ame/s):			
Child				
This case is about a Paternity	This case is about a Paternity Acknowledgment (and Denial, if any) for the following child			
Child's name	Birth date (month / day / year)			
	nial, if any) was filed with the Washington State Registrar of			
Effective Date				
	Paternity Acknowledgment (and Denial, if any) became This is either the date the child was bo			

or the date the *Paternity Acknowledgment* (and *Denial*, if any) was filed with the Washington State Registrar of Vital Statistics, whichever happened later.

Challenge Deadline					
This case was started on <i>(date):</i> This is the date the <i>Petition</i> was either filed or served, whichever happened first.					
This case was started (check one):					
 before the deadline for challenging the Paternity Acknowledgment (and Denial, if any) because the Petition was filed or served (check one): more than 60 days after the Effective Date listed above; after any person who signed the Acknowledgment or Denial turned 19, if s/he was under 18 when s/he signed; and less than four years after the Effective Date listed above. 					
Conclusion: The court should decide this case.					
after the deadline for challenging the Paternity Acknowledgment (and Denial, if any) because the Petition was filed or served more than four years after the Effective Dat listed above.					
Conclusion: The court should deny the Petition. (Skip to section 17.)					
 ☐ too soon. This case was started before the time for filing a Petition to Withdraw (Rescind) the Acknowledgment or Denial had ended. The Petition was filed or served (check all that apply): ☐ less than 60 days after the Effective Date listed above. ☐ before (name): turned 19. 					
This person was under 18 when s/he signed the <i>Acknowledgment</i> or <i>Denial</i> . Conclusion: The court should <i>(check one):</i>					
deny the Petition. (Skip to section 17.)					
other (specify):					
Reason/s for Challenge					
The Petitioner claimed the <i>Paternity Acknowledgement</i> or <i>Denial</i> was signed because of fraud, duress (pressure or force), or material mistake of fact.					
Conclusion: The <i>Acknowledgment</i> and <i>Denial</i> , if any, should be declared <i>(check one):</i>					
 ☐ Invalid. The Petitioner proved that the (check all that apply): ☐ Paternity Acknowledgment ☐ Denial of Paternity was signed because of: (check all that apply): ☐ fraud. ☐ duress (pressure or force). ☐ material mistake of fact. 					

5.

6.

	□ Valid. The Petitioner did not prove that the Paternity Acknowledgment or Denial of Paternity was signed because of fraud, duress (pressure or force), or material mistake of fact.
	The court makes this conclusion based on the following facts (check all that apply):
	the genetic test results described below.
	other evidence (describe):
7.	Allegation of Parentage Resulting From Sexual Assault
	☐ There was no allegation of sexual assault resulting in the birth of a child.
	☐ There was an allegation of sexual assault resulting in the birth of a child.
	See the Order after Sexual Assault Fact-Finding Hearing signed by the court on
	(date): The Findings and Conclusions from that order are made a part of this order. The court has determined that the sexual assault allegation was:
	proved.
	not proved.
8.	Guardian ad Litem
	☐ Does not apply.
	☐ The Court appointed (name): Guardian ad Litem (GAL) for the child.
	The court has considered the report and recommendations of the GAL.
	Other (specify):
9.	Genetic Testing Admitted
	□ Does not apply. Either genetic testing was not done, or the results were not admitted. (Skip to 10.)
	☐ Genetic paternity testing was done and the results were admitted as evidence. The testing was done by <i>(check one):</i> ☐ court order ☐ agreement of the Birth Mother, Acknowledged Father and Presumed Parent (if any).
	Who was tested? (check all that apply):
	Acknowledged Father – The person who signed the Paternity Acknowledgment, (name): The test results (Check one):
	show a 99% or greater probability that the Acknowledged Father is the child's biological parent.
	exclude the Acknowledged Father as a biological parent.
	☐ Presumed Parent – The person who signed the Denial of Paternity, (name): The test results (Check one):
	show a 99% or greater probability that the Presumed Parent is the child's biological parent.
	exclude the Presumed Parent as a biological parent.

or Denial, (name): The test results (Check one):		
show a 99% or greater probability that the Alleged Parent is the child's biological parent.		
exclude the Alleged Parent as a biological parent.		
☐ Test Results Challenged – The test results for <i>(name)</i> :		
were challenged by <i>(name)</i> : The court concludes that the challenged test results <i>(check one)</i> : are are not valid because <i>(specify)</i> :		
Other (specify):		
Conclusion: (Check one):		
The court should decide parentage according to the genetic test results described above.		
The court should not decide parentage according to the genetic test results described above because the court found the test results are invalid.		
The court should not decide parentage according to the genetic test results described above based on the <i>Order after Sexual</i> Assault Fact-Finding hearing.		
10. Genetic Testing <u>Not</u> Admitted		
□ Does not apply. Genetic testing results were admitted. (Skip to 11.)		
☐ Genetic testing was not done, or the results were not admitted.		
Conclusion: (Check all that apply):		
 Not admitted – The genetic testing results were not admitted because the testing was done without either a court order or agreement of the necessary parties (everyone who signed the Acknowledgment and Denial if any). Not ordered – The court concludes that ordering genetic testing is not in the child's best interest after considering the report and recommendation of the child's Guardian ad Litem. The court should decide that the Acknowledged Parent is the legal parent of the child, and the Presumed Parent (if any) is not the legal parent of this child. 		
☐ See Order on Motion for Genetic Testing.		
(Describe clear and convincing evidence why testing is not in the child's best interest):		

		No cooperation – (Name): did not cooperate with an order for genetic testing. This conclusion is based on the following facts	
		(describe the failure to cooperate):	
		 □ Because this person did not cooperate with genetic testing, the court concludes that he (check one): □ should □ should not be the legal father of the child. RCW 26.26.575(2). This is in the child's best interest because (explain): 	
		Other (specify):	
44	Child's N		
• • •		hild's name should not be changed.	
	☐ The cl	hild's name should be changed as listed in the Final Order on Petition to enge Paternity Acknowledgment or Denial.	
12.	Jurisdic	tion over the Child (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)	
		not apply. No one requested a <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> for the or asked the court to decide who the child should live with most of the time.	
	decide	ourt cannot approve a <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> for this child or who this child should live with most of the time because the court does not urisdiction over this child.	
		ourt can approve a <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> for this child and e who this child should live with most of the time because <i>(check all that apply):</i>	
Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made custody order or parenting plan for the child, and the court still has authority to make other orders for the child.			
		ome state jurisdiction – Washington is the child's home state because heck all that apply):	
		The child lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the 6 months just before this case was filed, or if the child was less than 6 months old when the case was filed, s/he had lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.	
		☐ There were times the child was not in Washington in the 6 months just before this case was filed (or since birth if s/he was less than 6 months old), but those were temporary absences.	
		The child does not live in Washington right now, but Washington was the child's home state some time in the 6 months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the child still lives in Washington.	
		The child does not have another home state.	

	has the jurisd	te or home state declined – No court of any other iction to make decisions for the child, or a court in tl) decided it is better to have this case in Washington	he child's home
		and a parent or someone acting as a parent have tiens st living here; and	es to Washington
		lot of information (substantial evidence) about the ch, education and relationships in this state.	nild's care,
		leclined – The courts in other states (or tribes) that nave refused to take this case because it is better to h	
	jurisdiction ov	mergency jurisdiction – Washington had tempora ver the child when the case was filed, and now has jurisday decision because:	
	was in	the case was filed, the child was abandoned in this this state and the child (or child's parent, brother or d or threatened with abuse;	
	that W	ourt signed a temporary order on <i>(date)</i> /ashington's jurisdiction will become final if no case is state (or tribe) by the time the child has been in Was is;	
	■ The ch	nild has now lived in Washington for 6 months; and	
	No castribe).	se concerning the child has been started in the child	d's home state (or
	Other reason	(specify):	
13.	Parenting Plan or	Residential Schedule	
	☐ Does not apply.		
		isdiction over the child as listed in section 12 above	1 .
	(Check one):		•
	☐ The court	signed the final Parenting Plan or Residential Sche y today or on (date):	edule filed
	been living The child	equested a Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule. g with (name): should continue to live with this parent most of the tenamed the child's custodian.	most of the time.
		ting Plan or Residential Schedule should be entereder Sexual Assault Fact-Finding Hearing.	d based on the
	The plan, schedu	lle or custodian is approved (check one):	
	☐ by default	. The court considered the court record.	
		nent of both parents without a contested hearing. T d the parties' agreement and the following evidence	

			summary judgment hearing mmary Judgment Order.	g. The court considered the evidence listed in
			•	II of the evidence admitted at trial.
		Therefore, the		the child as listed in section 12 above. arenting Plan or Residential Schedule, or set of the time.
		Other (specify)	:	
		-		
14.	Ch	nild Support		
		Does not apply		
		(Name):		is not a legal parent of the child.
		Conclusion:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	port the child should end on the date listed in Challenge Paternity Acknowledgment or
		No child support		collected based on the Order after Sexual
		•	ed the final <i>Child Support</i> C	Order and Worksheets filed separately today
		Child Support (ild support because the DSHS Division of hed an administrative child support order for
15.	Pr	otection Orde	r	
			ted an <i>Order for Protection</i>	in this case
		•		requested an <i>Order for Protection</i> in this case.
			The court should (check of	
			·	cause:
		 ☐ approve	a protection order because	e:
				_
16.	Re	estraining Ord	er	
		No one request	ted a <i>Restraining Order</i> in t	this case.
		(Name):		requested a Restraining Order.
		Conclusion:	The court should:	

		not approve a Rest	raining Ord	er because:		
		approve a Restraini	ing Order be	ecause:		
17.	Fees and	Costs				
	☐ Each p	arty should pay his	or her own	fees and costs.		
		's fees were awarde ould be included in t		rder after Sexual Assault Fact-Finding rder.	Hearing	
	☐ (Name):		incurred fees and costs, and nee	ds help to	
	ability t the <i>Fin</i>	pay those fees and costs. (Name): has the ability to help pay fees and costs and should be ordered to pay the amount as listed in the Final Order. The court finds that the amount ordered is reasonable.				
	paid as	listed in the <i>Final</i> C	Order. The	or other court-appointed professional st court has considered relevant factors in the fees as ordered are reasonable.		
	☐ Other findings:					
18.	Other fine	dings or conclusi	ions (if an) 		
)			
Date	•		Judge or (Commissioner		
Doti	tionar and	Pospondont or t	hoir laww	ore fill out bolow		
		check any that appl	-	ers fill out below. This document (check any that apply	١٠	
☐is	an agreeme	nt of the parties	у).	is an agreement of the parties	'.	
	presented by	/ me by the court without r	notice to me	☐ is presented by me☐ may be signed by the court without no	atice to me	
	ay be signed	by the court without i	iolice to me	inay be signed by the court without he	THE TO THE	
Petitic	oner sians here	e or lawyer signs here +	WSBA #	Respondent signs here or lawyer signs here	 + WSBA #	
		y z zigne nero t		,	11	
Print I	Name		Date	Print Name	Date	

is presented by me is presented by me	'				
>					
Other Respondent or lawyer signs here + WSBA # Other party or Guardian ad Litem signs here + W	/SBA #				
Print Name Date Print Name	Date				
☐ If any parent or child received public assistance:					
The state Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) was notified about this order through the Prosecuting Attorney's office, and has reviewed and approved the following: Child support Past due child support Other (specify):					
Deputy Prosecutor signs here Print name and WSBA # Date	<u> </u>				